Return Address: Clerk of the Council Metropolitan King County Council 516 Third Avenue Room 1200 Seattle, WA 98104



Please print or type information WASHINGTON STATE RECORDER'S Cover Sheet (RCW 65.04)

Document Title(s) (or to		(NI	CW	
Document Title(s) (or transactions contained therein): (all are	as applicable to your document	t must be	filled:	
1. Ordinance 18516 AN ORDINANCE authorizing SE, dedicated as Railroad Avenue, File V-2697, Peti	, accument	must be	illied i	in)
SE, dedicated as Poilre d. A. ORDINANCE authorizing	the vacation of a norti	ion of 3	1 1th	XX/-
SE, dedicated as Railroad Avenue, File V-2697, Peti	tioners: James and K	othles	. D	wa
Reference Name () and	ountes and N	latinieei	n Pry	or.
Reference Number(s) of Documents assigned or rele	ased:			
Additional reference 42				
Additional reference #'s on page of document				
Grantor(s) (Last			C-3	
Grantor(s) (Last name, first name, initials)		3	C.3	
1. King County, Washington		6)	Com	I
Additional names as		0		11
Additional names on page of document.		50	20	-
Grantag(s) (I				<u></u>
Grantee(s) (Last name first, then first name and initials) 1. King County, Washington		ONT A LESS	3	-
2		2		17
		OKUC OKUC		
Additional names on page of document.		-	-	
Legal description (abbreviated) in Legal description				
Legal description (abbreviated: i.e. lot, block, plat or section, that portion of 314 th Way SE dedicated as Railroad Avenue situated Additional legal is on page of document.	ownship, range)			
Additional legal is on page of document	ate in the Northwest Quarter	r of Secti	on 28	
or document.				,
Assessor's Property Tax Parcel/Account Number				
18714000195	☐ Assessor Tax # not y	et assign	ed	
The Auditor/Recorder will rely on the information provided on the fiverify the accuracy or completeness of the indexing information pro-		and a second	cu	
verify the accuracy or completeness of the indexing information pro-	orm. The staff will not reac	the docr	ument	to
am requesting an emergency panetar land	vided herein.			
am requesting an emergency nonstandard recording for RCW 36.18.010. I understand that the recording process or otherwise obscure some part of the text of the original	an additional fee as pro	ovided i	in	
or otherwise obscure some part of the	ing requirements may o	cover m)	
or otherwise obscure some part of the text of the original	document.	41		
	Signature of Requestin	a Dant		
	. Gamaic of Requestin	granty		

1

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

May 16, 2017

Ordinance 18516

	Proposed No. 2017-005	4.2 Sponsors McDermott
1	AN ORL	INANCE authorizing the vacation of a portion of
2	314th W	ay SE, dedicated as Railroad Avenue, File V-2697,
3	Petitione	rs: James and Kathleen Pryor.
4	STATEMENT (OF FACTS:
5	1. A petition has	s been filed requesting vacation of a portion of 314th Way
6	SE dedicated as	Railroad Avenue, as shown on the Plat of the Townsite of
7	Cumberland, her	einafter described.
8	2. The departme	nt of transportation notified our standard stakeholders for
9	review, including	g utility companies serving the area. The department of
10	transportation wa	as advised that no easements were needed.
11	3. The departme	nt of transportation considers the subject portion of right
12	of way useless as	part of the county road system and believes the public
13	would benefit by	the return of this unused area to the public tax rolls.
14	4. The departme	nt of transportation records indicates that King County
15	has not expended	public funds for the acquisition or maintenance of the
16	subject portions	of 314th Way SE dedicated as Railroad Avenue right-of-
17	way.	
18	5. The right-of-v	yay was classified as "C-Class" and, in accordance with
19		, the compensation due to King County was based on

20	fifty percent of the assessed value of the subject right-of-way, which was
21	determined from records of the department of assessments at \$1,884.76.
22	King County is in receipt of this amount from the petitioner.
23	6. Due notice was given in the manner provided by law and a hearing was
24	held by the office of the hearing examiner on the 10th day of April 2017.
25	7. In consideration of the benefits to be derived from the subject vacation,
26	the council has determined that it is in the best interest of the citizens of
27	King County to grant said petition.
28	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
29	SECTION 1. The council, on the effective date of this ordinance, hereby vacates
30	and abandons the portion of 314th Way SE dedicated as Railroad Avenue right-of-way as
31	conveyed to King County by the recording of the Plat of the Townsite of Cumberland,
32	recorded on November 2, 1910, in Volume 19 of Plats, Page 52, records of King County,
33	Washington, as described below:
34	Those portions of 314th Way SE dedicated as Railroad Avenue in King
35	County Washington, described as follows:
36	All that portion of 314th Way SE dedicated as Railroad
37	Avenue situate in the Northwest Quarter of Section 28,
38	Township 21 North, Range 7 East, Willamette Meridian,
39	King County, Washington as shown in the Plat of the
40	Townsite of Cumberland, recorded in Volume 19 of Plats,
41	page 52, records of King Count, Washington abutting and
42	adjacent to Lots 13 and 14 of said Plat of the Townsite of
(4	

43 Cumberland. 44 Containing an area of 901.80 square feet, more or less. 45 Ordinance 18516 was introduced on 2/27/2017 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 5/15/2017, by the following vote: Yes: 8 - Mr. Gossett, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Dunn, Mr. McDermott, Mr. Dembowski, Mr. Upthegrove, Ms. Kohl-Welles and Ms. Balducci Excused: 1 - Mr. von Reichbauer KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON J. Joseph McDermott, Chair ATTEST: Melani Pedroza, Acting Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this ZST day of Way, 2017.

