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## Introduction

The Transportation Needs Report (TNR) is a long-term, comprehensive list of recommended improvements to serve unincorporated King County's transportation needs. It includes transportation needs in unincorporated King County and significant projects in cities, adjacent counties, and on state highways. The transportation needs are those currently known (existing) as well as those that are forecast due to regionally-adopted targets for growth and development.

The TNR is a functional plan of the King County Comprehensive Plan. Together with the Roads Six-Year CIP and the Roads annual budget, it fulfills the requirement of growth management legislation (RCW 36.70A.070) for a transportation capital facilities plan element of the King County comprehensive plan. The TNR was prepared consistent with all requirements of growth management legislation including:

1. It is based on the land use element of the comprehensive plan.
2. Its list of transportation needs and recommended improvements was developed using travel demand forecasts that are based on the regionally-adopted growth targets.
3. It includes a financial analysis that reflects the most recent land use changes, project amendments, costs, and financial revenue assumptions.

The TNR horizon year is 2022, which is consistent with regionally-adopted targets for population and employment growth.

The schedule for updating the TNR has been changed to coordinate with major updates to the Comprehensive Plan. Starting with TNR 2004, the update cycle will coincide with the four-year, comprehensive plan major amendment cycles. Beginning with this document, the TNR will be transmitted to Council for adoption with the comprehensive plan amendments instead of following later as has been the custom. If circumstances warrant, interim updates will be developed and transmitted with the annual comprehensive plan technical amendments.

## PURPOSE

The TNR serves the following purposes:
Relationship to King County Comprehensive Plan 2004: A primary purpose of the TNR is to fulfill certain requirements of state growth management legislation for comprehensive planning. These requirements as outlined in state legislation (RCW 36.70A. 070 (6)) are:

1. Specific actions and requirements for bringing into compliance locally-owned transportation facilities or services that are below an established level of service standard;
2. Forecasts of traffic for at least ten years based on the adopted growth targets and land use plan to provide information on the location, timing, and capacity needs of future growth;
3. Identification of state and local system needs to meet current and future demands;
4. An analysis of funding capability to judge needs against probable funding resources;
5. A multiyear financing plan based on the needs identified;

The TNR needs list and financial analysis fulfill these requirements. The needs list was developed using forecasts of traffic for the 2022 horizon year based on regionally-adopted growth targets and the land use element of the King County Comprehensive Plan 2004.

Transportation Planning and Funding: The TNR helps King County make decisions on planning and funding of transportation improvements. It provides guidance based on policies, strategies, and actions set forth in the comprehensive plan and the Roads Strategic Plan. It follows established processes linking land use planning with transportation needs.

The TNR plays a significant role in evaluating the difference between identified transportation needs and future expected revenues for King County. This analysis assesses the County's ability to keep pace with the demands of growth and assists in developing financial strategies to deal with unmet needs.

Coordination: The TNR helps to coordinate transportation improvements connecting King County with other jurisdictions including the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), adjacent cities, and counties. It also helps coordination between different divisions of the King County Department of Transportation. By clearly showing the location and scope of intended transportation improvements as well as the priority of these improvements, the TNR provides other jurisdictions with information to use in appropriately coordinating project implementation. Additionally, the private sector development community can use the TNR to identify areas where future growth could be accommodated by improved facilities.

Development Review: The TNR serves as a major source of information in the review of proposed land developments and in determining appropriate mitigation measures required as a condition of new development approval. The County's Mitigation Payment System (MPS) uses the TNR to identify growth projects that will be part of the impact fee system.

Road Vacation: Property owners can petition King County to have portions of the County's unused road rights-of-way sold to them if the property is not needed for current or future transportation purposes. The TNR is used to indicate the location of future projects on the road system in this road vacation process.

## PROCESS

The development of the TNR is part of a comprehensive planning process that is guided by state growth management legislation. This process, as depicted in the flow diagram, links the guidance of the King County comprehensive plan and the Roads Strategic Plan with the development of the TNR, the six-year Roads capital improvement program, and the Roads annual budget. The mitigation payment system, which is authorized by growth management legislation and required by King County ordinance, is used to collect impact fees to help build road capacity projects needed to support growth. Projects receiving MPS funding are included in the TNR. The concurrency program, which is required by growth management legislation, tests proposed development to make sure road capacity needed to support future growth will be
available when needed. If a project needed to support the travel needs of a proposed development is included in the Roads six-year capital needs program and if other requirements are met, the proposed development may be granted a concurrency certificate to proceed with permit application.


## Development of the TNR 2008

As the Comprehensive Plan undergoes a major update each four years, a major TNR effort will accompany this work. In the two year mid period, the TNR will be limited to technical updates.

For this update to the TNR, the following changes were incorporated and itemized into the TNR 2008.

## Vulnerable Road Segments

The Roads Services Division instituted the Vulnerable Roadway Segments (VRS) study in 2005 to identify and address specific roadway funding needs throughout the County. A vulnerable road segment was defined as a road segment that requires abnormally expensive and/or frequent repairs. This includes roads with failing retaining walls, seawalls, roads with chronic settlement problems, or roadways close to rivers with repetitive erosion problems. Most VRS projects were added to the TNR in 2006, but the last part of the analysis was completed after the TNR went to print. The TNR 2008 includes 18 additional VRS needs. The VRS priority description has also been changed since 2006 and is included in Appendix C.

## Countywide Guardrail Program

Following a technical analysis, several dozen guardrail corridors were eliminated from the TNR as no longer meeting guardrail warrants. Other guardrail locations were merged into existing corridors.

## TNR Advanced Scoping

The Division undertook a field review of unfunded projects which had previously only undergone planning-level environmental review. The objective was to verify environmental constraints and estimate project costs before projects became candidates for CIP funding. Road Division engineers visited each site and checked for required environmental permits, identified project scope elements and estimated construction costs for approximately three dozen planned projects. A number of projects had a higher calculated cost than had been shown previously, and these projects have been updated in this version.

## Capital Project completions

Several dozen projects were completed since the adoption of the Transportation Needs Report 2006, and these completed projects are deleted from the needs list.

## Annexations

Cities continue to annex portions of unincorporated King County and when the annexed properties include TNR project locations, they are removed from the County's Transportation Needs Report. The major annexations since 2006 were the Lea Hill and West Hill areas into the City of Auburn and the Benson Hill area into the City of Renton. Together, the projects in the annexed areas totaled 54 planned projects for an estimated cost of $\$ 110$ million.

## Pavement Testing 2007

In the spring of 2007, King County Roads contracted with a private consultant for the purpose of a structural evaluation study of King County pavement sections. Forty three miles of rural roads were sampled to determine what pavement structure should be built to provide $10-20-$, and $30-$ year design lives. If pavement sections required more than a two-inch overlay, they were considered substandard and candidates for reconstruction or rehabilitation rather than overlay. From the test results, 24 locations were recommended for road reconstruction rather than overlay treatment. These 24 locations were added to the TNR.

## School Pathways Program

The Traffic Engineering Section's School Pathways Program works with the sixteen school districts in unincorporated King County and over 100 public schools benefit from this program. Each school district submits a prioritized list of projects to the Traffic Engineering Section annually. The program implements safe walkway routes for students by constructing pathways, eliminating missing links, and improving existing school walkway facilities along unincorporated county roadways. This TNR contains 20 new school walkway needs and 29 school walkway projects were deleted due to project completion, locations no longer recommended by their school districts or projects annexed to a city.

## Financial Analysis and Shortfall

A financial analysis was developed to balance projected needs with anticipated revenue. Revenues were projected to the horizon year for the Road Fund, Federal, State, and MPS revenues. Revenues were adjusted to take into account the recent annexations of Lea Hill and West Hill to Auburn and Benson Hill to Renton. Within the timeframe of the plan, to 2022, all urban annexations were assumed to have occurred.

Projected needs were expressed in constant 2008 dollars and were totaled for the TNR program through the year 2022.

Comparing projected revenues with projected needs reveals a shortfall of $\$ 697$ million. Summary cost and revenue estimates are included in Appendix D of this document.

The shortfall is calculated by subtracting the total projected needs by total projected revenues for the TNR time period. Recent Transportation Needs Reports show a trend of increasing growth of the financial shortfall:

| TNR | Amount of Shortfall |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | $\$ 254$ million |
| 1999 | $\$ 227$ million |
| 2000 | $\$ 292$ million |
| 2001 | $\$ 388$ million |
| 2004 | $\$ 525$ million |
| 2006 | $\$ 572$ million |
| 2008 | $\$ 697$ million |

The financial shortfall is an indication of King County's ability (or lack of ability) to serve the unincorporated area. This shortfall must be addressed by delaying improvements or by finding new sources of revenue or by some combination of the two strategies.

There are several methods available to address this shortfall. Additional revenue sources could be pursued. Implementation of needed improvements could be phased or delayed. Future development could be delayed, phased, or scaled back to assure the timely availability of needed infrastructure. These and perhaps other strategies will be employed and incorporated into future TNRs, CIPs, and budgets to balance needs with available revenues.


## NEEDS LIST for the Transportation Needs Report 2008

Needs are divided into chapters based on sub-areas of King County, in the following order:

1) Bear Creek
2) East King County
3) East Sammamish
4) Enumclaw
5) Federal Way
6) North Highline / West Hill
7) Newcastle
8) Northshore
9) Snoqualmie Valley
10) Soos Creek
11) Tahoma/Raven Heights
12) Vashon Island

## LEGEND for Needs List

Number - Unique identifier for project PAA - Potential Annexation Area (urban locations) Location - Where project is located
Need - The primary purpose of the proposed project

## PRIORITIES - determined by individual programs Other data fields -

ITS - Intelligent Transportation Systems Equestrian - "X" indicates the Safety - HAL_HARS Signal programs Bridge - Bridge and structure priorities Reconst. - Major roadway maintenance Guardrail - Guardrail installation and repair
Oper. - Traffic-oriented operational improvements Capacity - Road Widening
Pedestrian - Sidewalks and Walkways

TBD- Priority To Be Determined as future work program item
location within the designated "Equestrian Communities" of Rural King County.

Cost-000 - Future cost to King County Road Services Division to complete the proposed project (2008 dollars in thousands)

Comments - Preliminary elements of the proposed project.

## County SUBAREA: Bear Creck

## CORRIDOR: Avondale Rd



| Number | PAA | Location | Need | ज |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \stackrel{\text { N }}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAL-11 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Road NE \& NE 159th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$551 | Preliminary suggested scope - Install signal. Add left-turn lane in NB/SB direction. |
| OP-INT-99 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Road \& NE 165th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$686 | Provide North and South bound Left Turn Lanes |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-45 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 232nd Ave NE From NE 142 St To Old Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | X | \$3,466 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| 100508 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mink Rd From Bear Creek Rd To Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$482 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| B-14 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Paradise Lake Rd From Woodinville-Duvall Rd To County Line | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$535 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| B-74 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Bear Creek Rd From Avondale Rd To Seidel Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$615 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-INT-71 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Bear Creek Rd \& Mink Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$1,628 | Improve Sight Distance-Realign Intersection |
| GR-60 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 208th Ave NE From NE Union Hill Rd To Novelty Hill Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$26 | Construct Guardrail |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ \stackrel{\oplus}{\gtrless} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \stackrel{\text { N }}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: NE 124 - NE 128 - NE 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-51 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 133rd St From Bear Creek Rd to UPD W. Boundary | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | \$4,327 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| ITS-16 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 124th St. ITS Ph II From SR 202 to Avondale Road NE | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,544 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; fiber optic communications; vehicle detection; flood detection |
| N-89.10 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | 172nd Ave NE From Redmond City Limits To NE 138 St | Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$389 | Construct Neighborhood Pathway |
| BR-240A | Rural - N/O I-90 | Cottage Lake Creek Bridge \#240A On Bear Creek Rd Crossing Cottage Lake Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,967 | Replace Bridge |
| OP-INT-82 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 124th St \& 162 Pl NE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$486 | Turn Channels All Legs |
| BR-333A | Rural - N/O I-90 | Bear Creek Bridge \#333A On NE 133rd St Crossing Bear Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,696 | Replace Bridge |
| OP-RD-52 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 132nd St / NE 128th St From 184 Ave NE to 196 Ave NE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | \$7,622 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 戸 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\oplus} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 묵 } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 울 } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 릉 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: NE 165 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100309 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Cottage Lake Creek Bridge \#52B On NE 165th St Crossing Cottage Lake Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-RD-7 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 165th St From 179 Pl NE To 183 Ave NE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | X | \$3,985 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| CORRIDOR: NE Union Hill Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-11 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Road ITS Ph I From 196th Ave NE to Ames Lake Rd. | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,091 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include fiber optic communications; cameras; speed warning; vehicle detection |
| RC-44 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 196 Ave NE to 206 Pl NE | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$145 | 10 ft tall wall |
| B-73 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 238 <br> Ave NE To Ames LakeCarnation Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$1,643 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-RD-5 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 208 Ave NE To 238 Ave NE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$5,478 | Widen Travel Lanes--Pave Shoulders--Provide Equestrian Facility |
| HARS-23 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 201st Ave NE To 201st Ave NE | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,306 | Preliminary suggested scope - Horizontal and vertical realignment. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $$ | 쁨 | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \text { ì } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR-952A | Rural - N/O I-90 | Evans Creek Bridge \#952A On NE Union Hill Rd Crossing Evans Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,821 | Replace Bridge |
| 101101 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 238th Ave NE \& Union Hill Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| RC-116 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 238 Ave NE To 258 Ave NE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,327 | Reconstruct roadway 1.5 miles |
| RC-51 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 229 Ave NE to 238 Ave NE | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,976 | 20ft wall |
| SW-51 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 238th Ave NE \& NE <br> 63rd PL | Operations |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,293 | Improve intersection |
| CORRIDOR: Novelty Hill Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-50 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Novelty Hill Rd \& Redmond Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| HARS-37 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Novelty Hill Rd From 243 Ave NE To 243rd Ave NE | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,817 | Preliminary suggested scope - Horizontal and vertical realignment. |
| ITS-7 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Novelty Hill Road ITS, Ph I From 208th Ave NE to West Snoqualmie Road | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,913 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include fiber optic communication; signal synchronization; vehicle detection; cameras; pavement sensors; dynamic message signs |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\oplus} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{\mathbf{3}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| SW-63 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Saybrook Drive NE \& Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |
| 100106 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& Mink Rd NE | Safety |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$207 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| ITS-6 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd ITS, Phase I From 168th Ave NE to 212th Ave NE | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,735 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include synchronized signals; cameras; vehicle detection; fiber optic communications; dynamic message signs. |
| OP-INT-63 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Saybrook Drive NE \& Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| RC-43 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd From Old WoodinvilleDuvall Rd to W. <br> Snoqualmie Valley Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$450 | Walls both sides 10ft tall |
| HAL-35 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 176th Ave NE \& Woodinville Duvall Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$585 | Preliminary suggested scope - Add left-turn lane in $\mathrm{EB} / \mathrm{WB}$ directions. |
| HARS-6 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd From NE 183 St To 185th Ave NE | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,660 | Preliminary suggested scope - Widen road for TWLTL. |
| 100109 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 194th Ave NE | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,393 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | न | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \text { ᄋ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| B-36.12 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd From Avondale Rd To SR-203 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$13,902 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| ITS-13 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd ITS, Phase II From 212th Ave NE to SR-203 | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,735 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; road weather information; data stations; dynamic message signs |
| 101404 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 212th Ave NE | Safety |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |



## East Sammamish

CORRIDOR: Issaquah-Fall City

| OP-RD-11 | Urban - <br> Sammamish PAA | Issaquah-Fall City Rd/Duthie Hill Rd From Klahanie Blvd To 272 Pl SE | Capacity Minor |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$6,330 | Provide Left Turn Lane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200108 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Patterson Creek Bridge \#180L On SE 28 St Crossing Patterson Creek | Bridge |  | High |  |  |  |  | \$2,472 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CP-17 | Urban - <br> Sammamish PAA | Issaquah-Fall City Rd Ph III | Capacity Major |  |  |  | High |  |  | \$16,858 | Widen roadway to 5 lanes with curb, gutter and sidewalks |
| ITS-31 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Issaquah Fall City Rd ITS From Issaquah-Pine Lake Rd to SR-202 | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,980 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include interconnected signals; fiber optic cable; vehicle detection; pavement sensors, cameras |
| SPP-4076 | Urban - <br> Sammamish PAA | Issaquah-Fall City Rd From 247th Ave SE to Klahanie Dr SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$500 | Construct walkway (South Side) |
| OP-INT-75 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Issaquah-Beaver Lake Rd \& Duthie Hill Rd | Operations |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$336 | Traffic Signal |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR-927B | Rural - N/O I-90 | Patterson Creek Bridge \#927B | Bridge |  | High |  |  |  |  | \$3,443 | Replace Bridge |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 亏 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\sim} \tilde{W}_{*}^{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200406 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Patterson Creek Bridge <br> \#5024A - Short Span - <br>  <br> Patterson Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| 100509 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Evans Creek Bridge \#578A Redmond-Fall City Rd Crossing Evans Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: NE 50 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-36 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Sahalee Way NE \& NE 50th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| 3P-9917 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 50th St From 192 Pl NE to Sahalee Way NE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$1,245 | Construct AC shoulder (South Side) |
| RC-35 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 50th St From 214 Ave NE to SR-202 | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$64 | Armor Shoulders @ $\$ 100 /$ cyd |
| SW-36 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Sahalee Way NE \& NE 50th St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |



## County SUBAREA: Enumclaw

CORRIDOR: 212 Ave SE

| OP-INT-74 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 218th Ave SE \& Green Valley Rd | Operations |  | Medium |  | \$175 | Reconstruct Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GR-42 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 212th Ave SE From Green Valley Rd To SR 410 | Safety |  | High |  | \$117 | Construct Guardrail |
| EN-59 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 212th Ave SE From SE <br> 384 St To SE 358 St | Nonmotorized |  |  | TBD | \$2,944 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 244 Ave SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAL-12 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 244th Ave SE \& SE 400th St | Safety | High |  |  | \$271 | Preliminary suggested scope - Install signal. |
| 3P-0015 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 448th St From 244 Ave SE to Enumclaw City Limits | Nonmotorized |  |  | Medium | \$264 | Construct AC shoulder (North Side) |
| EN-10.10 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 244th Ave SE From SR- <br> 164 To SE 456 St | Nonmotorized |  |  | TBD | \$281 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| BR-3068 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3068 | Bridge | Medium |  |  | \$3,443 | Replace Bridge |
| EN-62 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 244th Ave SE From SR164 To SE 400 St | Nonmotorized |  |  | TBD | \$9,146 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-INT-73 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 448th St \& 244 Ave SE | Operations |  | Medium |  | \$122 | Turn Channels - East \& West Legs |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need | न | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 융 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3P-9983 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 200th Ave SE From SE 400 St to 0.17 miles north | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$458 | Construct gravel shouler (West Side) |
| GR-84 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 384th St From 160th Pl SE To 212th Ave SE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$434 | Construct Guardrail |
| BR-3188 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3188 On SE 400th St Crossing Newaukum Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,476 | Replace Bridge |
| GR-104 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 196th Ave SE From SE 400th St To SE 456th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$14 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-47 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Mud Mountain Rd <br> From SR-410 To SR-410 | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$1,097 | Construct Guardrail |
| BR-3051 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Boise Creek Bridge \#3051 On 276th Ave SE Crossing Boise Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |
| RC-53 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Mud Mountain Rd at 29000 block | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$193 | 30' High Wall Needed |
| BR-3060 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 208th Ave SE Bridge \#3060 208th Ave SE Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |
| EN-6 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 400th Way From SE 400 St To SE 392 St | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$1,560 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| BR-3056A | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 408th St Bridge \#3056A On SE 408th St Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |
| BR-3052 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Boise Creek Bridge \#3052 268th Ave SE Crossing Boise Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 今 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\mathbb{D}} \\ & \stackrel{\text { P/ }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { ⿳亠丷厂犬土口 } \\ & \text { O. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| BR－3030 | Rural－S／O I－90 | SE 380 St Bridge \＃3030 SE 308th St Crossing slough | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄714 | Construct short－span bridge |
| 400410 | Rural－S／O I－90 | SE 424th St Bridge \＃3201 On SE 424th St Crossing Watercress Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |

## CORRIDOR：SE 416 St

| 400208 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Newaukum Creek <br> Bridge \＃3043 On SE <br> 416th St Crossing <br> Newaukum Creek | Bridge | Medium | \＄554 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400310 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Newaukum Creek <br> Bridge \＃3042 On SE <br> 416th St Crossing <br> Newaukum Creek | Bridge | Medium | \＄714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |

## CORRIDOR：SE 432 St

| GR－103 | Rural－S／O I－90 | SE 432nd St From 268th Ave SE To 284th Ave SE | Safety | Low |  |  | \＄150 | Construct Guardrail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EN－53 | Rural－S／O I－90 | SE 432nd St From 284 Ave SE To 268 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  | TBD | X | \＄751 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 쿠 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { !i } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O2 } \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| SW-57 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd \& S 360th St | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |
| 300408 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd \& S 342nd St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,393 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-INT-57 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd \& S 360th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| CP-5 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S From I-5 to S 272 St | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | \$5,449 | Widen to Four/Five lanes-Construct Curb, Gutter, Sidewalk--Construct Bike Lane |
| F-66.30 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S From Peasley Canyon Way S To SR-161 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$7,485 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-INT-11 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd \& S 320th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$437 | Add eastbound right turn lane |
| OP-RD-3 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S From S 340 St to S 342 St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Provide Two Way Left Turn Lane: Left Turn Lane at S 342 St |
| SW-66 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S \& S Star Lake Rd | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$500 | Traffic Signal |
| OP-INT-66 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S \& S Star Lake Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate to extend turn lanes |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毋 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \\ & \gtrless \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 몸 } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्N } \\ & \stackrel{\text { N }}{2} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-62 | Urban-E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | Military Rd \& S 342nd St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR-71 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | 28th Ave S From S <br> 348th St To SR 161 | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$17 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC-49 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 58th Place S./56th Place S. From West Valley Rd to West Valley Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$21,424 | Major Roadwork Needed, Possible Re-alignement |
| SPP-4066 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 28th Ave S From S 349 <br> St to S360 ST | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$250 | Construct walkway |
| 300110 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | Star Lake Rd From Military Rd S to 42 Ave S | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$841 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-INT-10 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 321st St \& Peasley Canyon Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$686 | Reconstruct approaches to meet Road Standards; Lengthen Turn Lanes |
| OP-INT-11 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Orillia Road S \& S 204th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for Turn lanes |
| SPP-4067 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 32nd Ave S From S 360 St to S 368 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$250 | Construct walkway |
| 3P-9976 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | 38th Ave S From S 344 <br> St to Fishing Access Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$190 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | テ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{0}} \underset{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 罱 } \\ & \text { R } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \stackrel{\text { O}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| RC-24 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 304th St From 32nd Ave S To 37th Ave S | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$187 | Armor Shoulders |
| SPP-4042 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 38th Ave S From S 304 St to S 307 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$92 | Pave shoulders (East Side) |
| CP-2 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 32nd Ave S Study From S 312th St to Military Road (Federal Way Lead) | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  | \$0 | The City Center Access Project is a safety and mobility project addressing 2004 conditions as well as the city's forecasted 2030 transportation concurrency issues surrounding the South 320th Street and I-5 interchange |
| SPP-4043 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 44th Ave S From S 308 St to S 313 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$58 | Pave shoulders (East Side) |
| 3P-9970 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 34th Ave S From S 288 St to S 298 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$470 | Construct sidewalk (West Side) |
| CP-1 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | S 312th St Study From 28th Ave S to 51st Ave S (Federal Way Lead) | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  | \$0 | The City Center Access Project is a safety and mobility project addressing 2004 conditions as well as the city's forecasted 2030 transportation concurrency issues surrounding the South 320th Street and I-5 interchange |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F |  | 믈 | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{O}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300508 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | SE 277th St Bridge \#3126 On SE 277th St Crossing Slough | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$880 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| 300108 | Urban-E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | S 277th St - ITS From 55 Ave S to SR-167 | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| ITS-5 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | SE 272nd St /S 277th <br> St ITS From Pacific Highway South to 55th Ave SE | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,668 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include fiber optic communication; synchronized signals; cameras; vehicle detection; transit signal priority |
| CORRIDOR: S 294 ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARS-47 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 288th St From 42 Ave S To 43 Pl S | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,096 | Preliminary suggested scope - Widen road for TWLTL and bike lanes. |
| 3P-9971 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 36th Pl S/ S 294 St/ 45 <br> Pl S From S 298 St to S 288 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$718 | Construct sidewalk (West Side) |
| CORRIDOR: S 360 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-48 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 360th St From SR161 to 28th Ave S | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$3,681 | Operational road improvements |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | ज | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{2 0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 쁨 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 뀨 } \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| SW-61 | Urban-E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 28th Ave SE \& S 360th St | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |
| 300109 | Urban-E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | S 360th St From <br> Enchanted Pkwy S to 21 <br> Pl S | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$1,018 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-INT-61 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 28th Ave SE \& S 360th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| HAL-36 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | 20th Ave S (Milton Rd <br> S) \& S 360th St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,219 | Preliminary suggested scope - Bring curve up to standards and improve sight distance. |

