CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

2010 to 2015

Tahoma School District No. 409

Adopted: July 27, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Summary | 1 |
| Six-Year Enrollment Projection | 2 |
| Standard of Service and Availability of Space | 3 |
| Inventory of Permanent Facilities | 5 |
| Projected Enrollment and Capacity | 6 |
| Facility Needs and Financial Plan | 9 |
| Fee Calculations | 12 |
| Student Generation Data | 13 |
| Past and Future Enrollment Data | A-1 |
| Impact Fee Calculation | B-1 |

TAHOMA SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 409 2010

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN - UPDATE

Summary:

In accordance with King County Code 21A.43, this update has been prepared to reflect current conditions in facility usage and needs. District Board Policy 9100 requires that "changing demographic factors shall be monitored in order that students' needs are met when the future becomes the present." An ongoing Facilities Planning Committee reviews facility availability and demographics to place students in an environment that meets the educational needs of the students and that is consistent with the educational philosophy and the instructional goals of the District.

Following a period of modest growth, the District has recently experienced healthy enrollment gains in each of the last six years. In 2004, the total student headcount was 6,552. In October 2009 the count was 7,329, an increase of 11.8 percent. Current enrollment, along with projections presented herein, indicates that the enrollment growth will continue over the next six years.

Much of the District's growth is occurring within the City of Maple Valley. There is also ongoing, though limited, development in other areas of unincorporated area of King County that are located within the District. A large development is planned in the Summit Pit area of the District, which is currently located in unincorporated King County but planned for annexation by the City of Maple Valley in the near future. It has been the District's recent experience that new houses being built in the District tend to yield the largest number of students five or six years after the initial occupancy.

Over the past several years, the District has completed a number of activities to accommodate capacity needs throughout the District. At the elementary level, the completion of Rock Creek Elementary School provided 25 new classrooms and the expansion of Cedar River added 3 additional specialized classrooms. In addition, Glacier Park Elementary School was complete in the fall of 1994, with 12 additional classrooms added in 1997. With the successful passage of the bond issue in 1997 and the construction of an addition at the High School and a new secondary school, Glacier Park was reconfigured to serve grades K through 6 for the 2000-2001 school year. The middle school students at Glacier Park were then moved to Cedar River. Then, following the reopening of Tahoma Junior High School, the District reconfigured grade levels and moved all sixth graders to the middle schools, creating additional elementary school capacity at existing schools. Some students are housed in relocatable facilities, which will continue to be used until permanent facilities are constructed.

Even with these actions, the District must construct additional capacity at all grade levels in order to provide adequate space to accommodate the six-year projected enrollment. This Plan includes the capacity projects planned by the District during this planning period.

SIX-YEAR ENROLLMENT PROJECTION

The District uses the enrollment projections provided by the Washington State Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI). The projections are based on the "Cohort Survival Method" which computes progressive ratios for each grade level and averages those ratios over the past five years. The average ratio is then multiplied by the actual current year's enrollment using October headcount for each grade to project the enrollment in the next grade for the next year. The Cohort Survival Method uses past enrollment indicators to predict future growth, however, and does not account for anticipated growth due to new residential development. Therefore, the Cohort Survival Method projections are to be considered highly conservative. In addition, while long-range projections are less reliable than short range, the District will continue to adjust for changes from year to year.

Calculations based on the 2009 enrollment data indicate that growth will consistently increase over the next six years. Current enrollment of 6965 (October 2009 FTE) is projected to increase to 7,804 in 2015 --- an increase of approximately 11 percent. All three grade levels will experience enrollment growth.

The District anticipates that, in addition to the enrollment increases predicted by the Cohort Survival Method, substantial enrollment increases will occur due to the development of approximately 1,500 dwelling units in the Summit Pit area. Again, the Summit Pit area development will only add to the enrollment projections contained in this Plan. The impacts of this development on the District may begin during the six years of this Capital Facilities Planning period. The District intends to monitor this development as it proceeds and will include updated information in future updates to this Plan.

Appendix A includes the District's enrollment history and six year enrollment projections.

