Instrument Number: 20201210002399 Document:ORD Rec: \$111.50 Page-1 of 9 Record Date:12/10/2020 3:57 PM Electronically Recorded King County, WA

> Return Address: Clerk of the Council Metropolitan King County Council 516 Third Avenue Room 1200 Seattle, WA 98104

Please print or type information WASHINGTON STATE RECORDER'S Cover Sheet (RCW **Document Title(s)** (or transactions contained therein): (all areas applicable to your document <u>must</u> be filled in) 1. Ordinance 19121 AN ORDINANCE authorizing the vacation of a portion of 90th Ave SW, file no. V-2716; Petitioners: Stewart Schill and Weslie Brown. Reference Number(s) of Documents assigned or released: Additional reference #'s on page of document Grantor(s) (Last name, first name, initials) 1. King County, Washington Additional names on page of document. **Grantee(s)** (Last name first, then first name and initials) 1. King County, Washington Additional names on page of document. **Legal description** (abbreviated: i.e. lot, block, plat or section, township, range) That portion of 90<sup>th</sup> Avenue Southwest abutting Lot 40 of Sandy Shores, according to the ... Additional legal is on page 2 of document. Assessor's Property Tax Parcel/Account Number ☐ Assessor Tax # not yet assigned The Auditor/Recorder will rely on the information provided on the form. The staff will not read the document to verify the accuracy or completeness of the indexing information provided herein. I am requesting an emergency nonstandard recording for an additional fee as provided in RCW 36.18.010. I understand that the recording processing requirements may cover up or otherwise obscure some part of the text of the original document. Signature of Requesting Party

DocuSign Envelope ID: D24A4AC7-7A29-4575-9FAE-291F473BB970



### KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue WA 98104

### Signature Report

### Ordinance 19121

	Proposed No. 2020-0064.2 Sponsors Balducci
1	AN ORDINANCE authorizing the vacation of a portion of
2	90th Ave SW, file no. V-2716; Petitioners: Stewart Schill
3	and Weslie Brown.
4	STATEMENT OF FACTS:
5	1. A petition was filed requesting vacation of a portion of 90th Ave SW,
6	hereinafter described.
7	2. The department of local services notified utility companies serving the
8	area and King County departments of the proposed vacation and has been
9	advised that Puget Sound Energy requires an easement over the vacation
10	area. The vacation shall not extinguish the rights of any utility company
11	to any existing easements for facilities or equipment within the vacation
12	area.
13	3. The department of local services's records indicate that this segment of
14	right of way is opened and partially maintained.
15	4. Due notice was given in the manner provided by law. The office of the
16	hearing examiner held the public hearing on April 23, 2020.
17	5. The examiner found that the subject right-of-way is useless as part of
18	the county road system, concluded that the public will benefit from its
19	vacation, and recommended a full waiver of compensation.

# Instrument Number: 20201210002399 Document:ORD Rec: \$111.50 Page-3 of 9 Record Date:12/10/2020 3:57 PM King County, WA

DocuSign Envelope ID: D24A4AC7-7A29-4575-9FAE-291F473BB970

### Ordinance 19121

20	6. For the reasons stated in the examiner's May 7, 2020, report and
21	recommendation, the council determines that it is in the best interest of the
22	citizens of King County to grant said petition and vacate the right-of-way,
23	without requiring compensation.
24	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
25	SECTION 1. The council, on the effective date of this ordinance, hereby vacates
26	and abandons a portion 90th Ave SW right of way as described below.
27	That portion of 90th Avenue Southwest abutting Lot 40 of Sandy Shores,
28	according to the Plat thereof recorded in Volume 78 of Plats, pages 65
29	through 67, inclusive, in King County Washington, described as follows:
30	Beginning at the Northwesterly corner of said Lot 40, being on the East
31	margin of 90th Avenue Southwest, as platted in said Plat of Sandy Shores;
32	Thence South 37°01'05" East, along said East margin, 37.54 feet to a point
33	of curvature;
34	Thence continuing on said East margin on a curve to the right, with a
35	radius of 45.00 feet and an arc length of 103.48 feet to the Southwest
36	corner of said Lot 40;

# Instrument Number: 20201210002399 Document:ORD Rec: \$111.50 Page-4 of 9 Record Date:12/10/2020 3:57 PM King County, WA