## County SUBAREA: Newcastle

## CORRIDOR: 156 Ave SE

| OP-INT-52 | Urban - East Renton PAA | 156th Ave SE \& SE 142nd PL | Operations |  | TBD |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400407 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 156th Ave SE \& SE 142nd PL | Safety | High |  |  | \$2,272 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-RD-25 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 154th Pl SE / SE 142 Pl From SE Jones Rd To 156 Ave SE | Capacity Minor |  | Low |  | \$2,608 | Realign Roadway--Widen Roadway |
| NC-5.40 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 156th Ave SE From SE 142 Pl To SE 128 St | Nonmotorized |  |  | TBD | \$468 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| ITS-19 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 156th Ave SE ITS <br> From SE 128th St to SR 169 | ITS | Medium |  |  | \$184 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; pavement sensors; speed warning system |

## CORRIDOR: Allen Rd

| NC-103 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Allen Rd (148 SE) <br> North Side From 146 <br> Ave SE To SE 36 St | Nonmotorized | TBD | \$112 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3P-9918 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Allen Rd From 13800 block (city limit) to 146 Ave SE | Nonmotorized | Low | \$465 | Construct sidewalk (North Side) |

## CORRIDOR: Coal Creek Pkwy

| OP-INT-83 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Coal Creek Parkway \& May Valley Rd | Operations |  |  | Medium |  | \$659 | Provide Left Turn Lane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200891 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Coal Creek Parkway From Renton City Limits to SE 72 St | Operations |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: May Valley Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-24 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Rd From Coal Creek Parkway To SR-900 | Capacity Minor |  |  | Low | X | \$15,419 | Widen Travel Lanes |
| OP-RD-26 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Road From SR-900 To SE 128 WY | Capacity Minor |  |  | Medium | X | \$6,040 | Reconstruct/Spot Pave <br> Shoulders--Improve Sight <br> Distance |
| OP-INT-54 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& May Valley Rd | Operations |  |  | TBD |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| OP-INT-29 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Rd \& SE <br> 128th Way | Operations |  |  | TBD |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| BR-593C | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | May Creek Bridge \#593C | Bridge |  | Medium |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |
| BR-72A | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | May Creek Bridge \#72A On 148th Ave SE Crossing May Creek | Bridge |  | Medium |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |
| SW-29 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Rd \& SE <br> 128th Way | Safety | Low |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |
| SW-54 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& May Valley Rd | Safety | Low |  |  |  | \$344 | Traffic Signal |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\oplus}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200308 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Creek Bridge \#5005 \& May Valley Rd over May Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,828 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| ITS-29 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Road ITS From SR 900 to Issaquah Hobart Rd | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$268 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include vehicle detection; cameras; road weather info system |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3P-0109 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Eastgate } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 154th Ave SE From SE 39 St to SE 42 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$327 | Construct sidewalke (West Side) |
| ITS-34 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 164th Ave SE ITS <br> From SE 128th St. to SE May Valley Rd. | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,423 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; vehicle detection |
| 3P-0115 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 159th St From 204 Ave SE to 205 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$75 | Construct AC shoulder (North Side) |
| CORRIDOR: Newport Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-20 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way From 138 Ave SE To Eastgate Park Entrance | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$2,345 | Provide Left Turn Lane |
| SPP-4010 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way From 152 Ave SE to 161 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$115 | Improve pathway (South Side) |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPP-4009 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way From 13800 block(Bell. C/L) to 153 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$115 | Improve pathway -- North Side and South Side |
| OP-INT-84 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way \& 164 Ave SE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$1,043 | Traffic Signal--Turn Channels All Legs |
| 201407 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way at 16630 | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | Emergency Sinkhole repair |

## CORRIDOR: SE 128 St

| OP-INT-11 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 168th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | Operations |  |  | \$421 | Add turn lanes on SE 128th St |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAL-16 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 160th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | Safety | High |  | \$4,134 | Preliminary suggested scope - Add left-turn lane in the WB/EB directions. |
| OP-RD-21 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | SE 128th St From 168 <br> Ave SE To E OF 169 <br> Ave SE | Capacity Minor |  | High | \$1,147 | Improve Sight Distance-Turn Channels |
| ITS-28 | Urban - East Renton PAA | SE 128th St. ITS From 148th Ave SE to May Valley Road | ITS | Low |  | \$4,091 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; vehicle detection; synchronize signals; communications |

## County SUBAREA: North Highline / West Hill

## CORRIDOR: 1 Ave S

| 3P-0110 | Urban - North <br> Highline PAA | 1st Ave S From S 102 <br> St to S 108 St | Nonmotorized |  | Low | Construct AC shoulder <br> (West Side) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ITS-26 | Urban - North <br> Highline PAA | 1st Ave S./Myers Way <br> ITS From SW 100th St. <br> to SW 112th St. | ITS | Low |  | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System <br> improvements which could <br> include synchronized <br> signals; transit signal <br> priority; cameras; fiber <br> optic communications |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 훙 | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ \stackrel{\circ}{\gtrless} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 밀 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \stackrel{\text { N }}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H-247 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 102 St From <br> 11AVE SW To 17 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$131 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| CORRIDOR: 76 Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3P-0004 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 76th Ave S From S 115 St to S 116 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$69 | Construct AC walkway |
| 3P-9939 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 76th Ave S From S 120 <br> St to S 124 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$195 | Construct sidewalk (East Side) |
| H-254 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 76th Ave S From S 124 <br> St To S 128 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$101 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| CORRIDOR: 78 Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-13 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 78th Ave S From S 112 St To Renton Ave S | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$1,299 | Construct Curb, Gutter, Sidewalk |
| 3P-9938 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 78th Ave S From S 120 <br> St to S 124 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$190 | Construct sidewalk (East Side) |
| SPP-4069 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 78th Ave S From S 116 <br> St to S 118 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$100 | Improve pathway (West Side) |
| CORRIDOR: 8 Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAL-43 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 8th Ave SW \& SW 108 th St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$291 | Preliminary suggested scope - Install signal. |
| H-251 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 8th Ave SW From SW 108 St To SW Roxbury St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$2,146 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | ज | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्र } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-12 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 8th Ave S From S Seatlle City Limit To Glendale Way S/S 112 St | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$2,952 | Widen Roadway |
| CORRIDOR: Meyers Wy-1 Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-14 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 6th Ave S From Glendale Way/S112 St To Myers Way (1 Ave S) | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$2,166 | Widen Roadway |
| OP-RD-50 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 1st Ave S. \& Seattle C/L to Burien C/L | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$6,493 | Provide curb, gutter, sidewalk, drainage and landscaping |
| 3P-0302 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 1st Ave S From SW 108 St to SW 112 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$75 | Construct sidewalk (West Side) |
| CORRIDOR: Military Rd S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR-50 | Urban - North Highline PAA | Military Rd S From S 120th St To DES MOINES Way S | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$77 | Construct Guardrail |
| 300506 | Urban - North Highline PAA | Military Rd S From Des Moines Way To S 116 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3P-9936 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 75th Ave S / S 122 St From Renton Ave S to 80 Ave S | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$310 | Construct sidewalk (South Side) |
| OP-INT-79 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 87th Ave S \& S 124 St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$279 | Realign Intersection |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPP-4077 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 112th St From <br> Ambaum Blvd SW to 10 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$200 | Improve walkway |
| RC-41 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 68th Ave S From <br> Martin Luther King <br> Way to Renton City Limits | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,037 | Walls both sides 20 ft tall @ $\$ 30 / \mathrm{psf}$ |
| 3P-9920 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 28th Ave SW From SW Roxbury St to SW 102 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$166 | Construct AC shoulder (East Side) |
| 3P-9937 | Urban - West Hill PAA | S 120th St From 76 Ave S to 80 Ave S | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$190 | Construct sidewalk (South Side) |
| 300197 | Urban - North Highline PAA | South Park Bridge \#3179 RTID \& 14th/16th Ave S. | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,868 | Bridge cost represents remainder of local share. See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| HAL-17 | Urban - West Hill PAA | S 132nd St \& S <br> Langston Rd | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$498 | Improve intersection possible roundabout location |
| 300406 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 28th Ave SW From SW 110 St to SW 112 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SPP-4012 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 80th Ave S From S 114 St to S 118 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$29 | Improve and widen shoulder (West Side) |
| 3P-9922 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 112th St From 16 Ave SW to 26 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$436 | Construct AC shoulder (South Side) |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 訔 } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\text { O}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\Phi}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \stackrel{2}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3P-9928 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 11th Ave SW From SW 102 St to SW 106 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$236 | Construct AC shoulder (East Side) |
| 3P-9934 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 10th Ave S From S 115 St to S 124 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$580 | Construct AC shoulder (East Side) |
| GR-23 | Urban - North Highline PAA | S 116th Way From Des Moines Way S To SR 99 | Safety |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | \$35 | Construct Guardrail |
| OP-RD-2 | Urban - North Highline PAA | Roxbury St From 4th Ave SW to 30th Ave SW | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$2,000 | Widen from 4 to 5 Lanes; Improve Sight Distance |
| 300306 | Urban - West Hill PAA | S 128th St From 69 Ave S to 71 Ave S | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SPP-4064 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 26th Ave S From S 111 <br> St north to end | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$50 | Construct walkway |
| SPP-4070 | Urban - North Highline PAA | Glendale Way S From Myers Wy S to S 112 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$150 | Improve walkway |
| 3P-9929 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 122th St From 4 Ave SW to Ambaum Blvd SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$436 | Construct AC shoulder (North Side) |
| GR-48 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Beacon Coal Mine Rd From S 129th St To S 138th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$16 | Construct Guardrail |
| HARS-29 | Urban - North Highline PAA | Des Moines Way S From S 116 St To S 116th St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,427 | Preliminary suggested scope - Widen road for TWLTL. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 즁 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPP-4063 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 14th Ave SW From SW 110 St to SW 116 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$200 | Improve walkway |
| SPP-4062 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 14th Ave S From S 124 St to S 128th St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$150 | Improve walkway |
| 3P-9930 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 112th St From 1 <br> Ave S to 4 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$126 | Construct sidewalk (North Side) |
| CORRIDOR: Rainier Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-33 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Rainier Ave S ITS From Seattle City Limits to Renton City Limits | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,134 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include synchronize signals; vehicle detection; cameras; transit signal priority |
| OP-INT-55 | Urban - West Hill PAA |  <br> Lakeridge Dr S | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| SW-55 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Rainier Ave S \& Lakeridge Dr S | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |
| CORRIDOR: Renton Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-12 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Renton Ave S ITS From Rainier Ave S to Rainier Ave N | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,447 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include synchronized signals; vehicle detection; cameras; transit signal priority |
| OP-RD-47 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Renton Ave S From 68th Ave S to S 132nd St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$100 | Construct Bus Pull-outs |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | シ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~N } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{⿳ 亠 丷 冖 巾} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 밈. } \\ & \text { 융 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쥬 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP－INT－76 | Urban－West Hill PAA | Renton Ave S \＆ 76 Ave S | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \＄713 | Turn Channels－North \＆ South Legs |
| CORRIDOR：S 112 St－Glendale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW－25 | Urban－North Highline PAA | 8th Ave S \＆S 112th St | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄321 | Traffic Signal |
| 3P－0205 | Urban－North Highline PAA | 4th Pl S From S 112 St to 5 Ave S | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \＄46 | Construct AC shoulder （West Side） |
| OP－INT－25 | Urban－North Highline PAA | 8th Ave S \＆S 112th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \＄686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| CORRIDOR：SW 98 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300607 | Urban－West Hill PAA | SW 98th Street From 11 Ave SW to 16 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄670 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| H－289 | Urban－North Highline PAA | SW 98th St From 17 <br> Ave SW To 21 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \＄168 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |

## County SUBAREA: Northshore

## CORRIDOR: 100 Ave NE

| CP-10 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE From NE139 St to NE 145th St | Capacity Major |  |  |  | Medium | \$4,447 | Widen roadway to 5 lanes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SW-38 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE \& NE 140th PL | Safety |  | Medium |  |  | \$4,151 | Traffic Signal |
| OP-INT-38 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE \& NE 140th PL | Operations |  |  | TBD |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| HAL-34 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE \& Simmons Rd | Safety |  | TBD |  |  | \$2,000 | Regrade hill north of intersection to improve sight distance |
| 100210 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE ITS From NE 132nd St. to NE 145th St. | ITS | High |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| HAL-26 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE \& NE 137th St | Safety |  | High |  |  | \$31 | Preliminary suggested scope - Change from protected/permissive to exclusive protected left-turn phase in NB and SB directions. |
| HAL-30 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE \& Juanita-Woodinville Rd | Safety |  | High |  |  | \$58 | Preliminary suggested scope - Add protected/permissive leftturn phase in SB direction (already exists NB). |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need | $\text { F } \quad \stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{W}{\oplus}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 잉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N-53.20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | NE 122nd Pl / NE 123 St / 84 Ave N From Juanita Drive To NE 125 Pl | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$235 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 3P-0301 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | NE 141st St From east of 84 Ave NE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$115 | Construct sidewalk (South Side) |
| CORRIDOR: Holmes Pt Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3P-9906 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | Holmes Point Dr From Denny Pk (N entrance) to NE 135 PL | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$780 | Construct AC shoulder (East Side) |
| RC-52 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Holmes Point Drive NE From NE 118 St to NE 116 St | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$964 | Walls both sides 10 ft tall |
| RC-46 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Holmes Point Drive NE at 144 Ave NE | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$161 | Wall on downhill side 10 ft tall |
| CORRIDOR: Juanita Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-10 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | Juanita Drive \& NE 80th St/112th Ave NE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$686 | Provide North and Southbound Left Turn Lanes |
| HAL-48 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | Juanita Drive NE \& NE 132nd St | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$707 | Preliminary suggested scope - Add left-turn lane in the SB direction. Install intersection advance warning flasher on existing intersection-related warning sign on SB approach. |
| CORRIDOR: Juanita-Woodinville Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARS-43 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Juanita-Woodinville Way From NE 149th St To 112th Ave NE | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,000 | Preliminary suggested scope - Coordinate signals. Add center turn lane. |
| Needs List for the Transportation Needs Report 2008 - Executive Recommended Draft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Needs List - Page 38 of 75 |  |  |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{*} \\ & \underset{\sim}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 㑒 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CP-4 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Juanita-Woodinville <br> Way NE From 112 Ave NE to I-405 | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | \$3,649 | HOV highway access |
| CP-11 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Juanita-Woodinville Way NE From 112th Ave NE to NE 145th St | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | \$4,515 | Widen the existing road from NE 145th St to 112th Ave NE. Provide curb, gutter, and sidewalk, street lighting, and a traffic signal at NE 145th St. |
| HARS-28 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Juanita-Woodinville Way From NE 145 St To NE 147th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$560 | Preliminary suggested scope - Widen road for TWLTL. |
| 100110 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Juanita-Woodinville/NE <br> 160th St. ITS From 100th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N-82 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | NE 140th St AND / OR NE 145 St Crossing I405 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$500 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-RD-18 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 175 / NE 172 Pl <br> From 155 Pl NE To Du <br> Rocher Rd (174 NE) | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$4,823 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| CP-3 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Lakepointe Dr - 175th <br> St \& 64th-68th/SR-522 | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | \$1,147 | King County participation in Road Improvement District (RID) |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { (1) } \\ & \text { ㄹ. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | NE 132nd St From 100th Ave NE to 132nd Ave NE | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,491 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include fiber optic communications; synchronize signals; Transit signal priority; cameras; vehicle detection; fiber optic communications |
| CP-18 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | Willows Road Extension From NE 124 St to NE 145 St | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  | \$19,000 | Construct missing arterial link |
| N-89.40 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 176th Ave NE From Woodinville-Duvall Rd To NE 195 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | x | \$192 | Construct Neighborhood Pathway |
| ITS-17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | NE 144th St. ITS From 124th Ave NE to 148th Ave NE | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,313 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include vehicle detection; cameras; traveler information |
| 3P-9901 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | 88th Ave NE From NE 198 St to NE 205 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$626 | Construct AC shoulder (East Side) |
| GR-91 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 72nd Ave NE From Juanita Drive NE To end of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$147 | Construct Guardrail |
| 3P-0107 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | 178th Ave NE From NE 131 St to NE 136 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$63 | Construct sidewalk (West Side) |
| N-89.30 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Du Rocher Rd From 172 Pl NE To Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$450 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 戸 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 毋 } \\ \stackrel{\sim}{*} \\ \gtrless \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 㑒 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{3}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| OP－RD－16 | Urban－Kirkland PAA | NE 145th St From 100 Ave NE TO Juanita－ Woodinville Rd | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \＄3，990 | Turn channels at major intersections |
| OP－RD－17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 90th Ave NE From NE 134 St To NE 138 PL | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \＄2，312 | Widen Travel Lanes |
| 3P－9904 | Rural－N／O I－90 | 148th Ave NE From NE 154 St to NE 167 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \＄350 | Construct gravel shoulder （East Side） |
| 3P－9903 | Rural－N／O I－90 | 152nd Pl NE／ 158 Ave NE From NE 160 St to NE 165 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \＄166 | Construct gravel shoulder （West Side） |
| N－56 | Urban－Not in primary PAAs | 108／112 Pl NE From East Riverside Dr To NE 164 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \＄337 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |



## County SUBAREA: Snoqualmie Valley

CORRIDOR: 308 Ave SE

| 3P-9941 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 308th Ave SE From SE 64 St to SE 87 Pl | Nonmotorized |  | Low | \$1,147 | Construct gravel shoulder (East Side) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GR-66 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 308th Ave SE From SE 87th Pl To SE 64th St | Safety | Medium |  | \$29 | Construct Guardrail |

## CORRIDOR: 428 Ave SE-Reinig Rd

| SQ-29 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 428th Ave SE/NE 12 St From Reinig Rd To North Bend Way | Nonmotorized |  | TBD | X | \$844 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GR-67 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Reinig Rd From Mill Pond Rd To 428th Ave SE | Safety | Medium |  | X | \$39 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC-37 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mill Pond Rd From SE Stearns Rd to SE Reinig Rd | Preservation | Medium |  |  | \$469 | Armor Shoulders @ $\$ 100 / \mathrm{cyd}$ |
| RC-16 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Reinig Rd From Mill <br> Pond Rd To 396th Dr SE | Preservation | Medium |  |  | \$294 | Armor Shoulders |
| SQ-2 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mill Pond Rd From SR202 To Reinig Rd | Nonmotorized |  | TBD |  | \$1,502 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 3P-9942 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 428th Ave SE From SE Reinig Rd to SE 108 St | Nonmotorized |  | Low | X | \$1,245 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |
| CO | DOR: | ar Falls Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3P-9968 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Cedar Falls Rd SE <br> From near Rattlesnake Lake | Nonmotorized |  | Low |  | \$689 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |
| Needs List for the Transportation Needs Report 2008 - Executive Recommended Draft |  |  |  |  | Needs List - Page 42 of 75 |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | $\overline{\text { F }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { か } \\ \stackrel{\sim}{\oplus} \\ \gtrless \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { İㅇ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{\mathbf{3}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| OP-INT-43 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Meadowbrook Way \& North Bend Way | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$321 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| HAL-38 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 436th Ave SE \& SE North Bend W | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$272 | Preliminary suggested scope - Install signal (currently No. 56 on signal priority array). |
| GR-98 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Fish Hatchery Rd From SR-202 To SR-202 | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$281 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC-122 | Rural - N/O I-90 | North Bend Wy From SE Mount Si Rd To 436 Ave SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$502 | Reconstruct roadway . 27 mile |
| GR-94 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 124th St From SR 203 To ENDRTE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$254 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC-55 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Money Creek Rd at Money Creek | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$643 | 20 ft tall wall |
| RC-57 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Old Cascade Highway at Miller River | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,285 | Overflow is working as designed |
| OP-RD-37 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Tolt Hill Rd From Tolt Hill Bridge To 500' WEST OF SR-203 | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$1,380 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| GR-82 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 384th Ave SE From SE 92ND St To North Bend Way | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$12 | Construct Guardrail |
| SW-43 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Meadowbrook Way \& North Bend Way | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$686 | Traffic Signal |
| RC-34 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 284th Ave NE From NE 100 St to NE Carnation Farm Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$167 | Armor Shoulders @ $100 / \mathrm{cyd}$ |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need | ज | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\oplus} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { !i } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅇ } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 웂 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { ⿳亠口冋口木 } \\ & \text { 으․ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR－5034A | Rural－N／O I－90 | Lake Joy Bridge \＃5034A | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄714 | Construct short－span bridge |
| 200312 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Fish Hatchery Bridge \＃61B SE Fish Hatchery Rd Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| BR－359C | Rural－N／O I－90 | Lake Dorothy Overflow Bridge \＃359C SE Lake Dorothy Rd Crossing Overflow | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄714 | Construct short－span bridge |
| CORRIDOR：Mt．Si Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP－RD－39 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Mt Si Rd From 452 <br> Ave SE To 800＇E | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \＄388 | Realign Roadway |
| SQ－93 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Mt Si Rd From North Bend Way To NW Corner of Section 8 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \＄3，381 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP－INT－44 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Mt Si Rd \＆432nd Ave SE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \＄686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| GR－75 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Mt Si Rd From SE <br> North Bend Way To End of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \＄12 | Construct Guardrail |
| SW－44 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Mt Si Rd \＆432nd Ave SE | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄321 | Traffic Signal |
| CORRIDOR：NE 80 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC－36 | Rural－N／O I－90 | NE 80th St From West Snoqualmie Valley Rd to Ames Lake－Carnation Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \＄1，220 | Armor Shoulders ＠$\$ 100 / \mathrm{cyd}$ |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | ज | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{巾} \\ & \stackrel{\text { P/ }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 믕 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 꾸 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { O. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-40 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 80th St From West Snoqualmie Valley Rd To Ames Lake Rd | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$3,619 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| CORRIDOR: NE Cherry Valley Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SQ-70 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Kelly Rd From Cherry Valley Rd To Big Rock Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$1,971 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| BR-5007 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Kelly Rd Bridge \#5007 <br> On Kelly Rd NE Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |
| 3P-9916 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 322nd Ave NE From NE Big Rock Rd to NE 130 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$458 | Construct gravel shoulder (West Side) |
| CORRIDOR: Neal Rd SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-40 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Neal Rd SE From SR203 to SR-203 | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,028 | Armor Shoulders @ $\$ 100 / \mathrm{cyd}$ |
| RC-7 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Neal Rd SE Sinkhole Repair | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$287 | Work with WSDOT to realign road. Other possiblity includes vacating road. |
| 200112 | Rural - N/O I-90 | C.W. Neal Road Bridge \#249B On C.W. Neal Rd Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| 200207 | Rural - N/O I-90 | C.W. Neal Bridge \#249A | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |




| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 戸 |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{*} \end{aligned}$ | 믄 <br> $\bar{⿺}$ <br>  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { ⿳⺈⿴囗十一} \\ & \text { 오 } \\ & \text { ㅇ. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O2 } \\ & \text { 륽 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ITS－25 | Rural－N／O I－90 | West Snoqualmie River Road／Tolt Hill Road ITS From WSRR from SE 24th St to Tolt Hill and Tolt from SR－203 to SWRR | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄403 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include vehicle detection； cameras；pavement condition sensors |
| BR－916A | Rural－N／O I－90 | West Snoqualmie River Rd Bridge \＃916A West Snoqualmie River Rd Crossing slough | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄714 | Construct short－span bridge |
| RC－18 | Rural－N／O I－90 | West Snoqualmie River Rd From NE Tolt Hill Rd To SE 24th St | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \＄5，715 | Armor Shoulders |
| 201107 | Rural－N／O I－90 | West Snoqualmie Road Bridge \＃228D On Snoqualmie River Road Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| GR－44 | Rural－N／O I－90 | 308th Ave SE From SR 202 To SE 40th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | X | \＄34 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC－17 | Rural－N／O I－90 | SE 24th St From 309th <br> Ave SE To W． <br> Snoqualmie River Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \＄298 | Armor Shoulders |