STANDARD OF SERVICE AND AVAILABILITY OF SPACE

The Standard of Service identified by the Tahoma School District in keeping with Board Policy 9100 is to "...accommodate the educational needs of students and be consistent with the educational philosophy and instructional goals of the District." State legislation and contract agreement with the Tahoma Education Association identify the Certificated staff mandate for maximum classroom size. Enrollment and spaces occupied by the Russell Ridge Center are not included in the Standard of Service and Available Space Calculations.

Standards of Service for Elementary School Students:

- 1. Class size for grades K-5 averages 23.
- 2. With the exception of Lake Wilderness, which has integrated special education students into the regular program classrooms, special education instruction is provided in self contained classrooms.
- 3. All students are provided music and physical education in separate classrooms.
- 4. Computer labs are available in each school.
- 5. Gifted education is offered as either pullout or self-contained classes (average class size is 22) at Shadow Lake Elementary.
- 6. Remedial services are offered as pull-out models and utilize space available in each school.
- 7. If growth continues and the District is unsuccessful in passing a future bond issue, students will be housed using alternate means, i.e., split shifts and/or multi-track year-round schools regardless of Standard of Service considerations.
- 8. The District has/will relocate students of one grade level to facilities of another grade level to take advantage of available excess capacity. The District will continue such actions as necessary.

Standards of Service for Senior and Middle/Junior High School Students:

- 1. Class sizes for both the middle/junior high school average 26 and class sizes for the senior high average 27.
- 2. Self contained special education classes are offered in all buildings.
- 3. Computer labs are offered in all buildings.
- 4. Advanced vocational classes have less than average number of enrollees.
- 5. Classes are utilized during the day for planning and student consultation.
- 6. Certain specialty classes, such as typing, music, and certain vocational courses, are not conducive for scheduling general classes.
- 7. If growth continues and the District is unsuccessful in passing a future bond issue, students will be housed using alternate means, i.e., split shifts and/or multi-track year-round schools regardless of Standard of Service considerations.
- 8. The District has/will relocate students of one grade level to facilities of another grade level to take advantage of available excess capacity. The District will continue such actions as necessary.

At this time, enrollment figures show the District has facility capacity for the following schools:

| Lake Wilderness | K-5 | Is over capacity by 168 students in permanent facilities and 76 students over capacity when considering relocatable facilities. |
|-----------------------|-------|--|
| Shadow Lake | K-5 | Is under capacity by 26 students in permanent facilities and 72 students under capacity when considering relocatable facilities. |
| Rock Creek | K-5 | Is over capacity by 63 students in permanent facilities and 98 under capacity when considering relocatable facilities |
| Glacier Park | K-5 | Is over capacity by 119students in permanent facilities and 65 students under capacity when considering relocatable facilities. |
| Cedar River | 6-7 | Is over capacity by 64 students in permanent facilities and is 12 students over capacity when considering relocatable facilities. |
| Tahoma Middle | 6-7 | Is under capacity by 60 students in permanent facilities. |
| Tahoma Junior High | 8-9 | Is over capacity by 55 students in permanent facilities and under capacity by 23 students when considering relocatable facilities. |
| High School | 10-12 | Is over capacity by 159 students in permanent facilities and under capacity by 192 students when considering relocatable facilities. |

The District also operates an alternative school, Russell Ridge Center (K-12). Because of limited facilities, enrollment will not exceed the predetermined limits of 50 for Russell Ridge Center. Because of these District limits, neither the enrollment nor capacity of Russell Ridge Center are considered in the calculations and conclusions in this document.

INVENTORY OF PERMANENT FACILITIES

Instructional Facilities

| | | | Permanent Capacity | Temporary Capacity | October 09 FTE Enrollment |
|---------------------------------------|-------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Lake Wilderness Elementary | K-5 | 24216 Witte Road SE Maple Valley, 98038 | 736 | 92 | 904 |
| Shadow Lake Elementary | K-5 | 22620 Sweeney Road SE Maple Valley, 98038 | 504 | 46 | 478 |
| Rock Creek Elementary | K-5 | 25700 SR 169 Maple Valley, 98038 | 708 | 161 | 771 |
| Glacier Park Elementary | K-5 | 23700 SE 280 th Maple Valley, 98038 | 708 | 184 | 827 |
| Cedar River Middle School | 6-7 | 22516 Sweeney Road SE Maple Valley, 98038 | 513 | 52 | 577 |
| Tahoma Middle School | 6-7 | 24425 S.E. 216 th Maple Valley, 98038 | 629 | 0 | 569 |
| Tahoma Junior High | 8-9 | 25600 SE Summit-Landsburg Rd. Ravensdale, 98051 | 1,143 | 78 | 1198 |
| Tahoma High School | 10-12 | 18200 SE 240th Kent, 98042 | 1,413 | 351 | 1572 |
| Russell Ridge (Alternative School) | K-12 | 24425 SE 216 th Way Maple Valley, 98038 | 50 | | 93 |

