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**AMENDMENT TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2022-0374, VERSION 1**

On page 37, strike lines 820 through 879 and insert:

"P1 PROVIDED THAT:

Of this appropriation, $400,000 shall not be expended or encumbered until the executive transmits a report as required in subsection A. of this proviso describing the new protection order process, along with a protection order pilot program evaluation report as required in subsection B. of this proviso, a motion that should acknowledge receipt of each report, and both motions are passed by the council. Each motion should reference the subject matter, the proviso's ordinance number, ordinance section and proviso number in both the title and body of each motion.

A. The report describing the new protection order process and plans for the pilot program shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

1. A discussion of how the department of judicial administration and superior court protection order pilot addresses the recommendations to the courts from the E2SHB 1320 Stakeholder Group;

2. A discussion of the department of judicial administration and superior court's plan to expand language access for both petitioners and respondents, including but not limited to the plans to translate forms to major non-English languages spoken in King County;

3. An evaluation plan for the protection order pilot, including identification of the performance metrics to be used to evaluate the pilot, including measures to assess whether access has been improved for unrepresented litigants;

4. A summary of the initial feedback for the department of judicial administration and superior court from stakeholders, including petitioners, advocates, respondents, civil legal aid providers, law enforcement and the prosecuting attorney's office on any suggested improvements based on the initial pilot program; and

5. A plan to solicit feedback throughout the pilot period from interested stakeholders, including petitioners, advocates, respondents, civil legal aid providers, law enforcement and the prosecuting attorney's office.

B. For the protection order pilot evaluation report, the report shall include, but not be limited to, the following information:

1. Recommendations for any needed improvements to the department of judicial administration and superior court protection order pilot program based on lessons learned during the pilot period, implementation of the evaluation plan and tracking of performance metrics referenced in subsection A.3. of this proviso and feedback from stakeholders referenced in subsection A.5. of this proviso;

2. Summary of feedback solicited throughout the process from interested stakeholders, as referenced in subsection A.5. of this proviso;

3. Description of actions taken by the department of judicial administration and superior court in response to initial and ongoing feedback from stakeholders, as referenced in subsection A.4. and 5. of this proviso;

4. The number of protection orders filed by type;

5. The median wait time by type of order for a petitioner between filing for a protection order and receiving a full order;

6. A summary by type of orders, the percentage of petitioners by race that were successful in obtaining a full order, the percentage of petitioners by race successful in obtaining only a temporary order and the percentage of petitioners by race that did not receive any type of order;

7. Percentage of orders by type denied versus dismissed broken out by measureable metrics, including race;

8. Percentage of petitioners obtaining a temporary order but no full order; and

9. Reasons for denials or dismissals.

Moneys shall be unencumbered in $200,000 increments upon adoption of the motion acknowledging receipt of each report is passed by the council.

The executive should electronically file the first report with requirements specified in subsection A. of this proviso and motion required by this proviso by June 1, 2023, with the clerk of the council, who shall retain an electronic copy and provide an electronic copy to all councilmembers, the council chief of staff and the lead staff for the law, justice, health and human services committee or its successor.

The executive should electronically file the second report with requirements specified in subsection B. of this proviso and motion required by this proviso by March 6, 2024, with the clerk of the council, who shall retain an electronic copy and provide an electronic copy to all councilmembers, the council chief of staff and the lead staff for the law, justice, health and human services committee or its successor."

**EFFECT prepared by *Jenny Giambattista*: *Makes several minor changes to the proviso requirements. These changes include:***

* Providing more direction on what is to be included in the plan to expand language access.
* Eliminates department of public defense as a required stakeholder because they were reported to not be actively involved in the protection order process.
* Clarifies the percentage of orders denied versus dismissed should be reported by type of order and include measurable metrics.