County Executive

Attachments: A. Hearing Examiner Report Dated April 11, 2017, B. Map-Exhibit 9

OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Room 1200 Seattle, Washington 98104 Telephone (206) 477-0860 Facsimile (206) 296-0198 hearingexaminer@kingcounty.gov

www.kingcounty.gov/independent/hearing-examiner

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

SUBJECT:

Department of Transportation file no. V-2697

Proposed ordinance no. 2017-0054 Adjacent parcel no. 1871400195

JAMES AND KATHLEEN PRYOR

Road Vacation Petition

Location:

portion of 314th Way SE, Enumclaw, dedicated as Railroad

Avenue

Petitioners:

James and Kathleen Pryor

PO Box 1164

Ravensdale, WA 98051 Telephone: (206) 227-4191 Email: kathypryor60@gmail.com

King County: Department of Transportation

represented by Leslie Drake

201 S Jackson Street Seattle, WA 98104

Telephone: (206) 477-7764

Email: leslie.drake@kingcounty.gov

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Department's Preliminary Recommendation: Department's Final Recommendation: Examiner's Recommendation:

Approve vacation Approve vacation Approve vacation

PUBLIC HEARING:

After reviewing the Department of Transportation (Department) report and accompanying attachments and exhibits, the Examiner conducted a public hearing on the matter on April 10, 2017, in the Fred Conference Room, 12th Floor, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle, Washington.

Participants at the public hearing and the exhibits offered and entered are listed in the attached minutes. A verbatim recording of the hearing is available in the Hearing Examiner's Office. Having reviewed the record in this matter, the Examiner now makes and enters the following:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. General information:

Road name and location:

portion of 314th Way SE, Enumclaw, WA,

originally dedicated as "Railroad Avenue"

Right-of-way classification:

C-Class

Area:

901.80 square feet

Compensation:

\$1884.76

- James-Kathleen Pryor petitioned the County to vacate the above described public rightof-way. On March 16, 2017, the Examiner received the Department Report recommending vacation.
- 3. The required notice of hearing on the Department's report was provided. The Examiner conducted the public hearing on behalf of the Metropolitan King County Council.
- 4. Except as provided herein, the Examiner adopts and incorporates the facts set forth in the Department's report and the statements of fact contained in proposed ordinance no. 2017-recommendation that are submitted to the County Council.
- 5. Maps showing the vicinity of the proposed vacation and the specific area to be vacated are in the hearing record as Exhibit 9.
- 6. Chapter 36.87 RCW sets the general framework for county road vacations, augmented by KCC Chapter 14.40. There are at least two main inquiries in a vacation petition. Is vacation warranted? If so, what compensation is appropriate? We address those in turn.
- 7. A petitioner has the burden to show that the "road is useless as part of the county road system and that the public will be benefitted by its vacation and abandonment." RCW approval is discretionary where a petitioner fails to meet the standard, approval is discretionary where a petitioner does meet the standard:

If the county road is found useful as a part of the county road system it shall not be vacated, but if it is not useful and the public will be benefited

by the vacation, the county legislative authority may vacate the road or any portion thereof.

RCW 36.87.060(1) (emphasis added).

- 8. The subject right-of-way segment here is not currently opened, constructed, or maintained for public use, and is not known to be used informally for access to any property. It is basically a dead-end dogleg at the back of the Pryors' yard. Vacation of the right-of-way would have no adverse effect on the provision of access and fire and emergency services to the abutting properties and surrounding area. The right-of-way is not necessary for the present or future public road system for travel or utilities purposes.
- 9. The County will obtain the proceeds from essentially selling surplus property. The County gains from adding the road area to the tax rolls. And the County is saved potential costs, as a property owner, for something like cleaning up illegal dumping on the road area, and the general risk the liability a property owner (especially an absentee one)
- We conclude that the road segment subject to this petition is not useful as part of the King County road system and that the public will benefit from its vacation.
- Where the vacation is appropriate, the standard for determining the amount the petitioner must compensate the County has historically pegged the amount due to the "class" of road, "class" itself being pegged to whether public funds had been expended in the right-of-way acquisition, improvement, or maintenance. For a class "C" road such as this one—were no public funds were expended in the road's acquisition, improvement, or maintenance—compensation has been slotted at 50 percent of the full appraised value. See pre-Ord. 18420 version of KCC 14.40.020(B) & .060. Here the Department calculated the value of the 901.80 square feet to be vacated at \$4.18 per square foot, for a led to a compensation estimate of \$1884.76. The Pryors paid this \$1884.76 to the King County Treasury last October. Ex. 14.
- 12. However, between the time of the Pryors' payment and today, Ordinance 18420 changed the standard for determining compensation, and in disparate directions. On the "more" side (meaning the County should require more compensation), the automatic discount for rights-of-way for which the County did not expend funds acquiring, improving, or compensation), in 2016 the state changed the standard for right-of-way compensation to allow the local jurisdiction to "adjust the appraised value to reflect the value of the transfer of liability or risk, the increased value to the public and property taxes, the avoided costs for management or maintenance, and any limits on development or future standard, effective on December 17, 2016. KCC 14.40.020(A)(1).
- 13. In our previous recommendation in *Portage right-of-way—V-2672*, and again at yesterday's hearing, we announced that for vacation applications like this one, already