Support Facilities

| Central Services Center | 25720 SR 169 Maple Valley, 98038 |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Transportation and Maintenance | 22050 SE Petrovitsky Road Maple Valley, 98038 |
| Central Kitchen | 25638 SR 169 Maple Valley, 98038 |

NOTE: Russell Ridge Center is not included in "Projected Enrollment and Capacity" because enrollment limits are established by the District and new students come from waiting lists.

PROJECTED ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY

In 2005, the District completed its construction and remodeling program that began with passage of the 1997 construction bond measure. The \$45.5 million bond measure, combined with state matching funds and local construction impact fees, paid for: Tahoma Senior High School remodeling and expansion; Tahoma Junior High construction; Shadow Lake Elementary School remodeling and expansion; Cedar River Middle School expansion; and Tahoma Middle School renovation.

The District began a transition during the 2001-2002 school year to a District-wide grade reconfiguration of K-5, 6-7, 8-9 and 10-12. When the completion of the modernization of the old Tahoma Junior High School in 2004, that school re-opened as a middle school and all of the District's elementary schools now serve grades K-5. This configuration helped to create additional capacity at the elementary (K-5) level.

The District will continue to use relocatable facilities until sufficient permanent space is constructed. Note that the District uses relocatable capacity as a temporary remedy only.

The following charts on projected enrollment and capacity detail the available space and the projected enrollment for the next six years. The District is in need of capacity at all grade levels. Large classes and the utilization of non-traditional classroom space will continue until additional permanent space and/or facilities become available. It is anticipated that the continued building of single family residences in the District will cause the need to build a new 5th elementary school and replace (with additional new capacity) the existing Lake Wilderness Elementary School. The District will also need to expand Cedar River Middle School, Tahoma Junior High School and Tahoma High School. Relocatable capacity may also be added at all grade levels. Note that these improvements are needed to address immediate growth needs and may not include additional capacity that will be necessary to serve development in the Summit Pit area.

PROJECTED ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY

| Elementary | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| (K-5) | 2009** | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Permanent Program Capacity | 2,656 | 2,656 | 2,656 | 2,656 | 2,760 | 2,760 | 2,760 |
| Elementary No. 5 | | | | | | | 816 |
| Lake Wilderness Renovation (additional capacity) | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 104 | | | And the second s |
| Total Permanent Capacity | 2,656 | 2,656 | 2,656 | 2,760 | 2,760 | 2,760 | 3,576 |
| Additional Relocatables | | | | | | | |
| Total Relocatable Capacity*** | 483 | 299 | 299 | 299 | 299 | 299 | 299 |
| Total Capacity | 3,139 | 2,955 | 2,955 | 3,059 | 3,059 | 3,059 | 3,875 |
| Projected Enrollment* | 3,012** | 3,095 | 3,150 | 3,185 | 3,222 | 3,234 | 3,288 |
| Available Capacity (Temp. & Perm. Facilities) | 127 | (140) | (195) | (126) | (163) | 175 | 288 |
| Available Capacity (Permanent Facilities) | (356) | (439) | (494) | (425) | (462) | (474) | 587 |

