

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST
UPDATED 2022

WAC 197-11-960 Environmental Checklist.

Purpose of Checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents

Use of checklist for non-project proposals:

For non-project proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects)

questions in Part B - Environmental Elements -that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

The adoption of a six-year Capital Facilities Plan by the Kent School District. The Comprehensive Plans of King County, City of Kent, City of Covington, City of Renton, City of Auburn and possibly Cities of Maple Valley, Black Diamond and SeaTac have been and/or will be amended to include the Kent School District 2022 Capital Facilities Plan in the Capital Facilities Plan Element of the Comprehensive Plan for each jurisdiction. A copy of the Capital Facilities Plan is available for review in the Kent School District Capital Planning team.

2. Name of applicant:

Kent School District No. 415.

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Kent School District No. 415
12033 SE 256th Street Bldg. B
Kent, WA 98030-6643

Contact Person: Mr. Dave Bussard, Executive Director

Telephone: (253) 373-7526

4. Date checklist prepared: April 4, 2022

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Kent School District No. 415

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The 2022 Kent School District Capital Facilities Plan is scheduled to be forwarded to King County, Cities of Kent, Covington, Renton, Auburn, Maple Valley, Black Diamond, and SeaTac for possible inclusion in each jurisdiction's Comprehensive Plan. The Capital Facilities Plan will be updated annually. Site-specific projects have been or will be subject to project-specific environmental review.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. None at this time

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal?

If yes, explain.

No.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

King County and Cities of Kent, Covington, Auburn and Renton will review and approve the Capital Facilities Plan for the purposes of impact fee ordinances and will need to adopt the Plan as an amendment to the Capital Facilities Plan element of the Comprehensive Plans of King County and Cities of Kent, Covington, Renton and Auburn. Cities of Maple Valley, Black Diamond, and SeaTac may also review and approve the Plan for the purposes of any school impact fee ordinances and may adopt the Plan as an amendment to the Capital Facilities element of their Comprehensive Plans.

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

This is a non-project action. This proposal involves the adoption of the Kent School District 2022 Capital Facilities Plan for the purpose of planning the facilities needs of the District and for inclusion in the Capital Facilities Plan element and possible amendment of the Comprehensive Plans for King County, City of Covington, City of Kent, City of Renton, City of Auburn, City of Black Diamond, City of SeaTac and City of Maple Valley. A copy of the Capital Facilities Plan may be viewed at the Kent School District Capital Planning office.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The 2022 Capital Facilities Plan will affect the Kent School District. The District includes an area of approximately 70 square miles. The City of Covington, and portions of the Cities of Kent, Auburn, Renton, Black Diamond, Maple Valley, SeaTac and parts of unincorporated King County fall within the boundaries of the Kent School District.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

- a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other

The Kent School District is comprised of a variety of topographic landforms and gradients, including all of those listed. Specific topographic characteristics will be identified during the planning and permit process for each capital project.

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

Specific slope characteristics will be identified during the planning and permit process for each capital project.

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Specific soil types will be identified during the planning and permit process for each capital project.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Unstable soils may exist within the Kent School District. Specific soil limitations on individual project sites will be identified at the time of environmental review.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will be subject to project-specific environmental review and local approval at the time of proposal. Proposed grading projects, as well as the purpose, type, quantity, and source of fill materials will be identified as appropriate to each project.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

It is possible that erosion could occur as a result of construction projects currently proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan. Individual projects and their erosion impacts will be evaluated on a site-specific basis. Individual projects will be subject to environmental review and local approval on the time of proposal.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings?)

Percentage of impervious cover will vary with each capital facilities project and will be addressed during project-specific environmental review.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Erosion potential on individual project sites will be addressed during project-specific environmental review. Relevant erosion reduction and control requirements will be met.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction operation and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Various emissions, many construction-related, may result from individual projects. Air-quality impacts will be evaluated during project-specific environmental review. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

Off-site sources and necessary mitigation will be addressed during project-specific environmental review.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Plans for individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject to environmental review and relevant local approval processes, including obtaining of any necessary air quality permits, at the time individual projects are formally proposed. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

3. Water

a. Surface:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

There is a network of surface water bodies within the Kent School District. The surface water regimes and flow patterns have been or will be researched and incorporated in the design of each individual project.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Some projects may require work near these described waters. Individual projects in the Capital Facilities Plan will be subject to environmental review and local approval requirements at the time the project is formally proposed.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Information with respect to placement or removal of fill or dredge material will be addressed at the time of project-specific environmental review. Applicable local regulations have been or will be satisfied.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Any surface water withdrawals or diversions have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Each capital facilities project, if located in a floodplain area, will be required to meet applicable local regulations for flood areas.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

Specific information regarding discharges of waste materials, if any, will be addressed during project-specific environmental review. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

b. Ground:

- 1) Will ground water be withdrawn, from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may impact ground water resources. Each project will be evaluated during project-specific environmental review. Applicable local regulations have been or will be satisfied. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals ... ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Impacts of discharged waste material, if any, have been or will be addressed during site-specific, project-level environmental review.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may have varying storm water runoff consequences. Each project will be subject to environmental review and applicable local regulations.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will have varying environmental impacts and will be subject to appropriate review and local regulations prior to construction. Information regarding waste materials will be presented at the time of such review. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may have varying drainage pattern consequences. Each project will be subject to environmental review and applicable local regulations.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

Specific measures to reduce or control runoff impacts have been or will be developed on a project-specific basis in cooperation with the appropriate jurisdiction.

