

KING COUNTY

Signature Report

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

November 17, 2009

Ordinance 16698

Proposed No. 2009-0579.1

Sponsors Gossett

1	AN ORDINANCE relating to school impact fees; adopting
2	the capital facilities plans of the Tahoma, Federal Way,
3	Riverview, Issaquah, Snoqualmie Valley, Lake
4	Washington, Kent, Enumclaw, Fife and Auburn school
5	districts as subelements of the capital facilities element of
6	the King County Comprehensive Plan for purposes of
7.	implementing the school impact fee program; establishing
8	school impact fees to be collected by King County on
9	behalf of the districts; and amending Ordinance 10122,
10	Section 3, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.460, Ordinance
11	10470, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.461,
12	Ordinance 10472, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C.
13	20.12.462, Ordinance 10633, Section 2, as amended, and
14	K.C.C. 20.12.463, Ordinance 10722, Section 2, as
15	amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.464, Ordinance 10790, Section
16	2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.466, Ordinance 10982,
17	Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.467, Ordinance

18	12063, Section 11, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.469,
19	Ordinance 12532, Section 12, as amended, and K.C.C.
20	20.12.470, Ordinance 13338, Section 13, as amended and
21	K.C.C. 20.12.471 and Ordinance 10122, Section 2, as
22	amended, and K.C.C. 27.44.010.
23	
24	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
25	SECTION 1. Authority. This ordinance is adopted to implement King County
26	Comprehensive Plan policies, Washington State Growth Management Act and King
27	County Ordinance 10162, with respect to the Tahoma School District, Federal Way
28	School District, Riverview School District, Issaquah School District, Snoqualmie Valley
29	School District, Lake Washington School District, Kent School District, Enumclaw
30	School District, Fife School District and Auburn School District. This ordinance is
31	necessary to address identified impacts of development on the districts to protect the
32	public health, safety and welfare, and to implement King County's authority to impose
33	school impact fees under RCW 82.02.050 through 82.02.080.
34	SECTION 2. Ordinance 10122, Section 3, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.460 are
35	each hereby amended to read as follows:
36	The Tahoma School District No. 409 Capital Facilities Plan, ((2008 to 2013,
37	adopted June 26, 2007)) 2009 to 2014, adopted July 14, 2009, which is included in
38	Attachment A to ((Ordinance 16311)) this ordinance and is incorporated herein by
39	reference, is adopted as a subelement of the capital facilities element of the King County
40	Comprehensive Plan.

41	SECTION 3. Ordinance 10470, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.461 are
42	each hereby amended to read as follows:
43	The Federal Way Public Schools ((2009)) 2010 Capital Facilities Plan((, Building
44	for the Future)), undated, which is included in Attachment B to ((Ordinance 16311)) this
45	ordinance and is incorporated herein by reference, is adopted as a subelement of the
46	capital facilities element of the King County Comprehensive Plan.
47	SECTION 4. Ordinance 10472, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.462 are
48	each hereby amended to read as follows:
49	The Riverview School District No. 407 ((2008)) 2009 Capital Facilities Plan,
50	((dated June 6, 2008)) adopted May 12, 2009, which is included in Attachment C to
51	((Ordinance 16311)) this ordinance and is incorporated herein by reference, is adopted as
52	a subelement of the capital facilities element of the King County Comprehensive Plan.
53	SECTION 5. Ordinance 10633, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.463 are
54	each hereby amended to read as follows:
55	The Issaquah School District No. 411 ((2008)) 2009 Capital Facilities Plan,
56	adopted ((June 25, 2008)) July 8, 2009, which is included in Attachment D to
57	((Ordinance 16311)) this ordinance and is incorporated herein by reference, is adopted as
58	a subelement of the capital facilities element of the King County Comprehensive Plan.
59	SECTION 6. Ordinance 10722, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.464 are
60	each hereby amended to read as follows:
61	The Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410 Capital Facilities Plan ((2008,
62	approved November 18, 2008)) 2009, adopted June 25, 2009, which is included in
63	Attachment E to ((Ordinance 16311)) this ordinance and is incorporated herein by

Ordinance 16698

64	reference, is adopted as a subelement of the capital facilities element of the King County
65	Comprehensive Plan.
66	SECTION 7. Ordinance 10790, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.466 are
67	each hereby amended to read as follows:
68	The Lake Washington School District No.414 Six-Year Capital Facility Plan
69	((2008-2013, adopted August 11, 2008)) 2009-2014, approved June 22, 2009, which is
70	included in Attachment F to ((Ordinance 16311)) this ordinance and is incorporated
71	herein by reference, is adopted as a subelement of the capital facilities element of the
72	King County Comprehensive Plan.
73	SECTION 8. Ordinance 10982, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.467 are
74	each hereby amended to read as follows:
75	The Kent School District No. 415 Capital Facilities Plan ((2008-2009-2013-
76	201 4)) <u>2009-2010 – 2014-2015</u> , dated April ((2008)) <u>2009</u> , which is included in
77	Attachment G to ((Ordinance 16311)) this ordinance and is incorporated herein by
78	reference, is adopted as a subelement of the capital facilities element of the King County
79	Comprehensive Plan.
80	SECTION 9. Ordinance 12063, Section 11, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.469
81	are each hereby amended to read as follows:
82	The Enumclaw School District No. 216 Capital Facilities Plan ((2008-2013))
83	2009-2014, dated ((May 2008)) June 2009, which is included in Attachment ((I)) \underline{H} to
84	((Ordinance 16311)) this ordinance and is incorporated herein by reference, is adopted as
85	a subelement of the capital facilities element of the King County Comprehensive Plan.

.

Ordinance 16698

86	SECTION 10. Ordinance 12532, Section 12, as amended, and K.C.C. 20.12.470							
87	are each hereby amended to read as follows:							
88	The Fife School District No. 417 Capital Facilities Plan ((2008-2014)) 2009-2014,							
89	adopted ((April 28, 2008)) <u>M</u>	lay 26, 2009, which is included i	n Attachment ((J)) <u>I</u> to					
90	((Ordinance 16311)) this ord	inance and is incorporated herein	n by reference, is adopted as					
91	a subelement of the capital fa	cilities element of the King Cou	nty Comprehensive Plan.					
92	SECTION 11. Ordin	ance 13338, Section 13, as amen	ided, and K.C.C. 20.12.471					
93	are each hereby amended to a	read as follows:						
94	The Auburn School D	District No. 408 Capital Facilities	s Plan ((2008)) <u>2009</u> through					
95	((2014)) <u>2015</u> , adopted ((Apr	il 28, 2008)) <u>May 11, 2009</u> , whi	ch is included in					
96	Attachment ((K)) J to ((Ordinance 16311)) this ordinance and is incorporated herein by							
97	reference, is adopted as a subelement of the capital facilities element of the King County							
98	Comprehensive Plan.							
99	SECTION 12. Ordinance 10122, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.44.010							
100	are each hereby amended to r	ead as follows:						
101	A. The following sch	ool impact fees shall be assessed	l for the indicated types of					
102	development:							
103	SCHOOL DISTRICT	SINGLE FAMILY	MULTIFAMILY					
104		per dwelling unit	per dwelling unit					
105	Auburn, No. 408	((\$5,375)) <u>\$5,433</u>	((\$877)) <u>\$1,185</u>					
106	Enumclaw, No. 216	((7,783)) <u>7,789</u>	((2,502)) <u>3,127</u>					
107	Federal Way, No. 210	((4 ,017)) <u>3,832</u>	((1,733)) <u>2,114</u>					
108	Fife, No. 417	((4 ,709)) 2,903	((2,899)) 1,660					

	Ordinance 16698		
109	Highline, No. 401	0	0
110	Issaquah, No. 411	((5,495)) <u>3,344</u>	((806)) <u>0</u>
111	Kent, No. 415	((5,304)) <u>5,394</u>	((3,266)) <u>3,322</u>
112	Lake Washington, No. 414	((6,492)) <u>7,040</u>	((887)) <u>1,813</u>
113	Northshore, No. 417	0	0
114	Riverview, No. 407	((5,676)) <u>5,648</u>	((1,942)) <u>2,233</u>
115	Snoqualmie Valley, No. 410	<u>2,687</u>	<u>1,033</u>
116	Tahoma, No. 409	((7,294)) <u>7,708</u>	((2,186)) <u>2,817</u>
117	B. The county's administr	ative costs of administering the	e school impact fee
118	program shall be sixty-five dollars	s per dwelling unit and shall be	paid by the applicant to
119	the county as part of the developm	ent application fee.	
120	C. The school impact fees	established in subsection A of	this section take effect
121	January 1, ((2009)) <u>2010</u> .		
122	SECTION 13. Severabili	ty. If any provision of this ord	inance or its application
123			

124 to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the ordinance or the

125 application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

126

Ordinance 16698 was introduced on 10/5/2009 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 11/16/2009, by the following vote:

> Yes: 9 - Mr. Constantine, Mr. Ferguson, Ms. Hague, Ms. Lambert, Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Mr. Phillips, Ms. Patterson and Mr. Dunn No: 0 Excused: 0

> > KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

1 14 HOY 23 PH 4: 1 I BNEY RECEIVED

Dow Constantine, Chair

ATTEST:

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 23 day of November 2009

Kurt Triplett, County Executive

Attachments A. Capital Facilities Plan 2009 to 2014--Tahoma School District No. 409--Adopted July 14th, 2009, B. Federal Way Public Schools--2010 Capital Facilities Plan, C. Riverview School District No. 407--2009 Capital Facilities Plan--Adopted May 12, 2009, D. 2009 Capital Facilities Plan--Issaquah School District No. 411--Adopted July 8, 2009, E. Snoqualmie Valley School District 410--Capital Facilities Plan 2009, F. Six-Year Capital Facility Plan--2009 - 2014--Lake Washington School District #414--Board Approved June 22, 2009, G. Kent School District -- 2009-2010 - 2014-2015 --Capital Facilities -- April 2009, H. Enumclaw School District-- Capital Facilities Plan--2009 - 2014--June, 2009, I. Fife School District No. 417--Capital Facilities Plan--2009-2014--Adopted May 26, 2009, J. Auburn School District No. 408--Capital Facilities Plan--2009 through 2015--Adopted May 11, 2009

16698

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

2009 to 2014

Tahoma School District No. 409

Adopted: July 14th, 2009

ATTACHMENT A



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Summary	1	
Six-Year Enrollment Projection	2	
Standard of Service and Availability of Space	3	
Inventory of Permanent Facilities	5	
Projected Enrollment and Capacity	6	
Facility Needs and Financial Plan	9	
Fee Calculations	12	
Student Generation Data	13	
Past and Future Enrollment Data	A-1	
mpact Fee Calculation	B-1	

(i)

TAHOMA SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 409 2009

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN - UPDATE

Summary:

In accordance with King County Code 21A.43, this update has been prepared to reflect current conditions in facility usage and needs. District Board Policy 9100 requires that "changing demographic factors shall be monitored in order that students' needs are met when the future becomes the present." An ongoing Facilities Planning Committee reviews facility availability and demographics to place students in an environment that meets the educational needs of the students and that is consistent with the educational philosophy and the instructional goals of the District.

Following a period of modest growth, the District has recently experienced healthy enrollment gains in each of the last six years. In 2003, the total student headcount was 6,293 and in this current year the count is 7,250, an increase of 15 percent. Current enrollment, along with projections presented herein, indicates that the enrollment growth will continue over the next six years.

Much of the District's growth is occurring within the City of Maple Valley. There is also ongoing, though limited, development in other areas of unincorporated area of King County that are located within the District. A large development is planned in the Summit Pit area of the District, which is currently located in unincorporated King County but planned for annexation by City of Maple Valley in the near future. It has been the District's recent experience that new houses being built in the District tend to yield the largest number of students five or six years after the initial occupancy.

Over the past several years, the District has completed a number of activities to accommodate capacity needs throughout the District. At the elementary level, the completion of Rock Creek Elementary School provided 25 new classrooms and the expansion of Cedar River added 3 additional specialized classrooms. In addition, Glacier Park Elementary School was complete in the fall of 1994, with 12 additional classrooms added in 1997. With the successful passage of the bond issue in 1997 and the construction of an addition at the High School and a new secondary school, Glacier Park was reconfigured to serve grades K through 6 for the 2000-2001 school year. The middle school students at Glacier Park were then moved to Cedar River. Then, following the reopening of Tahoma Junior High School, the District reconfigured grade levels and moved all sixth graders to the middle schools, creating additional elementary school capacity at existing schools. Some students are housed in relocatable facilities, which will continue to be used until permanent facilities are constructed.

Even with these actions, the District must construct additional capacity at all grade levels in order provide adequate space to accommodate the six-year projected enrollment. This Plan includes the capacity projects planned by the District during this planning period.

SIX-YEAR ENROLLMENT PROJECTION

The District uses the enrollment projections provided by the Washington State Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI). The projections are based on the "Cohort Survival Method" which computes progressive ratios for each grade level and averages those ratios over the past five years. The average ratio is then multiplied by the actual current year's enrollment using October headcount for each grade to project the enrollment in the next grade for the next year. The Cohort Survival Method uses past enrollment indicators to predict future growth, however, and does not account for anticipated growth due to new residential development. Therefore, the Cohort Survival Method projections are to be considered highly conservative. In addition, while long-range projections are less reliable than short range, the District will continue to adjust for changes from year to year.

Calculations based on the 2008 enrollment data indicate that growth will consistently increase over the next six years. Current enrollment of 7,001 (October 2008 FTE) is projected to increase to 8,456 in 2014 --- an increase of 21 percent. All three grade levels will experience enrollment growth.

The District anticipates that, in addition to the enrollment increases predicted by the Cohort Survival Method, substantial enrollment increases will occur due to the development of approximately 1,500 dwelling units in the Summit Pit area. Again, the Summit Pit area development will only add to the enrollment projections contained in this Plan. The impacts of this development on the District are likely to begin around the 2013 school year. The District intends to monitor this development as it proceeds and will include updated information in future updates to this Plan.

Appendix A includes the District's enrollment history and six year enrollment projections.

STANDARD OF SERVICE AND AVAILABILITY OF SPACE

The Standard of Service identified by the Tahoma School District in keeping with Board Policy 9100 is to "...accommodate the educational needs of students and be consistent with the educational philosophy and instructional goals of the District." State legislation and contract agreement with the Tahoma Education Association identify the Certificated staff mandate for maximum classroom size. Recent legislation under Better Schools and I-728 has funded additional teachers to lower class size in grades K-4. Enrollment and spaces occupied by Maple Valley High School and Russell Ridge Center are not included in the Standard of Service and Available Space Calculations.

Standards of Service for Elementary School Students:

- 1. Class size for grades K-5 averages 23.
- 2. With the exception of Lake Wilderness, which has integrated special education students into the regular program classrooms, special education instruction is provided in self contained classrooms.
- 3. All students are provided music and physical education in separate classrooms.
- 4. Computer labs are available in each school.
- 5. Gifted education is offered as either pullout or self-contained classes (average class size is 22) at Lake Wilderness Elementary School.
- 6. Remedial services are offered as pull-out models and utilize space available in each school.
- 7. If growth continues and the District is unsuccessful in passing a future bond issue, students will be housed using alternate means, i.e., split shifts and/or multi-track year-round schools regardless of Standard of Service considerations.
- 8. The District has/will relocate students of one grade level to facilities of another grade level to take advantage of available excess capacity. The District will continue such actions as necessary.

Standards of Service for Senior and Middle/Junior High School Students:

- 1. Class sizes for both the middle/junior high school average 26 and class sizes for the senior high average 27.
- 2. Self contained special education classes are offered in all buildings.
- 3. Computer labs are offered in all buildings.
- 4. Advanced vocational classes have less than average number of enrollees.
- 5. Classes are utilized during the day for planning and student consultation.
- 6. Certain specialty classes, such as typing, music, and certain vocational courses, are not conducive for scheduling general classes.
- 7. If growth continues and the District is unsuccessful in passing a future bond issue, students will be housed using alternate means, i.e., split shifts and/or multi-track year-round schools regardless of Standard of Service considerations.
- 8. The District has/will relocate students of one grade level to facilities of another grade level to take advantage of available excess capacity. The District will continue such actions as necessary.

At this time, enrollment figures show the District has facility capacity for the following schools:

Lake Wilderness	K-5	Is over capacity by 111 students in permanent facilities and 19 students over capacity when considering relocatable facilities.
Shadow Lake	K-5	Is under capacity by 11 students in permanent facilities and 57 students under capacity when considering relocatable facilities.
Rock Creek	K-5	Is over capacity by 69 students in permanent facilities and 99 under capacity when considering relocatable facilities
Glacier Park	K-5	Is over capacity by 106 students in permanent facilities and 78 students under capacity when considering relocatable facilities.
Cedar River	6-7	Is over capacity by 52 students in permanent facilities and is at capacity with relocatable facilities.
Tahoma Middle	6-7	ls under capacity by 41 students in permanent facilities.
Tahoma Junior High	8-9	Is over capacity by 6 students in permanent facilities and under capacity by 72 students when considering relocatable facilities.
High School	10-12	Is over capacity by 172 students in permanent facilities and under capacity by 179 students when considering relocatable facilities.

The District also operates two alternative schools, Russell Ridge Center (K-12) and Maple Valley High School (9-12). Because of limited facilities, enrollment will not exceed the predetermined limits of 75 for Maple Valley High School or 50 for Russell Ridge Center. Because of these District limits, neither the enrollments nor capacities of those schools are considered in the calculations and conclusions in this document.

INVENTORY OF PERMANENT FACILITIES

Instructional Facilities

			Permanent Capacity	Temporary Capacity	October 08 FTE
Lake Wilderness Elementary	K-5	24216 Witte Road SE Maple Valley, 98038	736	92	847
Shadow Lake Elementary	K-5	22620 Sweeney Road SE Maple Valley, 98038	504	46	493
Rock Creek Elementary	K-5	25700 SR 169 Maple Valley, 98038	708	161	777
Glacier Park Elementary	K-5	23700 SE 280 th Maple Valley, 98038	. 708	184	814
Cedar River Middle School	6-7	22516 Sweeney Road SE Maple Valley, 98038	513	52	565
Tahoma Middle School	6-7	24425 S.E. 216 th Maple Valley, 98038	629	0	588
Tahoma Junior High	8-9	25600 SE Summit-Landsburg Rd. Ravensdale, 98051	1,143	78	1,149
Tahoma High School	10-12	18200 SE 240th Kent, 98042	1,413	351	1,585
Maple Valley High School (Alternative School)	9-12	23015 SE 216th Way Maple Valley, 98038	75		84
Russell Ridge (Alternative School)	K-12	24425 SE 216 th Way Maple Valley, 98038	50		71
		Support Facilities			
Central Services Center	r	25720 SR 169 Maple Valley, 98038			
Transportation and Mai	ntenance	23015 S.E. 216 th Way Maple Valley, 98038			
Central Kitchen		25638 SR 169			

NOTE: Maple Valley High School and Russell Ridge Center are not included in "Projected Enrollment and Capacity" because enrollment limits are established by the District and new students come from waiting lists.

Maple Valley, 98038

PROJECTED ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY

In 2005, the District completed its construction and remodeling program that began with passage of the 1997 construction bond measure. The \$45.5 million bond measure, combined with state matching funds and local construction impact fees, paid for: Tahoma Senior High School remodeling and expansion; Tahoma Junior High construction; Shadow Lake Elementary School remodeling and expansion; Cedar River Middle School expansion; and Tahoma Middle School renovation.

The District began a transition during the 2001-2002 school year to a District-wide grade reconfiguration of K-5, 6-7, 8-9 and 10-12. When the completion of the modernization of the old Tahoma Junior High School in 2004, that school re-opened as a middle school and all of the District's elementary schools now serve grades K-5. This configuration helped to create additional capacity at the elementary (K-5) level.

The District will continue to use relocatable facilities until sufficient permanent space is constructed. Note that the District uses relocatable capacity as a temporary remedy only.

The following charts on projected enrollment and capacity detail the available space and the projected enrollment for the next six years. The District is in need of capacity at all grade levels. Large classes and the utilization of non-traditional classroom space will continue until additional permanent space and/or facilities become available. It is anticipated that the continued building of single family residences in the District will cause the need to expand three existing elementary schools and either add to or replace (with additional new capacity) the existing Lake Wilderness Elementary School. The District will also need to expand Cedar River Middle School, Tahoma Junior High School and Tahoma High School. Relocatable capacity may also be added at all grade levels. Note that these improvements are needed to address immediate growth needs and may not include additional capacity that will be necessary to serve development in the Summit Pit area.