^{*}Projected FTE Enrollment
***Actual Oct. 1 2009 FTE enrollment
***District-wide portable adjustments are planned in 2010

| Middle/Junior High School | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|-------|
| (6-9) | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Total Permanent Program Capacity | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,285 |
| Middle/Junior High Addition | | | | | | and the state of t | 75 |
| Total Permanent Capacity | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,360 |
| Additional Relocatables | | | | | | | |
| Total Relocatable Capacity*** | 130 | 329 | 329 | 329 | 329 | 329 | 329 |
| Total Capacity | 2,415 | 2,614 | 2,614 | 2,614 | 2,614 | 2,614 | 2,689 |
| Projected Enrollment* | 2,373** | 2,397 | 2,466 | 2,497 | 2,580 | 2,676 | 2,706 |
| Available Capacity (Temp. & Perm. Facilities) | 42 | 217 | 148 | 117 | 34 | (62) | (17) |
| Available Capacity (Permanent Facilities) | (88) | (112) | (181) | (212) | (295) | (391) | (346) |

^{*}Projected FTE Enrollment

^{***}Actual Oct. 1 2009 FTE enrollment
***District-wide portable adjustments are planned in 2010

| High School | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| (10-12) | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Permanent Program Capacity | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,413 |
| High School Addition | | | | | | | 265 |
| Total Permanent Capacity | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,413 | 1,678 |
| Additional Relocatables | | | | | | | |
| Total Relocatable Capacity*** | 351 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 |
| Total Capacity | 1,764 | 1,575 | 1,575 | 1,575 | 1,575 | 1,575 | 1,840 |
| Projected Enrollment* | 1,581** | 1,663 | 1,644 | 1,731 | 1,710 | 1,748 | 1,760 |
| Available Capacity (Temp. & Perm. Facilities) | 183 | (88) | (69) | (156) | (135) | (173) | 80 |
| Available Capacity (Permanent Facilities) | (168) | (250) | (231) | (318) | (297) | (355) | (162) |

^{*}Projected FTE Enrollment; does not include students in alternative programs
**Actual Oct. 1 2009 FTE enrollment
***District-wide portable adjustments are planned in 2010

FACILITY NEEDS AND FINANCIAL PLAN

Needs Forecast:

The following charts summarize the District's proposed remodeling, expansion and new construction projects. In order to meet expected enrollment increases and to address other facilities needs, the District is planning, pending voter approval of bond funding, the following projects: a new elementary school (Elementary No. 5), replacement and expansion of Lake Wilderness Elementary School, a capacity addition at Tahoma Junior High School, and a capacity addition at Tahoma High School. In addition, the District plans to reconfigure portables across District schools to relieve interim growth needs. Additional portables may be added in the District during the six years of this Plan. The District also plan a future capacity addition at Cedar River Middle School; however, that project is outside of the six years of this planning period. More details will be included in future Plan updates.

The District also plans noncapacity improvements at various schools throughout the District, as identified on the Finance Plan and described below:

- Glacier Park Elementary: miscellaneous building upgrades.
- Rock Creek Elementary: miscellaneous building upgrades.
- · Shadow Lake Elementary: miscellaneous building upgrades.
- Cedar River Middle School: improvements to athletic fields; new drama classroom; covered outdoor eating area; replacement of roofing and exterior siding; miscellaneous building upgrades.
- Tahoma Middle School: construct outdoor covered eating area; auditorium improvements (seating and lighting); removal of hall lockers; upgrades to gym; athletic field improvements; locker room renovations; minor building repairs.
- Tahoma Junior High School: add teacher planning areas, resources rooms, and instruction rooms; lecture hall; drama classroom; enlarged commons and athletic storage; covered outdoor eating area; minor building repairs.
- Tahoma High School: add teacher planning areas and small group instruction rooms; improve site vehicular circulation; replace concession stand/restroom building at baseball field; enlarge commons area.

These projects would be completed over the course of the six years of this Plan. The Financial Plan reflects costs based on current architectural projections and revenue based on the present District match ratio and impact fees projections.

FINANCE PLAN

Capacity Projects

| t* Construction Cost** | 4y \$3,998,592 | ly \$33,898,950 | ly \$1,469,000 | ly \$4,577,000 | ly \$9,966,000 | \$53,909,542 |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Site Cost* | Previously purchased | Previously purchased | Previously purchased | Previously purchased | Previously purchased | *************************************** |
| Anticipated Source of Funds** | State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees | State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees | State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees | State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees | State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees | **Oktoby karangangangang |
| % of Facilities to Serve New Growth | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | |
| Capacify Change | 104 | 816 | 62 | 75 | 265 | |
| Location | 24216 Witte Road SE | Adjacent to Tahoma Junior High | 22516 Sweeney Road SE | 25600 SE Summit- Landsburg Rd | 18200 SE 240th | |
| Proposed End Date | 2012 | 2015 | 2017 | 2014 | 2014 | |
| Proposed Start Date | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2012 | 2012 | |
| Facility | Lake Wilderness Elementary Replacement (Net New Seats) | New Elementary School No. 5 | Cedar River Middle School Addition | Tahoma Junior High Addition | Tahoma High School Addition | TOTAL |