## CORRIDOR：W Snoqualmie Valley Rd

| RC－115 | Rural－N／O I－90 | West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From NE 80 St To Ames Lake Carnation Rd | Reconstruction |  | High | \＄823 | Reconstruct roadway 1.18 miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITS－18 | Rural－N／O I－90 | West Snoqualmie Valley Rd NE ITS From NE Woodinville Duvall Road to Ames Lake Rd | ITS | Medium |  | \＄7，524 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include vehicle detection； cameras；flood detection； weather monitoring station |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | テ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毋 } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{⿳ 亠 丷 冖 巾} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{3}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\gtrless} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्र } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC－39 | Rural－N／O I－90 | West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From Snohomish County Line to Ames Lake－Carnation Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \＄3，021 | 10ft wall＠\＄30／psf （Length＝4700ft） |
| RC－113 | Rural－N／O I－90 | West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From NE 124 St To NE Novelty Hill Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \＄292 | Reconstruct roadway ． 28 mile |
| 200599 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Woodinville－Duvall Rd \＆W．Snoqualmie Valley Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \＄902 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| OP－INT－12 | Rural－N／O I－90 | NE 124th St \＆West Snoqualmie Valley Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \＄4，487 | Construct right turn pocket and modify existing signalization． |
| BR－5009B | Rural－N／O I－90 | Snoqualmie Valley Rd Bridge \＃5009B | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄714 | Construct short－span bridge |
| B－41 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Ames Lake－Carnation <br> Rd From Union Hill Rd <br> To NE 80 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \＄8，038 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| SQ－27 | Rural－N／O I－90 | West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From Woodinville－ Duvall Rd To Carnation Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \＄27，667 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| CORRIDOR：Woodinville－Duvall Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR－1136D | Rural－N／O I－90 | Woodinville－Duvall Bridges（3 Redecks） \＃1136D／\＃1136C／\＃1136E On Woodinville－Duvall Crossing Duvall Slough | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄1，372 | Redeck Bridge（s） |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 嵩 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200408 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Duvall Slough \#1136B On Woodinville-Duvall Rd Crossing Duvall Slough | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,098 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |

## County SUBAREA: Soos Creek

## CORRIDOR: 124 Ave SE

| SPP-4031 | Urban - Kent NE PAA | 124th Ave SE From SE 192 St to SE 202 PL | Nonmotorized |  |  |  | Low | \$310 | enlose ditches and construct raised walkway (East Side) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400206 | Urban - Kent NE PAA | 124th Ave SE From SE 202 Pl to SE 208 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  | High | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| RC-4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 124th Ave SE From SE 192 St to SE 208 St | Reconstruction |  | High |  |  | \$1,131 | Road Reconstruction |
| CORRIDOR: 132-140 Ave SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300511 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 132nd Ave SE \& SE 224th St | Safety | Medium |  |  |  | \$107 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| ITS-23 | Urban - Kent NE PAA | 140th Ave SE/132nd Ave SE ITS From SE 240th St. to SE 192nd St. | ITS |  |  |  |  | \$3,913 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include fiber optic communications; synchronized signals; cameras; vehicle detection |
| OP-RD-36 | Urban - Kent NE PAA | 132 Ave SE Phase IV From SE 224th St To SE 242nd St | Capacity Minor |  |  | Low |  | \$8,507 | Widen Roadway |
| OP-RD-43 | Urban - Kent NE <br> PAA | 132 Ave SE Phase III From SE 208 St To SE 224 St | Capacity Minor |  |  | Low |  | \$5,835 | Widen Roadway |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | シ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 뜰 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3P-9963 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | SE 218th Pl From 132 Ave SE to Soos Creek Elem. | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$115 | Construct AC shoulder (North Side) |
| OP-INT-18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 132nd Ave SE \& SE 224th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| 400113 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Lake Youngs Way Bridge \#3109B SE Lake Youngs Way Crossing Soos Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$72 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: 148 Ave SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-10 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& SE 308th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$686 | Improve Sight Distance |
| OP-INT-17 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& SE 208th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$686 | Provide Left Turn Lane Northbound |
| SC-151 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 224th St From 132 <br> Ave SE To 148 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$562 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 400109 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& SE 224th St | Operations |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$887 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SW-17 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& SE 208th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |




| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 亏 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { が } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\oplus} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\text { N}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP－INT－11 | Urban－ <br> Fairwood PAA | 116th Ave SE \＆SE <br> Petrovitsky Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄437 | Add southbound right turn lane |
| SW－64 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Petrovitsky \＆162nd Pl SE | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄800 | Traffic Signal |
| OP－INT－64 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Petrovitsky \＆162nd Pl SE | Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄800 | Evaluate for turn lanes or center turn lane |
| CP－15 | Urban－ <br> Fairwood PAA | 140th Ave SE \＆ <br> Petrovitsky Rd | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \＄13，482 | Widen all legs of intersection to increase capacity |
| SW－13 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Petrovitsky Rd \＆ Sweeney Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄321 | Traffic Signal |
| OP－INT－10 | Urban－ <br> Fairwood PAA | Petrovitsky Rd \＆SE 192nd St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \＄686 | Provide SE Bound Left Turn Lane |
| OP－INT－13 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Petrovitsky Rd \＆ Sweeney Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \＄686 | Evaluate for turn lanes－ Northbound Left Turn Lane |
| CORRIDOR：SE 192 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR－51 | Urban－Not in primary PAAs | SE 192nd St From SR 515 To 148th Ave SE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | \＄32 | Construct Guardrail |
| 401004 | Urban－ <br> Fairwood PAA | 124th Ave SE \＆SE 192nd St | Safety |  | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄4，987 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| HAL－42 | Urban－ <br> Fairwood PAA | 129th Pl SE \＆SE 192nd St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄543 | Preliminary suggested scope－Add left－turn lane in WB and EB directions． |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need | テ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 밈 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 즁 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \text { D⿳⺈⿴囗⿰丨丨⿹勹巳刂丶 } \\ & \text { ㄹ. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAL－31 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 110th Ave SE \＆SE 208th St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄2，390 | Preliminary suggested scope－Regrade roadway to improve sight distance． |
| SPP－4023 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | SE 208th St \＆ 111 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \＄115 | Construct bus pull－off |
| HAL－6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 96th Ave S \＆S 212th Way | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄467 | Preliminary suggested scope－Add right－turn lane． |
| CORRIDOR：SE 224 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC－23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | SE 224th St From Soos Creek east to End of Road（1300 ft） | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \＄43 | Re－grade to end of road and possibly vacate road |
| SPP－4036 | Rural－S／O I－90 | SE 224th St From 172 <br> Ave SE to 180 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \＄46 | Widen walkway |
| T－113 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Peter Grubb Rd／SE <br> 232 St From SE 224 St <br> To SR－18 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \＄1，464 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| CORRIDOR：SE 240 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW－56 | Rural－S／O I－90 | 164th Pl SE \＆SE 240th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄1，577 | Traffic Signal |
| 300608 | Urban－Not in primary PAAs | Soos Creek Bridge \＃3106 On SE 244 St Crossing Soos Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄554 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| SC－15 | Rural－S／O I－90 | SE 240th St From 196 Ave SE To SR－18 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \＄1，689 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{gathered} \infty \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ \stackrel{\sim}{*} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 뀨 } \\ & \text { ì } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{\mathbf{U}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| SPP-4033 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 164th Ave SE From SE 224 St to SE 240 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$80 | Widen pathway and improve lighting |
| 400108 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Soos Creek Bridge <br> \#3205 On 172nd Ave <br> SE Crossing Soos Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$554 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SPP-4041 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 240th St From 156 Ave SE to 172 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$22 | Widen walkway |
| SC-91 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 196th Ave SE From SE 240 St To SE 232 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$375 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-INT-56 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 164th Pl SE \& SE 240th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| SC-28 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 240th St From 148 Ave SE (south side) To 180 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$1,127 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |

## County SUBAREA: Tahoma/Raven Heights

CORRIDOR: 276 Ave SE

| SPP-4065 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276th Ave SE From SE 231 ST to 300' north | Nonmotorized |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$50 | Construct pathway (West Side) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OP-INT-45 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276th Ave SE \& SE <br> 216th St | Operations |  |  | Medium |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes, realign intersection |
| SW-45 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276th Ave SE \& SE 216th St | Safety | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |
| RC-126 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276 Ave SE From SE <br> 200 St To SE 216 St | Reconstruction |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$1,174 | Reconstruct roadway 1.0 mile |
| RC-127 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276 Ave SE From SE <br> 216 St To SE Summit <br> Landsburg Rd | Reconstruction |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$3,311 | Reconstruct roadway 2.59 miles |
| RC-125 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276 Ave SE From SR 18 To SE 200 St | Reconstruction |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$1,016 | Reconstruct roadway 1.18 mile |

## CORRIDOR: Auburn-Black Diamond Rd

| RC-138 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Auburn Black Diamond Rd From SE Green Valley Rd To SE Lake Holm Rd | Reconstruction | High | X | \$236 | Reconstruct roadway . 23 mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RC-137 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Auburn Black Diamond Rd From SR 18 To SE Green Valley Rd | Reconstruction | High |  | \$212 | Reconstruct roadway . 18 mile |
| RC-139 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Auburn Black Diamond Rd From SE Lake Holm Rd To 148 Way SE | Reconstruction | Medium | X | \$3,116 | Reconstruct roadway 2.18 miles |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{3}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{D} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\cong}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: Issaquah-Hobart Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARS-30 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Issaquah-Hobart Rd From SE 125 St To SE 127th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$493 | Preliminary suggested scope - Widen road for TWLTL. |
| CP-6 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Issaquah-Hobart Rd From Issaquah to SR-18 | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | \$79,408 | Widen roadway to increase capacity |
| RC-118 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From City Limit To SE May Valley Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$593 | Reconstruct roadway 1.86 miles |
| ITS-15 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Issaquah-Hobart/Front St. ITS From Issaquah City Limits to SR 18 | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$659 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; vehicle detection; data stations; message signs; weather station |
| OP-INT-21 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Issaquah-Hobart Rd \& Mirrormont | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$321 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| CP-7 | City | Issaquah Bypass Rd \& Issaquah-Hobart Rd to Sunset I/C | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  | \$0 | Provide County funding to support cost of constructing City of Issaquah new SE Issaquah Bypass Road. |
| OP-RD-22 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Rd From SE 128 WY To Issaquah-Hobart Rd | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$7,218 | Widen Travel Lanes |
| RC-121 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From SE 156 St To SR 18 | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \$2,594 | Reconstruct roadway 2.27 miles |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | न |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { ⿳亠丷厂犬土 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쥬 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { D⿳⺈⿴囗⿰丨丨⿹勹巳刂丶 } \\ & \text { ㄹ. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR－1384A | Rural－S／O I－90 | Fifteen Mile Creek <br> Bridge \＃1384A On <br> Issaquah－Hobart Rd Over Fifteen Mile Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄4，763 | Conduct Feasibility／Needs Study－－Replace Bridge |
| SW－21 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Issaquah－Hobart Rd \＆ Mirrormont | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄686 | Traffic Signal |
| RC－120 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Issaquah－Hobart Rd SE From Cedar Grove Rd To SE 156 St | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \＄1，516 | Reconstruct roadway 1.2 miles |
| 200208 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Bandaret Bridge \＃493B \＆May Valley over Issaquah Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| RC－119 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Issaquah－Hobart Rd SE <br> From SE May Valley Rd <br> To Cedar Grove Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \＄1，766 | Reconstruct roadway ． 98 mile |
| CORRIDOR：Kent－Black Diamond Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP－INT－97 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Thomas Rd \＆Kent－ Black Diamond Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \＄706 | Realign Intersection |
| 400211 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Covington Creek Bridge \＃3084 | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| 400511 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Covington Creek Bridge \＃3082 Auburn－Black Diamond Road Crossing Covington Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |


|  |  |  |  | $1$ |  |  | Pr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 쿠 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\mathbb{D}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \frac{1}{\grave{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { ⿳⺈⿴囗十一} \\ & \text { 오 } \\ & \text { ㅇ. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| SC－16 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Kent－Black Diamond Rd From SR－18 To SE Lake Holm Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \＄1，878 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 400600 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Berrydale Overcrossing \＃3086OX \＆290th | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄3，448 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |

## CORRIDOR：Kent－Kangley Rd

| RC－133 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Kent Kangley Rd From Landsburg Rd SE To Retreat Kanaskat Rd SE | Reconstruction |  | Medium |  |  | X | \＄1，770 | Reconstruct roadway 1.18 miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OP－INT－92 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Kent－Kangley Rd \＆ Kanaskat－Retreat Rd | Operations |  |  | High |  |  | \＄1，514 | Realign Intersection－－Turn Channels |
| RC－132 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Kent Kangley Rd From City Limit To <br> Landsburg Rd | Reconstruction |  | Low |  |  | X | \＄1，756 | Reconstruct roadway 1.14 miles |
| OP－INT－10 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Kent－Kangley Rd \＆ Ravensdale Rd | Operations |  |  | Medium |  |  | \＄686 | Provide Turn Channelization：Signal or Roundabout |
| OP－INT－12 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Kent－Kangley Rd \＆ Landsburg Rd | Operations | High |  |  |  |  | \＄500 | Traffic Signal and possible turn channels |
| GR－49 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Kent－Kangley Rd From SR 169 To Kanaskat－ Kangley Rd | Safety |  |  | Medium |  |  | \＄52 | Construct Guardrail |
| T－33 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Black Diamond－ Ravensdale Rd From SR－169 To Kent－ Kangley Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  | TBD | X | \＄2，028 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |



CORRIDOR: Lake Sawyer Rd

| OP-RD-41 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Covington-Lake Sawyer Rd From Thomas Rd To 216 Ave SE | Capacity Minor |  |  | Medium |  | X | \$7,733 | Realign Roadway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400508 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Covington-Sawyer Rd From 164 Pl SE to 180 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  | High | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| 3P-9974 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Covington-Sawyer Rd From east of 181 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$178 | Construct AC shoulder (North Side) |
| SW-58 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 164th Pl SE \& SE Covington-Sawyer Rd | Safety | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |
| SW-59 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 180th/181st Ave SE (Thomas Rd) \& SE Covington-Sawyer Rd | Safety | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$166 | Traffic Signal |
| RC-6 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Covington-Lake Sawyer Rd From Covington C/L to 216 Ave SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,093 | Road Rehabilitation |
| OP-INT-59 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 180th/181st Ave SE (Thomas Rd) \& SE Covington-Sawyer Rd | Operations |  |  | TBD |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| BR-3085 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Covington Bridge \#3085 | Bridge |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$3,443 | Replace Bridge |
| OP-INT-58 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 164th PI SE \& SE <br> Covington-Sawyer Rd | Operations |  |  | Medium |  |  | \$686 | Realign Intersection; Improve Sight Distance; Left Turn Lane Westbound; Traffic Signal |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{w} \\ & \stackrel{\oplus}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 힝 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: Lk Holm Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-27 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Auburn-Black Diamond \& Green Valley Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$321 | Traffic Signal |
| RC-140 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Lake Holm Rd From Auburn Black Diamond Rd To 147 Ave SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,625 | Reconstruct roadway 1.64 miles |
| CORRIDOR: Maxwell Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR-3099 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Maxwell Rd Bridge \#3099 225th Ave SE Crossing Gem Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |
| BR-3202 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Maxwell Rd Bridge \#3202 225th Ave SE Crossing cattle UX | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |
| CORRIDOR: May Valley Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR-493C | Rural - S/O I-90 | Fifteen Mile Creek <br> Bridge \#493C On SE <br> May Valley Rd Crossing Fifteen Mile Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,729 | Replace Bridge |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-135 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Black Diamond <br> Ravensdale From SE <br> Kent Kangley Rd To <br> 268 Ave SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$597 | Reconstruct roadway . 6 mile |
| GR-45 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Kanaskat-Kangley Rd <br> From Cumberland- <br> Kanaskat Rd To Kent- <br> Kangley Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$31 | Construct Guardrail |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{W}{6} \\ & \gtrless \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 罰 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { गon } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-142 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE Green Valley Rd From 243 Ave SE To SR-169 | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,423 | Reconstruct roadway 1.3 miles |
| GR-68 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 224th Ave SE From SE 296th St To 228th Ave SE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$81 | Construct Guardrail |
| ITS-27 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Auburn-Black Diamond ITS From At KentBlack Diamond Rd and SE Lake Holm Rd | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$135 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include advanced intersection warning system; slide detection |
| GR-57 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 208th St From 276th Ave SE To ENDTRE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$358 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-52 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Summit-Landsburg Rd From Landsburg Rd SE To Kent-Kangley Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$59 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-54 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Lake Francis Rd From Cedar Grove Rd To SE 192nd St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$16 | Construct Guardrail |
| 3P-9980 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 168th Way SE \& Covington Creek | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$51 | Widen bridge and construct sidewalk (East Side) |
| GR-93 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 200th St From <br> 276th Ave SE To 244th <br> Ave SE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$33 | Construct Guardrail |
| 400311 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Green Valley Rd Bridge \#3020 SE Greeen Valley Rd Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{gathered} \text { 毋 } \\ \stackrel{\sim}{\oplus} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 믐 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\oplus} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{\mathbf{3}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| 400411 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Green Valley Rd Bridge \#3022 | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| BR-3097 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Dorre Don Way Bridge \#3097 Dorre Don Way Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$714 | Construct short-span bridge |
| SPP-4054 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Covington-Sawyer Rd From 188 Ave SE to 192 Pl SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$150 | Construct walkway (North Side) |
| GR-110 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 248th Street | Safety |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  |  | \$60 | Construct Guardrail |
| OP-INT-98 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 235th Pl \& 244 Ave SE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$405 | Improve Sight Distance |
| OP-INT-27 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Auburn-Black Diamond \& Green Valley Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |
| GR-95 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Courtney Rd From Kanaskat-Kangley Rd To End of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$12 | Construct Guardrail |
| T-31 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Sweeney Rd SE From 196 Ave SE To SE 232 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$938 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| GR-87 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 244th Ave SE From SE <br> 224th St To SE 235th PL | Safety |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | \$85 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC-128 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Landsburg Rd SE From SE Summit Landsburg Rd To SE Kent Kangley Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,444 | Reconstruct roadway 1.27 miles |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 戸 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\mathbb{W}} \\ & \gtrless \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 힝 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-131 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Summit-Landsburg Rd From City Limit To Landsburg | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \$3,048 | Reconstruct roadway 2.25 miles |
| T-104 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 244th Ave SE From SR18 To SE 196 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$480 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| GR-35 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Black Diamond- <br> Ravensdale Road From <br> City limits To <br> Ravensdale Way | Safety |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | \$12 | Construct Guardrail |
| SC-152 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 168th Way (Ave) SE <br> From Kent-Black <br> Diamond Rd To Auburn- <br> Black Diamond Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$676 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 3P-0202 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 195th Ave SE From <br> Lake Morton DR SE to SE 320 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$75 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |
| CORRIDOR: Petrovitsky Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-24 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Petrovitsky/Sweeney Rd SE ITS From 151st Ave SE and SR 18 | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,880 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include vehicle detection; cameras; fiber optic communications, weather station |
| CORRIDOR: Retreat-Kanaskat Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR-63 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Cumberland-Kanaskat Rd From RetreatKanaskat Rd To SE 352nd St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$119 | Construct Guardrail |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{W}{6} \\ & \gtrless \end{aligned}$ |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-136 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Retreat Kanaskat Rd SE From SE Kent Kangley Rd To Cumberland Kanaskat Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | x | \$3,181 | Reconstruct roadway 3.04 miles |
| OP-INT-91 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Stampede Pass Rail \& Hudson Rd RR Crossing | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$77 | Reconstruct Intersection-- <br> Traffic Signal |
| OP-INT-72 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Stampede Pass Rail \& Greenriver Headworks Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$77 | Reconstruct Intersection-Traffic Signal |
| GR-11 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 309th St From Cumberland-Kanaskat To End of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | x | \$104 | Construct Guardrail |
| T-40 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Retreat-Kanasket Rd From Kent-Kangley Rd To Kanasket-Kangley Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$2,028 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-INT-93 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Kanaskat-Kangley Rd \& Cumberland-Kanaskat Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$375 | Realign Intersection |
| CORRIDOR: SE 216 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-130 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 216 St From 244 Ave SE To 276 Ave SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \$2,001 | Reconstruct roadway 2.0 miles |
| 400907 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 216th Way \& SR-169 | Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| RC-129 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 216 Way From SR 169 To 244 Ave SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,460 | Reconstruct roadway 1.13 miles |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{*} \\ & \stackrel{W}{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { İ융 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | ग <br> O <br> O <br> $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-95 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 216th Way \& Dorre Don Way | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$291 | Turn Channels |
| 3P-9967 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 216th Way From SR-169 to Dorre Don Way SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$86 | Construct sidewalk (East Side) |
| T-13.20 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 216th St From <br> Approx. 232 Ave SE To 276 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$1,014 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR-106 | Rural - Vashon | SW 156th St From 91st Ave SW To Vashon Highway SW | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$12 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-41 | Rural - Vashon | SW 275th St From 94th Ave SW To Sandy Shores DR SW | Safety |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | X | \$36 | Construct Guardrail |
| 300310 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Highway <br> Seawall From 115th Ave SW To SW 240th Pl | Preservation |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | x | \$14,568 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| 3P-9959 | Rural - Vashon | 107th Ave SW From <br> SW 228 St to SW 232 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | x | \$258 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |
| SPP-4078 | Rural - Vashon | SW 204th St From Vashon Island Hwy to Monument Rd SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$75 | Improve pathway (North Side) |
| SPP-4079 | Rural - Vashon | Cemetery Rd From Beall Rd SW to \# 9303 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$75 | Improve pathway (South Side) |
| V-24 | Rural - Vashon | SW 240th St / Bay View DR From Vashon Highway SW To Burton Acres Park Entrance | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$826 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| RC-27 | Rural - Vashon | Quartermaster Drive <br> Seawall From 1/4 mi. east of Monument Rd SW To Dockton Rd SW | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$354 | Replace seawall |
| GR-33 | Rural - Vashon | Ellisport Rd From Dockton Rd SW To Monument Rd SW | Safety |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | X | \$42 | Construct Guardrail |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 1न |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\text { D/ }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR-79 | Rural - Vashon | Cemetery Rd From <br> Westside Highway SW <br> To Vashon Highway SW | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$12 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-83 | Rural - Vashon | Point Robinson Rd From Dockton Rd SW To End of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$393 | Construct Guardrail |
| 3P-9975 | Rural - Vashon | Tahlequah Rd From near Tahlequah Ferry Dock | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$172 | Construct AC shoulder (South Side) |
| GR-97 | Rural - Vashon | 91st Ave SW From SW 156th St To Gorsuch Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$12 | Construct Guardrail |
| 3P-9960 | Rural - Vashon | Burton Dr From <br> Vashon Island Hwy to 95 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$470 | Construct AC shoulder (South Side) |
| 3P-0106 | Rural - Vashon | Bank Rd From 97 Pl SW to Beall Rd SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$545 | Construct AC shoulder (South Side) |
| GR-70 | Rural - Vashon | Beall Rd SW From SW <br> Cemetery Rd To SW <br> Bank Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$17 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-69 | Rural - Vashon | Wax Orchard Rd SW From SW 220th St To Vashon Highway SW | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$509 | Construct Guardrail |
| CORRIDOR: Vashon Island Highway-N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPP-4080 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Island Hwy From \#20120 to Metro bus stop | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$75 | Construct separated pathway (East Side) |
| OP-INT-2 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Highway \& SW Bank Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  | X | \$686 | Evaluate for turn lanes |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | ज | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{*} \\ & \underset{\sim}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 뀨 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-2 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Highway \& SW Bank Rd | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$321 | Traffic Signal |
| 3P-0203 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Hwy SW / SW Bank Rd From SW 177 St to 98 Pl SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$75 | Construct sidewalk (East and South Sides) |
| V-31 | Rural - Vashon | Bank Rd From 107 Ave SW To Vashon Highway | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$562 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| CORRIDOR: Westside Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR-73 | Rural - Vashon | Westside Highway SW <br> From SW 144th St To <br> SW 196th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$96 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC-56 | Rural - Vashon | Westside Highway SW From Cresent Dr SW to Cresent Dr SW | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$428 | Rebuild Roadway with New Base |
| GR-76 | Rural - Vashon | Westside Highway SW From SW 220th St To SW 196th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$30 | Construct Guardrail |
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| CP-1 | 20 |
| CP-10 | 36 |
| CP-11 | 39 |
| CP-12 | 7 |
| CP-13 | 2 |
| CP-14 | 58 |
| CP-15 | 57 |
| CP-16 | 2 |
| CP-17 | 11 |
| CP-18 | 40 |
| CP-2 | 20 |
| CP-3 | 39 |
| CP-4 | 39 |
| CP-5 | 18 |
| CP-6 | 62 |
| CP-7 | 62 |
| EN-10.10 | 13 |
| EN-41 | 14 |
| EN-53 | 16 |
| EN-59 | 13 |
| EN-6 | 15 |
| EN-60 | 14 |
| EN-62 | 13 |
| EN-80 | 14 |
| F-66.30 | 18 |
| G-16 | 56 |
| GR-102 | 72 |
| GR-103 | 16 |
| GR-104 | 15 |
| GR-106 | 73 |
| GR-109 | 49 |
| GR-11 | 70 |
| GR-110 | 68 |
| GR-111 | 43 |
| GR-13 | 48 |
| GR-23 | 33 |
| GR-28 | 45 |
| GR-31 | 72 |
| GR-33 | 73 |
| GR-35 | 69 |
| GR-36 | 17 |
| GR-41 | 73 |
| GR-42 | 13 |
| GR-44 | 50 |
| GR-45 | 66 |
| GR-47 | 15 |
| GR-48 | 33 |