PROJECTED ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY

Elementary			1				1
(K-5)	2008**	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Permanent Program Capacity	2,656	2,656	2,656	2,656	2,656	2,656	3,232
Elementary Additions				-		576	
Lake Wilderness Renovation (additional capacity)							224
Total Permanent Capacity	2,656	2,656	2,656	2,656	2,656	3,232	3,456
Additional Relocatables	-	-				92	
Total Relocatable Capacity	483	483	483	483	483	576	576
Total Capacity	3,139	3,139	3,139	3,139	3,139	3,808	4,032
Projected Enrollment*	2,986**	3,130	3,246	3,360	3,477	3,615	3,738
Available Capacity (Temp. & Perm. Facilities)	153	9	(107)	(704)	(338)	193	294
Available Capacity (Permanent Facilities)	(330)	(474)	(580)	(221)	(821)	(383)	(282)
*Projected FTE Enrollment **Actual Oct. 1 2007 FTE enrollment		1			1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Middle/Junior High School			<u> </u>	1	1	1	
(6-9)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Total Permanent Program Capacity	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,485
Middle/Junior High Addition						200	307
Total Permanent Capacity	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,485	2,792
Additional Relocatables							
Total Relocatable Capacity	130	130	130	130	130	130	78°
Total Capacity	2,415	2,415	2,415	2,415	2,415	2,615	2,870
Projected Enrollment*	2,349**	2,405	2,466	2,565	2,621	2,739	2,836
Available Capacity (Temp. & Perm. Facilities)	66	10	(51)	(150)	(206)	(124)	34
Available Capacity (Permanent Facilities)	(64)	(120)	(181)	(280)	(336)	(254)	(44)

*Projected FTE Enrollment **Actual Oct. 1 2007 FTE enrollment •Portables removed from Cedar River Middle School

High School		1	1	1	1	1	T
(10-12)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Permanent Program Capacity	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413
High School Addition	-						512
Total Permanent Capacity	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,925
Additional Relocatables	-						
Total Relocatable Capacity	351	351	351	351	351	351	351
Total Capacity	1,764	1,764	1,764	1,764	1,764	1,764	2,276
Projected Enrollment*	1,666**	1,646	1,685	1,708	1,810	1,812	1,882
Available Capacity (Temp. & Perm. Facilities)	98	118	79	56	(46)	(48)	394
Available Capacity (Permanent Facilities)	(253)	(233)	(272)	(295)	(397)	(399)	43
	I						

*Projected FTE Enrollment **Actual Oct. 1 2007 FTE enrollment

District-wide	1	1	1	1		1	
(K-12)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Total Permanent Elementary	2,456	2,456	2,456	2,456	2,456	3,232	3,456
Total Permanent Middle/Junior	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,285	2,485	2,792
Total Permanent High School	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,925
Total Permanent Capacity K-12	6,354	6,354	6,354	6,354	6,354	7,130	8,173
Total Relocatable	964	964	964	964	964	1,056	1,004
Total Space K-12	7,318	7,318	7,318	7,318	7,318	8,186	9,177
Projected Enrollment K-12*	6,876**	7,056	7,272	7,508	7,793	8,041	8,331
Available Capacity K-12 (Temp. & Perm. Facilities)	442	262	46	(190)	(475)	145	846

**The total actual Oct. 1 2008 FTE enrollment was 7,001. The 6,876 figure represents the Oct. 1 2008 FTE as adjusted for the approximately 125 students attending alternative programs at Maple Valley High School and Russell Ridge Center. The capacities of MVHS and Russell Ridge are not included as a part of the District's permanent capacity. This adjustment is made in the Districtwide capacity figures only.

FACILITY NEEDS AND FINANCIAL PLAN

Needs Forecast:

The following charts summarize the District's proposed remodeling, expansion and new construction projects. In order to meet expected enrollment increases and to address other facilities needs, the District is planning, pending voter approval of bond funding, the following projects: capacity additions at Glacier Park, Rock Creek, Shadow Lake and Lake Wilderness Elementary Schools, capacity additions at Cedar River Middle School and Tahoma Junior High School, and a capacity addition at Tahoma High School. In addition, the District plans to add portables at Glacier Park Elementary School to relieve interim growth needs. Portables may be added at other schools in the District during the six years of this Plan.

The District also plans noncapacity improvements at various schools throughout the District, as identified on the Finance Plan and described below:

- · Glacier Park Elementary: miscellaneous building upgrades.
- Lake Wilderness Elementary: modernization of existing facility.
- Rock Creek Elementary: miscellaneous building upgrades.
- Shadow Lake Elementary: miscellaneous building upgrades.
- Cedar River Middle School: improvements to athletic fields; new drama classroom; covered outdoor eating area; replacement of roofing and exterior siding; miscellaneous building upgrades.
- Tahoma Middle School: construct outdoor covered eating area; auditorium improvements (seating and lighting); removal of hall lockers; upgrades to gym; athletic field improvements; locker room renovations; minor building repairs.
- Tahoma Junior High School: add teacher planning areas, resources rooms, and instruction rooms; lecture hall; drama classroom; enlarged commons and athletic storage; covered outdoor eating area; minor building repairs.
- Tahoma High School: add teacher planning areas and small group instruction rooms; improve site vehicular circulation; replace visitor grandstand at football field; replace concession stand/restroom building at baseball field; enlarge commons area.

These projects would be completed over the course of the six years of this Plan. The Financial Plan reflects costs based on current architectural projections and revenue based on the present District match ratio and impact fees projections.

FINANCE PLAN

Capacity Projects

Construction Cost**	\$15,172,300	\$5,354,300	\$13,167,500	\$13,801,500	\$12,050,500	\$20,312,800	\$15,038,800	\$94,897,700
Site Cost*	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	
Anticipated Source of Funds**	State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees	State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees	State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees	State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees	State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees	State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees	State Match, Bonds, Impact Fees	
% of Facilities to Serve New Growth	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Capacity Change	224	192	192	192	200	307	512	
Location	24216 Witte Road SE	22620 Sweeney Road SE	25700 MV-Blk Diarnond Rd SE	23700 SE 280 th	22516 Sweeney Road SE	25600 SE Summit- Landsburg Rd	18200 SE 240th	
Proposed End Date	2014	2013	2013	2013	2013	2014	2014	
Proposed Start Date	2012	2011	2011	2011	2011	2012	2012	
Facility	Lake Wilderness Elementary Modernization	Shadow Lake Elementary Addition	Rock Creek Elementary Addition	Glacier Park Elementary Addition	Cedar River Middle School Addition	Tahoma Junior High Addition	Tahoma High School Addition	TOTAL

(10)

Noncapacity Projects

.

Construction Cost**	\$6,102,000	\$940,000	\$4,198,000	\$5,292,000	\$6,613,000	\$1,976,000	\$9,359,000	\$34,480,000
Site Cost*	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	\$655,000	Previously purchased	Previously purchased	
Anticipated Source of Funds**	State Match, Bonds	Bonds	Bonds	Bonds	Bonds	State Match, Bondś	State Match, Bonds	
Location	24216 Witte Road SE	22620 Sweeney Road SE	25700 MV-Blk Diamond Rd SE	23700 SE 280 th	24425 SE 216th	25600 SE Summit- Landsburg Rd	18200 SE 240th	
Proposed End Date	2014	2013	2013	2013	2015	2014	2014	
Proposed Start Date	2012	2011	2011	2011	2014	2012	2012	
Facility	Lake Wilderness Elementary Modernization	Shadow Lake Elementary Improvements	Rock Creek Elementary Improvements	Glacier Park Elementary Improvements	Tahoma Middle School Improvements	Tahoma Junior High Improvements	Tahoma High School Improvements	TOTAL

Previously purchased property paid from earlier bond issues The District anticipates presenting a bond proposal to the voters to fund the stated projects Site and Building cost estimates provided by DLR Group . : ‡

(11)

FEE CALCULATIONS

School Impact Fees Under the Washington State Growth Management Act

The Growth Management Act (GMA) authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands.

Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

The Tahoma School District calculates school impact fees pursuant to the formula adopted by King County Ordinance No. 10162 and under the authority of Chapter 21A.43 of the King County Code and the Washington State Growth Management Act. The formula calculates fees for single family dwelling units and multi-family dwelling units.

Impact fees are calculated based on the District's cost per dwelling unit for capacity projects that will serve the student from new development (including, as applicable, the purchase of land for school sites, making site improvements, constructing schools and purchasing/installing portable facilities). For this year's calculation, because the District is adding capacity to existing schools and is not required to purchase new land, the District's costs are related only to the cost per dwelling unit to construct schools. As required under GMA, credits have also been applied for State Match Funds to be reimbursed to the District and property taxes to fund the projects that will be proposed for future bond measures. Assessed values for single and multi-family housing in the Tahoma School District were provided by the King County Assessor in February 2009.

The King County Ordinance includes a fifty (50) percent "discount rate," which operates to set the final fee at 50% of the calculated unfunded need. For the 2009 Plan, the Tahoma School District has voluntarily increased this discount rate to 60%.

Appendix B includes the District's fee calculation. Single Family Housing will yield a fee of \$7,708 and multi-family housing will yield a fee of \$2,817.

16698

Federal Way Public Schools

......

2010 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

Panther Lake Elementary





2009 - 579



ATTACHMENT B

FEDERAL WAY PUBLIC SCHOOLS 2010 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

BOARD OF EDUCATION

Suzanne Smith, President Tony Moore, Vice President Ed Barney, Director Amye Bronson-Doherty, Director Angela Griffin, Director

SUPERINTENDENT

Thomas R. Murphy

Prepared by: Sally D. McLean Tanya Nascimento

Resolution No. 2009-23 – Adopted by Federal Way School District's Board of Education on May 26, 2009 Resolution No. 2009-19 – Provide the 2010 Capital Facilities Plan to King County Resolution No. 2009-20 – Provide the 2010 Capital Facilities Plan to the City of Kent Resolution No. 2009-21 – Provide the 2010 Capital Facilities Plan to the City of Federal Way Resolution No. 2009-22 – Provide the 2010 Capital Facilities Plan to the City of Auburn

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
	INTRODUCTION	2-3
SECTION 1	THE CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN	
	Introduction	4
	Inventory of Educational Facilities	5
	Inventory of Non-Instructional Facilities	6
	Needs Forecast - Existing Facilities	7
	Needs Forecast - New Facilities	8
	Six Year Finance Plan	9
SECTION 2	MAPS OF DISTRICT BOUNDARIES	
	Introduction	11
	Map - Elementary Boundaries	12
	Map - Middle School Boundaries	13
	Map - High School Boundaries	14
SECTION 3	SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION	
	Introduction	15
	Building Capacities	16-17
	Portable Locations	18-19
	Student Forecast	20-22
	Capacity Summaries	23-27
	King County Impact Fee Calculations	28-30
SECTION 4	SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM THE 2009 PLAN	31-33

INTRODUCTION

In response to the requirements of the State of Washington Growth Management Act (SHB)2929 (1990) and ESHB 1025 (1991)), and under the School Impact Fee Ordinances of King County Code 21A, City of Federal Way Ordinance No. 95-249 effective December 21, 1995 as amended, City of Kent Ordinance No.3260 effective March 1996, and the City of Auburn Ordinance No. 5078 effective 1998, Federal Way Public Schools has updated its 2010 Capital Facilities Plan as of May 2009.

This Plan is scheduled for adoption by King County, the City of Kent, City of Federal Way and the City of Auburn and is incorporated in the Comprehensive Plans of each jurisdiction by reference. This plan is also included in the Facilities Plan element of the Comprehensive Plans of each jurisdiction. To date, the City of Des Moines has not adopted a school impact fee ordinance. The City of Des Moines collects school impact fees as part of the SEPA process.

The Growth Management Act requires the County to designate Urban Growth areas within which urban growth can be encouraged. The Growth Management Planning Council adopted and recommended to the King County Council four Urban Growth Area Line Maps with designations for urban centers. A designation was made within the Federal Way planning area, which encompasses Federal Way Public Schools boundaries. King County will encourage and actively support the development of Urban Centers to meet the region's need for housing, jobs, services, culture, and recreation. This Plan's estimated population growth is prepared with this underlying assumption.

This Capital Facilities Plan will be used as documentation for any jurisdiction, which requires its use to meet the needs of the Growth Management Act. This plan is not intended to be the sole planning tool for all of the District needs. The District may prepare interim plans consistent with Board policies or management need.

The District has prepared a multi-phase plan for the renovation and construction of Federal Way Schools and support buildings. The Board authorized presenting the \$149 million bond on May 15, 2007. The bond, passed at 63.93%, will replace four elementary schools, Lakeland, Panther Lake, Sunnycrest and Valhalla and one middle school, Lakota.

Plans to replace Federal Way High School and Decatur High School and to increase capacity by approximately 400 students at each school are planned in later phases. Federal Way High School was built in 1938. It has been added onto at least 10 times and currently has an almost maze-like layout. Based on an annual 4% increase in construction cost, the estimated cost to rebuild Federal Way High School is \$122 million. Estimated construction costs will be re-calculated prior to the next bond election. None of the cost to replace Federal Way or Decatur High School is included in the Impact Fee calculation in this Plan.

The non-instructional projects included in the plan will consolidate support services operations at a single location. The current Transportation and Maintenance facility cannot continue to meet the District needs in the future. Nutrition services and other administrative functions will also relocate to this centralized location.

In September 2007 Woodmont Elementary School began a K-8 program by adding a 6th grade and progressively adding a grade level each year. In March 2008, the Board approved a second K-8 program at Nautilus Elementary School. Nautilus began the 2008/09 school year with K-6th grade. As the program grows there will be more data available about the unique facility needs for this grade configuration.

The District opened a new school in September 2008. The Technology Access Foundation (TAF) Academy will provide a small school setting for 6th through 12th grade students. This academy is funded through a unique public/private partnership between the Technology Access Foundation and Federal Way Public Schools. The focus of the school is Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM). The TAF Academy opened in September of 2008 with students in grades 6, 7 and 9. In the 2009/10 school year TAF Academy added 8th and 10th grade. Grades 11 and 12 will be added in successive years with a target population of about 350.

The District continues to study school boundaries as new housing and fluctuating populations impact specific schools. Some shifts in boundaries may be required in the coming year.

SECTION 1 - THE CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

The State Growth Management Act requires that several pieces of information be gathered to determine the facilities available and needed to meet the needs of a growing community.

This section provides information about current facilities, existing facility needs, and expected future facility requirements for Federal Way Public Schools. A Financial Plan that shows expected funding for any new construction, portables and modernization listed follows this.

INVENTORY OF EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES

ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

ELEMENTANT SCHOOLS			
Adelaide	1635 SW 304th Street	Federal Way	98023
Brigadoon	3601 SW 336th Street	Federal Way	98023
Camelot	4041 S 298th Street	Auburn	98001
Enterprise	35101 5th Avenue SW	Federal Way	98023
Green Gables	32607 47th Avenue SW	Federal Way	98023
Lake Dolloff	4200 S 308th Street	Auburn	98001
Lake Grove	303 SW 308th Street	Federal Way	98023
Lakeland	35827 32 nd Avenue S	Auburn	98001
Mark Twain	2450 S Star Lake Road	Federal Way	98003
Meredith Hill	5830 S 300th Street	Auburn	98001
Mirror Lake	625 S 314 th Street	Federal Way	98003
Nautilus (K-8)	1000 S 289th Street	Federal Way	98003
Olympic View	2626 SW 327th Street	Federal Way	98023
Panther Lake	34424 1st Avenue S	Federal Way	98003
Rainier View	3015 S 368th Street	Federal Way	98003
Sherwood Forest	34600 12th Avenue SW	Federal Way	98023
Silver Lake	1310 SW 325th Place	Federal Way	98023
Star Lake	4014 S 270th Street	Kent	98032
Sunnycrest	24629 42 nd Avenue S	Kent	98032
Twin Lakes	4400 SW 320th Street	Federal Way	98023
Valhalla	27847 42 nd Avenue S	Auburn	98001
Wildwood	2405 S 300th Street	Federal Way	98003
Woodmont (K-8)	26454 16th Avenue S.	Des Moines	98198
MIDDLE SCHOOLS			
Federal Way Public Academy (6-10)	34620 9 th Avenue S	Federal Way	98003
Illahee	36001 1st Avenue S	Federal Way	98003
Kilo	4400 S 308th Street	Auburn	98001
Lakota	1415 SW 314th Street	Federal Way	98023
Sacajawea	1101 S Dash Point Road	Federal Way	98003
Saghalie	33914 19th Avenue SW	Federal Way	98023
Sequoyah	3450 S 360 th ST	Auburn	98001
Totem	26630 40 th Ave S	Kent	98032
TAF Academy (6-12)	26630 40 th Ave S	Kent	98032
HIGH SCHOOLS			
Decatur	2800 SW 320th Street	Federal Way	98023
Federal Way	30611 16th Avenue S	Federal Way	98003
Thomas Jefferson	4248 S 288th Street	Auburn	98001
Todd Beamer	35999 16th Ave S	Federal Way	98003
Career Academy at Truman	31455 28th Ave S	Federal Way	98003
ALTERNATIVE SCHOOLS			
Merit School	36001 1 st Ave S	Federal Way	98003
Internet Academy	31455 28 th Ave S	Federal Way	98003

CURRENT INVENTORY NON-INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITIES

Developed Property

Administrative Building	31405 18th Avenue S	Federal Way	98003
MOT Site	1066 S 320th Street	Federal Way	98003
Central Kitchen	1344 S 308th Street	Federal Way	98003
Federal Way Memorial Field	1300 S 308th Street	Federal Way	98003
Leased Space			
Community Resource Center	1813 S Commons	Federal Way	98003
Available Office Space	32020 1 st Ave S	Federal Way	98003

Undeveloped Property

Site # Location

- 75 SW 360th Street & 3rd Avenue SW – 9.2 Acres
- 65 S 351st Street & 52nd Avenue S – 8.8 Acres
- E of 10th Avenue SW SW 334th & SW 335th Streets 10.04 Acres 60
- N of SW 320th and east of 45th PL SW 23.45 Acres 73
- S 344th Street & 46th Avenue S 17.47 Acres 71
- 1^{st} Way S and S 342^{nd} St Minimal acreage S 308^{th} St and 14^{th} Ave S .36 Acres S 332^{nd} St and 9^{th} Ave S 20 Acres 82
- 96
- 81

Notes:

Not all undeveloped properties are large enough to meet school construction requirements. Properties may be traded or sold depending on what locations are needed to house students in the District.

EXISTING FACILITY	FUTURE NEEDS	ANTICIPATED SOURCE OF FUNDS
Purchase and Relocate Portables	Interim Capacity	Anticipated source of funds is Impact Fees.
Elementary Schools: Lakeland, Panther Lake, Sunnycrest and Valhalla	Replace Existing Buildings Increase capacity at Lakeland, Panther Lake, Sunnycrest and Valhalla by a total of 200 seats	Voter approved bonds.
Lakota Middle School	Replace Existing Building	Voter approved bonds
Federal Way High School	Replace Existing Building, Increase Capacity	Future bond authorization
Decatur High School	Replace Existing Building, Increase Capacity	Future bond authorization.

NEEDS FORECAST - EXISTING FACILITIES

The District is also planning the replacement of some non-instructional facilities. The District has purchased 20 acres (Site #81) for construction of consolidated facilities for support services functions. Transportation, Nutrition Services, Maintenance and other non-instructional functions will be housed at this centralized location.

As part of the multi-phase plan, the District intends to increase capacity for high school students with expansion at the Decatur High School site. Increased capacity at Federal Way High and at Decatur High in later phases supplant the need for construction of a fifth comprehensive high school.

NEEDS FORECAST - ADDITIONAL FACILITIES

NEW FACILITY	LOCATION	ANTICIPATED SOURCE
		OF FUNDS

No current plans for additional facilities.

4	
\triangleleft	
Ĺ	
Δ.	
-	
2	
щ	
1	
-	
U	1
く	
ĿL.	
_	
ЪЧ	1
~	
F	
È	
Д,	
∕.	ł
τÌ	
\sim	1
\circ	1
Ξ	ł
\mathfrak{S}	
1	I
S	
Ы	ł
5	ł
×	1
$\mathbf{\nabla}$	I
д	I
C	1
ī	1
	Į
\cup	ł
-	I
5	ł
ш	I
	l
2	ł
۰. ۱	1
~	í
<.	I
>	I
>	I
٦	I
7	ł
4	l
⊻.	L
τÌ	I
<u> </u>	1
-	
<u>.</u>	L
	L

Six Year Finance Plan

50
Ц,
8
ā.
Ξ.
Ξ.
D.
ല
3
S.
8

Sources	
Impact Fees (1)	\$69,875
Land Sale Funds (2)	\$143,642
Bond Funds (3)	\$81,545,134
State Match (4)	(\$4,598,259)
TOTAL	\$77,160,392
Sources	
State Match (5)	\$20,000,000
Bond or Levy Funds (6)	\$67,000,000
Land Fund Sales (7)	\$10,000,000
Impact Fees (8)	\$1,800,000
TOTAL	\$98,800,000
Fotal Sccured Funding and Projected Revenue	\$175,960,392

Projected Revenue

xpenditures
Planned E
and
Actual

NEW SCHOOLS	Current and	Budget	2010	2011	2012 1	2013	2014	2015	Total	Tatal Cost
	Prior Years	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2010-2015	TOTAL COST
MODERNIZATION AND EXPANSION										
/alhalla Elementary	\$18,500,000								5	\$18 500 000
anther Lake Elementary	\$17,500,000								0.0	\$17,500,000
akcland Elementary	\$7,570,000	\$9,930,000							80 030 000	S17 500 000
sunnycrest Elementary	\$7,570,000	\$9,930,000							\$0 030 000	C17 200,000
akota Middle School	\$15,361,250	\$15,038,750	\$3,600,000						\$18,638,750	534 000 000
TEMPORARY FACILITIES									0000000	0005000500
Portables (9)		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200.000				\$800.000	\$800.000
rotal	\$66,501,250	\$35,098,750	\$3,800,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	S0	\$0	S 0	\$39.298.750	\$105,800,000
VOTES:					ļ			2	122.12.22.22	

1. These fees are currently being held in a King County, City of Federal Way and City of Kent impact fee account, and will be

available for use by the District for system improvements. This is year end balance on 12/31/08.