Noncapacity Projects

| Proposed Start Date | te End Date | Location | Anticipated Source of Funds** | Site Cost* | Construction Cost** |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 2011 | 2012 | 24216 Witte Road SE | State Match, Bonds | Previously purchased | \$28,297,728 |
| | 2013 | 22620 Sweeney Road SE | Bonds | Previously purchased | \$688,300 |
| 1 | 2016 | 25700 MV-BIK Diamond Rd SE | Bonds | Previously purchased | \$4,406,500 |
| | 2016 | 23700 SE 280 th | Bonds | Previously purchased | \$3,779,500 |
| | 2017 | 22516 Sweeney Road SE | Bonds | Previously Purchased | \$10,989,800 |
| | 2015 | 24425 SE 216th | Bonds | \$655,000 | \$4,595,000 |
| | 2014 | 25600 SE Summit- Landsburg Rd | State Match, Bonds | Previously purchased | \$7,394,800 |
| | 2014 | 18200 SE 240th | State Match, Bonds | Previously purchased | \$27,452,700 |
| | | | | | \$87,604,328 |

* * *

Previously purchased property paid from earlier bond issues
The District anticipates presenting a bond proposal to the voters to fund the stated projects
Site and Building cost estimates provided by DLR Group

FEE CALCULATIONS

School Impact Fees Under the Washington State Growth Management Act

The Growth Management Act (GMA) authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands.

Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

The Tahoma School District calculates school impact fees pursuant to the formula adopted by King County Ordinance No. 10162 and under the authority of Chapter 21A.43 of the King County Code and the Washington State Growth Management Act. The formula calculates fees for single family dwelling units and multi-family dwelling units.

Impact fees are calculated based on the District's cost per dwelling unit for capacity projects that will serve the student from new development (including, as applicable, the purchase of land for school sites, making site improvements, constructing schools and purchasing/installing portable facilities). For this year's calculation, because the District is adding capacity to existing schools and is not required to purchase new land, the District's costs are related only to the cost per dwelling unit to construct schools. As required under GMA, credits have also been applied for State Match Funds to be reimbursed to the District and property taxes to fund the projects that will be proposed for future bond measures. Assessed values for single and multi-family housing in the Tahoma School District were provided by the King County Assessor in February 2010.

The King County Ordinance includes a fifty (50) percent "discount rate," which operates to set the final fee at 50% of the calculated unfunded need. For the 2010 Plan, the Tahoma School District has voluntarily increased this discount rate to 57%.

Appendix B includes the District's fee calculation. Single Family Housing will yield a fee of \$7,791 and multi-family housing will yield a fee of \$2,920.

STUDENT FACTORS

The student factor (or student generation rate), a significant factor in determining impact fees, is the average number of students generated by each housing type—single-family and multiple-family housing. The student factors are indicated below.

The District was unable to obtain sufficient permit data to calculate its own student generation factors. In accordance with K.C.C. 21A.06.1260, the District has chosen to use the average student generation rate of neighboring school districts.

STUDENT FACTOR RATES

Single Family Dwelling Unit:

| | Auburn | Issaquah | Kent | Lk. Wash | Average |
|------------|--------|----------|-------|----------|---------|
| Elementary | 0.308 | 0.437 | 0.486 | 0.436 | 0.417 |
| Middle | 0.147 | 0.168 | 0.130 | 0.099 | 0.136 |
| High | 0.177 | 0.166 | 0.250 | 0.074 | 0.167 |
| Total | 0.632 | 0.771 | 0.866 | 0.609 | 0.720 |