| Project Number | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| GR-49 | 64 |
| GR-50 | 30 |
| GR-51 | 57 |
| GR-52 | 67 |
| GR-53 | 72 |
| GR-54 | 67 |
| GR-57 | 67 |
| GR-58 | 31 |
| GR-60 | 3 |
| GR-63 | 69 |
| GR-65 | 72 |
| GR-66 | 42 |
| GR-67 | 42 |
| GR-68 | 67 |
| GR-69 | 74 |
| GR-70 | 74 |
| GR-71 | 19 |
| GR-73 | 75 |
| GR-75 | 46 |
| GR-76 | 75 |
| GR-78 | 43 |
| GR-79 | 74 |
| GR-80 | 49 |
| GR-82 | 44 |
| GR-83 | 74 |
| GR-84 | 15 |
| GR-86 | 14 |
| GR-87 | 68 |
| GR-88 | 56 |
| GR-91 | 40 |
| GR-92 | 14 |
| GR-93 | 67 |
| GR-94 | 44 |
| GR-95 | 68 |
| GR-96 | 14 |
| GR-97 | 74 |
| GR-98 | 44 |
| H-224 | 28 |
| H-247 | 29 |
| H-248 | 28 |
| H-250 | 31 |
| H-251 | 29 |
| H-254 | 29 |
| H-256 | 31 |
| H-289 | 35 |
| HAL-11 | 3 |
| HAL-12 | 13 |
| HAL-16 | 27 |
| HAL-17 | 32 |
| HAL-18 | 37 |
| HAL-23 | 55 |
| HAL-26 | 36 |


| Project Number | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| HAL-30 | 36 |
| HAL-31 | 59 |
| HAL-34 | 36 |
| HAL-35 | 8 |
| HAL-36 | 23 |
| HAL-38 | 44 |
| HAL-42 | 57 |
| HAL-43 | 29 |
| HAL-46 | 28 |
| HAL-47 | 21 |
| HAL-48 | 38 |
| HAL-6 | 59 |
| HAL-8 | 21 |
| HAL-97 | 58 |
| HARS-23 | 5 |
| HARS-24 | 55 |
| HARS-28 | 39 |
| HARS-29 | 33 |
| HARS-30 | 62 |
| HARS-33 | 58 |
| HARS-37 | 6 |
| HARS-39 | 48 |
| HARS-43 | 38 |
| HARS-47 | 22 |
| HARS-6 | 8 |
| ITS-10 | 40 |
| ITS-11 | 5 |
| ITS-12 | 34 |
| ITS-13 | 9 |
| ITS-14 | 48 |
| ITS-15 | 62 |
| ITS-16 | 4 |
| ITS-17 | 40 |
| ITS-18 | 50 |
| ITS-19 | 24 |
| ITS-21 | 37 |
| ITS-23 | 53 |
| ITS-24 | 69 |
| ITS-25 | 50 |
| ITS-26 | 28 |
| ITS-27 | 67 |
| ITS-28 | 27 |
| ITS-29 | 26 |
| ITS-3 | 2 |
| ITS-30 | 55 |
| ITS-31 | 11 |
| ITS-33 | 34 |
| ITS-34 | 26 |
| ITS-4 | 58 |
| ITS-5 | 22 |
| ITS-6 | 8 |
| ITS-7 | 6 |

## Project Index <br> Page Numbers by Project Number

| Project Number | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| ITS-8 | 21 |
| N-53.20 | 38 |
| N-56 | 41 |
| N-82 | 39 |
| N-89.10 | 4 |
| N-89.30 | 40 |
| N-89.40 | 40 |
| N-89.50 | 37 |
| NC-103 | 24 |
| NC-5.40 | 24 |
| OP-INT-100 | 19 |
| OP-INT-102 | 54 |
| OP-INT-103 | 38 |
| OP-INT-105 | 17 |
| OP-INT-106 | 57 |
| OP-INT-108 | 64 |
| OP-INT-113 | 7 |
| OP-INT-115 | 19 |
| OP-INT-116 | 18 |
| OP-INT-118 | 57 |
| OP-INT-119 | 27 |
| OP-INT-120 | 21 |
| OP-INT-121 | 64 |
| OP-INT-122 | 51 |
| OP-INT-13 | 57 |
| OP-INT-17 | 54 |
| OP-INT-18 | 54 |
| OP-INT-2 | 74 |
| OP-INT-21 | 62 |
| OP-INT-25 | 35 |
| OP-INT-27 | 68 |
| OP-INT-29 | 25 |
| OP-INT-36 | 12 |
| OP-INT-38 | 36 |
| OP-INT-43 | 44 |
| OP-INT-44 | 46 |
| OP-INT-45 | 61 |
| OP-INT-50 | 6 |
| OP-INT-52 | 24 |
| OP-INT-53 | 17 |
| OP-INT-54 | 25 |
| OP-INT-55 | 34 |
| OP-INT-56 | 60 |
| OP-INT-57 | 18 |
| OP-INT-58 | 65 |
| OP-INT-59 | 65 |
| OP-INT-61 | 23 |
| OP-INT-62 | 19 |
| OP-INT-63 | 8 |
| OP-INT-64 | 57 |
| OP-INT-65 | 48 |
| OP-INT-66 | 18 |


| Project Number | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| OP-INT-70 | 2 |
| OP-INT-71 | 3 |
| OP-INT-72 | 70 |
| OP-INT-73 | 13 |
| OP-INT-74 | 13 |
| OP-INT-75 | 11 |
| OP-INT-76 | 35 |
| OP-INT-77 | 31 |
| OP-INT-78 | 28 |
| OP-INT-79 | 30 |
| OP-INT-80 | 37 |
| OP-INT-81 | 37 |
| OP-INT-82 | 4 |
| OP-INT-83 | 25 |
| OP-INT-84 | 27 |
| OP-INT-85 | 56 |
| OP-INT-88 | 48 |
| OP-INT-89 | 43 |
| OP-INT-90 | 56 |
| OP-INT-91 | 70 |
| OP-INT-92 | 64 |
| OP-INT-93 | 70 |
| OP-INT-95 | 71 |
| OP-INT-97 | 63 |
| OP-INT-98 | 68 |
| OP-INT-99 | 3 |
| OP-RD-11 | 11 |
| OP-RD-12 | 30 |
| OP-RD-13 | 29 |
| OP-RD-14 | 30 |
| OP-RD-16 | 41 |
| OP-RD-17 | 41 |
| OP-RD-18 | 39 |
| OP-RD-2 | 33 |
| OP-RD-20 | 26 |
| OP-RD-21 | 27 |
| OP-RD-22 | 62 |
| OP-RD-24 | 25 |
| OP-RD-25 | 24 |
| OP-RD-26 | 25 |
| OP-RD-27 | 55 |
| OP-RD-3 | 18 |
| OP-RD-36 | 53 |
| OP-RD-37 | 44 |
| OP-RD-38 | 43 |
| OP-RD-39 | 46 |
| OP-RD-4 | 45 |
| OP-RD-40 | 47 |
| OP-RD-41 | 65 |
| OP-RD-43 | 53 |
| OP-RD-44 | 55 |
| OP-RD-45 | 3 |


| Project Number | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| OP-RD-46 | 45 |
| OP-RD-47 | 34 |
| OP-RD-48 | 22 |
| OP-RD-5 | 5 |
| OP-RD-50 | 30 |
| OP-RD-51 | 4 |
| OP-RD-52 | 4 |
| OP-RD-7 | 5 |
| OP-RD-8 | 2 |
| OP-RD-9 | 7 |
| RC-113 | 51 |
| RC-115 | 50 |
| RC-116 | 6 |
| RC-118 | 62 |
| RC-119 | 63 |
| RC-120 | 63 |
| RC-121 | 62 |
| RC-122 | 44 |
| RC-125 | 61 |
| RC-126 | 61 |
| RC-127 | 61 |
| RC-128 | 68 |
| RC-129 | 70 |
| RC-130 | 70 |
| RC-131 | 69 |
| RC-132 | 64 |
| RC-133 | 64 |
| RC-135 | 66 |
| RC-136 | 70 |
| RC-137 | 61 |
| RC-138 | 61 |
| RC-139 | 61 |
| RC-140 | 66 |
| RC-142 | 67 |
| RC-16 | 42 |
| RC-17 | 50 |
| RC-18 | 50 |
| RC-19 | 45 |
| RC-23 | 59 |
| RC-24 | 20 |
| RC-27 | 73 |
| RC-3 | 56 |
| RC-30 | 43 |
| RC-32 | 49 |
| RC-33 | 49 |
| RC-34 | 44 |
| RC-35 | 12 |
| RC-36 | 46 |
| RC-37 | 42 |
| RC-38 | 43 |
| RC-39 | 51 |
| RC-4 | 53 |

## Project Index <br> Page Numbers by Project Number

| Project Number | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| RC-40 | 47 |
| RC-41 | 32 |
| RC-42 | 21 |
| RC-43 | 8 |
| RC-44 | 5 |
| RC-45 | 43 |
| RC-46 | 38 |
| RC-48 | 37 |
| RC-49 | 19 |
| RC-50 | 56 |
| RC-51 | 6 |
| RC-52 | 38 |
| RC-53 | 15 |
| RC-54 | 72 |
| RC-55 | 44 |
| RC-56 | 75 |
| RC-57 | 44 |
| RC-58 | 72 |
| RC-59 | 72 |
| RC-6 | 65 |
| RC-7 | 47 |
| RC-8 | 10 |
| SC-15 | 59 |
| SC-151 | 54 |
| SC-152 | 69 |
| SC-16 | 64 |
| SC-160 | 56 |
| SC-216 | 58 |
| SC-28 | 60 |
| SC-35 | 58 |
| SC-91 | 60 |
| SPP-4009 | 27 |
| SPP-4010 | 26 |
| SPP-4012 | 32 |
| SPP-4023 | 59 |
| SPP-4031 | 53 |
| SPP-4033 | 60 |
| SPP-4036 | 59 |
| SPP-4041 | 60 |
| SPP-4042 | 20 |
| SPP-4043 | 20 |
| SPP-4054 | 68 |
| SPP-4057 | 55 |
| SPP-4062 | 34 |
| SPP-4063 | 34 |
| SPP-4064 | 33 |
| SPP-4065 | 61 |
| SPP-4066 | 19 |
| SPP-4067 | 19 |
| SPP-4069 | 29 |
| SPP-4070 | 33 |
| SPP-4071 | 31 |


| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| SPP-4072 | 31 |
| SPP-4073 | 31 |
| SPP-4074 | 45 |
| SPP-4075 | 55 |
| SPP-4076 | 11 |
| SPP-4777 | 32 |
| SPP-4078 | 73 |
| SPP-4079 | 73 |
| SPP-4080 | 74 |
| SQ-12.10 | 48 |
| SQ-2 | 42 |
| SQ-26 | 45 |
| SQ-27 | 51 |
| SQ-29 | 42 |
| SQ-70 | 47 |
| SQ-93 | 46 |
| SQ-94 | 43 |
| SW-13 | 57 |
| SW-17 | 54 |
| SW-2 | 75 |
| SW-21 | 63 |
| SW-25 | 35 |
| SW-27 | 66 |
| SW-29 | 25 |
| SW-36 | 12 |
| SW-38 | 36 |
| SW-43 | 44 |
| SW-44 | 46 |
| SW-45 | 61 |
| SW-51 | 6 |
| SW-54 | 25 |
| SW-55 | 34 |
| SW-56 | 59 |
| SW-57 | 18 |
| SW-58 | 65 |
| SW-59 | 65 |
| SW-61 | 23 |
| SW-63 | 8 |
| SW-64 | 57 |
| SW-65 | 48 |
| SW-66 | 18 |
| T-104 | 69 |
| T-113 | 59 |
| T-13.20 | 71 |
| T-31 | 68 |
| T-33 | 64 |
| T-40 | 70 |
| V-24 | 73 |
| V-31 | 75 |
|  |  |


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1st Ave S From S 102 St to S 108 St | 28 |
| 1st Ave S. \& Seattle C/L to Burien C/L | 30 |
| 1st Ave S From SW 108 St to SW 112 St | 30 |
| 4th PI S From S 112 St to 5 Ave S | 35 |
| 6th Ave S From Glendale Way/S112 St To Myers Way (1 Ave S) | 30 |
| 8th Ave SW From SW 108 St To SW Roxbury St | 29 |
| 8th Ave SW \& SW 108th St | 29 |
| 8th Ave S From S Seatlle City Limit To Glendale Way S/S 112 St | 30 |
| 8th Ave S \& S 112th St | 35 |
| 8th Ave S \& S 112th St | 35 |
| 10th Ave S From S 115 St to S 124 St | 33 |
| 11 Ave SW From SW 102 St to SW 106 St | 33 |
| 14th Ave S From S 124 St to S 128th St | 34 |
| 15 Ave SW - east side From SW 106 St To SW 107 St | 31 |
| 14th Ave SW From SW 110 St to SW 116 St | 34 |
| 16th Ave SW \& SW 106 St | 28 |
| 16th Ave SW \& SW 107th St | 28 |
| 16th Ave SW From SW Roxbury to SW 116th St. | 28 |
| 20th Ave S (Milton Rd S) \& S 360th St | 23 |
| SE 24th St From 309th Ave SE To W. Snoqualmie River Rd | 50 |
| 26th Ave S From S 111 St north to end | 33 |
| 28th Ave SE \& S 360th St | 23 |
| 28th Ave SE \& S 360th St | 23 |
| 28th Ave S From S 348th St To SR 161 | 19 |
| 28th Ave SW From SW 110 St to SW 112 St | 32 |
| 28th Ave SW From SW Roxbury St to SW 102 St | 32 |
| 28th Ave S From S 349 St to S360 ST | 19 |
| 32nd Ave S From S 360 St to S 368 St | 19 |


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 34th Ave S From S 288 St to S 298 St | 20 |
| 36th PI S/ S 294 St/ 45 PI S From S 298 St to S 288 St | 22 |
| 38th Ave S From S 344 St to Fishing Access Rd | 19 |
| 38th Ave S From S 304 St to S 307 St | 20 |
| 40th Ave S \& S 272nd St | 21 |
| 44th Ave S From S 308 St to S 313 St | 20 |
| 48th Ave S \& S 288th St | 17 |
| 48th Ave S \& S 288th St | 17 |
| NE 50 St From 192 PI NE to Sahalee Way NE | 12 |
| NE 50th St From 214 Ave NE to SR-202 | 12 |
| 51st Ave S From S 288th St To S 321st St | 17 |
| 51st Ave S \& S 288th St. | 17 |
| 51st Ave S \& S 316th St. | 17 |
| 58th Place S./56th Place S. From West Valley Rd to West Valley Rd | 19 |
| 68th Ave S From Martin Luther King Way to Renton City Limits | 32 |
| 69th Ave S / S 125 St From S 128 St to 70 PI S | 31 |
| 72nd Ave NE From Juanita Drive NE To end of route | 40 |
| 75th Ave S / S 122 St From Renton Ave $S$ to 80 Ave S | 30 |
| 75th Ave SW From Dockton Rd SW To SW Point Robinson Rd | 72 |
| 76th Ave S From S 124 St To S 128 St | 29 |
| 76th Ave S From S 115 St to S 116 St | 29 |
| 76th Ave S From S 120 St to S 124 St | 29 |
| 78th Ave S From S 112 St To Renton Ave S | 29 |
| 78th Ave S From S 120 St to S 124 St | 29 |
| 78th Ave S From S 116 St to S 118 St | 29 |
| 80th Ave S From S 114 St to S 118 St | 32 |
| NE 80th St From West Snoqualmie Valley Rd To Ames Lake Rd | 47 |

Project Index

## Page Numbers by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| NE 80th St From West Snoqualmie <br> Valley Rd to Ames Lake-Carnation <br> Rd | 46 |
| 84th Ave NE \& NE 138 St | 37 |
| 87th Ave S \& S 124 St | 30 |
| 88th Ave NE From NE 198 St to <br> NE 205 St | 40 |
| 90th Ave NE From NE 134 St To <br> NE 138 PL | 41 |
| 91st Ave SW From SW 156th St To <br> Gorsuch Rd | 74 |
| 96th Ave S \& S 212th Way | 59 |
| SW 98th St From 17 Ave SW To 21 <br> Ave SW | 35 |
| SW 98th Street From 11 Ave SW <br> to 16 Ave SW | 35 |
| 100th Ave NE From NE139 St to <br> NE 145th St | 36 |
| 100th Ave NE \& NE 140th PL | 36 |
| 100th Ave NE \& Simmons Rd | 36 |
| 100th Ave NE ITS From NE 132nd <br> St. to NE 145th St. | 36 |
| 100th Ave NE \& Juanita- |  |
| Woodinville Rd |  |


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| S 116th Way From Des Moines Way S To SR 99 | 33 |
| S 120th St From 76 Ave S to 80 Ave S | 32 |
| SW 122th St From 4 Ave SW to Ambaum Blvd SW | 33 |
| NE 122nd PI / NE 123 St / 84 Ave N From Juanita Drive To NE 125 PI | 38 |
| NE 124th St \& 162 PI NE | 4 |
| S 124th St From 76 Ave SW To SKYWAY PARK | 31 |
| 124th Ave NE ITS From NE 132nd St to NE 160th St. | 37 |
| 124th Ave NE \& NE 140th St | 37 |
| NE 124th St. ITS Ph II From SR 202 to Avondale Road NE | 4 |
| 124th Ave SE From SE 192 St to SE 202 PL | 53 |
| 124th Ave SE \& SE 192nd St | 57 |
| NE 124th St \& West Snoqualmie Valley Rd | 51 |
| 124th Ave SE From SE 192 St to SE 208 St | 53 |
| 124th Ave SE From SE 202 PI to SE 208 St | 53 |
| NE 124th St From SR 203 To ENDRTE | 44 |
| S 124th St From 8 Ave S to Des Moines Mem. Dr. S | 31 |
| SW 126th St From 4 Ave SW to Ambaum BLVD SW | 31 |
| SE 128th St. ITS From 148th Ave SE to May Valley Road | 27 |
| SE 128th St From 168 Ave SE To E OF 169 Ave SE | 27 |
| S 128th St From 69 Ave S to 71 Ave S | 33 |
| 129th PI SE \& SE 192nd St | 57 |
| S 132nd St \& S Langston Rd | 32 |
| NE 132nd St From 100th Ave NE to 132nd Ave NE | 40 |
| 132 Ave SE Phase IV From SE 224th St To SE 242nd St | 53 |
| 132 Ave SE Phase III From SE 208 St To SE 224 St | 53 |
| 132nd Ave SE \& SE 224th St | 54 |
| 132nd Ave SE \& SE 224th St | 53 |
| NE 132nd St / NE 128th St From 184 Ave NE to 196 Ave NE | 4 |
| NE 133rd St From Bear Creek Rd to UPD W. Boundary | 4 |

