

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

August 16, 2011

Ordinance 17169

	Proposed No. 2011-0288.2	Sponsors Phillips and Gossett
1	AN ORDINANCE re	elating to public transportation and
2	imposing a two-year	congestion reduction charge of twenty
3	dollars on vehicle reg	gistration renewals in King County in
4	accordance with Cha	pter 373, Laws of Washington 2011.
5	STATEMENT OF FACTS:	
6	1. The 2011 Washington sta	te Legislature adopted Engrossed Substitute
7	Senate Bill 5457, which beca	ame Chapter 373, Laws of Washington 2011,
8	which amends chapter 82.80	RCW, to provide the King County council
9	authority by a two-thirds vot	e to impose a temporary two-year congestion
10	reduction charge of twenty d	ollars on vehicle registration renewals to
11	allow Metro transit to contin	ue to provide the current level of transit
12	service that helps reduce con	gestion and the corresponding burdens placed
13	on local roads and highways	
14	2. Approval of the congestion	on reduction charge would enable Metro
15	transit to reduce congestion	by avoiding transit service cuts in the 2012-
16	2013 biennium - cuts that co	uld result in the loss of an estimated nine
17	million passenger trips annu-	ally and lead to an associated increase in
18	traffic congestion.	

- 3. Proceeds from the charge would reduce congestion by enabling Metro transit to maintain service at current levels. The proceeds from this temporary charge will also allow the county and Metro transit to avoid future service cuts that would lead to increased congestion by working with other transit agencies, regional leaders and the Washington state Legislature to craft a long-term funding solution for transit and other local and state-wide transportation needs.
- 4. Sustaining current levels of bus service is particularly important now to help people cope with high gas prices, to keep congestion in check as the Alaskan Way Viaduct and State Route 520 bridge replacement projects are underway, and to get people to jobs as the region's economy continues to recover.
- 5. Approval of the congestion reduction charge is consistent with the King County Strategic Plan Economic Growth and Built Environment goal to encourage a growing and diverse King County economy and vibrant, thriving and sustainable communities, specifically with regard to the objective to meet the growing need for transportation services and facilities throughout the county.
- 6. The temporary congestion reduction charge would supplement the many actions Metro transit has taken over the past three years to manage the unprecedented financial challenges resulting from the recession.

 Metro transit began to address its sharply falling sales tax revenue in the 2009 supplemental budget, and is following the nine-point deficit

42 `	reduction plan adopted by the council in conjunction with the 2010-2011
43	adopted budget, which includes:
44	A. Eliminating staff positions;
45	B. Deferring planned expansion of bus service;
46	C. Reducing capital programs;
47	D. Making non-service-related cuts;
48	E. Increasing revenue through a property tax swap;
49	F. Digging deeply into reserves;
50	G. Making some bus service reductions; and
51	H. Raising fares. Passenger fares have been raised four times, by a total
52	of eighty percent, over the past four years.
53	7. Metro is implementing numerous efficiency recommendations of the
54	2009 Performance Audit, and has negotiated new contracts with the
55	Amalgamated Transit Union Local 587 and other transit unions that are
56	generating significant ongoing savings and thereby helping to preserve
57	service.
58	8. All of these actions will generate three hundred ninety-eight million
59	dollars between 2008 and 2011 to offset the loss of sales tax revenue.
60	9. Despite these sweeping reforms, Metro transit still faces a revenue gap
61	of approximately sixty million dollars per year for 2012 through 2015
62	because sales tax receipts continue to be lower than projected before the
63	recession.

	10. Given this revenue gap, if the proposed temporary congestion
·	reduction charge authorized by the Legislature is not approved Metro
	transit will have to cut up to six hundred thousand hours of transit service,
	or about seventeen percent of the current system beginning in 2012.
	11. It is estimated that a reduction of six hundred thousand hours of
	transit service would result in a loss of nine million passenger trips
	annually, and lead to a corresponding increase in personal vehicle trips per
	year, significantly increasing congestion on our roads and highways.
	12. In 2010 the council and executive convened a regional transit task
	force that made recommendations on a new policy framework for the
	future growth, and if necessary, contraction of the Metro transit system.
	13. On June 15, 2011, the regional transit committee adopted the Metro
	Strategic Plan for Public Transportation 2011-2021 that uses the
	recommendations of the regional transit task force as the basis for new
	policy guidelines to determine the allocation of transit service additions
	and reductions.
	14. The council has adopted a congestion reduction plan indicating the
	proposed expenditures of the proceeds from the congestion reduction
	charge. The adopted plan is consistent with the recommendations of the
	regional transit task force and implements the policy guidelines in the
	Metro 10-Year Strategic Plan for Public Transportation.
	15. In combination with the reforms already implemented, imposing the
	temporary congestion reduction charge will enable Metro to continue to