Multi-Family Dwelling Unit:

| | Auburn | Issaquah | Kent | Lk. Wash | Average |
|------------|--------|----------|-------|----------|---------|
| Elementary | 0.086 | 0.102 | 0.331 | 0.141 | 0.165 |
| Middle | 0.038 | 0.049 | 0.067 | 0.056 | 0.053 |
| High | 0.031 | 0.052 | 0.124 | 0.047 | 0.064 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | 0.155 | 0.203 | 0.522 | 0.244 | 0.282 |

APPENDIX A - ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

STATE OF MASHINGTON SUPERINTENDENT OF FUBLIC INSTRUCTION OLYMPIA

REPORT NO. 1949 RUM ON 09:33 NOV 24 109

DETERMINATION OF PROJECTED EMBOLLMENTS

BY COHORISURVIVAL KKLINEAR PROJECTION

| | ž | | | | ·. | | | et et |) | | 1 | j. | | |
|----------------|-------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|---|--------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------|--|
| Name I | 7 | UISTRICT NO. 419 | | X INC | rios Con | COUNTY MO. 17 | · | | | | | | | |
| | 2004 | 004 2005 | ENROLL ME | EMBOLI MENTS ON OCTOBER FINST | TOBER F | 2009 | AVER. % SURVIVAL | 2010 | 2011 | R 0 J & C 2012 | T E D | E N R O 2014 | ELMENTS. | |
| KINDERGARTEN | 4 | 478 | 470 | 47 | 498 | 465 | | 488 | 483 | 498 | 503 | 507 | \$\$ \$0. | |
| GBADE 1 | 444 | 488 | n O | 045 | 528 | 553 | 112.06 | 22 | 547 | 552 | 555 | 564 | 998 | |
| GBADE & | 489 | 47.8 | 528 | 534 | 547 | 547 | 103,88 | 574 | 541 | 558 | 573 | 879 | 585 | |
| CRADE 3 | 488 | 549 | 493 | 551 | 560 | 129 | 105.61 | 57.8 | 506 | 571 | 600 | 603 | 611 | |
| GRADE 4 | ž. | 516 | 5.74 | 510 | 563 | 568 | 193,45 | (B) | 598 | 627 | 594 | 621 | 626 | |
| GRADE 5 | 508 | 688 | 546 | 587 | 539 | £9£ | 103,93 | 587 | 611 | 618 | 648 | 9 | 840 | |
| GRADE, 6 | 539 | 502 | 571 | 556 | 605 | 658 | 102.57 | 575 | 602 | 627 | 684 | 665 | 627 | |
| K-6 HEADCOURT | 9,442 | 3,534 | 9,713 | 3,763 | 3,846 | 3,821 | | 3,914 | 3, 998 | 4,063 | 4,107 | 4,152 | 4,171 | |
| K-6 W/K @ 1/2 | 3,221 | 3,295 | 3,478 | 3,528 | 3,591 | 3,589 | | 3,670 | 3,752 | व्यक्त | 3,856 | 3,899 | 3,945 | |
| GRADE 7 | 6239 | 596 | 3 | 581 | 568 | 616 | 103'80 | 878 | 269 | 8 88 83 | 651 | 689 | 691 | |
| GHADE 8 | 830 | 543 | 61. | 558 | 501 | 580 | 104.00 | 641 | 601 | 621 | 689 | 229 | 685 | |
| 7.8 HEADCOURT | 1,088 | 1,108 | 1, 187 | 147 | 1,169 | 1,196 | | 1,219 | 1,198 | 1,246 | 1,301 | 1, 336 | 1,976 | |
| GRADE 9 | 564 | 564 | 80 68 68 | 614 | 575 | 546 | 163.89 | 693 | 8 | 624 | 645 | 675 | 703 | |
| GRADE 10 | 609 | 517 | 555 | 5.98 | 587 | 553 | 96.57 | 624 | 585 | 643 | 603 | 623 | 6553 | |
| GRADE 11 | 454 | 536 | 60 | 542 | 553 | 582 | 96.65 | 480 | 803 | 563 | 62.1 | 583 | 602 | |
| GRADE 12 | 395 | 4 00 | 508 | 491 | Ø. | 53.1 | 85,29 | 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2 | 503 | 575 | ପ୍ରଥନ | 592 | 556 | |
| 9-12 HEADCOUNT | 2,022 | 2,089 | 2, 186 | 2,245 | 2,241 | 2,312 | | 2,316 | 2,360 | 2,405 | 2,405 | 2,473 | 2,513 | |
| K-12 HEADCOUNT | 6,552 | 6,731 | 7,056 | 7,155 | 7,250 | 320 | | 7,449 | 7,856 | 7,712 | 7,813 | 7,961 | B, 988 | |