4. Plants:

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:

deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

shrubs

grass

pasture

crop or grain

wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other

water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil,
other types of vegetation

There are various vegetative zones within the Kent School District. An inventory of species has been or will be produced as part of project-specific environmental review.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Impacts on vegetation will be determined at the time of project-specific environmental review at the time the project is formally proposed. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Specific impacts to these species from individual projects have been or will be determined at the time of project proposal and will be addressed during site-specific, project-level environmental review.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will be subject to environmental review and local approval at the time of project proposal.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will be subject to Environmental review and local approval at the time of project proposal.

5. Animals:

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

An inventory of species observed on or near sites has been or will be developed during project-specific environmental review.

- b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Specific impacts to these species from individual projects will be determined at the time of project proposal and will be reviewed in cooperation with the affected jurisdictions.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Impacts on migration routes, if any, will be addressed during site-specific, project-level environmental review.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

Appropriate measures to preserve or enhance wildlife have been or will be determined at the time of site-specific, project-level environmental review.

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

An inventory of invasive animal species observed on or near sites has been or will be developed during project-specific environmental review

6. Energy and Natural Resources:

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

The State Board of Education requires a life-cycle cost analysis of all heating, lighting, and insulating systems prior to allowing specific projects to proceed. Energy needs will be decided at the time of specific engineering and site design planning. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe:

Individual projects of this Capital Facilities Plan will be evaluated as to their impact on the solar potential of adjacent projects during environmental review.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Energy conservation measures will be considered at the project-specific design phase and environmental review.

7. Environmental Health:

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area in the vicinity

Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Proposed projects will comply with all current codes, standards, and rules and regulations. Individual projects have been or will be subject to environmental review and local approval at the time of formal submittal.

b. Noise:

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

A variety of noises exist within the Kent School District. Specific noise sources have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Normal construction noises would exist on a short-term basis during school construction. There could be an increase in traffic or operations-related noise

which would be addressed during project specific environmental review. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Project noise impacts have been or will be evaluated and mitigated during the project-specific environmental review. Each project is or will be subject to applicable local regulations.

8. Land and Shoreline Use:

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

There are a variety of land uses within the Kent School District, including residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, utility, agricultural, forestry, open space, recreational, etc.

b. Has the site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

This question will be addressed during site-specific, project-level environmental review.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Structures located on proposed sites have been or will be identified and described during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

Structures to be demolished, if any, will be identified as part of the project-specific environmental review process.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

There are a variety of zoning classifications within the Kent School District. Site specific zoning information has been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

An inventory of comprehensive plan designations has been or will be completed during project-specific environmental review.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Any shoreline master program designations have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by city or county? If so, specify.

Environmentally sensitive areas, if any, will be identified during project-specific environmental review.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

This information has been or will be provided at the time of project-specific environmental review.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

It is not anticipated that proposed projects will displace any people. Displacement of people, if any, will be evaluated during project-specific environmental review.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will be subject to project-specific environmental review and local approval at the time the project is formally proposed.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Compatibility of the proposal and specific projects with existing uses and plans have been or will be assessed as part of the comprehensive planning process and during project-specific environmental review.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

Compatibility of the proposal and specific projects with existing uses and plans have been or will be assessed as part of the comprehensive planning process and during project-specific environmental review.

9. · Housing:

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

No housing units would be provided.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Any impact of project proposals on existing housing has been or would be evaluated during project-specific environmental review procedures.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Measures to reduce or control any housing impacts have been or will be addressed during site-specific, project-level environmental review.

10. Aesthetics:

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

Aesthetic impacts have been or will be determined at the time of site-specific, project-level environmental review.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

Aesthetic impacts have been or will be determined at the time of site-specific, project-level environmental review.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

Appropriate measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts have been or will be determined at the time of project-specific environmental review.

11. Light and Glare:

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

Light or glare impacts have been or will be determined at the time of project-specific environmental review.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

Light or glare impacts have been or will be determined at the time of project-specific environmental review.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

Off-site sources of light or glare have been or will be evaluated at the time of project specific environmental review.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Mitigation of light and glare impact has been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review.

12. Recreation:

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

There are a variety of formal and informal recreational facilities within the Kent School District.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

Recreational impacts have been or will be addressed during project specific environmental review. Projects in the Capital Facilities Plan may enhance recreational opportunities and uses.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

Any adverse effects on recreation stemming from individual project proposals have been or will be subject to mitigation during the environmental review procedure. A school site usually provides recreational facilities to the community in the form of additional play fields and gymnasiums.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation:

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, describe.