near the end of the pipeline by the effective date of Ordinance 18420, we would continue applying the old standard. That is not a requirement Council must follow; the "vested rights" doctrine—that certain applications are to be decided on the basis of the law as of the date a completed application was submitted, regardless of later regulatory changes—is not applicable to a vacation petition.

- 14. However, trying to apply a new standard now would require sending the parties back to the drawing board after so much time has passed, because the record contains no information from which we could base a finding of, for example, the value of the transfer of liability or risk or the increased value to the public and property taxes. A remand would certainly increase the Department's (and thus the public's) administrative costs, not to mention the Pryors' burden, and so little compensation here is at stake: at the highest end, the County should be requiring the Pryors to pay the entire \$3769.52 (instead of \$1884.76); at the lowest end, the County should be forgoing compensation altogether.
- 15. Thus, we recommend sticking with the old formulation for today's case—and perhaps for a few in the near future where the compensation analysis was completed prior to the code change. The Council, however, retains the discretion to go in a different direction.

RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE proposed ordinance no. 2017-0054 to vacate the subject road right-of-way. DATED April 11, 2017.

David Spohr Hearing Examiner

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

A person appeals an Examiner recommendation by following the steps described in KCC 20.22.230, including filing with the Clerk of the Council a sufficient appeal statement and a \$250 appeal fee (check payable to the King County FBOD), and providing copies of the appeal statement to the Examiner and to any named parties listed on the front page of the Examiner's recommendation. Please consult KCC 20.22.230 for exact requirements.

Prior to the close of business (4:30 p.m.) on *May 5, 2017*, an electronic copy of the appeal statement must be sent to <u>Clerk.Council@kingcounty.gov</u> and a paper copy of the appeal statement must be delivered to the Clerk of the Council's Office, Room 1200, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104. Prior mailing is not sufficient if the Clerk does not actually receive the fee and the appeal statement within the applicable time period.

Unless the appeal requirements of KCC 20.22.230 are met, the Clerk of the Council will place on the agenda of the next available Council meeting a proposed ordinance implementing the Examiner's recommended action.

If the appeal requirements of KCC 20.22.230 are met, the Examiner will notify parties and interested persons and will provide information about "next steps."

MINUTES OF THE APRIL 10, 2017, HEARING ON THE ROAD VACATION PETITION OF JAMES AND KATHLEEN PRYOR, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FILE NO. V-

David Spohr was the Hearing Examiner in this matter. The following people were in attendance: Leslie Drake and James and Kathleen Pryor.

The following exhibits were offered and entered into the hearing record:

Exhibit no. 1 Exhibit no. 2	Roads Services report to the Hearing Examiner, sent March 16, 2017 Letter from Clerk of the Council to KCDOT transmitting petition, dated
Exhibit no. 3 Exhibit no. 4 Exhibit no. 5 Exhibit no. 6 Exhibit no. 7 Exhibit no. 8 Exhibit no. 9	Petition for vacation of a county road, received August 4, 2015 Filing fee check no. 8530 in the amount of \$100, dated July 28, 2015 Receipt no. 01002 for filing fee, dated August 5, 2015 Petitioner's vacation area vicinity map Plat map of the Townsite of Cumberland Map of vacation area
Exhibit no. 10	Aerial map of structures located within vacation area Email from KCDOT to agencies of final notice of road vacation, sent August 16, 2016
Exhibit no. 11	Letter from KCDOT to Petitioner colors
Exhibit no. 12 Exhibit no. 13 Exhibit no. 14	Compensation worksheet Letter from KCDOT to Petitioner recommending approval and requesting compensation, dated October 6, 2016 Compensation payment, check no. 0555406460
Exhibit no. 15	
Exhibit no. 16 Exhibit no. 17 Exhibit no. 18 Exhibit no. 19 Exhibit no. 20	Letter from KCDOT to KC Council recommending approval, dated October 6, 2016 Letter from King County Executive to Councilmember Joe McDermott transmitting ordinance, dated January 27, 2017 Proposed ordinance 2017-0054 Fiscal note Affidavit of posting, noting posting date of February 28, 2017 Reserved for affidavit of publication
DS/ed	