APPENDIX B - IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

| SCHOOL IMP | ACT FEE CAL | CULATIONS | | 1 | ŀ | l | |
|---|--|---|--------------------------|---|--|--|------------|
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| DISTRICT | Tahoma SD # | 40F | | | | | |
| YEAR | 2010 | | 1 | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| ~~~ | Acquisition Cos | | <u> </u> | | í í | | |
| (Acresic Cost | per Acre)/Foc | ity Capacity ixst | Jaent General | ion factor | | |] |
| | | <u> </u> | | Student | Student | | |
| | Facility | Cost/ | Facility | Factor | Factor | Cost/ | Cost/ |
| | | Acre | Capacity | SFR | MFR | SFR | MFR |
| Sementary | 20,00 | | | | | | \$ |
| Micdle | 35.00 | | - [- [- [-] -] 8000 | | | \$ ১০ | 3 |
| High | | \$, | £400 | 0.167 | 0.064 | .80 | 3 |
| | | | ļ | | | \$0 | \$ |
| | truction Cost: | | | | 1 | | |
| ((Facility Cos | z/Facility Capa | city)xStudent Ge | neration Facto | xix (permanen | t/Total Sq Ft) | • | |
| | } | | | Student | Student | | |
| | %Pern/ | Facility | Facility | Factor | Factor | Cost/ | Cost/ |
| | | Cost | Capacity | | MFR | SFR | NFR |
| Sementary | 91.40% | \$ 39,898,950 | . [.] -] - 81% | 0.417 | 0.165 | \$15.834 | 36,26 |
| Micele | | . '\$ 4,577.000 | | 0.186 | | \$7,586 | \$2,95 |
| High | V1.40% | - \$ - 9,866,000 | | | 0.064 | | \$2,20 |
| | 7 | 1 | [, , , , , , , | | | \$29,160 | \$11,42 |
| Тетросагу Р | acility Cost: | l | 1 | | 1 | | |
| | | city)xStudent Ge | neration Facto | xix/Temporon | Total Same | feeti | · |
| | | 1 | | Student | Student | Costr | Cost/ |
| ************************************** | %Temp/ | Foc:#tv | Facility | Factor | Factor | SFR | MER |
| *************************************** | Total Sq.Ft. | Cost | Size | SFR | MFR | 1 | 136.12 1-2 |
| Gementary | 8.60% | | 283 | _ | | SÕ | 3 |
| Middle | 8.60% | A . N . A . A | 28 | | | | J. |
| ніgh | 8.60% | · ' | 27 | | 1 | 30 | 3 |
| | 7 | 1 | 1 | | TOTAL: | រិ | \$ |
| State Matchi | na Fracit | | | <u> </u> | E W EXTE | 420 | 2/ |
| | | r Footage X Distric | - Kamerah W. V. Ce | l Ividant Frontse | 1 | <u> </u> | · |
| | | 1 | 141040111707131 | Student | Student | | |
| *************************************** | Soeckh | SP8 | District | Factor | Factor | /* not/ | C) = 44 |
| | index | Faatage | Match % | SFR | MFR | Cost/ SFR | Cost/ |
| Elementory | \$ 150.17 | | | C.417 | | | MER |
| Junior | _, \$, 180 J.Z. | | | | | \$3,981 | \$1.57 |
| Sr. High | \$ 180.17 | 1,11,11,11,11 | | | | 31,644 32,303 | \$64 |
| 22 | ٦ ' | F ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' | 1 | 1 2,107 | TOTAL | (| \$88 |
| | | | | | FULLE | \$7,928 | \$0.09 |
| Tax Payment | Craffe | } | | | | | |
| Average Ass | | | <u> </u> | | | | MER |
| | inserest Rate | } | | | | \$353,402 | |
| | | es. Charatine | | | <u> </u> | 4.20% \$2.660,306 | 4.33 |
| rusert Franciscoper 🛝 | | | | | | | |
| Net Fresent \ Years Amari | | Sec. 2544 (1914.05) | ! | | | 1 42.000,000 | |
| Years Amort | zed | 24.04.0 | | | | 19 | |
| Years Amort | zed Levy Fate | | -77% | | | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.1 |
| Years Amort | zed Levy Fale Plesent Value | of Reverue Site | am | | | 19 | \$1.7 |