The existence of historic and cultural resources will be determined at the time of project-specific environmental review.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

An inventory of historical sites has been or will be conducted as part of project specific environmental review.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with

tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

Appropriate measures have been or will be proposed on a project-specific basis.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

Appropriate measures have been or will be proposed on a project-specific basis.

14. Transportation:

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Impact on public streets and highways has been or will be assessed during project-specific environmental review.

b. Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The relationship between specific projects and public transit has been or will be assessed during project-specific environmental review.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

An inventory of parking spaces and the impacts of specific projects on parking spaces have been or will be conducted during project-specific environmental review.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The development of new schools may require new access roads or streets. This issue will be fully addressed during project-specific environmental review.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

Use of water, rail or air transportation has been or will be addressed during site-

specific, project-level environmental review.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

Each project proposal has been or will be separately evaluated as to traffic impacts.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

Each project proposal has been or will be separately evaluated as to traffic impacts.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

Mitigation of impacts on transportation has been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review.

15. Public Services:

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

Impacts have been or will be evaluated on a project-specific basis.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

Schools are built with automatic security systems, fire alarms, smoke alarms, heat sensors and sprinkler systems.

16. Utilities:

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

Utilities available at project sites have been or will be identified during project specific environmental review.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Utility revisions and construction needs will be identified during project-specific environmental review.

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:



Mr. Dave Bussard
Executive Director, Operations & Facilities

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

(do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

To the extent this Plan makes it more likely that school facilities will be constructed, and/or renovated or remodeled, some of these environmental impacts will be more likely. Additional impermeable surfaces, such as roofs, parking lots, sidewalks, access roads and playgrounds will increase storm water runoff, which could enter surface or ground water. Emissions to air could result from heating systems, emergency generators and other equipment, and from additional car and bus trips to and from the school for students and faculty. Any emissions resulting from this Plan should not require the production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances, with the possible exception of storage of diesel fuel or gasoline for emergency generating equipment. Noise may result from additional traffic and from concentrating several hundred children at a new facility, especially before and after school and during recesses.

To the extent this proposal allows additional residential development to occur, these impacts would also increase somewhat, but it is not possible to quantify those impacts at this time. The impacts would depend on the type, location and distribution of housing, for example, whether single or multiple family and the location of the school.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

Facilities implementing the Plan have been or will be evaluated at the project specific level and impacts will be mitigated accordingly. Storm water detention and runoff will meet applicable County and/or City requirements and, depending on the date of actual construction, may be subject to a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permitting requirements. Discharges to air will be minimal, and will meet any applicable requirements of the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Authority. Fuel oil will be stored according to local and state requirements.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The Plan itself will have no impact on these elements of the environment. Depending on the particular site, construction of facilities may require clearing sites of plants and loss of animal habitat. To the extent residential development is allowed, additional area may be cleared and eliminated as habitat for animals. There are not likely to be any impacts on fish or marine life, although some water quality degradation in streams and rivers could occur due to increased residential development. These impacts have been or will be addressed in more detail during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

Individual projects will be evaluated and mitigated appropriately on a project-specific basis, but specific mitigation proposals cannot be identified at this time.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

Any actual projects resulting from this Plan would consume heating fuel and electrical energy. Increased traffic resulting from the construction of additional facilities would consume petroleum based fuels. Reduced traffic resulting from construction of another neighborhood school may also reduce amounts of fuel consumed, but it is not possible to quantify such reduction in consumption at this time. These impacts have been or will be addressed in more detail during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

Facilities would be constructed in accordance with applicable energy efficiency standards.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The Plan and facilities constructed pursuant to the Plan should have no impact on these resources. It is not possible to predict whether other development made possible by this Plan would affect sensitive areas.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

No specific measures are being proposed at this time. Appropriate measures have been or will be proposed during project-specific review. Annual updates of this Plan will be coordinated with King County, Cities of Kent, Covington, Renton, Auburn, Black Diamond, SeaTac, and Maple Valley as part of the Growth Management Act process, one of the purposes of which is to protect environmentally sensitive areas. To the extent the School District's facilities planning process is part of the overall growth management planning process, these resources are more likely to be protected.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The Plan will not have any impact on land or shoreline use that is incompatible with existing comprehensive plans, land use codes, or shoreline management plans.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

None are proposed at this time. Actual facilities constructed to implement the Plan will be sited and constructed to avoid or reduce land use impacts.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

This proposal should not create substantial new demands for transportation. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may create an increase in traffic near new District facilities but also reduce traffic by creating the opportunity for more students to walk to a closer school. The construction of the facilities included in the Capital Facilities Plan may result in minor increases in the demand for public services and utilities, such as fire and police protection, and water, sewer, and electric utilities. None of these impacts are likely to be significant. The impacts on transportation and public services and utilities of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will be addressed during project-level review when appropriate.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

No measures to reduce or respond to such demands are proposed at this time.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The Kent School District Capital Facilities Plan will not conflict with any laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.