Potential RTC Changes to METRO CONNECTS Matrix

This list tracks the potential RTC changes to the Executive's Transmitted METRO CONNECTS plan. The changes listed below would be pressented to the Chair for potential inclusion in the draft Chair's striking amendment.

Draft 10/10/16

#	Section of Plan	Old Page #	New Page #	Requester	Topic Area	Notes	Proposed Response
1	Introduction	3-14	4-7	Various	Relationship of Plans	Identify what METRO CONNECTS will do - how is it different from today answering the questions of; 1. what are we trying to do 2. how it is done today and the limits of current practice 3. what is new about this approach and why we believe it will be successful 4. if we succeed what difference will it make 5. what are the risks 6. what are the costs 7. how long will it take 8. what are the processes for checking in	 Draft a short executive summary of the METRO CONNECTS plan and how to read the document Prepare Where-as statements with the ordinance that describe the purpose of the METRO CONNECTS plan
2	Service Network	Graphic, page 16	20	Councilmember Kohl-Welles	Miscellaneous	Provide numbers to show how much more service is proposed under Metro Connects	1) will add numbers to provide more clarity on 'Amount of Service' graphic
3	Service Network & Attaining the Vision	17, 76-77	21, 24-26	Council Member Huckabay	Document Baseline	Clarify Express service definition	 Update 2015, 2025 and 2040 maps to symbolize peak only express service differently from all-day express service. Clarify text regarding the role of peak service to remove confusion about long range vision for express service
4	Service Network & Appendix A Service Network	19, A-2 through A-28	23, 24, A2-A36	Various	Document Baseline	Supplemental Network Performance Report	 Update the following tables with 2015, 2025 and 2040 data; Figure 3, Tables A-8, A-9, A-10 Add Figures 1, 2, 3, 4 and tables 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, and 16 from the supplemental report to the service appendix. Quadrants and regional growth centers provide will provide information about how METRO CONNECTS serves the urban, suburban and rural settings Baseline and Supplemental tables help describe how different quadrants are served. In additional follow up, we could also use maps, Remix and other tools to help members better understand how METRO CONNECTS can support different parts of the county
5	Service Network	Fig. 3, p. 19	27	Councilmember Kohl-Welles	Miscellaneous	Connections to People (Fig. 3, p. 19) is not informative. Showing improved travel times between population centers would be more useful.	 Will look for opportunities to highlight changes in travel time between centers in plan Travel times between regional growth centers is in Supplemental Network Performance Report. Performance measures were developed with direction from RTC

6	Service Network	20	28	Councilmember Kohl-Welles	Miscellaneous	On p. 20, "Buses so often you won't need to schedule" may promise too much. Perhaps "Buses so often scheduling will no longer be a hassle"?	1) Will revise language
7	Service Network	20	28	RTC staff	Miscellaneous	On p. 20, "Buses so often you won't need to schedule" should be "Buses so frequent you won't need" or "Buses arrive so often you won't need"	1) Will revise the language for clarity and grammar
8	Service Network & Implementation Program	26 and 74	83-84 and Defer to Implementation	Councilmember Kohl-Welles	Implementation	Connecting Washington and the federal FAST Act are current funding sources and should be cited, where appropriate, as sources Metro will target for funding (pages 26 and 74). Also, need to clarify what Metro has done to evaluate how Connecting Washington projects will benefit LRP implementation	 Future METRO CONNECTS annual reports can include state and federal funding sources for specific program elements, including projects funded through FAST or Connecting WA. MC does not identify specific funding sources beyond existing revenue sources. Future Implementation program will look at local, state, and federal funding options
9	Service Network	29	37	Mayor Hill	Alternative Services	Concern that the bullets relating to alternative service could mean that existing alternative services will be measured against fixed route service and be removed as part of design. Also concerned that the language here does not adequately address Equity and Social Justice concerns	1) update language to clarify how alternative services performance will be measured and affirm that alternative services are an important part of meeting certain equity and social justice concerns
10	Service Quality Investments	32	40	Metro staff	Miscellaneous	Changes needed to enhance clarity and provide more detail in METRO CONNECTS	1) Possible Changes: P. 32 we may want to replace "speed and reliability" with "capital" in the intro paragraph sentence starting "For each dollar spent"
11	Service Quality Investments	46/ Appendix D- 1-D3	54, 56, 58	RTC Feedback	Access to Transit	Provide descriptions on Access to Transit Zones grid in both document and appendix	1) We will move up descriptions of Transit Access Zones into the document.
12	Service Quality Investments	47	55	RTC Feedback	Access to Transit	Add more contrast to Access to Transit Zone map colors	1) We will increase the contrast of the zone maps
13	Service Quality Investments	50	54	RTC Feedback	Access to Transit	Clarify parking is not excluded from urban areas	 We will clarify that parking is not excluded from all Zone 1 areas but that the zones were used to determine demand.
14	Service Quality Investments	52	60	Metro staff	Miscellaneous	Changes needed to enhance clarity and provide more detail in METRO CONNECTS	1) Make changes to the "What it would take" bullet on p. 52. Delete the word "all" from "Build Metro's capacity for research and development of new TDM tools by budgeting for TDM in all Metro projects"
15	Critical Service Supports	65	73	Councilmember Kohl-Welles	Implementation	On p. 65, suggest adding specific detail on projects and what "continual investment" looks like	1) Will look to provide more specificity.
16	Critical Service Supports	66	Defer to implementation	Councilmember Kohl-Welles	Implementation	Need to provide data on costs of expanded workforce resulting from partial or full implementation of LRP	Estimated operating and capital costs are summarized on pages 72 - 74. Detailed costs on workforce to support Implementation will be further refined during the Implementation Program.