DocuSign Envelope ID: D24A4AC7-7A29-4575-9FAE-291F473BB970

Ordinance 19121

37

38

39

·	
Thence North 09°29'46" East 103.33	feet to the point of beginning.
Containing 2,980 square feet or 0.07	acres, more or less.
	•
Ordinance 19121 was introduced on 6/23/2 County Council on 6/23/2020, by the follow	020 and passed by the Metropolitan King wing vote:
Yes: 9 - Ms. Balducci, Mr Ms. Lambert, Mr. McDerr and Mr. Zahilay	. Dembowski, Mr. Dunn, Ms. Kohl-Welles nott, Mr. Upthegrove, Mr. von Reichbauer
	KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
	——DocuSigned by:
	Claudia Balducci
	Claudia Balducci, Chair
ATTEST:  —DocuSigned by:	
Melani Rediga.	
Melani Pedroza, Clerk of the Council	
DocuSigned by:	
APPROVED this 8 day of July	2020 .
	DocuSigned by:
	Dow Constati
	Dow Constantine, County Executive
	•
Attachments: A. Hearing Examiner Report dated	May 7, 2020

### Instrument Number: 20201210002399 Document: ORD Rec: \$111.50 Page-5 of 9 Record Date: 12/10/2020 3:57 PM King County, WA

DocuSign Envelope ID: D24A4AC7-7A29-4575-9FAE-291F473BB970

19121 ATTACHMENT A

May 7, 2020

### OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Room 1200 Seattle, Washington 98104 Telephone (206) 477-0860 hearingexaminer@kingcounty.gov www.kingcounty.gov/independent/hearing-examiner

### REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

SUBJECT:

Department of Transportation file no. V-2716

Proposed ordinance no. 2020-0064 Adjacent parcel no. 7558800400

### STEWART SCHILL AND WESLIE BROWN

Road Vacation Petition

Location:

a portion of 90th Avenue SW

Applicants:

Stewart Schill and Weslie Brown

3705 Fredonia Drive Los Angeles, CA 90068 Telephone: (323) 252-6080

Email: stewartschill@att.net; weslie.brown@att.net

King County: Department of Transportation represented by Leslie Drake 201 S Jackson Street Seattle, WA 98104

Telephone: (206) 684-1481

Email: leslie.drake@kingcounty.gov

### FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS:

### Overview

1. Stewart Schill and Weslie Brown (Petitioners) seek to vacate an approximately 2,980 square-foot stretch of public right-of-way at a portion of 90th Avenue SW. The Department of Local Services, Road Services Division (Roads), urges vacation and a waiver of all compensation. We conducted the public hearing on behalf of the Council. After hearing witness testimony and observing their demeanor, studying the exhibits entered into evidence, and considering the parties' arguments and the relevant law, we recommend vacation and a full waiver.

## Instrument Number: 20201210002399 Document:ORD Rec: \$111.50 Page-6 of 9 Record Date: 12/10/2020 3:57 PM King County, WA

DocuSign Envelope ID: D24A4AC7-7A29-4575-9FAE-291F473BB970

V-2716-Stewart Schill and Weslie Brown

### **Background**

- 2. Except as provided herein, we adopt and incorporate the facts set forth in Roads' report and in proposed ordinance no. 2020-0064. That report and a map showing the area to be vacated are in the hearing record and will be attached to copies of our recommendation submitted to Council. Exs. 1 and 7.
- 3. Chapter RCW 36.87 sets the general framework for county road vacations, augmented by KCC chapter 14.40. There are at least four somewhat interrelated inquiries. The first two relate to whether vacation is warranted: is the road useless to the road system and would vacation benefit the public? If the answers to these are both yes, the third and fourth relate to compensation: what is the appraised (or perhaps assessed) value of the right-of-way, and how should this number be adjusted to capture avoided County costs?
- 4. A petitioner has the burden to show that the "road is useless as part of the county road system and that the public will be benefitted by its vacation and abandonment." RCW 36.87.020. "A county right of way may be considered useless if it is not necessary to serve an essential role in the public road network or if it would better serve the public interest in private ownership." KCC 14.40.0102.B. While denial is mandatory ("shall not" vacate) where a petitioner fails to make that showing, approval is discretionary where a petitioner shows uselessness and public benefit ("may vacate"). RCW 36.87.060(1) (emphasis added).