2. These funds come from various sales of land and are set aside for estimated expenditures. This is year end balance on 12/31/08.

3. This is the 12/31/08 balance of bond funds. This figure includes interest earnings.

4. In anticipation of State Match Funds for Valhalla, Panther Lake, Lakeland, and Sunncrest Elementaries and Lakota Middle School, work on specific building upgrades is occurring.

This is a year end balance on 12/31/08.

5. This is anticipated State Match for projects attached to future bond issues. This is based on July 1, 2006 State Match indices. State Match funds are being used for high priority repairs, upgrades and system improvements to existing buildings. These improvements include HVAC, and other structural improvements are not related to capacity increase.

6. These are anticipated bond funds. Voters have approved a bond for \$149m, \$45m of this bond is for non school construction. As of 12/31/08, \$82m has been sold.

7. Projected sale of surplus properties.

8. These are projected fees based upon known residential developments in the District over the next six years. This figure assumes \$25,000 per month for the next six years. This figure has been adjusted to reflect the current economy.

9. These fees represent the cost of purchasing and installing new portables. The portable expenditure in future years may replace existing portables that are not functional. These may not increase capacity and are not included in the capacity summary. This page has been intentionally left blank.

SECTION 2 - MAPS OF DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

Federal Way Public Schools has twenty-one elementary schools (grades K-5), two schools with a K-8 grade configuration, seven middle school schools (grades 6-8), four high schools (grades 9-12) and three small secondary schools. The Federal Way Public Academy serves students in grades 6-10. The TAF Academy serves students in grades 6-12 who reside in the Totem Middle School service area. The Career Academy at Truman High School serves students in grades 9-12. The Internet Academy serves grades K-12.

The following maps show the service area boundaries for each school, by school type. (Career Academy at Truman High School, Merit School, Internet Academy and Federal Way Public Academy serve students from throughout the District). The identified boundaries are reviewed annually. Any change in grade configuration or adoption of programs that affect school populations may necessitate a change in school service areas.

The Growth Management Act requires that a jurisdiction evaluate if the public facility infrastructure is in place to handle new housing developments. In the case of most public facilities, new development has its major impact on the facilities immediately adjacent to that development. School District are different. If the District does not have permanent facilities available, interim measures must be taken until new facilities can be built or until boundaries can be adjusted to match the population changes to the surrounding facilities.

Adjusting boundaries requires careful consideration by the District and is not taken lightly. It is recognized that there is a potential impact on students who are required to change schools. Boundary adjustments impact the whole district, not just one school.

It is important to realize that a single housing development does not require the construction of a complete school facility. School districts are required to project growth throughout the district and build or adjust boundaries based on growth throughout the district, not just around a single development.




HIGH SCHOOL BOUNDARIES



SECTION 3 - SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION

Building Capacities - The Education Program

Portable Locations

Student Forecast – 2010 through 2016

Capacity Summaries

King County Impact Fees - Single and Multi-Family Units

Building Capacities

This Capital Facilities Plan establishes the District's "standard of service" in order to ascertain the District's current and future capacity. The Superintendent of Public Instruction establishes square footage guidelines for capacity, but these guidelines do not take into consideration the education program needs.

In general, the District's current target class size provides that the average class size for a standard classroom for grades K through 2 should be 20 students. In grades 3-5 the target is 25 students. For grades 6 to 12 the target class size is 26 students. Classrooms for students with Individualized Education Program (Special Education) needs are calculated at 12 seats per classroom.

Using the OSPI square footage calculation as a base line, the District has calculated a program capacity for all schools. A recent Study & Survey was the basis for changes to the OSPI building report. The following list clarifies the adjustments to the OSPI calculation.

Music Rooms:

Each elementary school requires a standard classroom for music instruction.

All Day Kindergarten:

Every elementary school operates at least one all day Kindergarten program. These all day Kindergarten program require additional capacity because the standard classroom is available for one all day session rather than two half day sessions. The District will operate 52 sections of all day Kindergarten in 2009/10

Special Education Resource Rooms:

Each elementary and middle school requires the use of a standard classroom(s) for special education students requiring instruction to address specific disabilities

English as a Second Language Programs:

Each elementary, middle school and high school requires the use of a standard classroom for students learning English as a second language.

Middle School Computer Labs:

Each middle school has computer labs.

High School Career Development and Learning Center (Resource) Room:

Each high school provides special education resource room and career development classrooms for students requiring instruction to address specific disabilities.

BUILDING PROGRAM CAPACITIES

ELEMENTARY BUILDING PROGRAM CAPACITY

School Name	Headcount
Adelaide	372
Brigadoon	327
Camelot	269
Enterprise	458
Green Gables	437
Lake Dolloff	433
Lake Grove	323
Lakeland	392
Mark Twain	327
Meredith Hill	453
Mirror Lake	325
Nautilus	356
Olympic View	328
Panther Lake	383
Rainier View	432
Sherwood Forest	423
Silver Lake	390
Star Lake	361
Sunnycrest	369
Twin Lakes	297
Valhalla	449
Wildwood	317
Woodmont	350
2009 TOTAL	8,571

Elementary Average	373

MIDDLE SCHOOL BUILDING PROGRAM CAPACITY

School Name	Headcount	FTE
Illahee	855	864
Kilo	827	835
Lakota	766	774
Sacajawea	700	707
Saghalie	829	837
Sequoyah	589	595
Totem	714	721
Federal Way Public Academy	209	211
Technology Access Foundation Academy**		
2009 TOTAL	5,489	5,544

*Middle	School	Average
---------	--------	---------

762

754

HIGH SCHOOL BUILDING PROGRAM CAPACITY

School Name	Headcount	FTE	
Decatur	1239	1,325	
Federal Way	1482	1,585	
Thomas Jefferson	1339	1,432	
Todd Beamer	1134	1,213	
Career Academy at Truman	130	139	
Federal Way Public Academy	109	117	
Technology Access Foundation Academy**			
2009 TOTAL	5,433	5,811	

*High School Average	12	00	1 200
Ingh School Average	2, 1	.77	1,309

Notes:

- * Federal Way Public Academy and Career Academy at Truman High School are non-boundary schools. These schools are not used in the calculated averages.
- ** Technology Access Foundation Academy is housed entirely in portables on the Totem Middle School site.

Portable Locations

The Washington State Constitution requires the State to provide each student a basic education. It is not an efficient use of District resources to build a school with a capacity for 500 students due to lack of space for 25 students when enrollment fluctuates throughout the year and from year to year.

Portables are used as temporary facilities or interim measures to house students when increasing population impacts a school attendance area. Portables may also be required to house students when new or changing programs require additional capacity. They also provide temporary housing for students until permanent facilities can be financed and constructed. When permanent facilities become available, the portable(s) is either used for other purposes such as storage or child care programs, or moved to another school for an interim classroom. Some portables may not be fit to move due to age or physical condition. In these cases, the District may choose to buy new portables and surplus these unfit portables. It is the practice and philosophy of Federal Way Public Schools that portables are not acceptable as permanent facilities.

The following page provides a list of the location of the portable facilities, used for temporary educational facilities by Federal Way Public Schools.

PORTABLE LOCATIONS

PORTABLES LOCATED AT ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

		NON
	INSTRUCTIONAL	INSTRUCTIONAL
Adelaide	2	1
Brigadoon		1
Camelot	1	
Enterprise	2	
Green Gables		1
Lake Dolloff	1	1
Lake Grove	1	1
Lakeland	2	
Mark Twain	3	
Meredith Hill	3	
Mirror Lake	4	
Nautilus	1	
Olympic View	2	
Panther Lake		
Rainier View	1	1
Sherwood Forest	4	
Silver Lake	2	2
Star Lake	3	1
Sunnycrest	2	
Twin Lakes	2	1
Valhalla		
Wildwood	4	1
Woodmont	3	
mom L T		
IUIAL	43	11

PORTABLES LOCATED AT HIGH SCHOOLS

		NON
	INSTRUCTIONAL	INSTRUCTIONAL.
Decatur	9	
Federal Way	2	1
Thomas Jefferson	10	
Todd Beamer	8	1
TAF Academy	8	
TOTAL	37	2

PORTABLES LOCATED AT SUPPORT FACILITIES

MOT	1
TDC	5
TOTAL	6

HEAD START PORTABLES AT DISTRICT SITES

Sherwood Forest	1
Total	1

PORTABLES LOCATED AT MIDDLE SCHOOLS

		NON
	INSTRUCTIONAL	INSTRUCTIONAL
Illahee		3
Kilo	7	
Lakota	4	
Sacajawea	4	
Saghalie	4	
Sequoyah	1 .	
Totem		
Merit	2	
TAF Academy	6	1
	28	4

Student Forecast

Student enrollment projections are a basic component of budget development. Enrollment projections influence many of the financial estimates that go into budget preparation. The majority of staffing requirements are derived directly from the forecasted number of students. Allocations for instructional supplies and materials are also made on the basis of projected enrollment. Other expenditures and certain revenue projections are directly related to enrollment projections.

Enrollment projections are completed annually in the Business Services Department. Projections must be detailed at various levels, district total, school-building totals, grade level and program level to include vocational and special education students.

The basis of projections has been cohort survival analysis. Cohort survival is the analysis of a group that has a common statistical value (grade level) as it progresses through time. In a stable population the cohort would be 1.00 for all grades. This analysis uses historical information to develop averages and project the averages forward. This method does not trace individual students; it is concerned with aggregate numbers in each grade level. The district has used this method with varying years of history and weighted factors to study several projections. Because transfers in and out of the school system are common, student migration is factored into the analysis as it increases or decreases survival rates. Entry grades (kindergarten) are a unique problem in cohort analysis. The district collects information on birth rates within the district's census tracts, and treats these statistics as a cohort for kindergarten enrollment in the appropriate years.

The Federal Way School District is using various statistical methods for projecting student enrollments. The resultant forecasted enrollments are evaluated below.

The first method is a statistical cohort analysis that produces ten distinct forecasts. These are forecast of enrollment for one year. The projections vary depending on the number of years of historical information and how they are weighted.

A second method is a projection using an enrollment projection software package that allows the user to project independently at school or grade level and to aggregate these projections for the district level. The Enrollment MasterTM software provides statistical methods including trend line, standard grade progression (cohort) and combinations of these methods. This software produces a five-year projection of school enrollment.

In December 2006, the District contracted a demographer to develop projections for the Federal Way School District. The report was complete in January 2007. The model used to forecast next year's enrollment uses cohort survival rates to measure grade to grade growth, assumes market share losses to private schools (consistent with county-wide average), assumes growth from new housing or losses due to net losses from migration. This forecast was provided as a range of three projections. The long-range forecast provided with this report used a model with cohort survival rates and growth rates based on projected changes in the 5-19 age group for King County. Most of the methods used for long range enrollment reporting assume that enrollment is a constant percent of

something else (e.g. population) or that enrollment will mirror some projected trend for the school-age population over time. The report included 5 different calculations to provide a range of possible projections for the District to the year 2015. This model produces a projection that is between 23,000 and 24,000 when applied to the low, medium and high range modes. This provides a reasonable range for long-range planning and is consistent with estimates from various models.

Long-range projections that establish the need for facilities are a modification of the cohort survival method. The cohort method of analysis becomes less reliable the farther out the projections are made. The Federal Way School District long-range projections are studied annually. The study includes information from the jurisdictional demographers as they project future housing and population in the region. The long-range projections used by Federal Way Public Schools reflect a similar age trend in student populations as the projections published by the Office of Financial Management for the State of Washington.

Near term projections assume some growth from new housing, which is offset by current local economic conditions. Current economic conditions do appear to be affecting enrollment. This is reflected in the District's projections. The District tracks new development from five permitting jurisdictions. Long range planning assumes a student yield from proposed new housing consistent with historical growth patterns.

Growth Management requires jurisdictions to plan for a minimum of twenty years. The Federal Way School District is a partner in this planning with the various jurisdictions comprising the school district geography. These projections create a vision of the school district community in the future.

Full Time Equivalent Enrollment History and Projections

Simplified FTE (K Headcount = .5 FTE; Middle School FTE=.99 Headcount; High School FTE = .935Headcount)

						Total K -12	Percent
Calendar Yr		School Year	Elementary	Middle School	Senior High	FTE	Change
2004	*	2003-04	9,127	5,524	6,408	21,059	
2005		2004-05	9,164	5,473	6,515	21,152	0.4%
2006		2005-06	9,105	5,309	6,770	21,184	0.2%
2007		2006-07	9,022	5,261	6,754	21,037	-0.7%
2008		2007-08	8,912	5,167	6,637	20,716	-1.5%
2009		2008-09	8,865	5,155	6,456	20,476	-1.2%
2010		B2009-10	8,793	5,076	6,325	20,194	-1.4%
2011		P2010-11	8,838	5,077	6,167	20,082	-0.6%
2012		P2011-12	8,894	5,042	6,129	20.065	-0.1%
2013		P2012-13	8,988	4,995	6.109	20.092	0.1%
2014		P2013-14	9,091	4,969	6.111	20,171	0.4%
2015		P2014-15	9,175	5.012	6.066	20.253	0.4%
2016		P2015-16	9,253	5,086	6.022	20.361	0.5%
	*	New Configuration	Elementary K-5	Middle School 6-8	High School 9-12	,	1



22

Capacity Summaries

All Grades, Elementary, Middle School, and High Schools

The Capacity Summaries combine Building Capacity information and the Student Forecast information. The result demonstrates the requirements for new or remodeled facilities and why there is a need for the District to use temporary facilities or interim measures.

The information is organized in spreadsheet format, with a page summarizing the entire District, and then evaluating capacity vs. number of students at elementary, middle school, and high school levels individually.

The notes at the bottom of each spreadsheet provide information about what facilities are in place each year.

		Budget		-	- Projecte	:d		
	Calendar Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
CAPACITY	School Year	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
BUILDING PROGRAM HEADCOUNT CAPACITY		19,493	19,493	19,493	19,593	19,593	19,593	19,593
FTE CAPACITY		19,926	19,926	19,926	20,026	20,026	20,026	20,026
Add or subtract changes to capacity Increase Capacity, Lakeland, Panther Lake Sunnycrest and Valhalla		100		100				
Adjusted Program Headcount Capacity		19,593	19,493	19,593	19,593	19,593	19,593	19,593
Adjusted Program FTE Capacity		20,026	19,926	20,026	20,026	20,026	20,026	20,026

CAPACITY SUMMARY - ALL GRADES

ENROLLMENT

	Basic FTE Enrollment	20 194	20.082	20.065	20.002	20 171	20.252	20.261
		20,174	20,002	20,005	20,092	20,171	20,255	20,501
	Internet Academy Eprollment (AAETE)	(315)	(315)	(315)	(215)	(215)	(215)	(215)
	memer (III IL)	(315)	(313)	(515)	(313)	(212)	(313)	(515)
	Basic FTF Encollment without Internet Academy	10 970	10 767	10 750	10 777	10 952	10 020	20.046
1.12.	Duster The Smontheat Without Internet Academy	17,017	17,101	19,190	19,111	17,090	19,930	20,040

SURPLUS OR (UNHOUSED)					1	
PROGRAM FTE CAPACITY	147 1	59 276	249	170	88	(20)

RELOCATABLE CAPACITY

Current Portable Capacity	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
Deduct Portable Capacity Add New Portable Capacity	(125) 125						
Adjusted Portable Capacity	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700

SURPLUS OR (UNHOUSED)							
PROGRAM AND RELOCATABLE							
CAPACITY	2,847	2,859	2,976	2,949	2,870	2,788	2,680

CAPACITY SUMMARY - ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

		Budget	Budget Projected								
	Calendar Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			
CAPACITY	School Year	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16			
BUILDING PROGRAM			1								
HEAD COUNT CAPACITY		8,571	8,571	8,571	8,671	8,671	8,671	8,671			
FTE CAPACITY		8,571	8,571	8,571	8,671	8,671	8,671	8,671			
1. Increase Capacity Lakeland											
A diugted Program Hoodcount Canacity		0.021		100 %		1996 (M) (M)					
Adjusicul riogram Headcount Capacity		8,571	8,571	8,671	8,671	8,671	8,671	8,671			
Adjusted Program FTE Capacity		8,571	8,571	8,671	8,671	8,671	8,671	8,671			
ENROLLMENT											
Basic FTE Enrollment		8,793	8,838	8,894	8,988	9,091	9.175	9.253			
2. Internet Academy (AAFTE)		(36)	(36)	(36)	(36)	(36)	(36)	(36)			
Basic FTE Enrollment without Internet A	cademy	8,757	8,802	8,858	8,952	9,055	9,139	9,217			
SURPLUS OR (UNHOUSED))							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
PROGRAM CAPACITY		(186)	(231)	(187)	(281)	(384)	(468)	(546)			
RELOCATABLE CARACITY 2											

RELOCATABLE CAPACITY 3.

Current Portable Capacity	1,075	1,075	1,075	1,075	1,075	1,075	1,075
Adjusted Portable Capacity	1,075	1,075	1,075	1,075	1,075	1,075	1,075
SURPLUS OR (UNHOUSED)						1	[
PROGRAM AND RELOCATABLE							

NOTES:

1. Increase Capacity at Lakeland, Panther Lake, Sunnycrest and Valhalla

2. Internet Academy students are included in projections but do not require full time use of school facilities.

3. Relocatable Capacity is based on the number of portables available and other administrative techniques which can be used to temporarily house students until permanent facilities are available. This is a calculated number only. The actual number of portables that will be used will be based on actual student population needs. The District may begin to pull portables from the instructional inventory. Age and condition of the portables will determine feasibility for continued instructional use.

		Budget		-	- Projecte	-d		
	Calendar Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
CAPACITY	School Year	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
BUILDING PROGRAM								2010/10
HEADCOUNT CAPACITY		5,489	5,489	5,489	5,489	5,489	5,489	5,489
FTE CAPACITY		5,544	5,544	5,544	5,544	5,544	5,544	5,544
Add or subtract changes in capacity				n Statistics Statistics				
Adjusted Program Headcount Capacity		5,489	5,489	5,489	5,489	5,489	5,489	5,489
Adjusted Program FTE Capacity		5,544	5,544	5,544	5,544	5,544	5,544	5,544
ENROLLMENT								
Basic FTE Enrollment		5,076	5,077	5,042	4,995	4,969	5,012	5,086
1. Internet Academy (AAFTE)	-	(74)	(74)	(74)	(74)	(74)	(74)	(74)
Basic FTE Enrollment without Internet A	cademy	5,002	5,003	4,968	4,921	4,895	4,938	5,012
SURPLUS OR (UNHOUSED PROGRAM CAPACITY)) 	542	541	576	623	649	606	532
RELOCATABLE CAPACITY 2.								
Current Portable Capacity		825	725	725	725	725	725	725
Add/Subtract portable capacity								
Add new portable capacity Sequoyah		25						
Adjusted Bortable Capacity from Totem		(125)	795	70.5	7 0.5			
Aujusteu i ornable Capacity		123	125	123	/25	725	725	725
SURPLUS OR (UNHOUSED PROGRAM AND RELOCATA CAPACITY) BLE	1,267	1,266	1,301	1,348	1,374	1,331	1,257
NOTES:								

CAPACITY SUMMARY - MIDDLE SCHOOLS

1. Internet Academy students are included in projections but do not require full time use of school facilities.

2. Relocatable Capacity is based on the number of portables available and other administrative techniques which can be used to temporarily house students until permanent facilities are available. This is a calculated number only. The actual number of portables that will be used will be based on actual student population needs. The District may begin to pull portables from the instructional inventory. Age and condition of the portables will determine feasibility for continued instructional use.

