

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

July 8, 2013

Ordinance 17618

	Proposed No. 2013-0214.1	Sponsors McDermott
1	AN ORDINANCE revising vendor selection criteria for the	
2	Factoria recycling and transfer station construction	
3	contract; and amend	ling Ordinance 17435, Section 3.
4	BE IT ORDAINED BY TH	IE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
5	SECTION 1. Ordinance 17	7435, Section 3, is hereby amended to read as follows:
6	The King County council a	pproves the use of the evaluation criteria included in

- 7 Attachment A to ((this ordinance (Ordinance 17435))) this ordinance to be used for
- 8 review of competitive proposals to construct the Factoria recycling and transfer station.

9

Ordinance 17618 was introduced on 5/6/2013 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 7/8/2013, by the following vote:

Yes: 9 - Mr. Phillips, Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague, Ms. Patterson, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Dunn, Mr. McDermott and Mr.

Dembowski

No: 0

Excused: 0

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

arry Gossett, Chair

ATTEST:

duenos

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 12th day of _______, 2013

______Qow Constantine, County Executive

Attachments: A. Selection Criteria - Factoria Recycling and Transfer Station Construction Contract - April 11, 2013

SELECTION CRITERIA

Factoria Recycling & Transfer Station Construction Contract April 11, 2013

A. Specialized Experience and Technical Competence

King County will evaluate the specialized experience of the proposer's project team members.

B. Record of Past Performance

King County will evaluate proposer's experience and record on projects of similar scope and complexity.

C. Financial Resources

King County will evaluate the proposer's financial abilities to perform the project.

D. Current and Projected Work Load for Proposer's Key Personnel

King County will evaluate the current and projected work load of the proposer's key personnel and its major subcontractor's key personnel, to demonstrate their ability to perform work on the project in a complete and timely manner.

E. Safety Program

King County will evaluate the proposer's ability to maintain a safe working environment for the project.

F. Environmental Protection and Mitigation

King County will evaluate the proposer's environmental protection and mitigation approach for the project.

G. Staging

The proposer must demonstrate how and where it will stage materials, equipment and employee parking for the project.

H. Approach to Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC)

King County will evaluate the proposer's approach to QA/QC with respect to the construction and post construction of the project.

I. Proposer's Approach to Construction

King County will evaluate the proposer's approach to construction and how the proposed approach meets requirements as described in the Request for Proposal (RFP).

J. Project Schedule

King County will evaluate the proposer's ability to construct and complete the project in a timely manner in accordance with the requirements set forth within the RFP documents.

K. Coordination of Activities During On-going Facility Operations

King County will evaluate the proposer's approach to coordination of construction activities with on-going transfer station operations.

L. Contract Closeout and Warranty Administration

King County will evaluate the proposer's approach to performing contract closeout and warranty administration.

M. Small Contractors and Suppliers (SCS) and Outreach Plan

Achievement of the SCS commitment revolves around the development and implementation of an effective subcontracting plan and outreach/participation plan and a proactive approach to maximizing opportunities for certified SCS firms.

N. Price Proposal

King County will evaluate each proposer's proposed price to complete the contract work and factor this into the overall scoring as outlined in the RFP.