| Years Amort | zed Levy Fate | of Reverue Site | ON TO | Snote | Multi- | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.1 |
| Years Amorê | zed Levy Fale Fresent Value Fee Summan | of Revenue She | am | Family | Family | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.1 |
| Years Amorê | zed Levy Fate Fresent Value Fee Summan Site Acquistio | e of Revenue Site | om . | Family \$0 | Family \$0 | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.1 |
| Years Amorê | zed Levy Fate Present Value Fee Summan Site Acquistio Permanent R | of Revenue Street | om . | Family \$6 \$29,160 | Family \$0 \$11,421 | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.1 |
| Years Amort | zea Levy Fate Fresent Value Fee Summary Site Acquistio Permanent R Tempovary Fo | of Revenue Size The Costs Celling Cost Celling Cost | On On | Family \$0 \$29,16G \$0 | Family \$0 \$11,421 \$0 | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.3 |
| Years Amorê | zed Levy Rate Fresens Value Fee Summary Site Acquistio Permanent R Temporary Fo Istate Motch | or Revenue Sire In Costs Celtry Cost Ciffy Cost Credit | OTT I | Family \$0 \$29,160 \$0 (\$7,928) | Family \$0 \$11,421 \$11,421 \$0 (\$3,098) | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.3 |
| Years Amort | zea Levy Fate Fresent Value Fee Summary Site Acquistio Permanent R Tempovary Fo | or Revenue Sire In Costs Celtry Cost Ciffy Cost Credit | om I | Family \$0 \$29,16G \$0 | Family \$0 \$11,421 30 (\$3,098) | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.3 |
| Years Amort | zed Levy Rate Fresent Value Fee Summary Site Acquistio Permanent Fremanent F | of Revenue Stre | OM OM | Family \$6 \$29,140 \$0 (\$7,928) (\$3,113) | Family \$0 \$11,421 \$0 (\$3,098) (\$1,582) | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.3 |
| Years Amorê | zed Levy Rate Fresens Value Fee Summary Site Acquistio Permanent R Temporary Fo Istate Motch | of Revenue Stre | CHI CHI | Family \$0 \$29,160 \$0 (\$7,928) | Family \$0 \$11,421 \$11,421 \$0 (\$3,098) | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.1 |
| Years Amort | zea Levy Fate Fresent Value Fee Summery Site Acquistio Permanent R Temporary Fo State Match Tax Poyment FEE (AS CALC | of Revenue Sire ri Costs ocidiy Cost acidiy Cost Credit Credit ULATED) | CHT CHT | Family \$0 \$29,16G \$0 (\$7,928) (\$3,113) \$18,119 | Family \$0 \$11,421 \$0 (\$3,098) (\$1,532) \$6,791 | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.1 |
| Years Amort | zed Levy Fate Fresent Value Fee Summery Site Acquistio Permanent for Temporary For State Match Tax Poyment FEE (AS CALC) FEE (AS DISCO | of Revenue Size In Costs ockity Cost credit Credit ULATED) DUNTED) | | Family \$0 \$29,160 \$0 (\$7,926) (\$3,113) \$18,119 | Family \$0 \$11,421 30 (\$3,099) (\$1,522) \$6,791 \$3,396 | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.1 |
| | zed Levy Fate Fresent Value Fee Summery Site Acquistio Permanent for Temporary For State Match Tax Poyment FEE (AS CALC) FEE (AS DISCO | of Revenue Sire ri Costs ocidiy Cost acidiy Cost Credit Credit ULATED) | | Family \$0 \$29,16G \$0 (\$7,928) (\$3,113) \$18,119 | Family \$0 \$11,421 30 (\$3,099) (\$1,522) \$6,791 \$3,396 | - (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (- | \$1.1 |