CAPACITY SUMMARY - HIGH SCHOOLS

		Budget						
	Calendar Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
CAPACITY	School Year	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
BUILDING PROGRAM								
HEADCOUNT CAPACITY		5,433	5,433	5,433	5,433	5,433	5.433	5.433
FTE CAPACITY		5,811	5,811	5,811	5,811	5,811	5,811	5.811
Add or subtract changes in capacity		n der seinen Stellten Here	lan an an Principalitation an	an firstait Geography	ing an an the state of the stat) in status Net foganisai		
Adjusted Program Headcount Capacity		5,433	5,433	5,433	5,433	5,433	5.433	5.433
Adjusted Program FTE Capacity		5,811	5,811	5,811	5,811	5,811	5.811	5,811
ENROLLMENT Basic FTE Enrollment		6,325	6,167	6,129	6,109	6,111	6,066	6,022
Basic Ed without Internet Academy		6,120	(203) 5,962	(203) 5,924	(205) 5,904	(205)	(205) 5,861	(205)
SURPLUS OR (UNHOUSEL PROGRAM CAPACITY))	(309)	(151)	(113)	(93)	(95)	(50)	(6)
RELOCATABLE CAPACITY 2.								
Current Portable Capacity		800	900	900	900	900	900	900
Add/Subtract portable capacity								
Add portable capacity at TAF Academy		100						
Adjusted Portable Capacity		900	900	900	900	900	900	900

SURPLUS OR (UNHOUSED)		1			[
PROGRAM AND RELOCATABLE							
3. CAPACITY	591	749	787	807	805	850	894

NOTES:

1. Internet Academy students are included in projections but do not require full time use of school facilities.

2. Relocatable Capacity is based on the number of portables available and other administrative techniques which can be used to temporarily house students until permanent facilities are available. This is a calculated number only. The actual number of portables that will be used will be based on actual student population needs. The District may begin to pull portables from the instructional inventory. Age and condition of the portables will determine feasibility for continued instructional use.

3. Capacity for unhoused students will be accommodated with traveling teachers and no planning time in some classrooms. Puget Sound Early College will house approximately 60 of the unhoused students.

King County, the City of Federal Way, and the City of Kent Impact Fee Calculations

Single and Multi-Family Residences

Each jurisdiction that imposes school impact fees requires that developers pay these fees to help cover a share of the impact of new housing developments on school facilities.

To determine an equitable fee throughout unincorporated King County, a formula was established. This formula can be found in King County Code 21A and was substantially adopted by the City of Federal Way and Kent. The formula requires the District to establish a "Student Generation Factor" which estimates how many students will be added to a school district by each new single or multi-family unit and to gather some standard construction costs, which are unique to that district.

- STUDENT GENERATION FACTOR ANALYSIS

Federal Way Public Schools student generation factor was determined separately for single-family units and multi-family units. The factors used in the 2010 Capital Facilities Plan were derived using actual generation factors from single-family units that were constructed in the last five (5) years.

- IMPACT FEE CALCULATION

Following the calculations for the student generation factor is a copy of the Impact Fee Calculation for single family and multi-family units based on King County Code 21A and the Growth Management Act.

Temporary Facility Cost is the average cost of a portable purchased within the last 12 months.

	Plan Year 2010	Plan Year 2009
Single Family Units	\$3,832	\$4,017
Multi-Family Units	\$2,114	\$1,733

STUDENT GENERATION NEW CONSTRUCTION IN PRIOR 5 YEARS

Single Family Student Generation

	Total Student	Factor	0.2927	0.6000	0.6190	0.8148	0.5917	0.9800	0.9500	0.7137		0.7194
	High School Student	Factor	0.0556	0.1667	0.0476	0.2716	0.2308	0.2600	0.2750	0.2241		0.2224
	Middle School Student	0.1707	0.1111	0.1000	0.1190	0.1170	0.2069	0.2200	0.2250	0.1/84		0.1653
	Elementary Student Factor	0.0732	0.5000	0.3333	0.3877	0.2130	0.5172	0.5000	0.4500	41100	1 2247	11000
	Number of High School Students	2		20	22	39	17	73	54	187		
Number of	Middle School Students	~ ~	70	5	13	25	97	18	43	139		
Number of	Elementary Students	σ	30	19	31	30	25	36	75	579		
Number of	Multi-Family Dwellings	0	0	0		, , ,	0	00				
Number of	Dwellings	18	90	81	169	29	50	241	841			
	DEVELOPMENT (09) Lakota Crest	(08) Northlake Ridge IV	(08) Collingtree Park	(07) Colelia Estates	(06) Devection	(06) Orchid I and	(05) Danville Station	(05) Northlake Ridge I, II AND III	Total	Student Generation*		* Student Generation rate is heared on t

* Student Generation rate is based on totals.

Multi-Family Student Generation •

	001 High School Total		0.155	0.050	0.203	0 114 0 100	0.482	0.031 0.212	0.050
Elementary Middle C.		0.0/9 0.024	+00.0	0.049	0 206	0.075	0.132 0.132	640'0 CT 0	U. 132 0.052
	Auburn		Issaguah		Kent	I OLO MILLE	LANE VV ASRINGTON	Average	

IMPACT FEE

School Site Acqu	uisition Cost:			Student	Student		
	Facility	Cost /	Facility	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
	Acreage	Acre	Capacity	SFR	MFR	SFR	MFR
Elementary				0.3317	0.1520	\$0	\$0
Middle School		a minimi ili i kultuksini ila han a kasaran ji ja		0.1653	0.0520	\$0	\$0
High School				0.2224	0.0590	\$0	\$0
					TOTAL	\$0	\$0
School Construc	tion Cost.			Student	Student		
Senoor Constrat	% Perm Fac /	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
	Total So Et	Cost	Capacity	SER	MFR	SER	MED
Elementary	95.62%	\$9 100,000	200	0 3317	0.1520	\$14.431	\$6.613
Middle School				0 1653	0.1520	\$0	\$0,015
High School	nem yn yn yw gellanen hitti Ungereddy y yn o hyf i Uterlander y erwy dyn.	a nakonalin kerambahan dalam (2021) kara ana ay kananan sa kar paga ay sa	an la fat (i fat la la fat la ministra) la catalante a muse ministra	0.2224	0.0590	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
C	Lu=	L		I	TOTAL	\$14,431	\$6,613
					,		
Temporary Faci	lity Cost:			Student	Student		
	% Temp Fac.	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
	Total Sq Ft	Cost	Size	SFR	MFR	SFR	MFR
Elementary				0.3317	0.1520		
Middle School	4.38%	\$193,607	25	0.1653	0.0520	\$56	\$18
High School		l		0.2224	0.0590		
					TOTAL	\$56	\$18
State Matching	Credit Calculation:			Student	Student		
-	Area Cost	Sq. Ft.	State	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
	Allowance/Sq Ft	Student	Match	SFR	MFR	SFR	MFR
Elementary	\$168.79	90	61.09%	0.3317	0.1520	\$3,078	\$1,411
Middle School	\$168.79			0.1653	0.0520	\$0	\$0
High School	\$168.79			0.2224	0.0590	\$0	\$0
					Total	\$3,078	\$1,411
Tax Payment Cr	edit Calculation					CED	MED
Average Assessed	Value (March 2009)				I	\$326.409	\$86.497
Capital Bond Inte	rest Rate (March 2009))				4 96%	4 96%
Net Present Value	e of Average Dwelling	, ,				\$2 525 346	\$669.206
Years Amortized	6 6				ł	10	10
Property Tax Lev	y Rate					\$1.48	\$1.48
Present Value	of Revenue Stream					\$3,745	\$992
					L		
				Single Family	Multi-Family		
	Mitigatian Foo Sum	30 FT		<u>Residences</u>	Residences		
	Site Acquisition Cost	inal y		¢	¢		
	Permanent Facility C	net		φ - \$ 1 <i>1</i> / 21	ψ - \$ 6.612		
	Temporary Facility C	ost		\$ 14,451 \$ 56	\$ 0,015 \$ 19		
	State Match Credit	00.		\$ (3.078)	\$ (1 <u>4</u> 11)		
	Tax Payment Credit			\$ (3,745)	\$ (007)		
	Sub-Total		-	\$ 7.664	<u>\$ 4.228</u>		
	50% Local Share			\$ 3,832	\$ 2,114		
	Impact Fee	·····	······	\$ 3.832	\$ 2,114		
	the second s				~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		

SECTION 4

SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM THE 2009 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

The 2010 Capital Facilities Plan is an updated document, based on the 2009 Capital Facilities Plan. The changes between the 2009 Plan and the 2010 Plan are listed below.

SECTION I - THE CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

SIX-YEAR FINANCE PLAN

The Six Year Finance Plan has been rolled forward to reflect 2010/2016

SECTION III - SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION

CAPACITY

Elementary capacity includes space for All Day Kindergarten programs at every elementary school. Changes to the Building Program Capacities calculation are found on page 17.

PORTABLES

The list of portables reflects the movement of portables between facilities or new portables purchased. Portable Locations can be found on page 19.

STUDENT FORECAST

The Student Forecast now covers 2010 through 2016 Enrollment history and projections are found on page 22.

CAPACITY SUMMARY

The changes in the Capacity Summary are a reflection of the changes in the capacities and student forecast. New schools and increased capacity at current buildings are shown as increases to capacity. Capacity Summaries are found on pages 24-27.

IMPACT FEE CALCULATION - KING COUNTY CODE 21A

The Impact Fee Calculations have changed due to changes in several factors. The adjustment made in the Impact Fee Calculation, causing a change in the Impact Fee between the 2009 Capital Facilities Plan and the 2010 Capital Facilities Plan can be found on page 32 and 33.

IMPACT FEE CALCULATION CHANGES FROM 2009 TO 2010

STUDENT GENERATION FACTORS

Student Generation factors are based on rates for new developments constructed over a period of not more than five years prior to the date of the fee calculation. The changes in student Generation factors between the 2009 Capital Facilities Plan and the 2010 Capital Facilities Plan are due to developments that were deleted or added based upon the age of the developments and the year placed in the survey. The Student Generation worksheet is found on page 29.

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COSTS

The anticipated cost for replacing Lakeland, Panther Lake, Sunnycrest and Valhalla is \$70,000,000. The replacement will add 50 new seats to the school capacity at each building. The total capacity at these four elementary schools is currently 1505. Adding 200 additional seats will increase the capacity by 13%.

Total Cost

 $.13 \ X \ \$70,000,000 = \ \$9,100,000$

The District will use the above formula created as a base from the 2008 Capital Facilities Plan for the 2010 Capital Facilities Plan. The capacity of the four elementary schools may vary from year to year as programs are added or changed and construction cost may increase over time. For instance, for each new all day Kindergarten program, the building capacity will effectively be reduced by 20 headcount and the Board authorized an increase in construction cost of \$1m for Valhalla. These changes would increase the construction cost. The District is using the base formula established in the 2008 plan in the Impact Fee calculation.

IMPACT FEE CALCULATION CHANGES FROM 2009 TO 2010

IMPACT FEE

Item	From/To	<u>Comment</u>
Percent of Permanent Facilities	96.81% to 95.62%	Report #3 OSPI
Percent Temporary Facilities	3.19% to 4.38%	Updated portable inventory
Average Cost of Portable Classroom	\$168,307 to \$193,607	Updated average of portables purchased and placed in 2009
Area Cost Allowance	\$168.79 to \$ 168.79	No change from the prior year.
State Match	61.84% to 61.09%	Change effective July 2008
Average Assessed Value	SFR – \$297,242 to \$326,409 MFR – \$68,998 to \$86,497	Per Puget Sound Educational Service District (ESD 121)
Capital Bond Interest Rate	5.11% to 4.96%	Market Rate
Property Tax Levy Rate	\$1.49 to \$1.48	King County Treasury Division
Single Family Student Yield Elementary Middle School High School	.3285 to .3317 .1631 to .1653 .2446 to .2224	Updated Housing Inventory
Multi-Family Student Yield Elementary Middle School High School	.1222 to .1520 .0621 to .0520 .0942 to .0590	Updated Housing Inventory

MISSION

Federal Way Public Schools purpose is to educate all students in academic knowledge, skills, abilities and responsible behavior to be be successful, contributing members of a free society.

VISION

All Means All



Federal Way Public Schools 31405 18th Avenue S Federal Way, Washington 98003-5433 (253) 945-2000

This document is published by the Business Services Department of the Federal Way Public Schools.May 2009

16698

Adopted May 12, 2009 RIVERVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 407 2009 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN



BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Greg Bawden Carol Van Noy Dan Pflugrath Lori Oviatt Danny L. Edwards

President Vice-President Director Director Director

Conrad Robertson, Superintendent

Prepared by: William J. Adamo Director of Business and Operations (425) 844-4505

ATTACHMENT C



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Description	Page
1	Introduction	3
2	Student Enrollment Trends and Projections	4
3	Standard of Service	5
4	Capital Facilities Inventory	7
5	Projected Facility Needs	9
6	Capital Facilities Plan with Growth Related Projects Identified	11
7	Capital Facilities Financing Plan	13
8	Impact Fees	16
Appendix A	Definitions	20
Appendix B	Project cost allocation by enrollment	21

SECTION 1 -- INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

Presented herein, in conformance with the Growth Management Act and local county and municipal codes is the Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) of the Riverview School District.

This Capital Facilities Plan is intended to provide the City of Carnation, the City of Duvall, King County, other jurisdictions, and our own community with a description of facilities needed to accommodate projected student enrollment at acceptable levels of service over the next six years (2009 – 2015).

The Growth Management Act also requires reassessment of the land use element of local comprehensive plans if probable funding falls short of meeting existing needs, and to ensure that the land use element, capital facilities plan element, and financing plan within the capital facilities plan element are coordinated and consistent. This Capital Facilities Plan is intended to provide local jurisdictions with information on the school district's ability to accommodate projected population and enrollment demands anticipated through implementation of various comprehensive plan land use alternatives.

The role of impact fees in funding school construction is addressed in Section 8 of this report.

Overview of the Riverview School District

The Riverview School District services three jurisdictions: King County, the City of Carnation, and the City of Duvall. The district is 250 square miles and is located in northeast King County serving the Snoqualmie River valley from the King/Snohomish County line south approximately 16 miles, and from the western ridge of the valley to the cascade foothills. The district currently serves an enrollment of approximately 3,114 (headcount enrollment) students, with three elementary schools, one middle school, one high school, an alternative high school program, and two alternative elementary school programs. The grade configuration is kindergarten through fifth grade for elementary school. One of the alternative programs, housed at Carnation Elementary School, serves grades K-12.

SECTION 2 -- STUDENT ENROLLMENT TRENDS AND PROJECTIONS

Projected Student Enrollment 2009-2015

Enrollment projections are most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. For later years, the review of enrollment patterns, housing trends, and other demographic changes are useful yearly activities in evaluating and adjusting projections. This year's plan anticipates a 3% growth in student enrollment which is based on recent enrollment trends. Some of the trends are as a result of transfers from private schools; increases in preschool age children from the district's existing population; and significant decreases students attending school outside the district. Although housing starts have decreased from recent years, the district will experience enrollment growth from new developments in the City of Carnation and City of Duvall. The new sewer system in Carnation has freed up large tracts of developable land within the incorporated city limits. In the event that enrollment growth slows, plans for new facilities can be delayed. It is much more difficult, however, to initiate new projects or speed projects up in the event enrollment growth exceeds the projections.

The Riverview School District, like most school districts, projects enrollment using a modified "Cohort Survival" method. This method tracks groups of students through the K-12 system, and notes and adjusts the projections to account for year-to-year changes, including local population growth. For example, this year's fourth grade is adjusted based on average past enrollment trends in order to estimate next year's fifth grade enrollment.

Since the yearly figures for each grade are dependent on the previous years' grades, kindergarten projections are treated differently. Riverview projects its kindergarten enrollment based on historical kindergarten enrollment patterns and district enrollment growth patterns.

Grade	08-09 Actual*	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
ĸ	236	237	237	237	237	237	237
1	247	243	244	244	244	244	244
2	252	254	250	251	251	251	251
3	262	260	262	258	259	259	259
4	255	270	268	270	266	267	267
5	258	263	278	276	278	274	275
K-5	1,510	1,527	1,539	1,536	1,535	1,532	1,533
6	243	254	259	274	272	274	270
7	210	250	262	267	282	280	282
8	237	216	258	270	275	290	288
6-8	690	720	779	811	829	844	840
9	225	244	222	266	278	283	299
10	234	222	240	219	262	274	279
11	238	226	214	232	211	253	264
12	217	218	207	196	212	193	231
9-12	914	910	883	913	963	1,003	1,073
Total	3,114	3,157	3,201	3,260	3,327	3,379	3,446
* + hrun 1 1	00						

 Table 2.1

 Riverview School District Headcount Enrollment Projection

* thru 4-1-09

Growth rate of 3%, with assumptions for variations at grades 6, 10, 11, and 12.

SECTION 3 -- DISTRICT STANDARD OF SERVICE

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the district's adopted educational program. The educational program standards which typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimal facility size, optimal school enrollment size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements, and use of portable classroom facilities.

In addition to factors which affect the amount of space required, government mandates, contractual requirements, and community expectations may affect how classroom space is used. Traditional educational programs offered by school districts are often supplemented by nontraditional or special programs such as special education, expanded bilingual education, remediation, migrant education, alcohol and drug education, preschool and daycare programs, home school, computer labs, music programs, movement programs, etc. These special or nontraditional educational programs can have a significant impact on the available student capacity of school facilities.

Special teaching stations and programs offered by the Riverview School District at specific school sites include:

Elementary:

- Computer Labs
- Classroom Computers
- Group Activities Rooms
- Program for Academically Talented (Gifted/PAT)
- Special Education (The District attempts to integrate special education students and regular education students to as great an extent as possible. Most special education students are served both in a regular education classroom and a special education classroom.)
- Learning Assistance Program (LAP)
- English Language Learners (ELL)
- Home School Alternative (PARADE)
- Preschool Education Program (ECEAP)
- Multi-Age (Eagle Rock /ERMA)

Secondary:

- Computer Labs
- Alternative (CLIP high school program)
- Special Education
- LAP
- ELL
- Vocational
- School-to-Work

Variations in student capacity between schools are often a result of what special or nontraditional programs are offered at specific schools. These special programs require classroom space which can reduce the permanent capacity of some of the buildings housing these programs. Some students, for example, leave their regular classrooms for a short period of time to receive instruction in these special programs. Schools often require space modifications to accommodate special programs, and in some circumstances, these modifications may reduce the overall classroom capacities of the buildinas.

The current Standard of Service data for Riverview, in terms of teaching station loading, is identified on Table 3.1. Class sizes are averages based on actual utilization as influenced by state funding and collective bargaining restrictions.

Riverview's Standard of Service also considers the different educational functions when considering student capacity needs. Those functions are as follows:

Elementary classrooms -

- regular, grades K-5 •
- self-contained learning center (special education)
- learning support classrooms (special education pullout, LAP, Title I, etc.)

Secondary -

- regular, grades 6-8
- special education, grades 6-8
- learning support, grades 6-8
- regular, grades 9-12
- learning support, grades 9-12 (special education pullout, LAP, Title I, etc.)

Involuntarily transferring students to a school with excess capacity is done rarely as a last resort and with Board of Directors' authorization. Involuntarily transferring of students can result in difficulties in the community, with staffing, and with transportation.

0

0

Table 3.1

Riverview School District Standard of Service

CLASS SIZE

Elementary

Regular, alternative, gifted 24 Self-contained learning classrooms Learning support classrooms 0

Middle School

Regular Regular (portables) Self-contained learning classrooms Learning support classrooms

High School

Regular Regular (portables) Self-contained learning classrooms Learning support classrooms Vocational education

students/classroom, average

12 students/classroom, average

students/classroom, average

24 students/classroom, average 24 students/classroom, average 12 students/classroom, average students/classroom, average

24 students/classroom, average 24 students/classroom, average 12 students/classroom, average students/classroom, average 24 students/classroom, average

SECTION 4 -- CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

Under the Growth Management Act, public entities are required to inventory existing capital facilities. Capital facilities are defined as any structure, improvement, piece of equipment or other major asset, including land, which has a useful life of at least ten years. The purpose of the facilities inventory is to establish a baseline for determining what facilities will be required to accommodate student enrollment in the future at established levels of service. This section provides an inventory of capital facilities of the Riverview School District including site-built schools, portable classrooms, developed school sites, undeveloped land and support facilities. School facility capacity figures are based on the inventory of current facilities and the district's adopted educational program standards as presented in the previous section.

Schools

The Riverview School District currently operates 3 elementary schools (grades K-5), one middle school (grades 6-8), and one high school (grades 9-12). The district also provides the Eagle Rock Multi-age Program, an elementary alternative program, sited adjacent to the Cedarcrest High School campus. In addition the district supports the following alternative programs: CLIP, an alternative high school; PARADE, a home school support program; and ECEAP, a pre-school program.

Individual school capacity has been determined using the number of teaching stations within each building and the space requirements of the district's adopted educational program. This capacity calculation is used to establish the district's baseline capacity and determine future capacity needs when considering projected student enrollment.

Classroom capacities have been determined for each school according to their usage. For the purpose of this Plan, classroom uses are: regular education, self-contained special-education, and learning support. The school facility inventory is summarized on Table 4.1. The current inventory of facilities indicates a permanent capacity of 3,084 students, with an additional 624 student capacity available in interim facilities.

The School Board of the Riverview School District is committed to serving students at small schools. Evidence suggests that this practice a significantly beneficial affect on student learning. Further, there are significant benefits to school culture and climate.