Project Index
Page Numbers by Project Name

| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| NE 140th St AND / OR NE 145 St Crossing l-405 | 39 |
| SE 140th St/Middle Fork Road From North Bend Way To Old Gravel Pit | 43 |
| 140th Ave SE/132nd Ave SE ITS From SE 240th St. to SE 192nd St. | 53 |
| 140th Ave SE \& Petrovitsky Rd | 57 |
| SE 140 St / SE Middlefork Rd From 463 Ave SE to \#46910 | 45 |
| NE 141st St From east of 84 Ave NE | 38 |
| NE 144th St. ITS From 124th Ave NE to 148th Ave NE | 40 |
| NE 145th St From 160 PI NE to 168 Ave NE | 37 |
| NE 145th St From 100 Ave NE TO Juanita-Woodinville Rd | 41 |
| NE 146th PI \& 155 Ave NE | 37 |
| 146th PI NE From SR-202 to 155 Ave NE | 37 |
| 148th Ave SE \& May Valley Rd | 25 |
| 148th Ave SE \& May Valley Rd | 25 |
| 148th Ave NE From NE 154 St to NE 167 St | 41 |
| 148th Ave SE \& SE 208th St | 54 |
| 148th Ave SE \& SE 224th St | 54 |
| 148th Ave SE \& SE 208th St | 54 |
| 148th Ave SE \& SE 308th St | 54 |
| SE 149th St / 442 Ave SE From 437 PI SE to 443 Ave SE | 43 |
| 152nd PI NE / 158 Ave NE From NE 160 St to NE 165 St | 41 |
| 154th PI SE / SE 142 PI From SE Jones Rd To 156 Ave SE | 24 |
| 154th Ave SE From SE 39 St to SE 42 St | 26 |
| 156th Ave SE ITS From SE 128th St to SR 169 | 24 |
| 156th Ave SE From SE 142 PI To SE 128 St | 24 |
| 156th Ave SE \& SE 142nd PL | 24 |
| 156th Ave SE \& SE 142nd PL | 24 |
| 156th Ave SE From SE 240th St To CITY LIMIT | 56 |
| SW 156th St From 91st Ave SW To Vashon Highway SW | 73 |
| SE 159th St From 204 Ave SE to 205 Ave SE | 26 |
| 160th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | 27 |
| 164th Ave SE ITS From SE 128th St. to SE May Valley Rd. | 26 |


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 164th Ave SE From SE 240 St To SE 248 St | 55 |
| 164th PI SE \& SE 240th St | 60 |
| 164th PI SE \& SE 240th St | 59 |
| 164th Ave SE From SE 224 St to SE 240 St | 60 |
| 164th PI SE \& SE CovingtonSawyer Rd | 65 |
| 164th PI SE \& SE CovingtonSawyer Rd | 65 |
| NE 165th St From 179 PI NE To 183 Ave NE | 5 |
| 168th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | 27 |
| 168th Ave NE From NE 143 PI To NE 140 St | 37 |
| 168th Ave NE From NE 143 St to NE 145 St | 37 |
| 168th Way SE \& Covington Creek | 67 |
| 168th Way (Ave) SE From KentBlack Diamond Rd To Auburn-Black Diamond Rd | 69 |
| 172nd Ave NE From Redmond City Limits To NE 138 St | 4 |
| NE 175 / NE 172 PI From 155 PI NE To Du Rocher Rd (174 NE) | 39 |
| 176th Ave NE \& Woodinville Duvall Rd | 8 |
| 176th Ave NE From WoodinvilleDuvall Rd To NE 195 St | 40 |
| 178th Ave NE From NE 131 St to NE 136 St | 40 |
| 180th/181st Ave SE (Thomas Rd) \& SE Covington-Sawyer Rd | 65 |
| 180th/181st Ave SE (Thomas Rd) \& SE Covington-Sawyer Rd | 65 |
| SE 183rd St From 142 Ave SE to 147 Ave SE | 56 |
| SE 192nd St From 99 PI S to 102 Ave SE | 58 |
| SE 192nd St From SR 515 To 148th Ave SE | 57 |
| SE 192nd St \& SR-515 | 58 |
| 195th Ave SE From Lake Morton DR SE to SE 320 St | 69 |
| 196th Ave SE From SE 400th St To SE 456th St | 15 |
| 196th Ave SE \& SE 192 St | 56 |
| 196th Ave SE From SE 240 St To SE 232 St | 60 |
| 196th Ave SE From SE 161 St to SE 170 St | 56 |
| 200th Ave SE From SE 400 St to 0.17 miles north | 15 |

Project Index
Page Numbers by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| SE 200th St From 276th Ave SE <br> To 244th Ave SE | 67 |
| S 200th St From 92 Ave S to 100 <br> Ave SE | 55 |
| SE 204th St From 104 Ave SE to <br> 108 Ave SE | 55 |
| SW 204th St From Vashon Island <br> Hwy to Monument Rd SW | 73 |
| 208th Ave NE From NE Union Hill <br> Rd To Novelty Hill Rd | 3 |
| 208th Ave NE \& NE Union Hill Rd | 7 |
| 208th Ave SE Bridge \#3060 208th <br> Ave SE Crossing drainage ditch | 15 |
| SE 208th St From 132th Ave SE <br> To 148th Ave SE | 58 |
| SE 208th St \& 105th PI SE | 58 |
| SE 208th St From 100 AV SE To <br> 101st Ave SE | 58 |
| SE 208th St \& 111 Ave SE | 59 |
| SE 208th St From 276th Ave SE <br> To ENDTRE | 67 |
| 212th Ave SE From SE 384 St To | 13 |
| SE 358 St |  |


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 232nd Ave NE From NE 142 St To Old Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 3 |
| SE 235th PI \& 244 Ave SE | 68 |
| 238th Ave NE \& Union Hill Rd | 6 |
| 238th Ave NE \& NE 63rd PL | 6 |
| SE 240th St From 156 Ave SE to 172 Ave SE | 60 |
| SE 240th St From 148 Ave SE (south side) To 180 Ave SE | 60 |
| SE 240th St From 196 Ave SE To SR-18 | 59 |
| SW 240th St / Bay View DR From Vashon Highway SW To Burton Acres Park Entrance | 73 |
| 244th Ave SE From SR-164 To SE 400 St | 13 |
| 244th Ave SE From SR-164 To SE 456 St | 13 |
| 244th Ave SE \& SE 400th St | 13 |
| 244th Ave SE From SE 224th St To SE 235th PL | 68 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 244th Ave SE From SR-18 To SE } \\ & 196 \mathrm{St} \end{aligned}$ | 69 |
| SE 248th Street | 68 |
| S 272nd Way \& 55th Ave S. | 21 |
| SE 272nd St /S 277th St ITS From Pacific Highway South to 55th Ave SE | 22 |
| SW 275th St From 94th Ave SW To Sandy Shores DR SW | 73 |
| 276th Ave SE \& SE 216th St | 61 |
| 276th Ave SE \& SE 216th St | 61 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 276 \text { Ave SE From SR } 18 \text { To SE } \\ & 200 \text { St } \end{aligned}$ | 61 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 276 \text { Ave SE From SE } 200 \text { St To SE } \\ & 216 \text { St } \end{aligned}$ | 61 |
| 276 Ave SE From SE 216 St To SE Summit Landsburg Rd | 61 |
| 276th Ave SE From SE 231 ST to 300' north | 61 |
| S 277th St - ITS From 55 Ave S to SR-167 | 22 |
| SE 277th St Bridge \#3126 On SE 277th St Crossing Slough | 22 |
| S 277th St \& 55th Ave S | 21 |
| 284th Ave SE From SE 416 St To SR-410 | 14 |
| 284th Ave SE Bridge \#3049 284th Ave SE Crossing Boise Creek | 14 |
| 284th Ave SE From Mud Mountain Dam Rd To SR-164 | 14 |

Page Numbers by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| 284th Ave NE From NE 100 St to <br> NE Carnation Farm Rd | 44 |
| S 288th St From 42 Ave S To 43 PI <br> S | 22 |
| SE 296th / 148 Ave SE From SR- <br> 18 To S.I.R. | 56 |
| S 304th St From 32nd Ave S To <br> 37th Ave S | 20 |
| 308th Ave SE From SE 87th PI To <br> SE 64th St | 42 |
| 308th Ave SE From SE 64 St to SE <br> 87 PI | 42 |
| 308th Ave SE From SR 202 To SE <br> 40th St | 50 |
| 308th Ave SE Bridge \#344B On <br> 308th Ave SE Crossing Patterson <br> Creek | 49 |
| SE 309th St From Cumberland- <br> Kanaskat To End of route | 70 |
| S 312th St Study From 28th Ave S <br> to 51st Ave S (Federal Way Lead) | 20 |
| 312th Ave SE Bridge \#228F On <br> West Snoqualmie River Rd <br> Crossing drainage ditch | 49 |
| 316th PI SE From SE 86th St To <br> End of route | 48 |
| S 321st St \& Peasley Canyon Rd | 19 |
| 322nd Ave NE From NE Big Rock <br> Rd to NE 130 St | 47 |
| S 32nd Ave S Study From S 312th <br> St to Military Road (Federal Way <br> Lead) | 20 |
| S 360th St From Enchanted Pkwy <br> S to 21 PI S | 23 |
| S 360th St From SR-161 to 28th <br> Ave S | 22 |
| SE 380 St Bridge \#3030 SE 308th <br> St Crossing slough | 16 |
| 384th Ave SE From SE 92ND St <br> To North Bend Way | 44 |
| SE 400th Way From SE 400 St To <br> SE 392 St | 15 |
| SE 384th St From 160th PI SE To <br> 212th Ave SE | 15 |
| SE 408th St Bridge \#3056A On SE <br> 408th St Crossing drainage ditch | 15 |
| SE 424th St Bridge \#3201 On SE <br> 424th St Crossing Watercress <br> Creek | 16 |
| 428th Ave SE From SE Reinig Rd <br> to SE 108 St | 42 |
| 428th Ave SE/NE 12 St From <br> Reinig Rd To North Bend Way | 42 |


| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| SE 432nd St From 268th Ave SE <br> To 284th Ave SE | 16 |
| SE 432nd St From 284 Ave SE To <br> 268 Ave SE | 16 |
| 436 Ave SE/Cedar Falls Rd From I- <br> 90 To Wilderness Rim | 43 |
| 436th Ave SE \& SE North Bend W | 44 |
| SE 448th St \& 244 Ave SE | 13 |
| SE 448th St From 244 Ave SE to <br> Enumclaw City Limits | 13 |
| SE 456th Way From 196th Ave SE <br> To 228th Ave SE | 14 |
| 468th Ave SE \& SE 140 St | 43 |
| Allen Rd From 136 Ave SE to 146 <br> Ave SE | 24 |
| Allen Rd (148 SE) North Side From <br> 146 Ave SE To SE 36 St | 24 |
| Ames Lake Rd From Union Hill To <br> SR-202 | 45 |
| Ames Lake-Carnation Rd From <br> Union Hill Rd To NE 80 St | 51 |
| Auburn Black Diamond Rd From <br> SE Green Valley Rd To SE Lake <br> Holm Rd | 61 |
| Auburn Black Diamond Rd From <br> SE Lake Holm Rd To 148 Way SE | 61 |
| Auburn Black Diamond Rd From <br> SR 18 To SE Green Valley Rd | 61 |
| Auburn-Black Diamond \& Green <br> Valley Rd | 68 |
| Auburn-Black Diamond \& Green <br> Valley Rd | 66 |
| Auburn-Black Diamond ITS From <br> At Kent-Black Diamond Rd and SE <br> Lake Holm Rd | 67 |
| Avondale Rd \& Bear Creek Rd | 75 |
| Avondale Road \& NE 165th St | 2 |
| Avondale Road ITS Phase 1 From <br> Novelty Hill Rd to NE 132nd St | 2 |
| Avondale Road ITS Phase 2 From <br> NE 132nd St to Woodinville-Duvall <br> Road | 2 |
| Avondale Road NE \& NE 159th St |  |

## Page Numbers by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Beacon Coal Mine Rd From S <br> 129th St To S 138th St | 33 |
| Beall Rd SW From SW Cemetery <br> Rd To SW Bank Rd | 74 |
| Bear Creek Bridge \#333A On NE <br> 133rd St Crossing Bear Creek | 4 |
| Bear Creek Bridge \#480A On NE <br> 116th St Crossing Bear Creek | 2 |
| Bear Creek Rd From Avondale Rd <br> To Seidel Rd | 3 |
| Bear Creek Rd \& Mink Rd | 3 |
|  <br> 290th | 64 |
| Big Rock Rd From Batten Rd NE to <br> 296 Ave NE | 45 |
| Black Diamond Ravensdale From <br> SE Kent Kangley Rd To 268 Ave <br> SE | 66 |
| Black Diamond-Ravensdale Rd <br> From SR-169 To Kent-Kangley Rd | 64 |
| Black Diamond-Ravensdale Road <br> From City limits To Ravensdale <br> Way | 69 |
| Boise Creek Bridge \#3051 On <br> 276th Ave SE Crossing Boise | 15 |
| Creek |  |
| Boise Creek Bridge \#3052 268th <br> Ave SE Crossing Boise Creek | 15 |
| Burton Dr From Vashon Island Hwy <br> to 95 Ave SW | 74 |
| C.W. Neal Bridge \#249A | 47 |
| C.W. Neal Road Bridge \#249B On <br> C.W. Neal Rd Crossing drainage <br> ditch | 47 |
| C.W. Neal Road Bridge \#249C On <br> C.W. Neal Rd Crossing drainage <br> ditch | 48 |
| Carnation Farm Rd From NE 80 St <br> To SR-203 | 45 |
| Cascade Scenic Highway Bridge <br> \#999X On Cascade Scenic <br> Highway Crossing Miller River <br> Slough | 10 |
| Cedar Falls Rd SE From near <br> Rattlesnake Lake | 42 |
| Cedarhurst Rd From Vashon <br> Highway SW To 121st Ave SW | 72 |
| Cemetery Rd From Westside <br> Highway SW To Vashon Highway <br> SW | 74 |
| Clough Creek (Kimball Creek) <br> Bridge \#900B SE 141st St <br> Crossing Clough Creek | 45 |


| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Coal Creek Bridge \#1086B On <br> 378th Ave SE Crossing Coal Creek | 45 |
| Coal Creek Parkway From Renton <br> City Limits to SE 72 St | 25 |
| Coal Creek Parkway \& May Valley <br> Rd | 25 |
| Cottage Lake Creek Bridge \#240A <br> On Bear Creek Rd Crossing <br> Cottage Lake Creek | 4 |
| Cottage Lake Creek Bridge \#52B <br> On NE 165th St Crossing Cottage <br> Lake Creek | 5 |
| Courtney Rd From Kanaskat- <br> Kangley Rd To End of route | 68 |
| Cove Road From Westside <br> Highway SW To Vashon Highway <br> SW | 72 |
| Covington Bridge \#3085 | 65 |
| Covington Creek Bridge \#3082 <br> Auburn-Black Diamond Road <br> Crossing Covington Creek | 63 |
| Covington Creek Bridge \#3084 | 63 |
| Covington-Lake Sawyer Rd From <br> Covington C/L to 216 Ave SE | 65 |
| Covington-Lake Sawyer Rd From <br> Thomas Rd To 216 Ave SE | 65 |
| Covington-Sawyer Rd From 164 PI <br> SE to 180 Ave SE | 65 |
| Covington-Sawyer Rd From 188 <br> Ave SE to 192 PI SE | 68 |
| Covington-Sawyer Rd From east of <br> 181 Ave SE | 65 |
| Cresent Dr SW From West Side <br> Highway to SW Cove Road | 72 |
| Cumberland-Kanaskat Rd From <br> Retreat-Kanaskat Rd To SE 352nd <br> St | 69 |
| David Powell Rd From Preston-Fall <br> City Rd SE To End of route | 45 |
| Des Moines Way S From S 116 St <br> To S 116th St | 33 |
| Dockton Rd SW From 75th Ave <br> SW To SW 248th St | 72 |
| Dockton Road Preservation From <br> SW Ellisport Road to Portage Way <br> SW | 72 |
| Dorre Don Way Bridge \#3097 <br> Dorre Don Way Crossing drainage <br> ditch | 68 |
| Du Rocher Rd From 172 PI NE To <br> Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 40 |

Page Numbers by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Duvall Slough \#1136B On <br> Woodinville-Duvall Rd Crossing <br> Duvall Slough | 52 |
| Ellisport Rd From Dockton Rd SW <br> To Monument Rd SW | 73 |
| Enumclaw-Franklin Rd From <br> Franklin-Cumberland To SR-169 | 14 |
| Evans Creek Bridge \#578A <br> Redmond-Fall City Rd Crossing <br> Evans Creek | 12 |
| Evans Creek Bridge \#952A On NE <br> Union Hill Rd Crossing Evans <br> Creek | 6 |
| Fifteen Mile Creek Bridge \#1384A <br> On Issaquah-Hobart Rd Over | 63 |
| Fifteen Mile Creek |  |$\quad$| Fifteen Mile Creek Bridge \#493C <br> On SE May Valley Rd Crossing <br> Fifteen Mile Creek | 66 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fire Station Bridge \#186J On <br> Preston-Fall City Rd Crossing <br> Unimproved undercrossing | 48 |
| Fish Hatchery Bridge \#61B SE Fish <br> Hatchery Rd Crossing drainage <br> ditch | 46 |
| Fish Hatchery Rd From SR-202 To <br> SR-202 | 44 |
| Glendale Way S From Myers Wy S <br> to S 112 St | 33 |
| Glendale Way S From S 118 St to <br> Des Moines Mem Wy S | 31 |
| Govenor's Lane From 99 Ave SW <br> to 96 Ave SW | 72 |
| Green River Rd SE From S 258 St <br> To SE 277 St | 56 |
| Green Valley Rd Bridge \#3020 SE <br> Greeen Valley Rd Crossing <br> drainage ditch | 67 |
| Green Valley Rd Bridge \#3022 | 68 |
| Greenwater River Bridge \#3050A <br> SE 496th PI Crossing Packard <br> Creek | 10 |
| Holmes Point Dr From Denny Pk <br> ( entrance) to NE 135 PL | 38 |
| Holmes Point Drive NE at 144 Ave <br> NE | 38 |
| Holmes Point Drive NE From NE <br> 118 St to NE 116 St | 38 |
| Issaquah Bypass Rd \& Issaquah- <br> Hobart Rd to Sunset I/C | 62 |
| Issaquah Fall City Rd ITS From <br> Issaquah-Pine Lake Rd to SR-202 | 11 |


| Project Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { Page } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Issaquah-Beaver Lake Rd \& Duthie Hill Rd | 11 |
| Issaquah-Fall City Rd Ph III | 11 |
| Issaquah-Fall City Rd/Duthie Hill Rd From Klahanie Blvd To 272 PI SE | 11 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd From Issaquah to SR-18 | 62 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd From SE 125 St To SE 127th St | 62 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd \& Mirrormont | 62 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd \& Mirrormont | 63 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From Cedar Grove Rd To SE 156 St | 63 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From City Limit To SE May Valley Rd | 62 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From SE 156 St To SR 18 | 62 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From SE May Valley Rd To Cedar Grove Rd | 63 |
| Issaquah-Hobart/Front St. ITS From Issaquah City Limits to SR 18 | 62 |
| Juanita Drive \& NE 80th St/112th Ave NE | 38 |
| Juanita Drive NE \& NE 132nd St | 38 |
| Juanita-Woodinville Way From NE 145 St To NE 147th St | 39 |
| Juanita-Woodinville Way From NE 149th St To 112th Ave NE | 38 |
| Juanita-Woodinville Way NE From 112 Ave NE to I-405 | 39 |
| Juanita-Woodinville Way NE From 112th Ave NE to NE 145th St | 39 |
| Juanita-Woodinville/NE 160th St. ITS From 100th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE | 39 |
| Kanaskat-Kangley Rd From Cumberland-Kanaskat Rd To KentKangley Rd | 66 |
| Kanaskat-Kangley Rd \& Cumberland-Kanaskat Rd | 70 |
| Kelly Rd From Cherry Valley Rd To Big Rock Rd | 47 |
| Kelly Rd Bridge \#5007 On Kelly Rd NE Crossing drainage ditch | 47 |
| Kent-Black Diamond Rd From SR18 To SE Lake Holm Rd | 64 |
| Kent-Kangley Rd \& KanaskatRetreat Rd | 64 |
| Kent-Kangley Rd \& Landsburg Rd | 64 |
| Kent-Kangley Rd \& Ravensdale Rd | 64 |
| Kent-Kangley Rd From SR 169 To Kanaskat-Kangley Rd | 64 |

Page Numbers by Project Name

| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kingsbury Beach Rd From SW 234 St to 80 Ave SW | 72 |
| Lake Dorothy Overflow Bridge \#359C SE Lake Dorothy Rd Crossing Overflow | 46 |
| Lake Francis Rd From Cedar Grove Rd To SE 192nd St | 67 |
| Lake Holm Rd From Auburn-Black Diamond Rd To Auburn-Black Diamond Rd | 55 |
| Lake Holm Rd From Near Lake Holm (east) | 55 |
| Lake Holm Rd ITS From 148th Ave SE to Auburn Black Diamond Rd. | 55 |
| Lake Joy Bridge \#5034A | 46 |
| Lake Youngs Pipeline Pathway From vicinity of 155 PI SE | 56 |
| Lake Youngs Way Bridge \#3109B SE Lake Youngs Way Crossing Soos Creek | 54 |
| Lakepointe Dr - 175th St \& 64th-68th/SR-522 | 39 |
| Landsburg Rd SE From SE Summit Landsburg Rd To SE Kent Kangley Rd | 68 |
| Maxwell Rd Bridge \#3202 225th Ave SE Crossing cattle UX | 66 |
| Maxwell Rd Bridge \#3099 225th Ave SE Crossing Gem Creek | 66 |
| May Creek Bridge \#5005 \& May Valley Rd over May Creek | 26 |
| May Creek Bridge \#593C | 25 |
| May Creek Bridge \#72A On 148th Ave SE Crossing May Creek | 25 |
| May Valley Rd From Coal Creek Parkway To SR-900 | 25 |
| May Valley Rd From SE 128 WY To Issaquah-Hobart Rd | 62 |
| May Valley Rd \& SE 128th Way | 25 |
| May Valley Rd \& SE 128th Way | 25 |
| May Valley Road From SR-900 To SE 128 WY | 25 |
| May Valley Road ITS From SR 900 to Issaquah Hobart Rd | 26 |
| Meadowbrook Way \& North Bend Way | 44 |
| Meadowbrook Way \& North Bend Way | 44 |
| Middle Fork Rd From 468th Ave SE To 496th Ave SE | 43 |
| Military Rd \& S 320th St | 18 |
| Military Rd \& S 342nd St | 18 |
| Military Rd \& S 342nd St | 19 |