87	reduce congestion by preventing major service cuts through 2014. If the
88	temporary congestion reduction charge is not imposed, Metro transit
89	would have to proceed with substantial service cuts during the 2012-2013
90	budget biennium in order to assure a financially sound future for the
91	transit system.
92	16. In authorizing a temporary congestion reduction charge, the
93	Washington state Legislature recognized the important role transit plays in
94	fighting congestion, as well as the value of the sweeping reform measures
95	Metro transit has implemented to address the agency's difficult financial
96	situation.
97	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
98	SECTION 1. As required by Chapter 373, Laws of Washington 2011, a
99	congestion reduction plan indicating the proposed expenditure of the proceeds of the
100	congestion reduction charge has been approved by the council.
101	SECTION 2. A. In accordance with Chapter 373, Laws of Washington 2011,
102	which amends chapter 82.80 RCW, King County hereby approves the imposition of an
103	annual congestion reduction charge of twenty dollars per vehicle registered in the
104	boundaries of King County for each vehicle subject to vehicle license fees under RCW
105	46.17.350 (1) (a), (c), (d), (e), (g), (h), (j), (n), (o), (p) or (q), and for each vehicle subject
106	to gross weight license fees under RCW 46.17.355 with an unladen weight of six
107	thousand pounds or less.

B. The congestion reduction charge approved by this ordinance applies only to
vehicle registration renewals and is effective upon the registration renewal date as
provided by the state Department of Licensing.

- C. The congestion reduction charge approved by this ordinance shall not apply to vehicles identified in Chapter 373, Laws of Washington 2011, Section 2(6).
- D. The congestion reduction charge approved by this ordinance shall be imposed on the first day of the first full month following the date that is six months after the effective date of this ordinance. Collections of the approved charge shall begin on the same day.

SECTION 3. Proceeds from the congestion reduction charge must be expended in a manner consistent with the recommendations of the 2010 regional transit task force, the King County Strategic Plan for Public Transportation 2011-2021 and the previously adopted congestion reduction plan, which prioritize improving the productivity of Metro transit services. At a minimum for the period in which the congestion reduction charge is imposed, one hundred thousand of the lowest productivity service hours shall be reduced or restructured and the resulting service hour savings reinvested. Consistent with the King County Strategic Plan for Public Transportation 2011-2021 and King County Metro Service Guidelines, priority for the reinvestment of service hours shall be given first to existing service quality issues, including those related to tolling, followed by investments in underserved corridors.

SECTION 4. Proceeds from the congestion reduction charge, including accrued interest, shall be placed in the King County Metro public transportation enterprise fund - operating sub-fund (464) and expended as authorized in Section 3 of this ordinance.

131	SECTION 5. This ordinance takes effect on the later of its effective date as
132	determined by the King County charter or one day after the effective date of the
133	ordinance adopting the congestion reduction plan.
134	SECTION 6. The charge approved by this ordinance and imposed as set forth in
135	section 2.D. of this ordinance expires with vehicle registrations that expire two years after
136	the imposition of the charge or no later than June 30, 2014, whichever comes first.
137	SECTION 7. The executive is authorized to execute an agreement with the
138	department of licensing for the collection of the congestion reduction charge consistent
139	with chapter 46.68 RCW.
140	SECTION 8. A. In order to comply with Chapter 373, Laws of Washington
141	2011, Section 2(1)(d), the executive shall prepare and transmit to council by July 1, 2012,
142	a report detailing the expenditures to-date of the proceeds of the congestion reduction
143	charge through June 1, 2012.
144	B. In order to comply with Chapter 373, Laws of Washington 2011, Section
145	2(1)(e), the executive shall prepare and transmit to council by June 1, 2014, a report
146	detailing the expenditures of the proceeds of the congestion reduction charge.
147	SECTION 9. A. The executive is requested to develop a two-year congestion
148	reduction transit incentives program to begin when a congestion reduction charge is first
149	imposed, and to submit an ordinance to council by November 1, 2011, proposing the
150	program.
151	B. The program shall offer King County vehicle owners, as part of the annual
152	vehicle registration renewal, an opportunity to receive transit ridership incentives in the
153	form of free ride tickets. Each household in King County that has a vehicle owner who