7

			AAA	1881	n/a	u/a
	Year			1933	1988	1/3
	Total	E 40		700	040	1,752
	Interim Student	OG OG	00	6	40	312
	Interim Stations Used for Cearning Support	0		э с	v c	2
	Contained and a contained and		, c			, o
j-0 stiered	Interim Tasching	4		7	+ u.	15
4.1 Montand Ca	Permanent Student	444	504	492	c	1,440
Table acility Inver	Stations Stations Used for Learning Support	ъ	5	0	0	7
hool District F	Self- Self- Contained Specialion Education Classrooms	Ţ	0	-	0	2
liverview Sc	Permanent Teaching Stations	21	23	22	0	. 66
	Building Area (So. FC)	50,567	48,363	49,588	0	148,518
	Ste Size	10.89	12	19	@ CHS Site	41.89
	Grade Leveis Served	K-5	K-5	K-5	K-5	
	School	Carnation Elementary	Cherry Vailey Elementary	Stillwater Elementary	Muitiage Program	Subtotal K-5

	-		- 1		—		-		
		0000	RNNZ			0000	5008		
		1001	1204			000	SAA!		
i		100	+00	864		077 7	1, 140	0777	1, 140
		114	Ŧ	144		100	00	160	001
		c	, ,	2		c		c	
		С		2		c		0	>
		ų	, .	0		7	-	7	
	-	720	062	150		679	,	972	
		ო		-		сл		ი	
		~	°	4				+	
		32	32			43		43	
		85,157	85.157			108,946		108,946	
	:	40	40			78	1	8/	
	0	8-9				9-12			
1	Tolt Middle	SCHOOL	Subtotal 6-8		Cedarcrest	High School		Subtotal 9-12	

2 624 3.756	music classrooms, storage, special-ed pullout programs.		
0	ter labs,		
28	E.g. compu		
3,132	classrooms.		
13	l as regular		
5	hem to be used Building Area (Sq. Ft)	1,500	1,910
141	do not allow t Stie Size (acres)	adj. to Carn. ES	adj. to CV. ES
342,621	Purposes that	Stepping Stones (portable)	Extended day
159.89	re used for Bullding Area (Sq. Ft)	6,800	7,200
	stations a Site Size (acres)	adj. to Tolt MS	adj. to Carn. ES
Total K-12	*Some teaching	Main/Trans Facility	District Office (portables)

ω

SECTION 5 -- PROJECTED FACILITY NEEDS

Near-term Facility Needs

This Capital Facilities Plan has been organized in such a way as to maintain adequate capacity of the district's facilities through the construction and/or expansion of permanent facilities. Table 5.1 is a summary by school level of projected enrollments, current capacities, and projected additional capacities. Based upon current enrollment projections, the district has permanent capacity needs at all grade levels. To meet these capacity needs in the near-term, the District plans to construct a new K-12 Riverview Learning Center. The District is also in the preliminary planning stages of a new comprehensive K-8 school and anticipates that the construction of this school will be complete within the six years of this planning period.

Planned near-term non-capacity facility improvements

In February, 2007 the voters of the Riverview School District approved a \$56,600,000 bond issue that will be utilized to finance a variety of improvements to the facilities of the district over a four year period. Capital improvements shall be made to Cedarcrest High, Tolt Middle School, Carnation Elementary, Eagle Rock Multi-Age Program, and Cherry Valley Elementary. The improvements include replacing heating and cooling systems in most of the buildings; modernization of Cherry Valley Elementary, and Cherry Valley Elementary; adding to and repairing of athletic facilities at Cedarcrest High. Capital improvements that are financed by this bond issue and increase capacity are detailed in the plan.

				anoug	,	10	
	08-09						anta anta anta San cana anta anta San anta anta anta anta
Elementary (K = 5)	Actual	09-10-	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
Projected Enrollment	1,510	1,527	1,539	1,536	1,535	1,532	1,533
Capacity in Permanent Facilities	1,440	1,440	1,440	1,440	1,488	1,488	1,488
Capacity in New Perm. Facilities (New K-8)	0	0	0	0	0	0	200
Capacity in New Perm. Facilities (New Riverview Learning Center)				48			
Net Surplus or (Deficit) in Perm. Facilities	-70	-87	-99	-48	-47	-44	155
	1	l					
Capacity in Relocatables	312	312	312	312	312	312	312
Number of Relocatables	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Capacity with Relocatables	1,752	1,752	1,752	1,800	1,800	1,800	2,000
Net Surplus or (Deficit) in all Facilities	242	225	213	264	265	268	467

Table 5.1
School Enrollment and Capacity Projections 2009-10 through 2014-15

	08-09			. ANT AND			
Middle School (6-8)	Actual	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
Projected Enrollment	690	720	779	811	829	844	840
Capacity in Permanent Facilities	720	720	720	720	763	763	763
Capacity in New Perm. Facilities (New K-8)							520
Capacity in New Perm. Facilities (New Riverview Learning Center)				43			
Net Surplus or (Deficit) in Perm. Facilities	30	0	-59	-48	-66	-81	443
Capacity in Relocatables	144	144	144	144	144	144	144
Number of Relocatables	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Capacity with Relocatables	864	864	864	907	907	907	1,427
Net Surplus or (Deficit) in all Facilities	174	144	85	96	78	63	587

	08-09			(DRA)			to Bi
High School (9-12)	Actual	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
Projected Enrollment	914	910	883	913	963	1,003	1,073
Capacity in Permanent Facilities	972	972	972	972	1,049	1,049	1.049
Capacity in New Perm. Facilities (P.E.)							
Capacity in New Perm. Facilities (New Riverview Learning Center)				77			
Net Surplus or (Deficit) in Perm. Facilities	58	62	89	136	86	46	-24
Capacity in Relocatables	168	168	168	168	168	168	168
Number of Relocatables	7	7	7	7	. 7	7	7
Capacity with Relocatables	1,140	1,140	1,140	1,217	1,217	1,217	1,217
Net Surplus or (Deficit) in all Facilities	226	230	257	304	254	214	144

	08-09						
Surplus/Deficiency Capacity (K-12)	Actual	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
Projected Enrollment	3,114	3,157	3,201	3,260	3,327	3,379	3,446
Capacity in Permanent Facilities	3,132	3,132	3,132	3,132	3,300	3,300	3,300
Capacity in New Perm. Facilities	0	0	0	168	0	0	720
Capacity in Perm. Facil. and Relocatables	3,756	3,756	3,756	3,924	3,924	3,924	4,644
Surplus Capacity with Relocatables	642	599	555	664	597	545	1,198
Surplus Capacity without Relocatables	18	-25	-69	40	-27	-79	574

SECTION 6 - CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN WITH GROWTH RELATED PROJECTS IDENTIFIED

Planned New Improvements - Construction to Accommodate Growth and Adequate Capacity

As summarized in Table 6.1, the district plans to build on the site adjacent to Carnation Elementary a new Riverview Learning Center, which is funded by a bond issue approved by the voters in February of 2007. The district also plans to build on the site adjacent to Cedarcrest High School an additional Kindergarten through 8th grade school. However, the district may determine the site to be unsuitable and, as a result, acquire an alternative site. This project is scheduled begin construction in 2013 provided a new voter approved bond issue is secured to finance, in part, this project.

Planned Improvements - To Existing Facilities that include a Growth Related Project

As summarized in Table 6.2, the district plans technology upgrades which are funded by a capital projects levy approved by the voters in February of 2006 and a planned levy in 2010; and to modernize and enlarge the Cherry Valley Elementary facility; and to modernize the Carnation Elementary facility. Both of these projects will be completed in 2010-2011 and will be funded, in part, by a bond issue approved by the voters in February of 2007.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Pla	anned New P	rojects		
Project	Location	Capacity Added	Source of Funds*	% of project from New Development	Growth related project? Yes or No
2010-2011					
Riverview Learning Center	Carnation	168	Impact Fees, State Match, and local approved bond issue	100%	Yes
2014 - 2015					
New kindergarten through 8th grade	Duncell	700	Impact Fees, State Match, and local approved		
grade	Duvall	720	bond issue	100%	Yes



	Tal	ole	6.2	
Planned	Projects	to	Existing	Facilities

Project .	Location	Capacity Added	Source of Funds*	% of project as a result of New Development	Growth related project? Yes or No
2009-2010					
Technology Upgrades	All	-0-	Technology Levy	-0-	No
2010-2011	radio de la costa Recepción de la costa				
Technology Upgrades	All	-0-	Technology Levy	-0-	No
Carnation Elementary modernization	All	0	2006 voter approved bonds and state match	-0-	No
Cherry Valley Elementary modernization and addition	All	-0-	2006 voter approved bonds and state match	-0-	No
2011-2012					
Technology Upgrades	All	-0-	Technology Levy	-0-	No
2012-2013					
Technology Upgrades	All	-0-	Technology Levy	-0-	No
2013-2014					
Technology Upgrades	All	-0-	Technology Levy	-0-	No
2014-2015					
Technology Upgrades	All	-0-	Technology Levy	-0-	No

** Technology upgrades are based on using funds from the Technology Levy approved by voters in February 2006 and a Technology Levy planned for February 2010.

.

SECTION 7 - CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

Funding of school facilities is typically secured from a number of sources including voter approved bonds, voter approved levies, state matching funds, impact fees, and mitigation payments. Each of these funding sources is discussed below.

General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to pass a bond issue. Bonds are sold as necessary to generate revenue. They are retired through collection of property taxes. In February, 2007 the voters of the Riverview School District approved a \$56,600,000 bond issue that will be utilized to finance a variety of improvements to the facilities of the district over a four year period.

Capital Projects Levies

Capital Projects Levies are typically used to fund small construction projects and other capital improvements or acquisitions. A 50% voter approval is required to pass a levy. Money comes to the district through the collection of property taxes. The district passed a four year capital improvement levy in February of 2006 for the upgrade of technology assets including new computers, upgrades to the network infrastructure, and software.

State Financial Assistance

State financial assistance comes from the State's Common School Construction Fund. Bonds are sold on behalf of the fund then retired from revenues accruing predominantly from the sale of renewable resources (i.e. timber) from state school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate funds or the State Board of Education can establish a moratorium on certain projects.

State matching funds can be applied to school construction projects only. Site acquisition and improvements are not eligible to receive matching funds from the state. Because availability of state matching funds has not kept pace with the rapid enrollment growth occurring in many of Washington's school districts, matching funds from the State may not be received by a school district until two to three years after a matched project has been completed. In such cases, the district must "front fund" a project. That is, the district must finance the complete project with local funds.

Impact Fees

Impact fees have been adopted by a number of jurisdictions as a means of supplementing traditional funding sources for construction of public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees are generally collected on new residential construction by the permitting agency at the time of final plat approval or when building permits are issued.

13

Budget and Financing Plan

Table 7.1 is a summary of the budget that supports the elements of this Capital Facilities Plan. Each project budget represents the total project costs which include: acquisition, construction, taxes, planning, architectural and engineering services, permitting, environmental impact mitigation, construction testing and inspection, furnishings and equipment, escalation, and contingencies. In addition, it includes financing that is separated into three components: estimated state financial assistance, estimated impact fees, and projected local revenues (i.e., interest income and local levies).

 Table 7.1

 2009 Capital Facilities Plan Budget

PROJECT	Growth Related Projects	Riverview Learning Center	New kindergarten through 8th grade	Other Projects	Carnation Elementary modernization	Cherry Valley Elementary modernization and addition	Technology Acquisitions & Upgrades	Totals:
2009-10							\$660,000	 \$660,000
2010-11		\$5,600,000			\$12,400,000	\$12,600,000	\$660,000	\$31,260,000
2011-12							\$660,000	\$660,000
2012-13							\$660,000	\$660.000 \$
2013-14							\$660,000	\$660.000
2014-15			\$22,458,240 -				\$660,000	\$23.118,240
Total		\$5,600,000	\$22,458,240		\$12.400.000	\$12.600.000	\$3.960.000	\$57 018 240
<u>Local</u> Funds *		3,900,000	\$10,818.240		\$10.000.000		\$3.960.000	478, 478, 240
<u>State</u> Assistance		\$1,200,000	\$8.140.000		\$2.400.000	S2 RDD DDD		\$14 540 000
Impact Fees		\$500,000	\$3.500.000					2000000 24

. Please see Appendix B for a breakdown of construction costs, by grade level, for purposes of allocating construction costs in the impact . tee formula.

12
SECTION 8 -- IMPACT FEES

School Impact Fees Under the Washington State Growth Management Act

The Growth Management Act (GMA) authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands. The calculation contained in this Plan yields impact fees to be collected during calendar year 2009.

Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

Impact fees are calculated based on the district's estimated cost per new dwelling unit to purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools and purchase/install temporary facilities (portables).

Student Factors

The student factor (or student generation rate), a significant factor in determining impact fees, is the average number of students generated by each housing type - single-family dwellings and multiple-family dwellings.

The District was unable to obtain sufficient permit data to calculate its own student generation factors, it instead chose to use generation rates representative of unweighted averages based on neighboring school districts. In accordance with KCC 21A.06.1260, the definition for student factor, when such information is not available in the district, is the data from adjacent districts, districts with similar demographics, or countywide averages.

Table 8.1 and 8.2 set forth those student factors and the Impact fee schedule.

Table 8.1 Student Generation Rates (1)

Single Family Dwelling Unit

	Auburn	Issaquah	Kent	Lk. Wash	Average
Elementary	0.320	0.374	0.445	0.457	0.399
Middle	0.152	0.145	0.118	0.125	0.135
High	0.158	0.146	0.245	0.093	0.161
Total	0.630	0.665	0.808	0.675	0.695

Multi-Family Dwelling Unit

	Auburn	Issaquah	Kent	Lk. Wash	Average
Elementary	0.079	0.102	0.296	0.132	0.152
Middle	0.034	0.049	0.075	0.049	0.052
High	0.042	0.052	0.111	0.031	0.059
Total	0.155	0.203	0.482	0.212	0.263

The impact fee calculations in accordance with the formulas applicable to each jurisdiction are shown below:

Table 8.2

Impact Fee Schedule - All Jurisdictions

Housing Type	Impact Fee per Unit
Single-family	\$5,648
Multi-family	\$2,233

(1)The District's student generation rates are based on a county-wide average as provided for in Ordinance No. 10162, Section R.

Table 8.3

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

DISTRICT:	Riverview School District #407
YEAR:	2009
JURISDICTION:	King County, Cities of Carnation and Duvall

School	Site	Acquisitior	i Cost:
--------	------	-------------	---------

Acres x Cost per Acre / Facility Capacity x Student Generation Factor

					Student	Student		
		Facility	Cost/	Facility	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
		Acreage	Acre	Capacity	SFR	MFR	SFR	MFR
Elementary		15.0	\$0	0	0.401	0.137	\$0.00	\$0.00
Middle		20.0	\$0	0	0.135	0.045	\$0.00	\$0.00
Senior		40.0	\$0	700	0.166	0.056	\$0.00	\$0.00
•	TOTAL					-	\$0.00	\$0.00

School Construction Cost

Facility Cost / Facility Capacity x Student Generation Factor x Permanent/Total Sq. Ft

				Student	Student		
	% Perm/	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
	Total Sq/Ft	Cost	Capacity	SFR	MFR	SFR	MFR
Elementary	92.25%	\$7,844,990	248	0.399	0.152	\$11,643.40	\$4,435.58
Middle	92.25%	\$17,647,890	563	0.135	0.052	\$3,903.77	\$1,503.68
Senior	92.25%	\$2,565,360	77	0.161	0.059	\$4,948.23	\$1,813.33
тс	DTAL	\$28,058,240	888		-	\$20,495.40	\$7,752.59

Table 8.3 continued

Temporary Facility Costs

÷

.

Facility Cost / Facility Capacity x Student Generation Factor x Temporary/Total Sq. Ft

Tax Payment Credit

FINAL FEE (ALL)

FEE (AS CALCULATED) 50% FEE (AS DISCOUNTED)

				Student	Student		
	%Temp/	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
	Total Sq/Ft	Cost	Capacity	SFR	MFR	SFR	MFR
Elementary	7.75%	\$0	0	0.399	0.152	\$-	\$ -
Middle	7.75%	\$0	24	0.135	0.052	\$0	\$0
Senior	7.75%	\$0	00	0.161	0.059	\$-	\$ -
TOTAL						\$0.00	\$0.00
State Matching Credit							
Boeckh Index x SPI Squa	are Footage x Dist	ict Match %	x Student F	actor			
				Student	Student		
	Boeckh	SPI	State	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
	Index	Footage	Match %	SFR	MFR	SFR	MFR
Elementary	\$168.79	90	44.0%	0.399	0.152	\$2,666.95	\$1,015.98
Middle	\$168.79	117	44.0%	0.135	0.052	\$1,173.06	\$451.84
Senior	\$168.79	130	44.0%	0.161	0.059	\$1,554.42	\$569.63
TOTAL					:	\$5,394.43	\$2,037.45
Tax Payment Credit:						SER	MED
Average Assessed Value		•				\$465 802	\$152.077
Capital Bond Interest Rate	Э					4 96%	\$102,977 A 069/
Years Amortized						4.50%	4.50 %
Property Tax Bond Rate						1 0559	1.0550
	Present Value o	f Revenue S	Stream		ſ	\$3,805,25	\$1,249,71
					L		
	Fee Summary			Single Family	Multiple Family		
	Site Acquisition C	Cost		\$0	\$0		
	Permanent Facili	ty Cost		\$20,495	\$7,753		
	Temporary Facilit	y Cost		\$0	\$0		
	State Match Cred	lit		(\$5,394.43)	(\$2,037.45)		

(\$3,805.25)

\$11,295.32

\$5,647.66

\$5,647.66

(\$1,249.71)

\$4,465.84

\$2,232.92

\$2,232.92

APPENDIX A

DEFINITIONS

Throughout the Capital Facilities Plan a number of terms are used which are defined as follows:

Area Cost Allowance. WAC 180-27-060 establishes guidelines for determining the per square foot area cost allowance for new school construction. Projects funded as part of the July 1, 2006 release of State Assistance Construction Grants will be funded at an area cost allowance of \$154.22 per square foot of eligible area.

CFP. Capital Facilities Plan - refers to this document.

GFA (per student). Gross floor area per student.

GMA. Washington State Growth Management Act.

Multi-Family Dwelling Unit. In King County, three or more attached residential dwelling units.

Single-Family Dwelling Unit. In King County, detached residential dwelling units including duplexes and mobile homes. In Snohomish County, a detached residential dwelling unit designed for occupancy by a single family or household.

Student Factor or Student Generation Rate. The Student Factor is the average number of students by grade span (elementary, junior high, and high school) typically generated by each housing type. Student Factors are typically based on census data or empirical studies conducted by the school district.

Teaching Station. A facility space (classroom) specifically dedicated to implementing the district's educational program. In addition to traditional classrooms, these spaces can include computer labs, auditoriums, gymnasiums, music rooms and other special education and resource rooms.

Unhoused Students. District enrolled students who are housed in portable temporary classroom space, or in permanent classrooms in which the maximum class size is exceeded.

WAC. Washington Administrative Code

	Algh School School							66.800	33.120	33,120	\$500.080	\$533,120	\$766.080	\$766,080	33.040 \$2.565.360		High School School							92,223	96,715	25,912	14,850 \$0	
	ntarv Middle	500 Minute	RAD RAD	000	160	ORD DRD	280		\$ \$	\$					600 \$1.4		tarv Middle	470	470	470	962	509	509	\$5,3	\$5,3	\$5,4	390 \$16,2	
	Flemer	\$233	5037 8037	\$100		3500	\$133			0	_	-			\$1,601		Elemen	\$1,091,	\$1,091,	\$1,091,	\$1,095,	\$936	\$936,				\$6,243,	
וכוור	cost distribution	\$233 52	\$234 64	\$199.92	\$300.16	\$500.08	\$133.280	\$366,800	\$533,120	\$533,120	\$500,080	\$533,120	\$766,08(\$766,640	\$5,600,000		cost distribution	\$1,091,470	\$1,091,470	\$1,091,470	\$1,095,962	\$936,505	\$936,506	\$5,392,223	\$5,396,715	\$5,425,912	\$22,458,240	
	%	4.17%	4.19%	3.57%	5.36%	8.93%	2.38%	6.55%	9.52%	9.52%	8.93%	9.52%	13.69%	13.69%	100.02%		percentage	4.86%	4.86%	4.86%	4.88%	4.17%	4.17%	24.01%	24.03%	24.17%	100.01%	
רמרוסו	Total	2	2	9	6	15	4	11	16	16	15	16	23	23	168		Total	35	35	35	35	30	30	173	173	174	720	
הכישה מווי	Alt.High School				·						4	4	e	4	15												0	
	Alt.Middle School								ю	6					15												0	
	Clip										-	2	10	14	30			-									0	
2	Parade	7	7	9	6	15	4	11	10	7	10	7	10	2	108		Head Count	35	35	35	35	30	30	173	173	174	720	
ew Learning Center	Head Count	×	~	2		4	<u>ي</u>	 	~	8	്റ		=	12	Total	K-8 grade facility	1	×	~ ~	7	т с	4	- <u>-</u> L	9	- ~	8	Total	

16698.

2009 Capital Facilities Plan

Issaquah School District No. 411 Issaquah, Washington

Adopted July 8, 2009 Resolution No. 952

The Issaquah School District No. 411 hereby provides this Capital Facilities Plan documenting present and future school facility requirements of the District. The plan contains all elements required by the Growth Management Act and King County Council Ordinance 21-A.