### Is Vacation Warranted?

- 5. The subject right-of-way is not a segment of road, but a possible future turnaround area along a graveled road. Ex. 1 at 018; Ex. 3 at 005, 011. Reading through the file, our concern was that this dead end road does not have a turnaround meeting the current County standards. Vacation thus raised a potential emergency response vehicle issue.
- 6. At hearing, Roads explained that it made three separate attempts to obtain a comment or response from the Vashon Island Fire Department, and it received none. The vacation area is not an actual turnaround, just a blackberry bramble, so the turnaround is only theoretical. Ex. 16 at 003. The road abutting the vacation area serves only the Petitioners and their immediate neighbor (who has not objected to vacation). And because the vacation area is near the top of a steep hill (exceeding maximum road grade restrictions), it does not appear the roadway could be extended. The cumulative assessment within the Department of Local Services—including Permitting, Roads Maintenance, the Survey Unit, Traffic Engineering, and Drainage—is that vacation is not problematic. Ex. 1 at 003.
- 7. We thus find that the right-of-way is useless to the county road system. The public will benefit from its vacation, saving in expected management and maintenance costs, along with adding expected property taxes. Ex. 9. We recommend that Council vacate the right-of-way. We reiterate, however, that vacation is discretionary and not mandatory. RCW 36.87.060(1) ("may vacate").

2

## Instrument Number: 20201210002399 Document:ORD Rec: \$111.50 Page-7 of 9 Record Date: 12/10/2020 3:57 PM King County, WA

DocuSign Envelope ID: D24A4AC7-7A29-4575-9FAE-291F473BB970

V-2716-Stewart Schill and Weslie Brown

### What Compensation is Due?

- 8. Roads recommends zero compensation. Roads' recommendation comes directly from the Assessor staff's statement that merging the right-of-way square footage into the Petitioners' parcel will add no value to Petitioners' parcel Ex. 8.
- 9. We discussed a similar issue at length in Solly—V-2721. An opinion that the Sollys' lot would not benefit from becoming larger (via the additional right-of-way square footage) raised a yellow flag for us. However, it was at least theoretically understandable: the Assessor's records characterized the Sollys' lot as "unbuildable" due to environmental restrictions, and the Assessor noted that adding square footage to an unbuildable lot does not add to the lot's value.
- 10. We opined in *Solly* that increasing the lot's size would almost by definition make development at least slightly more feasible than without that additional square footage—for example, creating more room for buffer averaging and more options for how to align a potential development to avoid environmentally-restricted area. However, there was no question that development would be challenging for the Sollys, with or without the vacation. So, we recommended that Council waive all compensation.
- 11. Conversely, Petitioners' property here is developable, and their intention is to site a residence on it. While they could probably do so without vacation, they were candid that step slopes throughout much of their property complicate development, and that the relatively flat vacation area would be a logical spot for a septic drainfield. And why would anyone be motivated enough to start and stick with a vacation proceeding unless those petitioners conclude it would enhance their property?<sup>1</sup>
- 12. Thus, an opinion that adding the right-of-way adds zero value to the property seems counterintuitive here. We recommend that Council waive all compensation today because the best evidence in the record as to added value is Assessor staff's opinion that merging the right-of-way area will not increase Petitioners' lot's value. But we will ensure that this does not happen again, and that we will have more than just a few lines in an email supporting a future statement that adding square footage to an abutting property adds zero value to that property.
- 13. Thus, if Assessor staff opines in a future petition that merging the right-of-way square footage into the abutting lot adds zero value to that lot, Roads should arrange to have someone from the Assessor's office available to participate in our public hearing. (Being available for us to patch in by phone would be just fine, and more protective of staff time.) There may be a totally understandable explanation. We will just make sure that we have that back-and-forth colloquy on the record at a hearing, so that if our recommendation to Council in a future petition mirrors today's, we will be able to articulate for Council why increasing a lot's size adds nothing to that lot's value.

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We have occasionally seen vacations where a property owner gets roped into a neighbor's vacation petition. In such case, there is no inference to draw from the fact that that the property owner is joining in a petition.