154	paid the annual vehicle registration renewal fee shall be eligible for eight free ride tickets
155	during each twelve-month period for which a congestion reduction charge is imposed.
156	Only households with addresses in King County are eligible.
157	C. The program shall offer vehicle owners an option to donate the value of the
158	free ride tickets to the county's human services ticket program. The program should
159	complement Metro's current human services ticket program and must consider the
160	potential impact to Metro's near-term and long-term financial plans.
161	D. The goal of the program will be to increase ridership on Metro transit and help
162	reduce congestion on King County roads and highways.
163	E. The 2012-2013 transit budget should include funding for the congestion
164	reduction transit incentives program.
165	SECTION 10. A. The executive is requested to begin implementing, by the June
166	2012 service change, new right-sized services provided at reduced operating costs to
167	replace a minimum of five thousand annual service hours and up to twenty thousand
168	hours of traditional transit services in east and south King County communities along the
169	urban growth boundary and adjacent to rural areas, including currently served rural areas.
170	For the purposes of this subsection, "right-sized services" means services that are
171	appropriately scaled to the market served and the mobility needs of the local community,
172	B. To ensure a smooth transition, implementation should, to the extent
173	practicable, include the following elements:
174	1. Consideration of local service needs;
175	2. Stakeholder involvement, including input from and coordination with
176	community agencies or organizations willing to partner with Metro transit;

177	3. Provision of modified fixed-route, dial-a-ride, Community Access
178	Transportation, VanPool, VanShare or other flexible shared-ride concepts that address
179	local mobility needs and can be provided at a reduced operating cost; and
180	4. Transit route and facility modifications as may be necessary to accommodate
181	any new service concept.
182	SECTION 11. A. The executive is requested to discontinue the downtown
183	Seattle ride free area by October 2012, and to develop and submit to council by May
184	2012 an implementation plan to guide the elimination of the ride free area. The plan
185	should be filed in the form of a paper original and an electronic copy with the clerk of the
186	council, who shall retain the original and provide an electronic copy to all
187	councilmembers.
188	B. The implementation plan may include, but is not limited to the following
189	elements to ensure a smooth transition:
190	1. Outreach and coordination with downtown Seattle human service agencies,
191	including a description of a partner program or programs designed to help mitigate the
192	increased cost of trips in downtown Seattle for disadvantaged populations;
193	2. Consideration of an increase in the number of trips or level of subsidy
194	available through the human services ticket program;
195	3. Outreach with downtown businesses, including further promotion of the
196	ORCA card system;
197	4. Coordination with the city of Seattle and affected transit agencies.
198	5. A customer information plan to help riders transition to the new fare
199	collection procedures in downtown Seattle;

200	6. Descriptions of any transit route and facility modifications; and
201	7. Employee training.
202	C. In order for the city of Seattle to continue to benefit from the ride free area in
203	downtown Seattle, the city must negotiate a new methodology that accurately off-sets the
204	cost of the service and that acknowledges the minimal benefit to the county in providing
205	these services.
206	SECTION 12. If any provision of this ordinance or its application to any person

or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the ordinance or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

209

207

208

Ordinance 17169 was introduced on 6/20/2011 and passed as amended by the Metropolitan King County Council on 8/15/2011, by the following vote:

Yes: 7 - Mr. Phillips, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague, Ms. Patterson, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Ferguson and Mr. McDermott No: 2 - Mr. von Reichbauer and Mr. Dunn

Excused: 0

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

arry Gossett, Chair

ATTEST:

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 2 day of ACCUST, 2011.

Dow Constantine, County Executive

Attachments: None