ATTACHMENT D



TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
STANDARD OF SERVICE	2
TRIGGER OF CONSTRUCTION	3
DEVELOPMENT TRACKING	4
NEED FOR IMPACT FEES	5
EXISTING AND FUTURE CAPACITY NEEDS.	6
ENROLLMENT METHODOLOGY	7
TABLE #1: ACTUAL STUDENT COUNTS 2000-2001 – 2008-2009 AND ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS 2009-2010 – 2023-2024	8
TABLE #2: STUDENT FACTORS – SINGLE FAMILY	9
TABLE #3: STUDENT FACTORS – MULTI FAMILY	10
INVENTORY AND EVALUATION OF CURRENT FACILITIES.	11
SITE LOCATION MAP	12
SIX-YEAR CONSTRUCTION PLAN	13
TABLE #4: PROJECTED CAPACITY TO HOUSE STUDENTS	14
SINGLE AND MULTI FAMILY IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS	15
BASIS FOR DATA USED IN SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS	16
APPENDIX A: 2008-2009 ELEMENTARY CAPACITIES	17
APPENDIX B: 2008-2009 MIDDLE SCHOOL CAPACITIES	18
APPENDIX C: 2008-2009 HIGH SCHOOL CAPACITIES	19
APPENDIX D: 2008-2009 TOTAL CAPACITIES	20
APPENDIX E: SIX-YEAR FINANCE PLAN	21

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan (the "Plan") has been prepared by the Issaquah School District (the "district") as the district's primary facility planning document, in compliance with the requirements of Washington's Growth Management Act and King County Council Code Title 21A. This Plan was prepared using data available in March, 2009.

This Plan is an update of prior long-term Capital Facilities Plans adopted by the Issaquah School District. However, this Plan is not intended to be the sole Plan for all of the District's needs. The District may prepare interim and periodic Long Range Capital Facilities Plans consistent with board policies, taking into account a longer or a shorter time period, other factors and trends in the use of facilities, and other needs of the District as may be required. Any such plan or plans will be consistent with this Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan.

In June 1992, the District first submitted a request to King County to impose and to collect school impact fees on new developments in unincorporated King County. On November 16, 1992, the King County Council first adopted the District's Plan and a fee implementing ordinance. This Plan is the annual update of the Six-Year Plan.

King County and the cities of Issaquah, Renton, Bellevue, Newcastle and Sammamish collect impact fees on behalf of the District. All of these jurisdictions provide exemptions from impact fees for senior housing and certain low-income housing.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Growth Management Act, this Plan will be updated on an annual basis, and any charges in the fee schedule(s) adjusted accordingly.

STANDARD OF SERVICE

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The educational program standards which typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimal facility size, class size, educational program offerings, as well as classroom utilization and scheduling requirements and use of relocatable classroom facilities (portables).

Different class sizes are used depending on the grade level or programs offered such as special education or the gifted program. With the passage of Initiative 728 in November 2000, the Issaquah School Board established new class size standards for elementary grades K-5. It is the Board's intent to reduce the K-2 class size ratio to 18 and grades 3-5 to 22 if Initiative 728 funding is provided by the legislature. A class size average of 20 for grades K-5 is now being used to calculate building capacities. A class size of 26 is used for grades 6-8 and 28 for grades 9-12. Special Education class size is based on 12 students per class. For the purpose of this analysis, rooms designated for special use, consistent with the provisions of King County Council Code Title 21A, are not considered classrooms.

Invariably, some classrooms will have student loads greater in number than this average level of service, and some will be smaller. Program demands, state and federal requirements, collective bargaining agreements, and available funding may also affect this level of service in the years to come. Due to these variables, a utilization factor of 95% is used to adjust design capacities to what a building may actually accommodate.

Portables used as classrooms are used to accommodate enrollment increases for interim purposes until permanent classrooms are available. When permanent facilities become available, the portable(s) is either moved to another school as an interim classroom or removed.

TRIGGER OF CONSTRUCTION

The Issaquah School District Capital Facilities Plan proposes construction of one elementary school, adding classrooms to all three high schools, expansion of Maywood Middle School and converting Pacific Cascade Freshman High School to a middle school to meet the needs of elementary and middle school capacity needs. Planning the need for new schools is triggered by comparing our enrollment forecasts with our permanent capacity figures. These forecasts are by grade level and, to the extent possible, by geography. The analysis provides a list of new construction needed by school year.

The decision on when to construct a new facility involves factors other than verified need. Funding is the most serious consideration. Factors including the potential tax rate for our citizens, the availability of state funds and impact fees, the ability to acquire land, and the ability to pass bond issues determine when any new facility can be constructed. The planned facilities will be funded by a bond issue passed on February 7, 2006, school impact fees and reserve funds held by the District. New school facilities are a response to new housing which the county or cities have approved for construction.

The District's Six-Year Finance Plan is shown in Appendix E found on page 21.

DEVELOPMENT TRACKING

In order to increase the accuracy and validity of enrollment projections, a major emphasis has been placed on the collection and tracking data of known new housing developments. This data provides two useful pieces of planning information. First, it is used to determine the actual number of students that are generated from a single family or multi-family residence. It also provides important information on the impact new housing developments will have on existing facilities and/or the need for additional facilities.

Developments that have been completed or are still selling houses are used to forecast the number of students who will attend our school from future developments. District wide statistics show that new single-family homes currently generate 0.374 elementary student, 0.145 middle school student, 0.146 high school student, for a total of 0.664 school aged student per single-family residence (see Table 2). New multi-family housing units currently generate 0.102 elementary student, 0.049 middle school student, 0.052 high school student, for a total of 0.203 school aged student per residence (see Table 3).

Generation rates are the same as those used in 2008. Current housing market conditions suggest using 2008 rates and recalculating rates in 2010 when market conditions are more likely to reflect stable trends.

NEED FOR IMPACT FEES

Impact fees and state matching funds have not been a reliable source of revenue. Because of this, the Issaquah School District asked its voters on February 7, 2006 to fund the construction of an elementary school, one middle school, expand Maywood Middle School, expand Liberty High School, and rebuild Issaquah High School. Due to the high cost of land and the limited availability of a parcel large enough to accommodate a middle school program, the School Board reallocated the moneys designated to build the middle school to expand the capacity of Issaquah and Skyline high schools. The District currently does qualify for state match funding for new K-12 construction.

As demonstrated in Appendix A, (page 17) the District currently has a permanent capacity to serve 6,564 students at the elementary level. Appendix B, (page 18) shows a permanent capacity for 3,124 students at the middle/junior high school level Appendix C (page 19) shows a permanent capacity of 5,120 students at the high school level. Current enrollment is identified on page 8. The District elementary population for the 2008-2009 school year is 7023. This leaves the District's elementary enrollment over permanent capacity at the elementary level by 459 students (Appendix A). At the middle/junior high school level, the District population for the 2008-2009 school year is 3804. This is 680 students over permanent capacity (Appendix B). At the high school level the district has the permanent capacity to accommodate an additional 467 students (Appendix C).

Based upon the District's student generation rates, the District expects that .664 student will be generated from each new single family home in the District and that .203 student will be generated from each new multi-family dwelling unit.

Applying the enrollment projections contained on page 8 to the District's existing permanent capacity (Appendices A,B, and C) and if no capacity improvements are made by the year 2016-17, the District elementary population will be over its permanent capacity by 441 students, at the middle school level by 840 students, and an excess capacity of 495 at the high school level. The District's enrollment projections are developed using two methods: first, the cohort survival – historical enrollment method is used to forecast enrollment growth based upon the progression of existing students in the District; then, the enrollment projections are modified to include students anticipated from new developments in the District. To address existing and future capacity needs, the District's six-year construction plan include the following capacity projects:

Facility	Projected Completion Date	Location	Capacity
Expand Skyline High School	2010	Issaquah Plateau	370
Expand Issaquah High School	2010	Issaquah	370
Expand Liberty High School	2012	Renton	280
Expand Maywood Middle School	2011	Renton	175
Elem School 15	2010	Issaquah Plateau.	584

Based upon the District's capacity data and enrollment projections, as well as the student generation data, the District has determined that a majority of its capacity improvements are necessary to serve students generated by new development.

The school impact fee formula ensures that new development only pays for the cost of the facilities necessitated by new development. The fee calculations examine the costs of housing the students generated by each new single family dwelling unit (or each new multi-family dwelling unit) and then reduces that amount by the anticipate state match and future tax payments. The resulting impact fee is then discounted further. Thus, by applying the student generation factor to the school project costs, the fee formula only calculates the costs of providing capacity to serve each new dwelling unit. The formula does not require new development to contribute the costs of providing capacity to address existing needs.

The King Council and the City Councils of the Cities of Bellevue, Issaquah, Newcastle, Renton and Sammamish have created a framework for collecting school impact fees and the District can demonstrate that new developments will have an impact on the District. The impact fees will be used in a manner consistent with RCW 82.02.050 - .100 and the adopted local ordinances.

ENROLLMENT METHODOLOGY

Two basic techniques are used, with the results compared, to establish the most likely range of anticipated student enrollment:

- 1. The student 3-2-1 cohort survival method. Examine Issaquah School District enrollments for the last 5 years and determine the average cohort survival for the consecutive fiveyear period. Because cohort survival does not consider students generated from new development it is a conservative projection of actual enrollment. For the same reason, these projections are also slow to react to actual growth.
- 2. Based on information from King County, realtors, developers, etc., seek to establish the number of new dwelling units that will be sold each year. The new dwelling units are converted to new students based on the following:
 - a) The number of actual new students as a percentage of actual new dwellings for the past several years.
 - b) Determine the actual distribution of new students by grade level for the past several years, i.e., 5% to kindergarten, 10% to first grade, 2% to 11th grade, etc.
 - c) Based on an examination of the history shown by (a) and (b) above, establish the most likely factor to apply to the projected new dwellings.

After determining the expected new students, the current actual student enrollments are moved forward from year to year with the arrived at additions.

One of the challenges associated with all projection techniques is that they tend to always show growth because the number of houses and the general population always increases. Enrollments, however, can and do decrease even as the population increases. The reason is as the population matures, the number of kindergartners will go down as the number of 10th graders is still increasing. To adjust for this factor, the number of school age children per dwelling is examined. When this number exceeds expectations, it is probably because the District is still assuming kindergarten growth, while the main growth is actually moving into middle school. When this happens, a reduction factor is added to kindergarten to force it to decrease even though the general population continues to grow. A precise statistical formula has not been developed to make this adjustment.

After all of the projections have been made and examined, the most likely range is selected. An examination of past projections compared with actual enrollment indicates the cohorts tend to be more accurate over a ten-year time span while dwelling units tend to be more accurate over a shorter period. The probable reason is that over a ten-year period, the projections tend to average out even though there are major shifts both up and down within the period.

Enrollment projections for the years 2009-2010 through 2023-2024 are shown in Table #1. Student generation factors are shown in Table #2 and #3.

ISSAQUAH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Actual Student Counts 2000-01 Through 2008-09 Enrollment Projections 2009-10 Through 2023-24

4/7/2009 11:39 AM

ו ∞ ו .

.

AVERAGE PER UNIT

	2010	ŝ	¢	2	le,	ŝ	8	2	le)
Single Family Development	×	Ł	Ś	ര്	~	Ł	ပ်	6	2
Aspen Meadows	52	22	12	12	46	0.423	0.231	0.231	0.885
Autumn Meadows	52	15	4	4	23	0.288	0.077	0.077	0.442
Beaver Lake Estates	172	65	37	33	135	0.378	0.215	0.192	0.785
Canterfield @ Redford Ranch	77	23	10	8	41	0.299	0.130	0.104	0.532
China Falls	78	17	10	8	35	0.218	0.128	0.103	0.449
Highlands @ Newcastle	152	51	12	7	70	0.336	0.079	0.046	0.461
Issaquah Highlands	1202	306	102	99	507	0.255	0.085	0.082	0.422
Lakemont Findley Court	42	3	5	5	13	0.071	0.119	0.119	0.310
Lakemont Long Shadow Ridge	43	7	5	16	28	0.163	0.116	0.372	0.651
Licorice Fern 2	85	34	18	16	68	0.400	0.212	0.188	0.800
Maple Station	27	16	3	3	22	0.593	0.111	0.111	0.815
Maureen Highlands div 1,2,3	125	26	11	7	44	0.208	0.088	0.056	0.352
Park Hill @ Newcastle	32	19	5	9	33	0.594	0.156	0.281	1.031
Pinnacle @ Lakemont	48	12	7	7	26	0.250	0.146	0.146	0.542
Redhawk	48	13	4	5	22	0.271	0.083	0.104	0.458
Renaissance Ridge	270	144	47	66	257	0.533	0.174	0.244	0.952
Reserve @ Newcastle	125	21	7	7	35	0.168	0.056	0.056	0.280
Sara's Crossing	55	28	9	11	48	0.509	0.164	0.200	0.873
Seneca	25	7	1	1	9	0.280	0.040	0.040	0.360
Silverleaf	53	18	11	7	36	0.340	0.208	0.132	0.679
Stonegate	53	36	8	11	55	0.679	0.151	0.208	1.038
Talus	349	68	35	53	156	0.195	0.100	0.152	0.447
Traditions	95	33	10	13	56	0.347	0.105	0.137	0.589
Trossachs	865	580	234	202	1016	0.671	0.271	0.234	1.175
Wesley Park I & II	226	82	29	27	138	0.363	0.128	0.119	0.611
Windwood	109	44	20	20	84	0.404	0.183	0.183	0.771
TOTALS	4567	1706	663	665	3034	0.374	0.145	0.146	0.664
SINGLE FAMILY									
Elementary School	0.374								
Middle School 6 - 8	0.145								
High School 9 - 12	0.146								
TOTAL	0.664								

- 9 -

.

STUDENT GENERATION MULTI-FAMILY

. .

Multi-Family Development Highland Garden Apts Palomino Condos @ Redford Summer Hill Condoş Sterling Square @Trossachs Fairfield Green Apts Sierra Apts Issaquah Highlands Multi Daybreak Apts Cascade Lookout	51 60 168 174 59 92 688 90 33	 51 60 168 174 59 92 644 90 22 	5, 4 33 3 19 12 7 6 99 7 2	°, 21 19533132	 14 14 11 3 10 4 15 1 	^{/ey} o _/ 68 6 39 20 13 57 10	5 2 0.647 0.050 0.113 0.069 0.119 0.065 0.045 0.078	° 0.412 0.017 0.054 0.029 0.051 0.033 0.020 0.022	\$ 0.275 0.033 0.065 0.017 0.169 0.043 0.023 0.023 0.011	× 1.333 0.100 0.232 0.115 0.339 0.141 0.089 0.111
Trillium Heights Apts The Hamptons Parterra @ Newcastle	53 74 124 140	33 74 101 78	2 7 0 6	2 2 0 2	1 2 1 3	5 11 1 11 =	0.061 0.095 0.000	0.061 0.027 0.000	0.030 0.027 0.010	0.152 0.149 0.010
	M Elemen Middle S High Sc TOTAL	ULTI-F4 tary K - School 6 hool 9 -	AMILY 5 - 8 - 12		5	0.102 0.049 0.052 0.203		0.026	0.038	0.141

.

INVENTORY AND EVALUATION OF CURRENT FACILITIES

Currently, using the 95% utilization factor, the District has the capacity to house 14,068 students in permanent facilities and 2,280 students in portables. The projected student enrollment for the 2009-2010 school year is expected to be 15,524 leaves a permanent capacity deficit of 1,456. Adding portable classrooms into the capacity calculations gives us a capacity of 16,348 with a surplus capacity of 824 for the K-12 student population.

Calculations of elementary, middle school and high school capacities are shown in Appendices A, B and C. Totals are shown in Appendix D.

Below is a list of current facilities. These facility locations and sites are shown on the District Site Location Map on Page 8.

EXISTING FACILITIES

LOCATION

GRADE SPAN K-5:

Apollo Elementary Briarwood Elementary Cascade Ridge Elementary Challenger Elementary Clark Elementary Cougar Ridge Elementary Discovery Elementary Endeavour Elementary Grand Ridge Elementary Issaquah Valley Elementary Maple Hills Elementary Newcastle Elementary Sunny Hills Elementary

GRADE SPAN 6-8:

Beaver Lake Middle School Issaquah Middle School Maywood Middle School Pine Lake Middle School

GRADE SPAN 9-12:

Pacific Cascade Freshman Campus Issaquah High School Liberty High School Skyline High School Tiger Mountain Community H.S.

SUPPORT SERVICES:

Administration Building May Valley Service Center Transportation Center Transportation Satellite 15025 S.E. 117th Street, Renton 17020 S.E. 134th Street, Renton 2020 Trossachs Blvd. SE, Sammamish 25200 S.E. Klahanie Blvd., Issaquah 500 Second Ave. S.E., Issaquah 4630 167th Ave. S.E., Bellevue 2300 228th Ave. S.E., Sammamish 26205 SE Issaq.-Fall City Rd., Issaquah 1739 NE Park Drive, Issaquah 555 N.W. Holly Street, Issaquah 15644 204th Ave. S.E., Issaquah 8440 136th Ave SE, Newcastle 3200 Issaq. Pine Lake Rd. S.E., Sammamish 4229 W. Lk. Samm. Pkwy. S.E., Issaquah

25025 S.E. 32nd Street, Issaquah 400 First Ave. S.E., Issaquah 14490 168th Ave. S.E., Renton 3200 228th Ave. S.E., Sammamish

24635 SE Issaquah Fall City Rd, Issaquah 700 Second Ave. S.E., Issaquah 16655 S.E. 136th Street, Renton 1122 228th Ave. S.E., Sammamish 355 S.E. Evans Lane, Issaquah

> 565 N.W. Holly Street, Issaquah 16404 S.E. May Valley Road, Renton 805 Second Avenue S.E., Issaquah 3402 228 Ave S.E., Sammamish

SITE LOCATION MAP

Issaquah School District 565 NW Holly Street, Issaquah, WA 98027 (425) 837.7000 www.issaquah.wednet.edu



- 12 -

THE ISSAQUAH SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SIX-YEAR CONSTRUCTION PLAN

The District's Six-Year Finance Plan is shown in Appendix E. Shown in Table #4 (page 14) is the District's projected capacity to house students, which reflects the additional facilities as noted. Voters passed a \$241.87 million bond in February 2006 to fund new school construction and school expansion. In February 2007 the Issaquah School Board authorized converting Pacific Cascade Freshman Campus from a 9th grade only high school to a 5th middle school. All 9th grade students will then be served by the District's three comprehensive high schools. To accommodate this Issaquah High and Skyline High schools will be expanded to meet the space needs of the returning freshman and to accommodate growth. The District will expand Liberty High School and Maywood Middle School to accommodate growth experienced in the south end of the District. The District <u>does</u> anticipate receiving State matching funds that would reduce future bond sale amounts or be applied to new K-12 construction projects included in this Plan.

The District also anticipates that it will receive \$250,000 in impact fees and mitigation payments that will be applied to capital projects.

The District projects 15,524 FTE students for the 2009-2010 school year and 15,525 FTE students in the 2014-2015 school year. Growth will be accommodated by the planned facilities. Per the formula in the adopted school impact fee ordinance, half of this factor is assigned to impact fees and half is the local share.

¢ Projected Capacity to House

	3-14 2014-15 15950 15950 15950 15950 165255 15950 17 2705
ents	12 2012-13 201 350 15950 15 0 15950 15 18230 182 18230 182 15464 15 15464 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1
ouse Stud	2010-11 2011- 15950 15 15950 1595 15950 1595 18230 1595 15499 15495 15499 15495 15499 15495 15499 15495 15499 15495 15499 15495 15499 15495 2731 2732 95% utilization factors noreased by a future t cascade Freshman C
Dacity to H	14808 1477 14808 1477 14808 1477 14771 15950 1280 2280 15524 15524 15524 155524 15524 155524 15524 155524 15524 155524 155524 155524 155524 155524 155524 155524 155524 155524 155524 155524 155524
	Utilization Rate) Utilization Rate) Iplus/deficit) oles W Construction calculat is may be reduced if p oity number reflects the the resulting shift in stuc
ears ermanent Capacity	ut school die School menary School Zation Rate @ 95% stotal (Sum at 95% total (Sum at 95% ables de Capacity (su hanent Capacity (su hanent Capacity and Ne mer of planned portab 10-11 Permanent Capac die school facility, and pla

- 14 -

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

DISTRICT	Issaguah SD #411
YEAR	2009

.

.