## Instrument Number: 20201210002399 Document:ORD Rec: \$111.50 Page-8 of 9 Record Date: 12/10/2020 3:57 PM King County, WA

DocuSign Envelope ID: D24A4AC7-7A29-4575-9FAE-291F473BB970

V-2716-Stewart Schill and Weslie Brown

#### RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE proposed ordinance no. 2020-0064 to vacate the subject road right-of-way and waive all compensation.

DATED May 7, 2020.

David Spohr Hearing Examiner 4

### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

A person appeals an Examiner recommendation by following the steps described in KCC 20.22.230, including filing with the Clerk of the Council a sufficient appeal statement and a \$250 appeal fee (check payable to the King County FBOD). Appeal statements may refer only to facts contained in the hearing record; new facts may not be presented on appeal. KCC 20.22.230 also requires that the appellant provide copies of the appeal statement to the Examiner and to any named parties listed on the front page of the Examiner's recommendation.

Prior to the close of business (4:30 p.m.) on June 1, 2020, an electronic copy of the appeal statement must be sent to <a href="Clerk.Council@kingcounty.gov">Clerk.Council@kingcounty.gov</a> and a paper copy of the appeal statement and the \$250 appeal fee must be mailed to King County Council Clerk at 516 3rd Ave, Room 1200, Seattle, WA 98104, by first-class USPS mail, postage prepaid, postmarked June 1 or earlier. For tracking purposes, you may want to consider using USPS "Priority Service," which will allow you to track delivery. Due to the Governor's 20-25 proclamation "Stay Home – Stay Healthy," the King County Council Clerk is no longer accepting in-person delivery of appeals.

Unless both a timely and sufficient appeal statement and filing fee are filed by June 1, 2020, the Clerk of the Council shall place on the agenda of the next available Council meeting a proposed ordinance implementing the Examiner's recommended action. At that meeting the Council may adopt the Examiner's recommendation, defer action, refer the matter to a Council committee, or remand to the Examiner for further hearing or further consideration.

If a timely and sufficient appeal statement and filing fee are filed by June 1, 2020, the Examiner will notify all parties and interested persons and provide information about "next steps."

## Instrument Number: 20201210002399 Document:ORD Rec: \$111.50 Page-9 of 9 Record Date:12/10/2020 3:57 PM King County, WA

DocuSign Envelope ID: D24A4AC7-7A29-4575-9FAE-291F473BB970

V-2716-Stewart Schill and Weslie Brown

# MINUTES OF THE APRIL 23, 2020, HEARING ON THE ROAD VACATION PETITION OF STEWART SCHILL AND WESLIE BROWN, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FILE NO. V-2716

5

David Spohr was the Hearing Examiner in this matter. Participating in the hearing were Leslie Drake, Stewart Shill, and Weslie Brown.

The following exhibits were offered and entered into the hearing record:

Exhibit no. 1	Roads Services report to the Hearing Examiner, sent April 7, 2020
Exhibit no. 2	Petition transmittal letter dated December 19, County Road Engineer from the Clerk of Council
Exhibit no. 3	Letter and Petition for Vacation of a County Road with attachments. Received December 19, 2017
Exhibit no. 4	Letter to Petitioner dated January 8, 2018 acknowledging receipt of Petition
Exhibit no. 5	Copy of second notice sent to stakeholders on February 26, 2018 with Petitioner's survey and map, and site map showing vacation area
Exhibit no. 6	King County Assessor's information for Petitioners' Property, APN 7558800400
Exhibit no. 7	Site map depicting vacation area
Exhibit no. 8	Email from Adam Neel, King County Department of Assessments dated
	July 15, 2019 with valuation information
Exhibit no. 9	Compensation calculation model spreadsheet for V-2716
Exhibit no. 10	Letter to Petitioner with County Road Engineer Report on Vacation
	Petition V-2716
Exhibit no. 11	County Road Engineer Report on Vacation Petition V-2716
Exhibit no. 12	Signed Easement in favor of Puget Sound Energy
Exhibit no. 13	Ordinance transmittal letter dated January 28, 2020, from King County
	Executive to Councilmember Claudia Balducci. (note: signed copy
•	unavailable)
Exhibit no. 14	Proposed Ordinance
Exhibit no. 15	Fiscal Note
Exhibit no. 16	General photos of vacation area
Exhibit no. 17	Declaration of Posting
Exhibit no. 18	Affidavit of Publication for date of hearing - to be supplied by Clerk of
•	the Council

DS/jf