

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

June 18, 2002

Ordinance 14392

Proposed No. 2002-0133.1

Sponsors Hague

1	AN ORDINANCE adopting amendments to the
2	Countywide Planning Policies, adding maps of existing
3	urban separators; ratifying the amended Countywide
4	Planning Policies for unincorporated King County; and
5	amending Ordinance 10450, Section 3, as amended, and
6	K.C.C. 20.10.030 and Ordinance 10450, Section 4, as
7	amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.040.
8	
9	
10	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
11	SECTION 1. Findings. The council makes the following findings.
12	A. The metropolitan King County council adopted and ratified the Growth
13	Management Planning Council recommended King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
14	Policies (Phase I) in July 1992, under Ordinance 10450.
15	B. The metropolitan King County council adopted and ratified the Phase II
16	amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies on August 15, 1994, under Ordinance
17	11446.

18	C. The Growth Management Planning Council met on December 11, 2001, and
19	voted to recommend amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
20	Policies [5/25/94], adding maps of existing urban separators.
21	SECTION 2. Ordinance 10450, Section 3, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.030 are
22	each hereby amended to read as follows:
23	Phase II. A. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 Countywide
24	Planning Policies attached to Ordinance 11446 are hereby approved and adopted.
25	B. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
26	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12027.
27	C. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
28	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12421.
29	D. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
30	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 and 2 to Ordinance 13260.
31	E. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
32	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 through 4 to Ordinance 13415.
33	F. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
34	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 13858.
35	G. The Phase II Amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning
36	Policies are amended, as shown by Attachment 1 to this ordinance.
37	SECTION 3. Ordinance 10450, Section 4, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.10.040 are
38	each hereby amended to read as follows:

39	Ratification for unincorporated King County. A. Countywide Planning
40	Policies adopted by Ordinance 10450 for the purposes specified are hereby ratified on
41	behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.
42	B. The amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance
43	10840 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.
44	C. The amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adopted by Ordinance
45	11061 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County.
46	D. The Phase II amendments to the King County 2012 Countywide Planning
47	Policies adopted by Ordinance 11446 are hereby ratified on behalf of the population of
48	unincorporated King County.
49	E. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
50	shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12027 are hereby ratified on behalf of the
51	population of unincorporated King County.
52	F. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
53	shown by Attachment 1 to Ordinance 12421, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
54	population of unincorporated King County.
55	G. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
56	shown by Attachments 1 and 2 to Ordinance 13260, are hereby ratified on behalf of the
57	population of unincorporated King County.
58	H. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
59	shown by Attachment 1 through 4 to Ordinance 13415, are hereby ratified on behalf of
50	the population of unincorporated King County.

1 ·	I. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
2	shown by Attachments 1 through 3 to Ordinance 13858, are hereby ratified on behalf of
3	the population of unincorporated King County.
4	J. The amendments to the King County 2012 - Countywide Planning Policies, as
5	shown by Attachment 1 to this ordinance, are hereby ratified on behalf of the population
6	of unincorporated King County.
7	
	Ordinance 14392 was introduced on 3/18/2002 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 6/17/2002, by the following vote: Yes: 13 - Ms. Sullivan, Ms. Edmonds, Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Phillips, Mr. Pelz, Mr. McKenna, Mr. Constantine, Mr. Pullen, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague, Mr. Irons and Ms. Patterson No: 0 Excused: 0 KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
	ATTEST: Street Sullivan, Chair Sullivan, Chair Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council APPROVED this 37 day of June, 2002. Ron Sims, County Executive

1. GMPC Substitute Motion 01-1

Attachments

November 15, 2001

Sponsored By:

Executive Committee

/staff draft v2

SUBSTITUTE MOTION NO. 01-1

A MOTION to amend the Countywide Planning Policies by adding maps of existing Urban Separators to the Countywide Planning Policies.

WHEREAS, The Growth Management Act states that each Urban Growth Area shall permit urban densities and shall include greenbelt and open space areas;

WHEREAS, Policy LU-27 of the Countywide Planning Policies of King County states that Urban Separators shall not be redesignated in the future, and that maintenance of Urban Separators is a regional as well as local concern;

WHEREAS, Urban Separators are an adopted regional strategy serving multiple functions and providing environmental, visual, recreational and wildlife benefits to the citizens and communities of King County;

WHEREAS, Consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies, the King County Comprehensive Plan recognizes that Urban Separators create open space corridors, provide a visual contrast to continuous development, and reinforce the unique identities of communities;

WHEREAS, King County has designated Urban Separators on the Land Use 2000 map in the King County Comprehensive Plan, and King County has provided advance copies of Urban Separator maps to cities that have designated Urban Separators located within their Potential Annexation

WHEREAS, affected jurisdictions agree with most of the county Urban Separator designations, but disagree with some of the designations that require further analysis and discussion;

WHEREAS, King County residents have supported efforts to designate and preserve Urban Separators, including preservation of Urban Separators that have been annexed by cities;

THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

1. The attached maps of Urban Separators will be included within the Countywide Planning Policies document. These maps show the locations of adopted Urban Separators and graphically illustrate regional land use strategy and will serve as an implementation tool for the Countywide Planning Policies.

14392

L/GMPC/2001GMPC/Motion01-1.doc

15 16

17

1

2

3

4

25

26

27 28

- 2. The attached maps of Urban Separators also identify certain potential Urban Separator areas within Auburn and Renton's Potential Annexation Areas that do not reflect a consensus of affected jurisdictions, and are in need of further analysis and possible refinement. The Interjurisdictional Staff Team shall convene a subcommittee comprised of representatives of all affected jurisdictions, and shall report back to the GMPC no later than September 30, 2002 with a recommendation to resolve these potential Urban Separator areas. The subcommittee shall consider refinements to Urban Separator designations in the Potential Annexation Areas for Auburn and Renton. Staff will attempt to generate a consensus recommendation for the areas within Auburn and Renton's PAA. If no consensus is reached, a majority and minority or alternative recommendation will be made to GMPC by September 30, 2002.
- 3. On an ongoing basis, the Interjurisdictional Staff Team shall also review proposed additional Urban Separator designations identified by cities or the County, and present these proposed Urban Separators for GMPC consideration. As part of this review process, the Interjurisdictional Staff Team may also consider refinements to adopted Urban Separator policy to facilitate designations, provided that the new policies are substantively consistent with CPP LU-27 and County Comprehensive Plan policies P-118 through P-120.

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on ______ in open session.

Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council