School Site Acquisition Cost:

(AcresxCost per Acre)/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor

				Studen	t Student		
	Facility	Cost/	Facility	Facto	r Factor	Cost/	Cost/
Flomonton	Acreage	Acre	Capacity	SFF	R MFR	SFR	MFR
Middle/ID Hi	10.00	\$300,000	584	0.374	\$ 0.102	\$1,919	\$523
High	U.UU 11	\$U	855	0.145	5 0.049	\$0	\$0
i ngu	0.00	\$0	0	0.146	0.052	\$0	\$0
School Cone	Artimian Cook				TOTAL	\$1,919	\$523
(Facility Cost	Facility Canacity/V	Hudon's Conomia					
(s dointy oupdoiry).c	Subern Generatio	n Factor)x(perm	anent/lotal s	Sq Ft)		
	%Perm/	Facility	To all the	Student	t Student		
	Total Sa Ft	Cost	Facinty	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
Elementary	95.24%	\$20 350 000	Capacity	SFR	MFR	SFR	MFR
Middle/JR Hig	ih 95.24%	\$1 107 400	175	0.374	0.102	\$12,397	\$3,378
High	95.24%	\$32 395 500	1 160	0.140	0.049	\$875	\$295
-		402,000,000	1,100	0.140	U.052	\$3,873	\$1,385
Temporary F	acility Cost:				IUIAL	\$17,144	\$5,058
(Facility Cost/	Facility Capacity)xS	udent Generation	1 Factor)x(Temp	orary/Total S	Cauara Eooth		
				Student	Student	Cont	0
	%Temp/	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor	COSU	Cost/
	Total Sq.Ft.	Cost	Size	SER	MER	OFR	MIFR
Elementary	4.76%	\$O	40	0.374	0 102	ድብ	* 0
Middle/JR Hig	h 4.76%	\$0	52	0.145	0.102	90 90	\$U 60
High	4.76%	\$0	56	0.146	0.052	00 .SO	۵۵ ۵۵
					TOTAL	\$0 \$0	φ0 \$0
State Matchir	ng Credit:					\$0	<i>\$</i> 0
Area Cost Allo	wance X SPI Squar	e Footage X Distr	ict Match % X S	tudent Facto	DF		
	0			Student	Student		
	Current Area	SPI	District	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/
Elementary	COSL ANOWARCE	Footage	Match %	SFR	MFR	SFR	MFR
Middle/ IR High	\$100.79 \$0.00	90	37.10%	0.374	0.102	\$2,105	\$574
High School	1 \$0.00 \$169.70	0	0.00%	0.000	0.000	\$0	\$0
riigh ochool	\$100.79	130	0.00%	0.145	0.049	\$0	\$ 0
					IOTAL	\$2,105	\$574
Tax Payment (Credit:						
Average Asses	sed Value					SFR AC47 AFA	MFR
Capital Bond In	terest Rate					3017,290 E 05W	\$310,017
Net Present Va	lue of Average Dwe	lling				9.00% \$4.754.690	5.05%
Years Amortize	d	-				ψ ⁴ ,7,04,00 9 40	\$2,388,067
Property Tax Le	vy Rate					\$2.46	. IU 62.40
	Present Value of R	evenue Stream				\$10 270	92.10 95 150
	Fee Sumary:			Single	Multi-	\$10,210	40,100
				Family	Family		
	Site Acquistion Cos	sts	9	61,918.92	\$522.88		
	Permanent Facility	Cost	\$1	17,144.22	\$5.057.51		
	Temporary Facility	Cost		\$0.00	\$0.00		
	State Match Credit		(\$	32,105.29)	(\$573.66)		
	I ax Payment Credit	t	(\$1	0,270.13)	(\$5,158.22)		
. 4	FEE (AS CALCULA	TED)	S	6.687.73	(\$151.50)		
l	FEE (AS DISCOUN	וחדדו	ŕ	2 2 4 2 0 0	(075		
		,	. ⊅	0,043.80	(\$75.75)		
P	-nval. Pee			\$3,344	\$0		

.

Each city or county sets and adopts the amount of the school impact fee. For the applicable fee schedule, please consult with the permitting jurisdiction for the development project.

BASIS FOR DATA USED IN SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

SCHOOL SITE ACQUISITION COST:

- Elementary \$300,000/ acre for elementary site
- Middle School No new sites are being considered.
- High School No high school sites are planned for purchase within the next six years.

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COST:

- Elementary \$20,350,000 is the cost of the project budget for Elem. #15
- Middle School No new middle schools are planned. \$1,107,400 is planned for the expansion of Maywood Middle School.
- High School \$32,395,000 is budgeted for expansion of 3 high schools.

PERCENTAGE OF PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY SQUARE FOOTAGE TO TOTAL SQUARE FOOTAGE:

Total Square Footage	1,974,651
Permanent Square Footage (OSPI)	1,879,479
Temporary Square Footage	95,172

TEMPORARY FACILITY COST:

No new portables are considered in this plan.

STATE MATCH CREDIT:

 Current Area Cost Allowance	\$168.79
Percentage of State Match	37.10%

۰,

. .

	``
Capacities	
School	/
Elementary	
2009	\
٥	
2008	

1989 St 1990 HS 800																
30000		- 101 -	-		-011		-		101							
Strings Stores	43	63	8		<u>م</u>	4	4		-26	961-	7	34	7	2		
³⁹⁵ 63100																
Ling Ling	30	32	<u>ç</u>	-02	\$13 7	ဗု		-153	-216	-386	26	7	7	7	5	
The second second																
SJIN SUN	35	450	414	571	626	321	546	594	657	941	<u>8</u>	437	220	574	572	
KIININN # OF PC	+	~			2	8			<u>=</u>		-	~	- -	2	4	- - H
IN SCHOOL CAPA	-															
CARACITY LEAN	m	200	472	664	636	402	624	624	664	784	484	496	204	612	628	8184
S 164 140 S THO	+	5 7	5,			0		•	0	0 0		<u> </u>		-		5 0
Salas Salas																
2 LINE CUS	3								0					1		0
	- -		1 1		3	2	8	2		÷	1			4	2	1384
OLE CAPACITY &																8
Obly South ABLES	X Y	00	150						007	09	07				8	1620
\$1001@		i ii	6	ę			-	• •	÷	; ~	~	-	-	-	-	81
	<u></u>			····;			5	 \$[∵:⊉	1∷-2	<u> </u>		1		1	1	4
(EL) AUGUS											4	ιņ	in			65
SUNOONI CRE	9	12	24	8	2	24	2	2	7	24	36	5	12	84		384
A CADION AND ICAD & C	5	-	2			5	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1 2	5	5		2	-	4		N
*000 +1000 +100 + 100 +																00000
NOON CIVESHOON	380	360	480	400	320	440	440	440	560	400	420	480	560	500		6180
* OF STANDADE	19	18	24	20	16	22	22	22	28	20	21	24	28	25	\vdash	309 r sneriz
STOOHOS																DACes 10
ELEWENTARY-		000	E RIDG	NGER		RIDGE	ERY	OUR	RIDGE	ALLEY	ILLS	TLE	HLLS			cluded si
	APOLLO	BRIARW	CASCAL	CHALLE	CLARK	COUGAF	DISCOVI	ENDEAV	GRAND F	ISSAQ V	MAPLEH	NEWCAS	H YNNUS	SUNSET		MINUS EX

•

. ·

۰,



"Wirus excluded spaces for special program needs
 "Permanent Capacity x 95% (utilization factor) Minus Headcount Errollment
 "Permanent Capacity x 95% (utilization factor) Minus Headcount Errollment
 "Maximum Capacity reflects the buildings level of service design capacity.
 Fermanent capacity includes the permanent capacity plus the maximum number of classrooms served in portables.

- 18 -

ι.



"Minus excluded spaces for special program needs **91/109 Headcount Errollment Compared to Permanent Capacity x 95% (utilization factor) **91/109 Headcount Errollment Compared to Maximum Capacity x 95% (utilization factor) **91/109 Headcount cancel the building's level of service dosign capacity. The maximum capacity includes the permanent capacity plus the maximum number of classrooms served in portables.

Appendix C

- 19 -





*Permanent Capacity is the total Permanent Capacity from Appendix A + Total Capacity from Appendix B + Total Capacity from Appendix C

Appendix D

- 20 -

Appendix E

Six-Year Finance Plan

(\$ in \$1,000's)

10 7 SECURED UNSECURED	Diete LOCAL/STATE** LOCAL*** 00,000 \$34,000,000	00,000 \$104,000,000 800,000 \$14,800,000	250,000 \$6,250,000	,000,000 \$22,000,000 *^	,050,000 \$181,050,000 \$0	
S)	2013 2014 Com \$334.00	\$104,0 50 \$14,0 \$14,0	0,000 \$1,000,000 · · · · \$6	\$22	\$18	50,000 \$1,000,000
(\$ in \$1,000	2012	710 000,000 \$7,000,000 \$9,000,0 000,000 \$40,000,000 \$9,000,0	250,000 \$5,000,000 \$8,550	2,000,000 \$4,000,000	2,000,000	8,252,009 \$60,002,011 \$8,5
		N/M* 2009 21 M \$7,000,000 \$20.0	W 0000000000	M \$250,000 \$;	N \$6,000,000 \$1	× \$28,252,009 \$2
		BUILDING Skvitne High School	Issaquah High School	Liberty high School	Maywood Middle Elementary #15	Portables

*N = New Construction M = Modernization **The Issaquah School District, with voter approval, has front funded these projects.

School impact fees may be utilized to offset front funded expenditures associated with the cost of new facilities. Impact fees are currently collected from King County, City of Bellevue, City of Newcastle, City of Sammamish and the City of Issaquah for projects within the Issaq. School District. *Funds for portable purchases may come from impact fees, state matching funds, interest earnings or future bond sale elections.

16698-

SNOQUALMIE VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT 410

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009



Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410 hereby provides to the King County Council this Capital Facilities Plan documenting the present and future school facility requirements of the District. The Plan contains all elements required by the Growth Management Act and King County Code Title 21A.43, including a six (6) year financing plan component.

Adopted on June 25, 2009

ATTACHMENT E

2009-579

Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410 Snoqualmie, Washington (425) 831-8000

Г

Board of Directors						
	Position Number	Term				
Rudy Edwards	1	1/1/06 - 12/31/09				
Caroline Loudenback	2	1/1/08 - 12/31/11				
Craig Husa	3	2/12/09 - 12/31/09				
Marci Busby	4	1/1/06 - 12/31/09				
Dan Popp	5	12/11/08 12/31/09				

Central Office Administration

Superintendent	G. Joel Aune
Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum, Instruction, and Staff Development	Don McConkey
Director of Student Services	Nancy Meeks
Director of Instructional Technology	Jeff Hogan
Director of Business Services	J. Ronald Ellis

Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410 Snoqualmie, Washington

Administration Building

8001 Silva Ave S.E., P.O. Box 400 Snoqualmie, WA 98065 (425) 831-8000 G. Joel Aune, Superintendent

Mount Si High School

8651 Meadowbrook Way S.E. Snoqualmie, WA 98065 (425) 831-8100 Randy Taylor, Principal

Two Rivers School

330 Ballarat Ave.North Bend, WA 98045(425) 831-4200Tom Athanases, Principal

Chief Kanim Middle School

32627 S.E. Redmond-Fall City Rd. P.O. Box 639 Fall City, WA 98024 (425) 831-4000 Kirk Dunckel, Principal

Snoqualmie Middle School

9200 Railroad Ave S.E. Snoqualmie, WA 98065 (425) 831-8450 Vernie Newell, Principal

Twin Falls Middle School

46910 SE Middle Fork Road North Bend, WA 98045 (425) 831-4150 Ruth Moen, Principal

Cascade View Elementary 34816 SE Ridge Street Snoqualmie, WA 98065 (425) 831-4100

(425) 831-4100 Tim Nootenboom, Principal

Fall City Elementary

33314 S.E. 42nd Fall City, WA 98027 (425) 831-4000 Dan Schlotfeldt, Principal

North Bend Elementary

400 East Third Street North Bend, WA 98045 (425) 831-8400 Jim Frazier, Principal

Opstad Elementary

1345 Stilson Avenue S.E. North Bend, WA 98045 (425) 831-8300 John Jester, Principal

Snoqualmie Elementary

39801 S.E. Park Street Snoqualmie, WA 98065 (425) 831-8050 Cori Pflug, Principal

SNOQUALMIE VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 410

2009 SIX-YEAR CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section:

Page Number:

i	Board of Directors and Administration	i
ii	Schools	ii
iii.	Table of Contents	iii
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Current District "Standard of Service"	2
3.	Inventory and Capacity of Existing Schools	3
4.	Six-Year Enrollment Projections	5
5.	Relocatable Classrooms	8
6.	Financing Plan/Construction Forecast	9
7.	Impact Fee Schedules	12
8.	Appendix A-Student Factors	A

iii

INTRODUCTION

The 2009 Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan has been prepared by the Snoqualmie Valley School District as the District's facilities planning document, in compliance with the requirements of Washington's Growth Management Act and the King County Code Title 21A.43.

The King County Council adopted the District's first Capital Facilities Plan in September of 1992. As a result impact fees began to be collected in 1993. In order for impact fees to continue to be collected, the District must do an annual update to its Capital Facilities Plan. The annual update must be approved by both the School District's Board of Directors, and the King County Council. This document incorporates updated information regarding the District's plans for future facilities as of May 2009.

The King County Code Title 21A.43 provides for impact fees to be collected in unincorporated portions of the District. This Capital Facilities Plan explains the need for and establishes the amount of those impact fees. The Snoqualmie Valley School District also includes the incorporated cities of Snoqualmie and North Bend. The cities of Snoqualmie and North Bend have each issued a Comprehensive Plan, which incorporates this Capital Facilities Plan by reference. Both cities have enacted school-impact-fee ordinances. Each city will need to adopt the updated Plan and the fees contained in the Plan.

1

STANDARD OF SERVICE

In order to determine the capacity of the District's facilities, the King County Code Title 21A.43 refers to a "standard of service" that each school district must establish in order to ascertain its overall capacity. The standard of service identifies the program year, the class size, the number of classrooms, students and programs of special need, and other factors determined by the District, which would in the District's judgment, best serve its student population.

For the purpose of this plan, the standard of service of the Snoqualmie Valley School District is 23 students per classroom for kindergarten through grade 5, 25 students per classroom for grades 6 through 8, and 27 students per classroom for grades 9 through 12. The passage of Initiative 728 provided some of the monies needed to support this standard of service. The District will monitor recent legislative cuts to Initiative 728 funding and may make classroom size adjustments as appropriate. The standard of service described above determines the Program Capacity at each school listed later in this plan.

Currently, rooms designed for special use are not counted as classrooms. Students may be provided music instruction and physical education in a separate classroom or facility. Students may have scheduled time in a special computer lab and special education programs for students with disabilities may be provided in a self-contained classroom. There is a pull-out program at some elementary schools for reading and for highly capable programs. Portable classrooms are considered interim housing for student programs.

Historically, a new school has been constructed in the District when funding became available through locally approved bonds, state construction match funds, and developer impact fees. The District's third middle school, Twin Falls Middle School, recently opened in September 2008. Twin Falls was funded primarily with the remaining proceeds of bonds approved by voters in May 2003. In March 2009, the District's voters approved bonds to fund temporary classrooms at Mount Si High School as well as upgrades to most of the District's facilities.

The enrollment projections included in this plan confirm the need for additional housing at all grade levels (most of which are necessary to accommodate students generated from new residential development). The District has identified an immediate need during the six years of this Plan for new high school capacity. The District will need to present a bond proposal to the voters for this purpose. In addition, in the near future, the District may need to add new elementary school capacity. This would also be subject to voter approval of a construction bond.

INVENTORY OF SCHOOLS

AND

PROGRAM CAPACITY INFORMATION

An inventory of current permanent district facilities indicates a capacity to house 5,120 students, with an inventory of relocatable capacity to house 1,500 additional students. The October enrollment for the 2008-2009 School Year was 5,528 full-time-equivalent students. Enrollment forecasts are included in the next section of this plan.

Program capacity is determined by a school facility's design and how it is used to educate students. Program capacity is the maximum level of students that can be served educationally at each school.

In developing the program capacity information for Snoqualmie Valley School District, a survey of facilities was conducted. Each school principal described how teaching spaces were being used, i.e., the type of program offered, the numbers of students in each program, and the number of times the class was taught each day and the number and type of classrooms available. Supplemental program needs were also identified; such as special education, highly capable, music and computer instruction. This data enabled the District to develop definitions, numbers and types of teaching stations and programs. Due to changes in the instructional program, there has been a reduction in the number of classrooms available at some schools.

Although the age of school buildings in Snoqualmie Valley School District covers fifty years, a goal of the program capacity survey was to achieve a balance between the variety of school facilities' designs and the current education program. Each school building's original design was based on elements which included the community's expectations and available funding at the time of design. With this in mind, today's education program decisions are tied to school facility design decisions made in the past.

Recently, using the proceeds from a May 2003 bond authorization, impact fees and mitigation payments, the District completed several projects that added new classrooms and square footage. These projects included major construction at the following locations: Mount Si High School, Cascade View Elementary School, Chief Kanim Middle School, and Fall City Elementary School. Bond monies also funded a District-wide fiber-optic communications network. The District's third middle school, Twin Falls Middle School, was recently completed with proceeds from the 2003 bond authorization. It was occupied in September of 2008.

In March 2009, the District's voters approved a \$27.5 million bond to fund critical repairs and upgrades at District schools and to fund 12 new relocatable classrooms at Mount Si High School. The District's Board of Directors will be working on a ballot proposition to present to voters in the near future to address the facilities needs outlined in this plan.
Inventory of Permanent School Facilities and Related Program Capacity 2009

EMENTAR	Y				
			Grade	Program	** Buildin
	Facility	Address	Span	Capacity	Sq Feet
	OAOOADE MEN				······································
	CASCADE VIEW	34816 SE Ridge Street		530	59,000
		Snoqualmie, Washington	<u> </u>		
	FALL CITY	33314 SE 42nd Place		440	48,557
		Fall City, Washington	K-5		
	NORTH BEND	400 E 3rd Street		410	53,419
		North Bend, Washington	K-5		
	OPSTAD	1345 Stilson Av SE		550	57,436
		North Bend, Washington	K-5		
		39801 SE Park Street	K-5;		
	SNOQUALMIE	Snoqualmie, Washington	Preschool	460	48,717
	TOTAL				
	ELEMENTARY				
	CAPACITY	here and the second		2,390	267,129
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
DDLE SCH	DOL	······			
			Grade	Program	** Building
	Facility	Address	Span	Capacity	Sa Feet
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		32627 SE Redmond-Fall City			
	CHIEF KANIM	Road		530	93.291
		Fall City, Washington	6,7&8		
	SNOQUALMIE	9200 Railroad Ave SE		330	63,702
		Snoqualmie, Washington	6.7&8		
		46910 SE Middle Fork Road			
	TWIN FALLS	North Bend, Washington	6,7&8	600	87.600
	TOTAL MIDDLE				
	SCHOOL				
	CAPACITY			1,450	244,593
H SCHOOL					
			Grade	Program	** Building's
	Facility	Address	Span	Canacity	Sa East
				Jupuoley	041000
	MOUNT SI	8651 Meadowbrook Way SE		1 1 1 10	040 447
		Spoulario Weshington	0 10 11 0 10	1,110	219,117
	TWORIVERS	330 Ballarat	9, 10, 11 & 12		
	SCHOOL	North Bend Washington	7 thru 12	160	10.050
	TOTAL HS	Horar Bond, Washington	7 080 12	100	10,853
	CAPACITY			1 270	220 070
			1	1,210	229,970
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	
				r	

** Based upon the most recent OSPI-funded Study & Survey of the District conducted in 2003.

ENROLLMENT

PROJECTIONS

For this plan, the District has projected student enrollment counts over the next six years using a modified Cohort-Survival method. This method moves students through the grades using actual grade transition ratios for each grade level from the recent past.

Following a period of significant enrollment growth (7.03% between 2004 and 2005 and 6.45% between 2005 and 2006), enrollment slowed somewhat during the years of 2006 through 2008. However, enrollment growth continues. Between October 2007 and October 2008, actual enrollment in the district grew by 1.93%. Even though neighboring districts in King County are experiencing either a slowing or a decline in enrollment numbers, our district continues to experience enrollment growth due to an inventory of unoccupied homes in the Snoqualmie Ridge I & II developments and some moderately priced homes in North Bend and Snoqualmie. Currently, we expect student enrollment in the District to continue growing by an average of 5.93% over the next six years due, in part, to ongoing home construction in the Snoqualmie Ridge II development and emerging growth in the City of North Bend.

Phase One of the Snoqualmie Ridge Development is almost at build out. Currently the developer estimates that 2,230 of 2,268 planned housing units in phase one are completed. To date, approximately 600 homes have been constructed out of a planned total of between 1,850 and 2,150 housing units in Phase Two of Snoqualmie Ridge development.