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Military Rd \& S 360th St | 18 |
| Military Rd \& S 360th St | 18 |
| Military Rd S From S 120th St To DES MOINES Way S | 30 |
| Military Rd S From S 340 St to S 342 St | 18 |
| Military Rd S \& S 374 St | 17 |
| Military Rd S \& S Star Lake Rd | 18 |
| Military Rd S \& S Star Lake Rd | 18 |
| Military Rd S From Des Moines Way To S 116 St | 30 |
| Military Rd S From l-5 to S 272 St | 18 |
| Military Rd S From Peasley Canyon Way S To SR-161 | 18 |
| Mill Pond Rd From SE Stearns Rd to SE Reinig Rd | 42 |
| Mill Pond Rd From SR-202 To Reinig Rd | 42 |
| Mink Rd From Bear Creek Rd To Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 3 |
| Mt Si Rd From 452 Ave SE To 800' E | 46 |
| Mt Si Rd From SE North Bend Way To End of route | 46 |
| Mt Si Rd \& 432nd Ave SE | 46 |
| Mt Si Rd \& 432nd Ave SE | 46 |
| Mt Si Rd From North Bend Way To NW Corner of Section 8 | 46 |
| Mud Mountain Rd at 29000 block | 15 |
| Mud Mountain Rd From SR-410 To SR-410 | 15 |
| NE Fay Road | 43 |
| NE Fay Road From SR-203 to 302nd Way NE | 43 |
| NE Money Creek Rd at Money Creek | 44 |
| NE Union Hill Rd From 238 Ave NE To 258 Ave NE | 6 |
| NE Woodinville-Duvall Rd From Old Woodinville-Duvall Rd to W. Snoqualmie Valley Rd | 8 |
| Neal Rd SE From SR-203 to SR203 | 47 |
| Neal Rd SE Sinkhole Repair | 47 |
| Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3040A | 14 |
| Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3042 On SE 416th St Crossing Newaukum Creek | 16 |
| Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3043 On SE 416th St Crossing Newaukum Creek | 16 |
| Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3068 | 13 |

## Page Numbers by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3188 <br> On SE 400th St Crossing <br> Newaukum Creek | 15 |
| Newport Way From 138 Ave SE To <br> Eastgate Park Entrance | 26 |
| Newport Way From 152 Ave SE to <br> 161 Ave SE | 26 |
| Newport Way From SE Allen Rd to <br> 153 Ave SE | 27 |
| Newport Way \& 164 Ave SE | 27 |
| North Fork Rd SE From Wagners <br> Bridge To Wagners Bridge | 45 |
| North Fork Road Shoulder Repair | 10 |
| Novelty Hill Rd From Redmond <br> C/L to 244 Ave NE | 7 |
| Novelty Hill Rd From 243 Ave NE <br> To 243rd Ave NE | 6 |
| Novelty Hill Rd \& Redmond Rd | 7 |
| Novelty Hill Rd \& Redmond Rd | 6 |
| Novelty Hill Road From Avondale <br> Road to Remond C/L | 7 |
| Novelty Hill Road ITS, Ph I From <br> 208th Ave NE to West Snoqualmie <br> Road | 6 |
| Old Cascade Highway at Miller <br> River | 44 |
| Old Woodinville-Duvall Rd From <br> Woodinville-Duvall Rd To <br> Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 7 |
| Orillia Road S \& S 204th St | 19 |
| Paradise Lake Rd From <br> Woodinville-Duvall Rd To County <br> Line | 3 |
| Patterson Creek Bridge \#180L On <br> SE 28 St Crossing Patterson Creek | 11 |
| Patterson Creek Bridge \#344A - <br>  <br> Patterson Creek | 49 |
| Patterson Creek Bridge \#5024A - <br>  <br> Patterson Creek | 12 |
| Patterson Creek Bridge \#927B | 11 |
| Peasley Canyon Rd S \& Peasley <br> Canyon Way S | 21 |
| Peasley Canyon Rd S \& Peasley <br> Canyon Way S | 21 |
| Peasley Canyon Road From <br> Military Rd to West Valley Highway | 21 |
| Peasley Canyon Way S From S. <br> Peasely Canyon Rd to Military Rd. <br> S | 21 |
| Peter Grubb Rd / SE 232 St From <br> SE 224 St To SR-18 | 59 |
|  | 19 |


| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Petrovitsky Rd From 134 Ave SE to <br> 143 Ave SE | 56 |
| Petrovitsky Rd \& SE 192nd St | 57 |
| Petrovitsky Rd \& Sweeney Rd | 57 |
| Petrovitsky Rd \& Sweeney Rd | 57 |
| Petrovitsky Rd SE \& SE 184 St <br> Crossing | 56 |
| Petrovitsky/Sweeney Rd SE ITS <br> From 151st Ave SE and SR 18 | 69 |
| Point Robinson Rd From Dockton <br> Rd SW To End of route | 74 |
| Preston Fall City Rd ITS From I-90 <br> to SR 202 | 48 |
|  <br> SE 82nd St | 48 |
|  <br> SE 82nd St | 48 |
| Preston-Fall City Rd \& SE 43 St | 48 |
| Preston-Fall City Rd From SR-202 <br> To I-90 | 48 |
| Preston-Fall City Rd SE From 334 <br> Ave SE To 33th Ave SE | 48 |
| Quartermaster Drive Seawall From <br> 1/4 mi. east of Monument Rd SW <br> To Dockton Rd SW | 73 |
| Rainier Ave S \& Lakeridge Dr S | 34 |
| Rainier Ave S \& Lakeridge Dr S | 34 |
| Rainier Ave S ITS From Seattle <br> City Limits to Renton City Limits | 34 |
| Reinig Rd From Mill Pond Rd To <br> 396th Dr SE | 42 |
| Reinig Rd From Mill Pond Rd To <br> 428th Ave SE | 42 |
| Renton Ave S From 68th Ave S to <br> S 132nd St | 34 |
| Renton Ave S \& 76 Ave S | 35 |
| Renton Ave S ITS From Rainier <br> Ave S to Rainier Ave N | 34 |
| Retreat Kanaskat Rd SE From SE <br> Kent Kangley Rd To Cumberland <br> Kanaskat Rd | 70 |
| Retreat-Kanasket Rd From Kent- <br> Kangley Rd To Kanasket-Kangley <br> Rd | 70 |
| Roseberg Ave S/22nd Place S <br> From Military Rd S to Des Moines <br> Mem. Dr. S | 31 |
| Roxbury St From 4th Ave SW to <br> 30th Ave SW | 33 |
| Sahalee Way NE \& NE 50th St | 12 |
| Sahalee Way NE \& NE 50th St |  |
| Saybrook Drive NE \& Woodinville- <br> Duvall Rd | 8 |
| 12 |  |

## Page Numbers by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Saybrook Drive NE \& Woodinville- <br> Duvall Rd | 8 |
| SE Green Valley Rd From 243 Ave <br> SE To SR-169 | 67 |
| SE Issaquah-Fall City Rd From <br> 247th Ave SE to Klahanie Dr SE | 11 |
| SE Kent Kangley Rd From City <br> Limit To Landsburg Rd | 64 |
| SE Kent Kangley Rd From <br> Landsburg Rd SE To Retreat <br> Kanaskat Rd SE | 64 |
| SE Lake Dorothy Rd At SE Middle <br> Fork Rd | 43 |
| SE Lake Holm Rd From Auburn <br> Black Diamond Rd To 147 Ave SE | 66 |
| SE Newport Way at 16630 | 27 |
| SE North Bend Wy From SE Mount <br> Si Rd To 436 Ave SE | 44 |
| SE Petrovitsky \& 162nd PI SE | 57 |
| SE Petrovitsky \& 162nd PI SE | 57 |
| SE Summit Landsburg Rd From <br> City Limit To Landsburg | 69 |
| Snoqualmie Valley Rd Bridge <br> \#5009B | 51 |
| Soos Creek Bridge \#3106 On SE <br> 244 St Crossing Soos Creek | 59 |
| Soos Creek Bridge \#3109 On SE <br> 224th St Crossing Soos Creek | 56 |
| Soos Creek Bridge \#3109A SE <br> 216th St Crossing Soos Creek | 55 |
| Soos Creek Bridge \#3110 On SE <br> 208 St Crossing Soos Creek | 58 |
| Soos Creek Bridge \#3205 On <br> 172nd Ave SE Crossing Soos <br> Creek | 60 |
|  <br> 14th/16th Ave S. | 32 |
| Stampede Pass Rail \& Greenriver <br> Headworks Rd | 70 |
| Stampede Pass Rail \& Hudson Rd <br> RR Crossing | 70 |
| Star Lake Rd From Military Rd S to <br> 42 Ave S | 19 |
| Stossell Creek Way From Swan <br> Mill Road to the Snohomish County <br> Line | 45 |
| Summit-Landsburg Rd From <br> Landsburg Rd SE To Kent-Kangley <br> Rd | 67 |
| SW Cemetery Rd From Beall Rd <br> SW to \# 9303 | 73 |
| Sweeney Rd SE From 196 Ave SE <br> To SE 232 St | 68 |


| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Tahlequah Rd From near <br> Tahlequah Ferry Dock | 74 |
| Tate Creek Bridge \#122N On SE <br> 73RD St Crossing TATE Creek | 45 |
| Thomas Rd \& Kent-Black Diamond <br> Rd | 63 |
| Tolt Hill Rd From Tolt Hill Bridge to <br> SR-203 | 49 |
| Tolt Hill Rd From Tolt Hill Bridge To <br> 500' WEST OF SR-203 | 44 |
| Union Hill Rd From 196 Ave NE to <br> 206 PI NE | 5 |
| Union Hill Rd From 229 Ave NE to <br> 238 Ave NE | 6 |
| Union Hill Rd From 201st Ave NE <br> To 201st Ave NE | 5 |
| Union Hill Rd From 208 Ave NE To <br> 238 Ave NE | 5 |
| Union Hill Rd From 238 Ave NE To <br> Ames Lake-Carnation Rd | 5 |
| Union Hill Road ITS Ph I From <br> 196th Ave NE to Ames Lake Rd. | 5 |
| Upper Preston Bridge \#1239A On <br> Upper Preston Rd Crossing Echo <br> Lake Creek | 49 |
| Upper Preston Rd From SE 97th St <br> to SE 97th St | 49 |
| Upper Preston Road | Van |

# Project Index <br> Page Numbers by Project Name 

| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| West Snoqualmie River Road/Tolt Hill Road ITS From WSRR from SE 24th St to Tolt Hill and Tolt from SR-203 to SWRR | 50 |
| West Snoqualmie Road Bridge \#228D On Snoqualmie River Road Crossing drainage ditch | 50 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From Snohomish County Line to Ames Lake-Carnation Rd | 51 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From Woodinville-Duvall Rd To Carnation Rd | 51 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd NE ITS From NE Woodinville Duvall Road to Ames Lake Rd | 50 |
| Westside Highway SW From Cresent Dr SW to Cresent Dr SW | 75 |
| Westside Highway SW From SW 144th St To SW 196th St | 75 |
| Westside Highway SW From SW 220th St To SW 196th St | 75 |
| Willows Road Extension From NE 124 St to NE 145 St | 40 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Bridges (3 Redecks) \#1136D/\#1136C/\#1136E On Woodinville-Duvall Crossing Duvall Slough | 51 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd From 171st Ave NE to Avondale Rd | 7 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd From Avondale Rd To SR-203 | 9 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd From NE 183 St To 185th Ave NE | 8 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 194th Ave NE | 8 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 212th Ave NE | 9 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& Avondale Rd NE | 2 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& Mink Rd NE | 8 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& W. Snoqualmie Valley Rd | 51 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd ITS, Phase I From 168th Ave NE to 212th Ave NE | 8 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd ITS, Phase II From 212th Ave NE to SR-203 | 9 |

## Appendix A

 Growth TargetsKing County 2001-2022 Household and Employment Targets

| Subareas | Household Target | Housing Capacity in PAA* | PAA HH Target | Job Target | Job Capacity in PAA* | PAA Job Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South King County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algona | 298 |  |  | 108 |  |  |
| Auburn | 5,928 | 2,635 | 926 | 6,079 | 252 | 252 |
| Black Diamond | 1,099 |  |  | 2,525 |  |  |
| Burien | 1,552 |  |  | 1,712 |  |  |
| Covington | 1,173 |  |  | 900 |  |  |
| Des Moines | 1,576 | 5 | 2 | 1,695 |  |  |
| Federal Way | 6,188 | 3,754 | 1,320 | 7,481 | 134 | 134 |
| Kent | 4,284 | 1,763 | 619 | 11,500 | 44 | 44 |
| Milton | 50 | 106 | 37 | 1,054 |  |  |
| Maple Valley | 300 |  |  | 804 |  |  |
| Normandy Park | 100 |  |  | 67 |  |  |
| Pacific | 996 | 127 | 45 | 108 |  |  |
| Renton | 6,198 | 5,622 | 1,976 | 27,597 | 458 | 458 |
| SeaTac | 4,478 | 14 | 5 | 9,288 | 496 | 496 |
| Tukwila | 3,200 | 13 | 5 | 16,000 | 497 | 497 |
| Unincorp King County | 4,935 |  |  | 2,582 | 701 | 701 |
| Total | 42,355 | 14,039 | 4,935 | 89,500 | 2,582 | 2,582 |
| East King County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beaux Arts Village | 3 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Bellevue | 10,117 | 184 | 178 | 40,000 | 27 | 27 |
| Bothell | 1,751 | 603 | 584 | 2,000 | 174 | 174 |
| Clyde Hill | 21 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Hunts Point | 1 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Issaquah | 3,993 | 827 | 802 | 14,000 | 1 | 1 |
| Kenmore | 2,325 |  |  | 2,800 |  |  |
| Kirkland | 5,480 | 770 | 747 | 8,800 | 221 | 221 |
| Medina | 31 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Mercer Island | 1,437 |  |  | 800 |  |  |
| Newcastle | 863 | 1 | 1 | 500 |  |  |
| Redmond | 9,083 | 402 | 390 | 21,760 | 21 | 21 |
| Sammamish | 3,842 |  |  | 1,230 |  |  |
| Woodinville | 1,869 |  |  | 2,000 |  |  |
| Yarrow Point | 28 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Unincorp King County | 6,801 | **4222 | **4099 | 4,637 | ${ }^{* * 4193}$ | ${ }^{* * 4193}$ |
| Total | 47,645 | 7,009 | 6,801 | 98,527 | 4,637 | 4,637 |
| Sea-Shore |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Forest Park | 538 |  |  | 455 |  |  |
| Seattle | 51,510 |  |  | 92,083 |  |  |
| Shoreline | 2,651 |  |  | 2,618 |  |  |
| Unincorp King County*** | 1,670 | 1,670 | 1,670 | 694 | 1,544 | 694 |
| Total | 56,369 | 1,670 | 1,670 | 95,850 | 1,544 | 694 |
| Rural Cities **** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carnation | 246 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| Duvall | 1,037 |  |  | 1,125 |  |  |
| Enumclaw | 1,927 |  |  | 1,125 |  |  |
| North Bend | 636 |  |  | 1,125 |  |  |
| Skykomish | 20 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Snoqualmie | 1,697 |  |  | 1,800 |  |  |
| Total | 5,563 |  |  | 5,250 |  |  |
| King County Total | 151,932 |  |  | 289,127 |  |  |

*PAA: Potential Annexation Area in Unincorporated King County Urban Area; **Bear Creek UPD; ***North Highline
${ }^{* * * *}$ The Rural Cities' targets are for the current city limits and rural expansion area for each city. Thus the methodology for adjusting targets as annexations occur is not applicable to the rural cities.
Editor's Note: Source for 2001 housing and job capacity figures for PAAs is the 2002 King County Buildable Lands evaluation. Subarea unincorporated targets were allocated to PAAs based on proportional capacity.

## Appendix B

> City and State Projects

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auburn Way NE | 2nd St NE | 4th St NE | Widen to 5 lanes | Auburn | King County |
| M St NE | E Main | 8th St NE | Widen to 5 lanes | Auburn | King County |
| M St SE | E Main | Auburn Way S | Widen to 4 lanes | Auburn | King County |
| S 277th St | Auburn Way N | Green River | Widen to 5 lanes | Auburn | King County |
| S 277th Street | SR-181 | SR-167 | Widen to 4 lanes | Auburn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { King } \\ & \text { County } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 148th Ave SE | SE 24th St | $\begin{aligned} & 1-90 \text { WB on } \\ & \text { ramp } \end{aligned}$ | Add SB lane from SE 24 ST to the WB I-90 on-ramp | Bellevue | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Bellevue Way | South Bellevue P \& R | 1-90 | Add HOV lanes | Bellevue | King County |
| Coal Creek Pkwy | 1-405 | Newport Way | Widen to 5 lanes | Bellevue | King County |
| Factoria Blvd | SE 36th St | SE 38th St | Construct SB Lane on 128TH from 36TH to 38TH | Bellevue | King County |
| Richards Road | SE 28th St | Lake Hill Connector | Widen to 4-5 lanes | Bellevue | King County |
| Ambaum Blvd SW | SW 128th St | SW 148th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Burien | King County |
| SR 99 | S 216th St | Kent-Des <br> Moines <br> Road | Add HOV lanes | Des Moines | King County |
| SR-410 | 244th Ave SE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Enumclaw } \\ & \text { ECL } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 3 lanes | Enumclaw | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \end{array}$ |
| 16th Ave S | SR-99 | SR-18 | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \end{array}$ |
| 1st Ave S | S 348th St | S 356th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| 1st Ave/Wy S | S 320th St | S 348th St | Widen to 6 lanes | Federal Way | $\begin{aligned} & \text { King } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ |
| 21st Ave SW | SW 344th St | SW 356th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| 23rd Ave S | S 317th St | S 324th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| Military Rd S | S 288th St | S 304th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| S 288th St | 18th Ave S | Military Rd | Add 1 GP lane in each direction | Federal Way | King County |
| S 320th St | 1st Ave S | SR 99 | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { S 336th / S 340th } \\ & \text { St } \end{aligned}$ | 26th PI SW | Hoyt Rd SW | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| S 336th/S 348th <br> St <br> S | 9th Ave S | 13th PI S | Add 1 GP lane in each direction | Federal Way | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S 336th/S 348th } \\ & \text { St } \end{aligned}$ | 1st Ave S | 21st Ave SW | Add 1 GP lane in each direction | Federal Way | $\begin{aligned} & \text { King } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ |
| S 348th St | 9th Ave S | SR 99 | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S 348th St | 1st Ave S | 9th Ave S | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| S 356th St | SR 99 | SR 161 | Widen to 3 lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| S 356th St | 21st Ave S | SR-99 | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| SR 161 | SR-18 | S 352nd St | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| SR 99 | S 312th St | S 324th St | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| SR 99 | S 284TH ST | SR 509 | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| SR 99 | SR 509 | S 312th St | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| SR 99 | S 324th St | S 340th St | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| SR 99 | S 340th St | S 356th St | Add HOV lanes, 2-way left- <br> turn lane | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| SR 99 | S 324th St | Construct HOV lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |  |
| E Lake <br> Sammamish <br> Pkwy | SE 56th St | I-90 | Widen to 5 lanes | Issaquah | King <br> County |
| Issaquah bypass | Front St | I-90 | Construct new 5 lane arterial | Issaquah | King <br> County |
| Newport Way | W. Sunset Wy | NW Maple <br> St | Widen to 3 lanes | Issaquah | King <br> County |
| NW Maple St | SR 900 | SE Newport <br> Way | Extend NW Maple 650 ft from <br> SR-900 to Newport Way, 5 | Issaquah | King <br> County |
| SR 99nes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sontes Road |  |  |  |  |  |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W Valley Hwy | Hawley Rd | S 272 St | Widen to 5 lanes | Kent | King County |
| W Valley Hwy | James Street | Green River Bridge | Widen to seven lanes (two general purpose lanes, and one HOV lane in each direction, plus turn lanes) from Harrison St to SR-516, and four lanes S to the Green River Bridge | Kent | King County |
| 124th Ave NE | NE 85th St | NE 124th St | Widen to 3 lanes | Kirkland | King County |
| NE 124th St | 116th Ave NE | 132nd PI NE | New HOV lanes | Kirkland | King County |
| SR 169 | SE 231 St | Wax Rd | Widen to 7 lanes | Maple Valley | King County |
| SR 169 | SE 240 St | SE 253 St | Widen to 5 lanes | Maple Valley | King County |
| Newcastle Road/Lakemont Blvd | Coal Creek Parkway | 164th Way SE | Widen to 3 lanes | Newcastle | King County |
| Avondale Rd | Novelty Hill Rd | Avondale Way | Add SB HOV lane | Redmond | King County |
| Bel-Red Rd | NE 30th ST | NE 40th ST | Widen to 5 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| East Lake Sammamish Pkwy | Redmond Way | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 187th AVE } \\ & \mathrm{NE} \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 4 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| Redmond Way | 148th Ave NE | 1-405 | Construct HOV lanes | Redmond | King County |
| RedmondWoodinville Rd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160TH AVE } \\ & \text { NE } \end{aligned}$ | NE 124th ST | Widen to 5 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| Union Hill Road | Avondale Rd | 178th PI NE | Widen to 6 lanes | Redmond | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \end{array}$ |
| W Lk Sammamish Pkwy | Leary Way | SR-520 | Widen to 5 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| W. Lk. Sammamish Pkwy. NE | Marymoor Park Entrance | NE 51st St | Widen roadway from 2 to 4 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| Duvall Ave NE | NE 4th St | NE 25th Ct | Widen to 5 lanes | Renton | King County |
| Oakesdale Ave SW | Monster Rd | SR 900 | Widen to 5 lanes | Renton | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \end{array}$ |
| Park Dr-Sunset Blvd | Garden Ave | 1-405 | Add EB HOV lane | Renton | King County |
| SW 27th St | SR-167 | SR 181 | Construct HOV lanes on SW 27 St , and extend arterial to Strander Blvd | Renton | King County |
| 228th Ave SE | SE 8th St | NE 4th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Sammamish | King County |
| 244th Ave NE | SE 8th Street | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Just s/o SR- } \\ 202 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Provide continuous 2-lane arterial | Sammamish | King County |
| Sahalee Way NE | NE 8th | NE 37th | Widen to 5 lanes | Sammamish | King County |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sahalee Way NE | NE 37th | SR 202 | Widen to 5 lanes | Sammamish | King County |
| 28th/24th Ave S | S 188th St | S 216th St | Build new 5-lane road | Seatac | King County |
| International Blvd | S 152nd St | S 170th St | Widen to 6 lanes with turn channelization | Seatac | King County |
| International Blvd | S 200th Street | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { S 216th } \\ & \text { Street } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 7 lanes | Seatac | King County |
| S 154th St | SR 518 | 24th Ave S | Widen to 4 lanes | Seatac | King County |
| S 188th St | 16th Ave S | Des Moines Memorial Drive | Widen to 6 lanes | Seatac | King County |
| S 200th St | SR 509 | Des Moines Memorial Drive | Widen to 3 lanes | Seatac | King County |
| South Airport Link | 28th Ave S | S 188th St | New construction | Seatac | King County |
| Mercer Street Corridor | Queen Anne Ave | 1-5 | Convert to 2-way 4-6 lane road | Seattle | King County |
| Valley Street | Queen Anne Ave | 1-5 | Convert to 2-way 2-lane road | Seattle | King County |
| I-5/NE 185th St |  |  | Add HOV direct access ramp | Shoreline | King County |
| SR 99 | N 205th St | N 145th St | Widen to 7 lanes for HOV | Shoreline | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-405 @ NE } \\ & \text { 128th St } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-405 HOV direct access at NE } \\ & \text { 128th } \end{aligned}$ | Sound Transit | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { l-405 @ NE 8th } \\ & \text { St } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | New HOV-access IC | Sound Transit | King County |
| E Marginal Way | Boeing Access Road | S 112th St | Widen to 3 lanes | Tukwila | King County |
| 1-405 | SR-522 | I-5 Tukwila | Add 2 GP lanes in each direction | WSDOT | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { l-405 @ NE } \\ & 132 \mathrm{nd} \text { St } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Add half-diamond IC | WSDOT | King County |
| I-5 | N 175th St | N 205th St | Add 1 NB lane | WSDOT | King County |
| I-5 | Pierce CL | Kent | Complete 2-way HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| 1-5 | Airport / Industrial Way Interchange Vicinity |  | HOV direct access to Industrial Way and the E-3 Busway | WSDOT | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-5/SR-18/SR-161 } \\ & \text { Triangle } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Connect SR-161 directly to I-5/SR-18 | WSDOT | King County |
| 1-90 | Eastgate | Issaquah | Extend HOV lanes to Front Street and add auxiliary lanes from Eastgate to Front Street. | WSDOT | King County |
| 1-90 | I-5 | 1-405 | Add one lane HOV each direction | WSDOT | King County |
| NE 85th St | 148th Ave NE | Kirkland Way | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 161 | Jovita Blvd | S 360th St | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 167 | $15^{\text {th }}$ St NW | County Line | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 167 | 1-405 | S 180th St | Add 2 lanes in each direction | WSDOT | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR 167@ SW } \\ & \text { 27th St } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | HOV Direct Access Ramps at SW 27th St. | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 169 | 140th Way SE | 1-405 | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 169 | Black Diamond NCL | SR 516 | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 169 | SR 516 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE Jones } \\ & \text { Road } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 18 | I-5 I/C | SR 164 I/C | Add a WB truck climbing lane from SR 167 to I-5 | WSDOT | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \end{array}$ |
| SR 18 | Maple Valley | 1-90 | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 202 | SR 522 | NE 145th St./148th Ave NE | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 202 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E Lk Samm } \\ & \text { Pky } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sahalee Way | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 509/I-5 | S 188th Way | S $320^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | Extend SR 509 (4 GP + 2 HOV) to I-5 @ SW 210th, add 1 GP each way on I-5 from S 204th St to S 320th St | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 516 | SR 18 | SR 169 | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 518 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR 518/SR } \\ & 509 \text { I/C } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | I-5 | Add GP Lanes each way. I/C improvements | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 519 <br> Extenstion | 1-90 | 1st Ave S | Extend freeway around ballpark | WSDOT | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| SR 520 | W Lake Sammamish Parkway | Avondale Road | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 520 | 1-405 | I-5 | Add 1 HOV lane in each direction. Replace SR 520 bridge | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 520 | W Lk <br> Sammamish Pkwy | SR-202 | Add 2-way HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 522 | 96th Ave NE | Woodinville | Realign SR-522 through Bothell. Complete full diamond I/C @ NE 195th St | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 900 | 1-90 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE 78th St } \\ & \text { St } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 99 | S 284th St | S 272nd St | Add 2-way Business, Access and Transit (BAT) lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 99 (Pacific Highway South) | S 348th St | S 188th St | Provide continuous HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| 8th St E | E Valley Hwy | W Valley Hwy | Widen to 5 lanes | Pierce County | Pierce County |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Tapps Pkwy E | 182nd Ave E | East Valley Hwy | Extend arterial from EVH to 182 nd \& widen to $4 / 5$ lanes | Pierce County | Pierce County |
| Valley Ave E/70th Ave E | Freeman Rd E | 20th St E | Widen to 5 lanes | Pierce County | Pierce County |
| SR-410 | SR-167 | Bonney Lake | Add 1 lane in each direction + EB hillclimb lane | Sumner | Pierce County |
| Norpoint Way | 49th Ave NE | 29th St NE | Provide 3-lane roadway | Tacoma | Pierce County |
| I-5 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { DuPont Rd U- } \\ \text { xing } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Fort Lewis Rd | Add HOV lanes in both directions, and NB GP lane | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| I-5 | Fort Lewis Rd | Gravelly <br> Lake Dr U- <br> xing | Add HOV lane in both directions | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| I-5 | Gravelly Lake Dr U-xing | Carlyle Rd U-xing | Add SB HOV lane \& convert NB GP lane to HOV | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| I-5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carlyle Rd U- } \\ & \text { xing } \end{aligned}$ | Pierce CL | Add HOV lanes in each direction | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-16 | I-5 | SR-302 | Add HOV lanes in each direction | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-161 | Jovita Blvd | 36th St | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-161 | 176th St | 234th St | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-167 | 1-5 | Puyallup | Build new six-lane freeway (2 GP +1 HOV each direction) | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-167 | SR-18 | SR-161 | Add HOV lanes in each direction | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-167 | 1-5 | Port of Tacoma | Build new four-lane freeway | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-167 @ 24th Ave E |  |  | Build new interchange | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-410 | 214th | 234th | Add 1 lane in each direction | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-410 | 214th Ave E | Park Ave Wy | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| 1-405 | SR-522 | I-5 Swamp Creek | Add 2 GP lanes in each direction | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| I-5 | SR-526 | SR-2 | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| I-5 | 44th Ave W | 220th St SW | Add NB auxiliary lane | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| 1-5 | SR-2 | SR-528 | Add 1 HOV lane in each direction | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| SR-2 | SR-522 | City of Monroe ECL | Add new 2-lane bypass road | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| SR-2 | 1-5 | SR-204 | Add 1 Hov lane in each direction | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| SR-2 | City of Monroe ECL | City of Sultan WCL | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| SR-2 | City of Sultan WCL | Fir Rd (near Proctor Creek) | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish County |


| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SR-522 | Snohomish <br> River | SR-2 | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-522 | Paradise Lake <br> Rd | Snohomish <br> River | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-524 | I-5 | SR-527 | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-527 | SR-524 | SE 228th St | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-9 | SR-522 | 176th St E | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-99 | SR-104 | 204th | Add 1 HOV lane in each <br> direction | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |

## Appendix C
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## King County Road Services Division PROJECT PRIORITY PROCESSES

## CAPACITY NEEDS

Forecast travel information was used to identify future capacity needs and potential improvements. The travel forecasting model was developed by King County DOT staff using EMME/2 travel demand forecasting modeling software.

The model was calibrated to base year 2000 conditions using 2000 census data, existing roadway information, and empirical traffic count data. Detailed documentation of this model resides in the offices of the King County Department of Transportation, Roads Services Division.

A forecast year of 2022 was chosen consistent with the land use element of the comprehensive plan as required by state growth management legislation (RCW36.70A.070(6)). The model was run with regionally-adopted, 2022 target land use data for population and employment distributed to the model's zonal system. Growth targets and land use assumptions are included in Appendix A of this document. The model road network was developed to represent existing conditions plus a limited number of capacity projects that were considered committed for development and therefore certain to be in place by 2022. The Washington State Department of Transportation's 20-year list of transportation improvements to the state highway system was included in the network as were city projects that were listed in the 20-year time horizon of the regional plan, Destination 2030. City and state projects are listed in Appendix B.

By forecasting future year travel demand on a roadway network comprised of only existing and committed projects, it is possible to highlight areas that lack the capacity needed to accommodate the travel demand associated with the target year. This capacity needs information was identified by analyzing model results using forecast traffic volumes and forecast ratios of traffic volumes to roadway capacity.

Once the areas of forecast needs were identified, additional capacity was coded into the network to represent projects that might accommodate those needs. The model was run again using 2022 land use data. The results were analyzed using forecast traffic volumes, forecast ratios of traffic volumes to roadway capacity, and existing traffic count data. Additional adjustments were made to model network capacity to optimize performance. This process was repeated several times to identify the best set of capacity projects for meeting forecast needs based on the assumptions and conditions represented in the model.

The resulting needs represents the network capacity increases added to the final or optimum model run. This list represents the roadway capacity needs for 2022 assuming the regionallyadopted land use forecasts for population, households, and employment used to develop the land use component of the King County Comprehensive Plan 2004. All needs identified through this process are included in the needs list section of this document. Needs are also shown on maps included in Section III.

Since the capacity needs clearly exceeded available revenues, a priority scoring methodology was developed to help balance needs with available revenue. This methodology incorporated existing, empirical data; forecast data for 2022 without an improved roadway network; and forecast data for 2022 with an improved roadway network. The following data elements were collected, calculated, and scored:

- Average weekday traffic
- Existing traffic volume to roadway capacity ratios
- 2022 forecast volume to capacity ratios (without capacity improvement)
- 2022 forecast traffic volumes with capacity improvements
- Ratio between 2022 traffic volumes to roadway capacity for the unimproved network compared with the volume to capacity ratio for the improved network
- Arterial Classification of the project need

A description of this scoring system is included in the following table.

## Priority Scoring for Capacity Projects

EXISTING Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for project
5 groupings based on magnitude of ADT - from Count Station locations

| ADT Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>20,000$ | 5 |
| $15,000-20000$ | 4 |
| $10,000-15,000$ | 3 |
| $5,000-10,000$ | 2 |
| $<5,000$ | 1 |

EXISTING Volume to Capacity Ratio (V/C) problem in 2000 - from the model
5 groupings based on severity of V/C

| V/C Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>1.2$ | 5 |
| $1.0-1.2$ | 4 |
| $8 .-1.0$ | 3 |
| $.6-.8$ | 2 |
| $<.6$ | 1 |

Yr 2022 V/C problem without improvements
5 groups rated on severity of V/C problem

| V/C Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>1.4$ | 5 |
| $1.2-1.4$ | 4 |
| $1.0-1.2$ | 3 |
| $.6-1.0$ | 2 |
| $<.6$ | 1 |

Year 2022 ADT with final recommended improvements

| ADT Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>40,000$ | 5 |
| 30,000 to 40,000 | 4 |
| 20,000 to 30,000 | 3 |
| 10,000 to 20,000 | 2 |
| $<10,000$ | 1 |

Year 2022 Improvement in V/C, Recommended Improvement verses no action

| Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>.6 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ change | 5 |
| .5 to $.6 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ change | 4 |
| .4 to .5 change | 3 |
| .3 to $.4 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio | 2 |
| .2 to $.3 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio | 1 |

SYSTEM-Level ratings
Arterial Classification

| Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Principal | 3 |
| Minor | 2 |
| Collector | 1 |
| Local | 0 |

## FINAL SCORES AND GROUPING

Score 27 to $24=$ High Priority Group
Score 23 to $20=$ Medium Priority Group
Score 19 and below = Low Priority Group

## NON-CAPACITY NEEDS

Non-capacity needs are prioritized by groups of like needs. Existing prioritization processes have been developed either in-house or by consultants for various categories including bridge, guardrail, high accident location, traffic signals, and others.

Existing prioritization processes used to develop the TNR are summarized below.

## HIGH ACCIDENT LOCATION (HAL) AND HIGH ACCIDENT ROAD SEGMENT (HARS) NEEDS

In 2002-2003 the King County Department of Transportation list of prioritized High Accident Location (HAL) and High Accident Road Segment (HARS) Needs was updated. The first step in this process was to develop a list of candidate HAL and HARS locations for review and analysis. An initial list was compiled based on accident data from the three-year period 19982000. The list was made up of locations that had eight or more recorded accidents in the threeyear period.

Certain locations were eliminated from consideration for inclusion in the final list of HAL and HARS locations and needs. These include:

- Locations where recent improvements were judged likely to have a significant effect on the predominant accident patterns were omitted as were locations slated for near-term improvements judged likely to have a significant effect on the predominant accident patterns.
- Locations requiring additional data or analysis were identified and eliminated.
- Any locations that had been recently annexed by other jurisdictions were excluded.
- Sites with no clear accident pattern and no noted deficiencies were excluded.
- Several locations have accident rates considered normal for their ADT. This is a result of their being selected based on the number of accidents in a 3-year period as opposed to accident rate. Sites with normal accident rates, no clear accident pattern, and no noted deficiencies were excluded.
- A few locations were eliminated because the only countermeasures that could be determined were deemed infeasible based upon their impact on traffic flow.

Relevant data were collected for each HAL and HARS location. Field trips were made to collect site-specific data. Site diagrams were sketched, and sites were photographed. This information was added to traffic volume data and accident data from King County's database and was used in the subsequent location-specific analysis. Accident data were used to identify predominant accident patterns.

Although each HAL and HARS location is unique, certain accident patterns are indicative of site deficiencies that can be addressed by specific countermeasures. Countermeasures are improvements that address the accident patterns at a given location. The purpose of a countermeasure is to reduce the occurrence of accidents. There is a broad range of
countermeasures, with approaches ranging from changing roadway geometrics to altering traffic signal timing.

Countermeasures were developed for each of King County's HAL and HARS locations based on predominant accident patterns, field observations, County practices, and the experience of the review team.
General assumptions were made based on average daily traffic (ADT) as to the general suitability of certain countermeasures such as the installation of new signals and left-turn channelization.

Although safety is a primary objective when developing countermeasures, other factors, such as level of service impacts, must be considered. Consideration also was given to the County's standard practices and procedures. County practices deemed applicable to the countermeasure selection process are:

- At signalized intersections, the use of split phasing is discouraged.
- Where no left-turn phasing exists, County practice is generally to first implement protected/permissive left-turn phasing prior to exclusive protected left-turn phasing.
- Where advance-warning signs already exist and accidents still occur, the next step is to install flags to warning signs on tangents and flashing beacons to warning signs on curves.
- Warrants need to be met for application of certain countermeasures such as installation of new signals, stop signs, and left-turn channelization.

Each countermeasure is associated with a corresponding accident reduction factor. Accident reduction factors are a measure of the potential effectiveness of a particular countermeasure. (Actual factors used were based on the Kentucky Transportation Center's Development of Accident Reduction Factors, Research Report, KTC-96-13.) There are different ways in which accident reduction factors can be applied. Some reduction factors are broken out by accident severity, for example, property damage only, injury, or fatality. Some are broken out by accident type, for example, left-turn, right angle, nighttime. Some general reduction factors are applied to all accidents. In general, when both accident-specific reduction factors and general reduction factors were given for the same countermeasure, the accident-specific reduction factors were applied. This decision was made to avoid over estimation of potential accident reduction resulting from applying multiple general countermeasures addressing the same accident pattern. The accuracy of the predicted accident reduction is a combination of the selection of both appropriate countermeasures and appropriate reduction factors based on individual site circumstances.

## Benefit/cost analysis

Once countermeasures were developed and potential accident reductions were calculated, a benefit-cost analysis was prepared for each location. The benefit/cost ratio accounts for economics and therefore is frequently used to prioritize safety improvements. This method was also used to prioritize the 1996 HAL and HARS projects.

Quantification of the benefit of accidents avoided was based on accident cost figures compiled by WSDOT and derived from national sources. The probable number of reduced accidents was multiplied by the estimated WSDOT accident cost and divided by three (corresponding to three years of accident data) to determine an annual benefit. Countermeasure benefits were converted to a present value normalized over 20 years to account for projects with different service lives.

Planning-level countermeasure cost estimates were developed for use in the benefit/ cost analysis. Since the cost estimates could not be based on an actual design, it was necessary to make general assumptions in determining total project costs. To help simplify the cost estimating process, some of the countermeasures and components of countermeasures were assigned lump sum costs.

The benefit/cost ratio is equal to the benefit of the probable accident reduction divided by the project cost. A benefit/cost ratio greater than 1 indicates the benefits of a proposed countermeasure are greater than the costs. For HALs, the benefit/cost ratio ranged from 0.1 to 76 with six countermeasures resulting in a benefit/cost ratio of less than 1.0. For HARSs, the benefit/cost ratio ranged from 0.1 to 211 , with ten countermeasures resulting in a benefit/cost ratio less than 1.0.

The results of the benefit/cost analysis and detailed documentation of the process used are contained in the report, High Accident Locations and Road Segments Analysis, King County, Washington; Jacobs Civil Inc.; July 2003.

## BRIDGE NEEDS

Assessment of bridge needs begins with inspection. The inspection system, which is based on the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS), calculates a sufficiency rating based on such factors structural adequacy and safety, serviceability and functional obsolescence, and how essential the bridge is for public use. The rating ranges from zero (worst) to 100 (best). Under this system, all bridges having a sufficiency rating less than or equal to 50 are either functionally obsolete or structurally deficient and are equally eligible for federal replacement funds. Any bridge with a sufficiency rating less than or equal to 80 that is functionally obsolete or structurally deficient is also eligible for rehabilitation funds.

Sufficiency rating alone establishes eligibility for federal funding, but it is inadequate to prioritize bridges for replacement or rehabilitation. It does not give enough weight to important criteria such as load limitations, hydraulics, geometric deficiency, and expected useful life. The priority process establishes the need for individual bridge replacement by score and rank using criteria approved by the King County Council (Ord. 11693).

The bridge seismic study completed in 1994 ranks the relative need of seismic retrofits for each bridge included in the study. Bridges scheduled for replacement or rehabilitation within 10 years were excluded. The study assigned equal weights to four criteria: structural vulnerability, importance, seismicity, and life hazard. The final assessment of which bridges to retrofit
considers the potential for the bridge to become a viable replacement candidate and to be replaced within ten years. Consideration is given to such factors as whether the bridge provides a sole access and if the cost of the retrofit is a reasonable amount to invest for a limited period of protection prior to replacement.

Priority process rankings are used in the development of the annual six-year CIP. Highest priority projects are in the current CIP. Consideration for additions are guided by the following goals: add the highest priority bridges to the replacement program, continue with existing seismic retrofit program, establish a routine painting program, and provide for major maintenance and repairs that cannot be accomplished by Maintenance Operations.

The methodology for prioritizing bridge needs is documented in, "Proposed Prioritization Process for King County Bridge Needs," King County Department of Public Works, Roads and Engineering Division, July 1994 and "2002 Annual Bridge Report of the King County Department of Transportation, Road Services Division, Structural Design and Bridge Inspection Unit," April 2003.

## SHORT-SPAN BRIDGE NEEDS

The Short-Span Bridge Program was started in 2006 to address the needs of short bridges nearing the end of their useful life. These bridges are less than twenty feet in length, and ineligible for federal or state bridge funds. The Road Services Division has identified over 50 bridges for this new program. The bridges have been inventoried and assigned a priority. It is expected that the bridge replacement program will last for a number of years, as several of the top ranked bridges will be will be implemented each year in a two year, design -- build schedule.

The priority array used for the Short-Span Bridge Program is the same priority array used for the other bridge needs.

## ROADSIDE BARRIER (GUARDRAIL) NEEDS

The methodology for identifying and ranking potential sites for safety mitigation using roadside barriers, specifically guardrails and bridge rails, was revised in 2002-2003. The new methodology is quantitative and was used to develop priority arrays for each of three categories of barriers: new barriers, retrofits to existing barriers, and bridge rail upgrades.

The methodology has two principal considerations-risk potential and severity. The risk potential factor is a function of parameters that quantify the exposure and probability associated with vehicles running off the road. Severity is a function of parameters that quantify and rate personal injury potential. These factors were derived from current statistics and existing roadside features. Factors are based on accidents, average daily traffic (ADT), road functional classification, corridor geometry, bridge geometry, speed limit, need as defined by embankment
slopes, and roadside obstacles. The algorithms for retrofit barriers and bridge rail upgrades also incorporate parameters for existing barrier and rail deficiencies.

The primary source for establishing potential new barrier locations was the existing barrier priority array initially established in 1988. All locations remaining on the list were included in the array. In addition, a comprehensive roadside hazard inventory was completed for the King County arterial roadway system and analyzed to identify locations that might require barriers. Twenty-one sites were identified for further investigation. Additional non-arterial sites suggested by citizens and county employees were also included.

All sites with existing roadside barriers that are not compliant with standards were included as candidates for barrier retrofit. About have the existing barriers are non compliant and were therefore included as candidates. Risk exposure and degree of deficiency were the primary considerations in the prioritization process. Severity was less of a concern than for new barriers because it was assumed that all barrier locations were warranted.

All bridges and culvert crossings maintained by King County were included as candidates for bridge rail upgrades. Many of the candidate bridges were built prior to 1964 and do not have bridge railings designed to current safety standards. The bridge rail array identifies locations with safety deficiencies and prioritizes their upgrade. Three specific bridge deficiency and difficulty factors were established: structural deficiency, difficulty of upgrade, and end transition deficiency. In addition, a risk potential factor (average daily traffic) and a severity factor (posted speed limit) were included.

Priority arrays were developed for each of the three categories of barrier using the appropriate factors and algorithms. Each priority array was fully tested following development. Statistically valid sample sizes were developed for each array, and engineers field reviewed and ranked the sites. In each case, rankings correlated $90 \%$ or better with the results of the priority arrays.

Detailed documentation of priority array development and methodology is available in the document, King County Roadside Barrier Program Priority Array Development; September 2003; Jacobs Civil Inc., TransCore ITS, Inc., Garry Struthers Associates, Inc.; for King County Department of Transportation Traffic Engineering Section.

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL PRIORITY PROCESS

The process to prioritize signals conforms to the laws set forth by the federal government, adopted with amendments by state government, and presented in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) published by the Federal Highway Administration and the U.S. Department of Transportation. The prioritization process evaluates signal warrants (tests) set forth in the MUTCD and assigns rating values to each warrant. The rating values assign weights to the individual warrants. The sum of the individual warrant rating values provides a basis for comparison to other potential signal locations.

Prioritization and selection of intersections for signalization starts with data collection. Traffic Engineering staff members collect data on vehicle and pedestrian volumes, prevailing speeds, and accident history at each intersection over the most recent three-year period. Each intersection is then evaluated using MUTCD warrants based on the number of approach lanes and the collected data.

The MUTCD states that the signal warrants define the minimum conditions under which installing a traffic control signal might be justified. However, selection and use of traffic control signals should be based on careful analysis of traffic operations, pedestrian and bicyclist needs and other factors, coupled with engineering judgment. Traffic signals should not be installed unless one or more of the eight signal warrants is met. Three of these warrants are based on traffic volumes at several periods during the day: the peak hour, the fourth highest hour, and the eighth highest hour. Another warrant examines the traffic accident history, focusing attention of accidents correctable by signalization (left-turn and right-angle types). Two warrants examine pedestrian activity to determine if pedestrian volumes warrant signalization. The final two warrants examine whether signalization would improve traffic flow in a coordinated signal system or roadway network.

Four primary warrants are used in the evaluation of all intersections. The remaining warrants are most applicable to urban sites with frequent pedestrian activity. Such sites are less common in unincorporated King County.

The four primary warrants are:

1. Warrant \#1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

Condition A: Minimum Vehicular Volume
Condition B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic
2. Warrant \#2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
3. Warrant \#3 - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume
4. Warrant \#7-Crash Experience

To the MUTCD warrants, King County adds a factor for proximity to school site. This additional factor does not replace the pedestrian-related warrants. For locations near schools, shopping, and other pedestrian attractors, the volume of pedestrian activity is examined as well as pedestrian warrants. The proximity to school factor addresses the potential for pedestrian activity outside the average-day activities.