17	Attaining the Vision	69	77 - No change	Councilmember Huckabay	Relationship of Plans	How is Metro planning for the huge population increases that are occurring today? How will the investments today influence the 2025 and 2040 network? Should be more frequent than every 6 years.	1) We will work with RTC members to determine the appropriate update cycle.
18	Attaining the Vision	71	80-81	Council Member Margeson and Council Member Hougardy	Relationship of Plans	Adjust 'plans' chart to show METRO CONNECTS relationship both ways to Strategic Plan and Service Guidelines Revise 'plans' chart to more clearly identify the function of Metro's different plans.	 We will work with RTC members to update the diagram showing the relationship of plans.
19	Attaining the Vision	71	80-81	Council Member Hougardy	Relationship of Plans	Revise 'plans' chart to more clearly identify the function of Metro's different plans.	1) We will work with RTC members to update the diagram to show the function of plans.
20	Attaining the Vision	72	82	Metro staff	Miscellaneous	Changes needed to enhance clarity and provide more detail in METRO CONNECTS	1) Second paragraph, last sentence: Change King County Revenue Council to King County Forecast Council.
21	Attaining the Vision	76	25	Metro staff	Miscellaneous	Changes needed to enhance clarity and provide more detail in METRO CONNECTS	1) 2025 Network missing Renton Issaquah express line
22	Attaining the Vision	76-77	25-26	Metro staff	Miscellaneous	Changes needed to enhance clarity and provide more detail in METRO CONNECTS	1) Maps changes: extend First Hill street car to Roy St
23	Attaining the Vision	77	26	Metro staff	Miscellaneous	Changes needed to enhance clarity and provide more detail in METRO CONNECTS	1) 2040 Network map Pacific label is in the wrong spot.
24	Appendix A Service Network	A-2 through A- 28	A-2 through A-36	Various	Document Baseline	Answer how different areas of the county are served	 Baseline and Supplemental tables will help describe how different quadrants are served. We will also use maps, Remix and other tools to help members better understand how METRO CONNECTS can support different parts of the county. Will include explanation of significant new connections between different parts of the county.
25	Service Network & Appendix A Service Network	22 & A-22 to A- 27	30 & A-30 to A-36	RTC Staff	Service Types	Include description of how a placeholder numeric assignment was applied for future route numbers and clarify which routes are future and existing RapidRide lines.	 Add section describing structure of numeric assignments to routes as placeholders for future routes Identify which routes are existing our future RapidRide lines in the tables on pages 22 & Appendix A-22 to A-27
26	Appendix A Service Network	A23 through A26	A30 - A36	Metro staff	Miscellaneous	Changes needed to enhance clarity and provide more detail in METRO CONNECTS	1) Remove ST routes from 2025 list
27	Appendix B Capital Costing Methodology	В-3	83-84	RTC Feedback	Partnerships and Implementation	Include description of estimated partnership share of funding in Capital funding description	 We will move up the description of estimated partnership funding for the capital program from the appendix into the body of the plan, including a description of the partnership roles for the different types of investments
28	Service Network	Footnote consistency	Various	Councilmember Kohl-Welles	Miscellaneous	Footnotes should include source information for data (p. 18 is one example, staff can provide others)	 Staff will review opportunities to include data citations throughout document