King County

Legislative Review Form

2017 220

Agency: DES/O	<u>CROG</u>	Contact per	rson Rick	Ybarra	Pl	none <u>206-263-</u>	<u>-9651</u>
Ordinance	Motion 🛛	Proviso 🗌	Report [] (Other 🗌		(8
Civil Division Pro	osecuting Attorn	ney Review					
Name John Gerb	Version	Version Final			Date 4/20/2017 @ 2:36pm		
Dept. Director or	Designee Revie	<u>ew</u>					
NameSung Yang		Version	n Final		D	ate 3/3/2017 (@ <u>1:3</u> 0pm
Performance Strategy & Budget Office Review						NG CS	RE(
Name AIA	Version	ı			ate Supplement	CET	
Performance Strategy & Budget Office Review Name Name Version Date Technical Form/Code Reviser Review - Confirm adherence to legislative format NameBruce Ritzen, Council Staff Version Final Date 4/20/2017 @ 2522pm							
NameBruce Ritzen, Council Staff Version Final				Date 4/20/2017 @ 2522pm			
Executive Office Review & Transmittal Approval							
NameSung Yang & Diane Carlson Version Final			Final	Date 3/3/2017 @ 1:30pm			
ENTRANCE CRITERIA REVIEW							
7/				EXEC OFFICE (initials) KCC CLERK			
Fiscal note?				Υ	NA 💟	Y	NA 🗆
KC Strategic Plan reference in letter?				YY	NA 🔲 🐧	Y.	NA 🗌
Proof read for spelling and grammar?				Υ【	NA 🔲 (Y.	NA 🗌
All pertinent attachments listed/labeled?				ΥV	NA 🔲 🐧	Ϋ́	NA 🗌
Costs identified/described in letter				Υ	NA 🛂 💃	Υ	NA $\square N$
Regulatory Note Required and Complete?				Υ	NA 🛂 f	Υ	$NA \square \mathcal{K}$
Formatted/Delivered in word-searchable doc format?				Υ	NA 🗌	Y	NA 📙
Potential Annexation Area (PAA) impacts identified?				Υ	NA 🔀	ΥL	NA 🗌 (Y
Advertising required? if yes, cite all pertinent code/laws.				Υ	NA 🕎	Y	NA 🔲 /
Any special circumstances affecting processing time?				Y 🔲	NA 🔀	Υ	NA 🗌 Na

Other background information not included in transmittal letter, including explanation of impact to cities, county agencies, or stakeholders