Rating values representing the degree to which signal warrants are met are calculated for each warrant. Values are summed by intersection, and the list of intersections is sorted to separate those that meet signal warrants from those that do not. Intersections that meet warrants are sorted by rating value from the largest to the smallest and are then numbered according to their order in the list. The resulting list of rank-ordered intersections is commonly called the priority array. It provides a starting point for determining the locations to signalize.

Intersections on the top of the priority array undergo extensive evaluation of alternatives including existing and forecast traffic operational analyses to determine the effectiveness of each
alternative, turn pocket lengths, and cost comparisons. Alternative measures to signalization include, but are not limited to, the construction of additional lanes, revising the intersection geometrics to channelize movements, installing street lighting, improving sight distance, roundabouts, measures to reduce approach speeds, changing lane use assignments, restricting movements, adding stop controls or intersection flashers. Particular attention is given to the predominant type of accident recurring at the intersection. A committee of signal design and maintenance staff reviews the information developed from these analyses and selects the improvement providing the safest, most cost-effective, long-term solution.

Detailed documentation of the signal prioritization process is contained in the report, King County Countywide Signal Program, Signal Priority Process, King County Road Services Division, Traffic Engineering Section, July 2004.

## PEDESTRIAN NEEDS

The Pedestrian Priority Process (PPP) focuses on improving the most critical pedestrian facilities in unincorporated King County. This process helps the County identify and prioritize pedestrian walkway improvements for construction. PPP was initiated in response to concerns expressed by the King County Council regarding pedestrian safety. The program uses a rating process developed in 1990-1991.

There are four main steps to the process:
Identification of Candidate Locations - A list of potential improvements is compiled from recommendations by Road Services Division personnel, business and community groups, and the general public.

Preliminary Screening and Scoping of Candidate Locations - Road Services Division employees field check each location to eliminate those that are not significant safety hazards or that are infeasible.

Determination of Priority Process Score - Potential improvements are rated based on the following eight evaluation criteria:

1. auto traffic volume (TV)
2. auto speed limits (Sp)
3. pedestrian volume (PV)
4. physical safety of existing pedestrian facilities (EF)
5. accident history (Ac)
6. appearance on other plans ( Pl )
7. linkage to other pedestrian trails and pathways (L)
8. benefits to other travel modes: bicyclists, equestrians, bus riders, and the disabled (M)

Values for these criteria are used in the following formula to derive a total priority score:
$2 \times\{(\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Sp} \times \mathrm{PV} \times \mathrm{EF})+\mathrm{Ac}\}+\mathrm{Pl}+\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}=$ Priority Score
Evaluation of Candidate Locations - Potential projects are reviewed. Low-scoring projects and those with prohibitive costs are given less consideration. The highest scoring projects are considered candidate projects for inclusion in the Road Services Division capital facilities plans.

Documentation of this process is contained in the report, The Pedestrian Priority Process, 1991, King County Roads and Engineering Division.

## INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (ITS) NEEDS

The corridor projects provide an overall ITS improvement program for key regional corridors. The key corridors were identified from the 2004 Transportation Needs Report (TNR) and from stakeholder feedback regarding transportation needs in unincorporated King County. ITS improvements proposed for the identified corridors include cameras, vehicle detection, traffic signal equipment and timing upgrades, pavement conditions sensors, and other devices where needs warrant, as well as communications infrastructure to support these devices. For the most part, these corridors are linked to each other or to other King County ITS projects, allowing for communications continuity and the establishment of a regional ITS corridor network. The corridors include both urban arterials and smaller-capacity rural roads.

A total of 34 corridor projects were identified. As with any planned improvement program, all of the projects cannot begin at once, and a prioritization process is needed to determine which projects best meet the needs of the County based upon their ability to meet key criteria. Criteria for analyzing the project priorities were established based upon examples from the 2004 Transportation Needs Report (TNR), as well as other criteria specific to ITS projects and the needs of the County. Each criterion was analyzed on a scale of $1-5$ points; no single criterion was weighted more heavily than another. Priorities were established by totaling the points received by each project. A general priority level (Low, Medium, High) was then assigned by comparing the scores each project received.

It is recognized that actual project deployments are likely to be affected by such factors as funding availability and dependence on other projects, as well as require additional investigation into overall project feasibility. Therefore, the intent of the exercise was to provide a relative analysis of King County's ITS priorities, and not to establish a set order for deployment.

## ITS Corridor Projects

The corridor projects include a broad cross-section of both urban and rural corridors, dispersed across the county. This section describes the process and criteria that was used to assign a relative (high, medium, low) priority to each project. These criteria were established with the purpose of providing a quantitative assessment of each project's alignment with King County needs and priorities. To the extent possible, the prioritization method was based upon criteria used in the 2004 TNR. The criteria include:

Average Daily Traffic (ADT): This criterion used the same traffic volume scale as capacity projects to assign priority to corridor projects along roads with the highest average daily traffic counts.

| ADT Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>20,000$ | 5 |
| $15,000-20,000$ | 4 |
| $10,000-15,000$ | 3 |
| $5,000-10,000$ | 2 |
| $<5,000$ | 1 |

Volume to Capacity Ratios: This criterion gave priority to roads whose volumes were approaching or exceeding capacity, based upon the following scale used in the TNR:

| V/C Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>1.2$ | 5 |
| $1.0-1.2$ | 4 |
| $.8-1.0$ | 3 |
| $.6--.8$ | 2 |
| $<.6$ | 1 |

Accident Rates: Corridors with high accident rates were considered higher priority, using the following scale:

| Accident Rate | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>4.1$ | 5 |
| Below 4.0 | 4 |
| Below 3.0 | 3 |
| Below 2.0 | 2 |
| Below 1.0 | 1 |

Transit Ridership: Corridors with greater volume of transit ridership were considered higher priority, using the following scale:

| Average Weekday Ridership | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>400$ | 5 |
| $300-400$ | 4 |
| $200-300$ | 3 |
| $100-200$ | 2 |
| $1--100$ | 1 |

Potential for Annexation: Proposed and approved land annexations for 2004 and 2005 were reviewed as well as proposed future annexations. Corridors with little probability of annexation were considered higher priority using the following scale:

| Proposed Annexation Year | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rural | 5 |
| $>2010$ | 4 |
| $2009-2010$ | 3 |


| $2007-2008$ | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2005-2006$ | 1 |

Availability of Communications: Corridors with access to communications infrastructure were considered higher priority, using the following scale:

| Communications | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| King County fiber existing on corridor | 5 |
| King County or WSDOT fiber nearby | 4 |
| INET Hub Nearby | 3 |
| Other | 2 |
| None / Unknown | 1 |

Links to Other Existing/Planned Projects: Higher priority was given to corridor projects that could coordinate or build off of other county ITS corridor projects, as follows:

| Projects | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Links to Funded / Existing King County <br> Corridor Project | 5 |
| Links to Other Strategic Plan Project | 3 |

Hazard Areas: King County has identified a number of hazards along county roadways, including High Accident Road Segments (HARS), High Accident Locations (HAL), and areas prone to flooding, ice, and landslides. Corridors with two or more of these hazard locations were given a score of 5; corridors with one identified hazard were given a score of 3 .

| Hazard Areas | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Two or more hazards in corridor | 5 |
| One identified hazard in corridor | 3 |
|  |  |

Final Priority Ranking

| Total Corridor Priority | Total Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| High | Score $>23$ |
| Medium | Score $22-17$ |
| Low | Score $<16$ |

## VULNERABLE ROAD SEGMENTS (VRS) STUDY

The Vulnerable Roadway Segments (VRS) study was instituted in 2005 to identify and address specific roadway funding needs throughout the County. A vulnerable road segment was defined as a road segment that requires abnormally expensive and/or frequent repairs. This includes roads with failing retaining walls, seawalls, roads with chronic settlement problems, or roadways close to rivers with repetitive erosion problems.

The first step of the study was to identify the vulnerable road segments throughout the County. The identification process consisted of a two-pronged effort; researching existing lists of
problem roads as well as finding new segments. The data collected from researching existing lists and working with the Road Services Division Maintenance Section provided enough information to start compiling a comprehensive list of the roadway segments found.

## Priority Array Description

The factors shown in the pie chart below were used in developing the priority rank formula for vulnerable roadway segments. The value assigned to each of the factors was either calculated or collected from various data sources. The percentage of influence each category has in producing the priority rank is shown in the pie chart below.

The factors were chosen by the project team and refined through an iterative process. After each iteration, the values and percentages of the factors, as well as the segment rankings were studied for reasonableness. The overall goal was achieved when the full numerical range of each factor was well distributed among the segments and the weighting percentage of each factor seemed to result in a logical ranking of segments.

## Priority Ranking Factors



The Maintenance Cost / Year is the average estimated amount of money spent each year repairing the road segment to correct the identified problem in the short term. Projects with higher annual maintenance costs are given more priority.

$$
\text { Factor }=\frac{M \times f}{20,000} \times 25
$$

where $M=$ estimated maintenance cost/year (in thousands of dollars)
$f=$ the frequency of the maintenance each year
$20,000=$ the maximum maintenance cost/year
$25=$ the maximum number of points possible for this factor

The Construction Cost / Vehicle factor divides the cost of the permanent construction fix (i.e., not a maintenance repair) by the average daily number of vehicles that travel the road. Projects with a lower cost benefiting a higher number of vehicles are given a higher priority.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Factor }=20-\frac{C / A D T}{1500} \times 20 \text { (Factor }=0 \text { if formula results in negative value) } \\
& \text { where } C=\text { cost of permanent construction fix } \\
& \text { ADT = average daily traffic count on segment } \\
& 1500=\text { highest C/ADT ratio, except for a few outliers (1500 chosen to keep this } \\
& \text { factor well distributed among segments) } \\
& 20=\text { maximum number of points possible for this factor }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Impact of Failure factor accounts for the importance in correcting a vulnerable roadway segment. The project team made many field visits evaluating the majority of the vulnerable roadway segments, classifying the roadway problem, and performing a preliminary engineering assessment to score the roadway vulnerabilities. Each of the road segments was scored 1 to 5 addressing the predicted consequences if no action were taken to correct the problem. The scoring is as follows:

Score = 1 If problem is left uncorrected, total failure would likely occur, resulting in closure of the entire road.
Score = 2 If problem is left uncorrected, partial (or possibly total) failure of the road could occur, closing half (or all) of the road.
Score $=3$ If problem is left uncorrected, partial failure of road could occur, closing a shoulder and/or possibly a lane of the road.
Score $=4$ If problem is left uncorrected, minor loss of road function could occur in near future.
Score $=5$ If problem is left uncorrected, maintenance would be necessary with no foreseeable loss of road function.

| If Score $=1$, Factor $=20$ | Values of factors determined by an |
| :--- | :--- |
| If Score $=2$, Factor $=11$ | exponential function (as opposed to a |
| If Score $=3$, Factor $=6$ | linear function), to weigh full or partial |
| If Score $=4$, Factor $=3$ | road closures much more heavily than a |
| If Score $=5$, Factor $=0$ | minor loss of road function. |

The Driver Inconvenience factor of each road segment measures the overall level of driver inconvenience if a vulnerable road segment is closed. The detour length and the traffic volume on the segment is considered in this factor. Segments involving longer detours with higher traffic volumes are given more priority.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Factor } & =\frac{l \times A D T}{95,000} \times 15 \\
\text { where } & l=\text { length of detour caused by closed road segment } \\
& \text { ADT = average daily traffic on segment } \\
& 95,000=\text { maximum l/ADT ratio (except for one outlier) } \\
& 15=\text { maximum number of points possible for this factor }
\end{aligned}
$$

If a segment is part of a planned project in the CIP or TNR, the Inclusion in Future Project factor gives priority to such segments to account for the opportunity to complete two needs with one project.

Factor $=10$ if segment included in other project
Factor $=0$ if segment not included in other project
The Guardrail Need factor is a yes or no toggle identifying the need for guardrail on the vulnerable segment. Road segments slated for future guardrail projects are given more priority to account for the opportunity to fulfill two needs with one project.
Factor $=10$ if guardrail is needed on segment
Factor $=0$ if guardrail is not needed on segment
All of the priority ranking factors are then weighted to the percentages shown in the pie chart above and summed to produce a score between 0 and 100, ranking the different road segments and identifying the best project candidates. The road segments with the lower scores are the best candidates for road projects.

## Sample calculation

The following sample calculation for vulnerable segment of NE Woodinville Duvall Road (steep slopes above and below roadway) will help illustrate how the final rating scores were calculated:

Maintenance Cost / Year (25 points max.)

$$
\text { Factor }=\frac{M \times f}{20,000} \times 25=(\$ 10,000 \times 0.5 \text { times/year }) / 20,000 \times 25=\mathbf{6}
$$

Score is only 6 out of 25 due to relatively inexpensive repairs at infrequent frequency - once every two years.

Construction Cost / Vehicle (20 points max.)

$$
\text { Factor }=20-\frac{C / A D T}{1500} \times 20=20-(\$ 420,000 / 11,100 \text { vehicles } / \text { day }) / 1500 \times 20=19
$$

Score is a high 19 out of 20 due to relatively inexpensive permanent fix for large volume of vehicles.

Impact of Failure (20 points max.)

$$
\text { If Score }=3, \text { Factor }=6
$$

Score is only 6 out of 20 due to lower impact of problem, which would close a shoulder of the segment, or one lane at worst. Traffic would not need to be detoured.

## Driver Inconvenience (15 points max.)

$$
\text { Factor }=\frac{l \times A D T}{95,000} \times 15=(8.5 \text { mile detour } \times 11,100 \text { vehicles } / \text { day }) / 95,000 \times 15=15
$$

Score is a full 15 out of 15 due to lengthy detour affecting a large volume of vehicles.
Inclusion in Future Project (10 points max.)

Factor $=\mathbf{1 0}$ (segment included in operational project identified in TNR)
Score is a full 10 points because it has also been identified as a need in another study.
Guardrail Need (10 points max.)
Factor $=0$ (guardrail is not needed on segment)
Factor is zero since there is no need for guardrail on this segment, meaning two projects cannot be completed due to action on this segment.

## Total Score

$6+19+6+15+10+0=56$
Total Rating (lower score is better candidate for action)
$100-56=44$ (actually 43 due to rounding in spreadsheet)

## SMALL SCOPE OPERATIONAL PROJECTS

## Program Description

Historically, small scope operational projects have been a lower consideration in the Road Services Division's CIP project development process, as these project are typically developed on an as-needed basis. In September 2005, the Division recognized the need to establish a program for these types of projects -- those that do not rate high enough to be funded from other prioritized program project lists. The goal for this program is to identify and support high benefit cost ratio projects that could address small scope traffic flow and safety issues. The focus of this effort is to develop a comprehensive list of pedestrian facilities, non-signal intersection improvements and roadway location projects with recommended improvements to serve unincorporated King County's transportation and pedestrian needs.

## Program Development Process

As a new program and process, a statement of the programs goals and objectives was developed. A project recommendation and evaluation process was introduced that satisfied these goals and objectives. The project selection process used an objective methodology for ranking potential sites for safety and traffic improvements. Finally, a budget element was applied to make sure the most deserving projects are achieved first.

## Goals and Objectives

The goal of this Small Scope Operational Program is to identify locations within unincorporated King County that could be enhanced by operational improvements, yet have not been implemented due to funding constraints. There are needs that have been identified for pedestrian facilities, non-signal intersection improvements and roadway locations that either do not fit the criteria of existing improvement programs or do not score high enough to be funded.. The objective of this program is to develop a prioritized list of small scale projects showing description of proposed work scope, limits and costs. Another common element of these projects
is their short design and construction schedules, which makes this program highly responsive to emerging needs.

## Project Selection Process

The staff from the Road Services Division's Traffic Engineering Section developed a logical, project-selection process for identifying, selecting and prioritizing projects. There are four tiers to this process:

- Identification of a candidate project
- Preliminary screening and scoping of candidate locations
- Determination of priority process score
- Evaluations of candidate locations


## Identification of Candidate Projects

A list of potential improvements is compiled from recommendations by a number of sources including KCDOT engineering staff, businesses, community groups, and members of the general public.

## Preliminary Screening and Scoping of Candidate Locations

A field review was conducted for candidate projects for scope verification, cost estimating, and identification of unique constraints and challenges. Field trips were made to most sites to collect relevant, up-to-date field information, site-specific data, create site diagrams and sketches and take photographs. In addition, King County traffic volume and accident data was included as part of the location-specific analysis.

The evaluation for each project was based on a preliminary screening of the project information obtained during data collection. Preliminary screening/feasibility analysis was undertaken prior to project development to assure a candidate project is feasible and satisfies program goals and criteria before it is evaluated. As each project was screened, it was assigned a relative (high, medium, low) priority to develop a preliminary ranking and determination of whether to advance formal prioritization process.

## Determination of Priority Process Score

The priority process was developed with the purpose of providing a quantitative assessment of each project's merits for comparison with similar projects. Prioritization and selection of projects begins with project screening/feasibility analysis and ends with the prioritized project list. Data on vehicle and pedestrian volumes, vehicle speeds, existing and planned facility capacities and accident history at each location over the most recent three or five year period was also collected as part of the analysis process.

Each project is unique due to the specific issues addressed. Certain concerns are indicative of site deficiencies that can be addressed by specific countermeasures. Countermeasures are the improvements that address problems at a given location to improve the safety or traffic
operations. Countermeasures at each location were developed for the three separate categories (pedestrian facilities, non-signal intersection improvements and roadway locations) based on the predominant problems, field observations, King County practices and standards, and the experience of the review team.

Pedestrian-oriented projects used the existing pedestrian priority array (see Pedestrian Priority Process earlier in this appendix). . The algorithm for non-signal intersection improvements and roadway location projects was developed specifically by the Traffic Engineering staff to score projects in these categories. The potential improvements for these projects were rated on the following criteria:

## NON-SIGNAL INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Volume to Capacity Ratio

| Volume to Capacity Ratio | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 1.0 | 15 |
| .5 to .99 | 10 |
| .25 to .49 | 5 |
| Less than .25 | 0 |

Volume to Capacity Ratio relative to number of hours it exceeds various thresholds

| Volume to Capacity Ratio | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| V/C $>.8$ for $8+$ hours | 10 |
| V/C $>.8$ for $5-7$ hours | 7 |
| V/C $>.6$ for $8+$ hours | 5 |
| V/C $>.6$ for 7 hours or less | 0 |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Accidents per million Entering vehicles -average of 5 most recent years (ACC/MEV)

| Accidents $/$ MEV | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 1.0 | 30 |
| .5 to .99 | 25 |
| .25 to .49 | 15 |
| .10 to .24 | 10 |
| Less than .10 | 0 |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Intersection Geometrics with respect to King County Road Standards-1993 for angle of intersection, horizontal curvature of approach, vertical curvature of approach, and stopping sight distance

| Road Design Standards Met | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 Criteria Not Met | 30 |
| 3 Criteria Not Met | 20 |
| 2 Criteria Not Met | 15 |
| 1 Criteria Not Met | 10 |
| Meets KCRS Criteria | 0 |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Speeding
$85{ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed in excess of the posted speed limit

| Speed greater than posted speed | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 10 MPH | 15 |
| 7 MPH to 10 MPH | 10 |
| 5 MPH to 7 MPH | 5 |
| Less than 5 MPH | 0 |

## ROADWAY LOCATIONS PROJECT CRITERIA

Level-0f-Service (congestion)

| Level-of-Service | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 0 |
| B | 0 |
| C | 5 |
| D | 15 |
| E | 20 |
| F | 25 |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Accidents per million vehicles (average of 5 most recent years)

| Accidents per Million Vehicle miles <br> traveled - 5 years | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 3.0 | 30 |
| 3.0 to 2.5 | 20 |
| 2.5 to 1.5 | 10 |
| Less than 1.5 | 0 |
|  |  |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Roadway geometrics with respect to King County Road Standards 1993

| Road Design Standards Met | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Meets none | 30 |
| Meets 1 | 25 |
| Meets 2 | 15 |
| Meets all | 0 |

Speeding

| Speed greater than posted speed | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 10 MPH | 15 |
| 7 MPH to 10 MPH | 10 |
| 5 MPH to 7 MPH | 5 |


| Less than 5 MPH | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |

## Evaluations of Candidate Locations

Scores for each location ranged from 0 to 100 , with the following levels:

| 0 to 30 | Low |
| :--- | :--- |
| 31 to 50 | Medium |
| 51 to 100 | High |

Potential projects were reviewed with planning-level cost estimates and then subjected to a basic financial analysis. Low scoring projects or those with prohibitive costs are given less consideration. The highest scoring projects are prioritized and considered as best candidates for the Road Services Division's Small Scope Operational Projects program.

## Project Selection

The small scope operational projects include a broad cross-section of both urban and rural locations, and priority arrays were developed for each of the three categories. The final project selection will be based on the priority scores weighted based on an assessment of each project's potential effectiveness. Consideration and higher priority was also given to such factors as whether the project could coordinate with or enhance other King County transportation needs and priorities.

## Appendix D

Financial Analysis

## Transportation Needs Report 2008

Executive Recommended Draft
March, 2008
Financial Forecast in Constant 2008 Dollars

|  | Road Fund | Fed BRAC | Federal | State | MPS | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | \$40,368,551 | \$2,000,000 | \$2,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2010 | \$18,079,447 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$1,400,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2011 | \$28,274,647 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$1,300,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2012 | \$38,052,448 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2013 | \$39,057,131 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$1,100,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2014 | \$40,618,708 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$1,000,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2015 | \$40,750,559 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$900,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2016 | \$40,554,026 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$800,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2017 | \$40,352,910 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$700,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2018 | \$40,154,292 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$600,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2019 | \$39,955,830 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$500,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2020 | \$39,758,299 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$500,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2021 | \$39,562,031 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$500,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2022 | \$39,366,609 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$1,350,000 | \$500,000 | \$150,000 |
|  | \$524,905,488 | \$21,500,000 | \$28,500,000 | \$19,550,000 | \$12,500,000 | \$2,100,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$609,055,488 |

Amounts in Thousands of Dollars

|  | 2008-2022 <br> Needs | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 2 2}$ <br> Allocation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Bridge | $\$ 87,462$ | $\$ 61,000$ |
| Capacity Major | $\$ 267,807$ | $\$ 110,500$ |
| Capacity Minor | $\$ 167,593$ | $\$ 34,500$ |
| ITS | $\$ 91,298$ | $\$ 18,000$ |
| Nonmotorized | $\$ 168,103$ | $\$ 33,817$ |
| Operations | $\$ 78,729$ | $\$ 23,500$ |
| Preservation | $\$ 105,955$ | $\$ 59,000$ |
| Reconstruction | $\$ 41,711$ | $\$ 21,000$ |
| Safety | $\$ 78,392$ | $\$ 28,000$ |

Total $\quad \$ 1,087,050 \quad \$ 389,317$
\$697,733
Shortfall
Other CIP Needs

| Drainage/Fish Passage | $\$ 24,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Environmental | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Overlay | $\$ 81,000$ |
| Misc | $\$ 11,000$ |
| Debt Service | $\$ 98,738$ |
| Total | $\$ 219,738$ |