Recent water availability and proposed sewer infrastructure increases in the City of North Bend are being monitored for potential future impacts. Several other large developments surrounding the cities of Snoqualmie and North Bend are continuing to move forward in the planning stages. Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410 October FTE Enrollment by Grade

(Actual Enrollment through 2008-2009 and Projected Enrollment from 2009-2010 through 2014-2015)

	A ntwol	A attend										
CDADE.	1000	Actual	ACTURI	Actual	Actual	Actual	Enr	ollment Projo	ections from	2008-2009 th	rough 2013-2	014
NUMB.	CUU2	2004	5002	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Kindergarten*	185.30	199.00	209,00	238.50	204.84	222.00 #	t 231	735	040	4 F C	् २ २	
lst Grade	373.00	404.00	469.00	494.60	507.88	480.00	5005	0 C V	0 5 7 4 7 4		007	222
2nd Grade	384.20	375.00	462.00	486.00	497.03	511 00		144	040 0 0	202 202	571	583
3rd Grade	403.00	387.50	402.60	493,30	00 227	504.00	010	5 C C C	000 100	580	590	599
4th Grade	359.80	408.00	425 50	479.60	0707U	101.00	970	73/	5/9	592	612	620
5th Grade	403.00	377 00		417.20	4/9.30	401.00	170	564	572	613	624	643
Car Clade	00.001	001/10	07.024	440.30	472.05	484.00	498	546	601	607	647	656
K-5 Subtotal	2,108.30	2,151.30	2,391.30	2,588.30	2,591.30	2,682.00	2,802	2,949	3,098	3,196	3 294	3.356
6th Grade	378.00	407 00	400.00	135 00	00 000							
7th Grade	00 676		00.001		444.00	414.00	49.2	515	568	623	626	665
	042.00	380.00	408.00	406.60	432.80	437.00	426	512	542	596	650	650
sth Grade	361.20	350.00	401.55	417.20	421.58	441.00	440	450	540	568	621	674
6-8 Subtotal	1,083.00	1.137.00	1 209 55	1.258.80	1. 298.38	1. 292 00	1.3.50					a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
							0000		nco'r	1, 18/	1,897	1,989
9th Grade	361,40	353.00	355.00	446.00	422.80	431.00 #	452	461	467	558	584	263
10th Grade	350.60	366,80	369.60	385.00	428.60	402.00 #	415	147	02.0	2 C 2 C 2 C		000
11th Grade	316.80	316.60	364.53	329.60	372.00	415.00	404	121			0/4	860
12th Grade	371.30	341.00	303.86	308.00	310.00	306.00 #	348	342	34.8	470 3.8.4	444	288
9-12 Subtotal	1.400.10	1 377.40	1 302 00	1 469 KD	1. \$2.2 AD	1. 6 6 Y VV				Lon	40%	/04
				Do bat ft	01.000	00440061	1,01%	1,081	1,768	1,921	2,063	2,229
N-12 101AL	4.591.40	4.665.70	4,993,84	5,315.70	5,423.08	5,528.00	5,779	6,107	6,516	6,904	7,254	7,574
	6.39%	1.62% 7	7.03%	6.45%	2.02%	1.93%	4.54%	5.68%	6 70%	5 05% 55	T 0702	4107
* Kindervarten	ernroved in to	mu of Bull. Tim	. Eminalant	Current and				~~~~~	A . A . A	0,000	1. U/ 70 4	41%0

Further, the 3-2-1 projection method assumes all future Cohort Factors to be equal to the weighted average of the last two actual Cohort Factors. * Kindergarten expressed in terms of Full-Time-Equivalent students (FTE). ** Live births for school years 2010-2011 are assumed to be values on a trend line extrapolation of actual live births for prior years.

9

PROJECTED CAPACITY TO HOUSE STUDENTS

Elementary School K-5

PLAN YEARS: *	2009	2010	2011	2012	2014	2014
Permanent Capacity @ 23-to-1:	2,390	2,390	2,390	2,390	2.390	2.390
New Construction:	-	-	-	-	-	~
Portable Capacity Available: **	782	782	782	782	920	920
Portable Capacity Changes (+/-):	-		-	138	-	-
Total Capacity:	3,172	3,172	3,172	3,310	3,310	3.310
Projected Enrollment:	2,802	2,949	3,098	3,196	3.294	3.356
Surplus/(Deficit) of Permanent Capacity:	(412)	(559)	(708)	(806)	(904)	(966)
Surplus/(Deficit) with Portables:	370	223		114	(16)	(500)
Middle School 6-8						
PLAN YEARS: *	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Permanent Capacity @ 25-to-1:	1,460	1,460	1,460	1,460	1,460	1,460
New Construction	-	-	-	_	-	,
Portable Capacity Available: ***	475	475	475	475	475	475
Portable Capacity Changes (+/-):	-	-		-	-	-
Total Capacity:	1,935	1,935	1,935	1,935	1,935	1.935
Projected Enrollment:	1,358	1,477	1,650	1,787	1,897	1,989

High School 9-12

Surplus/(Deficit) of Permanent Capacity:

Surplus/(Deficit) with Portables:

PLAN YEARS: *	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Permanent Capacity @ 27-to-1:	1,270	1,270	1,270	1,270	1,270	1,270
New Construction:	-	-	-	-	-	823
Portable Capacity Available: ****	243	567	567	567	567	567
Portable Capacity Changes (+/-):	324	-	-	-	-	-
Total Capacity:	1,837	1,837	1,837	1,837	1,837	1,837
Projected Enrollment:	1,619	1,681	1,768	1,921	2.063	2.229
Surplus/(Deficit) Permanent Capacity:	(349)	(411)	(498)	(651)	(793)	(136)
Surplus/(Deficit) with Portables:	218	156	69	(84)	(226)	431

(17)

458

(190)

285

(327)

148

(437)

38

(529)

(54)

102

577

K-12 TOTAL

PLAN YEARS: *	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Permanent Capacity:	5,120	5,120	5,120	5,120	5,120	5,120
New Construction:	-	_	-	-	-	800
Portable Capacity Available: ****	1,500	1,824	1,824	1,824	1,962	1,962
Portable Capacity Changes (+/-):	324	-	-	138	-	-
Total Capacity:	6,944	6,944	6,944	7,082	7,082	7,882
Projected Enrollment:	5,779	6,107	6,516	6,904	7,254	7,574
Surplus/(Deficit) Permanent Capacity:	(659)	(987)	(1,396)	(1,784)	(2, 134)	(1,631)
Surplus/(Deficit) with Portables:	1,165	837	428	178	(172)	331

* Each plan year spans two school years (e.g. the 2009 plan year spans 2008-09 and 2009-10)

RELOCATABLE CLASSROOMS

Currently, the District owns 46 portable classrooms. During the next six years, the District expects to add 18 portable classrooms, making 64 total portable classrooms by August of 2012.

Portable classrooms are used to support the educational program in a variety of ways:

- To provide extra instructional space on school sites when there is a regular teaching space need due to new enrollment.
- To support the supplemental program offerings, such as music, computer labs, art, etc.
- To provide interim teaching space for the regular program when repair/remodel construction is going on in the permanent facility.
- To provide interim non-instructional space during repair/remodel construction.

Portable classrooms are also used for pull-out programs such as band, nurse's stations, or inschool suspension programs rather than permanent classroom space, because of the ease of supervision, flexibility of space arrangements, and the separation from the regular educational program. The capacity survey took these educational choices into consideration.

Currently four of the portables do not contain regularly scheduled classes. One portable is too small and does not meet code requirements for regular classroom use. Three classrooms are being used for special student programs, such as our Transitional Learning Program.

FINANCING PLAN

Within the next six years, current enrollment projections show that the District will need a high school addition, 12 high school portable classrooms, and 6 elementary portable classrooms. To finance these projects, money from voter approved bonds, impact fees, and/or mitigation payments for school construction will all have to be used. The District expects to receive some state matching payments for the high school addition included in this plan. The District will also need new elementary school capacity in the near future. Future updates to this Plan will address this need (and any planned elementary capacity would be subject to a future bond measure).

The District has calculated single family and multi-family impact fees on the following pages as one source of funds to support these needed new facilities.

As demonstrated on page 7, the District currently has permanent capacity to serve 2,390 students at the elementary level, 1,460 students at the middle school level, and 1,270 students at the high school level. Current enrollment at each grade level is identified on page 6. The District currently is short of permanent capacity at the elementary level by 412 students and short at the high school level by 349 students. With the opening of Twin Falls Middle School in the fall of 2009, there is an excess of capacity at the middle school level by 102 students.

As a point of comparison, without the additional permanent and temporary capacity for additional students from new housing developments as explained in this plan, enrollment in 2014 would exceed capacity by 184 (966 in permanent capacity) students at the elementary school level, and by 674 students at the high school level. District-wide there would be a total of 2,412 un-housed students (permanent-facilities only). These deficits in permanent student housing assume that enrollment continues to grow as projected on page 6. The District's enrollment projections are based on a modified cohort survival method, as explained on page 5.

To address existing and future capacity needs, the District's future construction plans include the following capacity-adding projects: purchasing and installing 12 additional portable classrooms for Mount Si High School, 6 additional portables at the elementary school level, and construction of a high school addition at the existing Mount Si High School. As noted above, the District will need to consider new elementary school capacity in the near future.

Based upon the District's capacity data and enrollment projections, as well as the student generation data, the District has determined that most of its capacity improvements are necessary to serve students generated by new development, with the remaining additional capacity required to address existing needs. The modified cohort survival method does not adequately reflect all students generated from each new development within the District, planned future facilities are conservative and should be considered as the minimum amount of additional capacity necessary to serve students from new development.

2009 FINANCING PLAN

Facility	Estimated Cost	Bonds (unsecured)	State Match (unsecured)	Bonds (secured)	State Match (expected)	Impact Fees (secured & unsecured)	Voluntary Agreements (secured)
Portable Classrooms – Elementary (3-Bldgs, 6 Rms)	\$780,000					\$780,000	
Portable Classrooms – High School (6-Bldgs, 12 Rms)	\$1,560,000			\$1,560,000 ¹		\$200,000	
High School Addition	\$61,000,000 ²	\$84,000,000	\$1,000,000			\$2,000,000	
Elementary School #6 ³	\$23,520,000 ⁴	\$29,896,000	\$3,479,000			\$225,000	

 ¹ Funded by 2009 Bond.
² Construction costs only, as adjusted for construction cost escalation, is projected to be \$87,000,000.
³ Included for planning purposes only.
⁴ Construction costs only, as adjusted for construction. Total cost, as adjusted for construction cost escalation, is projected to be \$33,600,000.

SNOQUALMIE VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT #410 2009 PROJECTS PLANNED - NEW CONSTRUCTION -- PORTABLE ADDITIONS - SITE ACQUISITION

Project			Ant	ticipated S	ource of Fun	sp	
Facility:	Location:	Capacity:	Bonds:	State Match	Impact Fees	Mittigation Payments	Student Capacity For New Housing Developments
High School Addition	Mount Si High School	823	2011/2012	2014	2010/2014		YES
Elementary School #6 ⁵	Snoqualmie Ridge	500	3	ı	2009/2014	E	YES
Portable Classrooms – High School (6-Bldgs,12- Rms)	Mount Si High School	324	2009	•	2009/2010	•	YES
Portable Classrooms – Elementary (3-Bldgs, 6- Rms)	Various locations	138			2009/2014	t.	YES

- Also see notes at the bottom of page 10.

- Current enrollment projections show the need for additional Portable Classrooms.

⁵ Included for planning purposes only.

Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410 2009 FORMULA Impact Fees -- Single-Family Residences

Student Factors - Single	e Family	Temporary Facilities Cost	
Elementary	0.3990	Elementary	\$130,000
Middle School	0.1350	Middle School	\$0
High School	0.1610	High School	\$130,000
Student Capacity Per Fa	acility	Permanent Square Footage	
Elementary	500	Elementary	267,129
Middle School	600	Middle School	244,593
High School	823	High School	229,970
0		Total	741,692
Site Acreage Size			
Elementary	15	Temporary Square Footage	
Middle School	25	Elementary	30,600
High School	40	Middle School	6,300
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	High School	4,500
Site Cost Per Acre *		Total	41,400
Elementary	\$0		
Middle School	\$0	Total Facilties Square Footage	
High School	\$0	Elementary	297,729
-		Middle School	250,893
New Facility Constructi	on Cost	High School	234,470
Elementary	\$0	Total	783,092
Middle School	\$0		
High School	\$61,000,000	School Construction Equalization	n
		Equalization Percentage	44.00%
New Facility Size			
Elementary	70,800	Boeckh Index Factor	
Middle School	87,600	Current Area Cost Allowance	\$168.79
High School	225,000		
		District Average Assessed Value	
SPI Square Footage Per	r Student	Single Family Residence	\$509,554
Elementary	90		
Middle School	117	District Average Assessed Value	
High School	130	Multi-Family Residence	\$176,105
Temporary Classroom	Capacity	District Debt Service Tax Rate	
Elementary	23	Current \$/1000 Rate	\$1.1500
Middle School	25		
High School	27	General Obligation Bond Interest	Rate
-		Current Bond Buyer Index	4.96%

* The average value per-acre of land appraisals for the district in October 2005.

.

Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410 2009 FORMULA Impact Fees -- Single-Family Residences

Site Aquisition Cost Per Single-Family Residence

Formula: ((Acres)	x Cost per Acre) / F	acility Size) x Stud	ent Factor		
	Site Size	Cost / Acre	Facility Size	Student Factor	
A1 (Elem)	15	\$0	500	0.3990	\$0.00
A2 (Middle)	25	\$0	600	0.1350	\$0.00
A3 (Sr High)	40	\$0	8:3	0.1610	\$0.00
Dormonont Coollin				A>	\$0.00

Permanent Facility Construction Cost Per Single-Family Residence

Formula: ((Facility Cost / Facility Size) x Student Factor) x (Permanent/Total Footage Ratio)

	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Student Factor	Footage Ratio	
B1 (Elem)	\$0	500	0.3990	0.9471	\$0.00
B2 (Middle)	\$0	600	0.1350	0.9471	\$0.00
B3 (Sr High)	\$61,000,000	823	0.1610	0.9471	\$11,301.91
_			•	B>	\$11,301.91

Temporary Facilities Cost Per Single-Family Residence

Formula: ((Facility Cost / Facility Capacity) x Student Factor) x (Temporary/Total Footage Ratio)

	Facility Cost	Facility Capacity	Student Factor	Footage Ratio	
C1 (Elem)	\$130,000	23	0.3990	0.0529	\$119.30
C2 (Middle)	\$0	25	0.1350	0.0529	\$0.00
C3 (Sr High)	\$130,000	27	0.1610	0.0529	\$41.01
				C>	\$160.31

State Match Credit Per Single-Family Residence

Formula: Boeckh Index x SPI Footage x District Match x Student Factor

	Current Boeckh	SPI Footage	District Match %	Student Factor	
D1 (Elem)	\$168.79	90	0.00%	0.3990	\$0.00
D2 (Middle)	\$168.79	117	0.00%	0.1350	\$0.00
D3 (Sr High)	\$168.79	130	44.00%	0.1610	\$1,554.42
				D>	\$1,554.42

Tax Credit Per Single-Family Residence

Average Residential Assessed Value>	\$509,554	
Current Debt Service Tax Rate>	\$1.1500	
Bond Buyer Index Annual Interest Rate>	4.96%	
Discount Period (10 Years)>	10	
	TC>	\$4,533,64

The Tax Credit Calculation can be expressed in the following formula :

((1+Interest Rate)^10)-1

Interest Rate(1+Interest Rate)^10

x Average AV x Rate/Thousand = Tax Credit

The Tax Credit can also be calculated by inserting these values into the spreadsheet Function commonly used for calculating Present Value:

PV(Interest Rate, Discount Period, (Average Assessed Value x Tax Rate)) = Tax Credit

Developer Provided Facility Credit

Formula: (Value of Site or Facility) / (Number of Development Dwelling Units)

Provided Facility or Site Value Dwelling Units

FC>	

Fee Recap		
A =	\$0.00	
B =	\$11,301.91	
C =	\$160.31	
Subtotal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$11,462.22
D =	\$1,554.42	
TC =	\$4,533.64	•
Subtotal		\$6,088.06
Total Unfunded I	Need	\$5,374.16
50% Local Share	•	(\$2,687.08)
FC (If Applicable)	\$0.00
Net Fee Obligat	ion 🗌	\$2,687.08

Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410 2009 FORMULA Impact Fees -- Multi-Family Residences

Student Factors - Multi-Family Temporary Facilities	Cost		
Elementary 0.1520 Elementary	\$130,000		
Middle School 0.0520 Middle School	\$0		
High School 0.0590 High School	\$130,000		
Student Capacity Per Facility Permanent Square Fo	otage .		
Elementary 500 Elementary	267.129		
Middle School 600 Middle School	244,593		
High School 823 High School	229.970		
Total	741.692		
Site Acreage Size			
Elementary 15 Temporary Square Fo	otage		
Middle School 25 Elementary	30.600		
High School 40 Middle School	6.300		
High School	4,500		
Site Cost Per Acre * Total	41,400		
Elementary \$0			
Middle School \$0 Total Facilities Square	e Footage		
High School \$0 Elementary	297.729		
Middle School	250,893		
New Facility Construction Cost High School	234,470		
Elementary \$0 Total	783.092		
Middle School \$0			
High School \$61,000,000 School Construction \$	School Construction State Match		
Local District Percentage	e 44.00%		
New Facility Size			
Elementary 70,800 Boeckh Index Factor			
Middle School 87,600 Current Area Cost Allow	ance \$168.79		
High School 225,000			
District Average Asses	sed Value		
SPI Square Footage Per Student Single Family Residence	e \$509,554		
Elementary 90			
Middle School 117 District Average Asses	sed Value		
High School 130 Multi-Family Residence	\$176,105		
Temporary Classroom Capacity District Debt Service T	ax Rate		
Elementary 23 Current \$/1000 Rate	\$1,1500		
Middle School 25			
High School 27 General Obligation Bo	nd Interest Rate		
Current Bond Buyer Inde	ex 4.96%		

* The average value per-acre of land appraisals for the district in October 2005.

Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410 2009 FORMULA Impact Fees -- Multi-Family Residences

Site Aquisition Cost Per Multi-Family Residence

Formula: ((Acres:	x Cost per Acre) / F	acility Size) x Stud	ent Factor		
	Site Size	Cost / Acre	Facility Size	Student Factor	
A1 (Elem)	15	\$0	500	0.1520	\$0.00
A2 (Middle)	25	\$0	600	0.0520	\$0.00
A3 (Sr High)	40	\$0	823	0.0590	\$0.00
_				A>	\$0.00

Permanent Facility Construction Cost Per Multi-Family Residence

Formula: ((Facility Cost / Facility Size) x Student Factor) x (Permanent/Total Footage Ratio)

	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Student Factor	Footage Ratio	
B1 (Elem)	\$0	500	0.1520	0.9471	\$0.00
B2 (Middle)	\$0	600	0.0520	0.9471	\$0.00
B3 (Sr High)	\$61,000,000	823	0.0590	0.9471	\$4,141.69
				B>	\$4,141.69

Temporary Facilities Cost Per Multi-Family Residence

Formula: ((Facility Cost / Facility Capacity) x Student Factor) x (Temporary/Total Footage Ratio)

-	Facility Cost	Facility Capacity	Student Factor	Footage Ratio	
C1 (Elem)	\$130,000	23	0.1520	0.0529	\$45.45
C2 (Middle)	\$0	25	0.0520	0.0529	\$0.00
C3 (Sr High)	\$130,000	27	0.0590	0.0529	\$15.03
				C>	\$60.48

State Match Credit Per Multi-Family Residence

Formula: Boeckh Index x SPI Footage x District Match x Student Factor Current Reacht

	Current Boeckn	SPI Footage	District Match %	Student Factor	
D1 (Elem)	\$168.79	90	0.00%	0.1520	\$0.00
D2 (Middle)	\$168.79	117	0.00%	0.0520	\$0.00
D3 (Sr High)	\$168.79	130	44.00%	0.0590	\$569.63
				D>	\$569.63

Tax Credit Per Multi-Family Residence

Average Residential Assessed Value>	\$176,105]
Current Debt Service Tax Rate>	\$1.1500	
Bond Buyer Index Annual Interest Rate>	4.96%	
Discount Period (10 Years)>	10	
	TC>	\$1,566.85

The Tax Credit Calculation can be expressed in the following formula : ((1+Interest Rate)^10)-1

Interest Rate(1+Interest Rate)^10

x Average AV x Rate/Thousand = Tax Credit

The Tax Credit can also be calculated by inserting these values into the spreadsheet Function commonly used for calculating Present Value: PV(Interest Rate, Discount Period, (Average Assessed Value x Tax Rate)) = Tax Credit

Developer Provided Facility Credit

Formula: (Value of Site or Facility) / (Number of Development Dwelling Units)

Provided Facility or Site Value Dwelling Units

FC---

Fee Recap A = \$0.00 B = \$4,141.69 C = \$60.48 Subtotal \$4,202.17 D =\$569.63 TC = \$1,566.85 Subtotal \$2,136.48 Total Unfunded Need \$2,065.69 50% Local Share (\$1,032.85) FC (If Applicable) \$0.00 \$1,032.84

Net Fee Obligation

APPENDIX A 2009 Composite Student Factors Puget Sound School Coalition - King County

(
	Aubum	Issaquah	Kent	Lake Wash.	Average:
Elementary Middle High	0.320 0.152 0.158	0.374 0.145 0.146	0.445 0.118 0.245	0.457 0.125 0.093	0.399 0.135 0.161
Total:	0.630	0.665	0.808	0.675	0.695

Single Family Dwelling Unit:

Multi Family Dwelling Unit:

Elementary	Auburn 0.079	lssaquah 0.102	0 296	Lake Wash.	Average:
Middle High	0.034 0.042	0.049 0.052	0.075	0.049	0.052
Total:	0.155	0.203	0.482	0.212	0.263

Notes: The above student generation rates represent unweighted averages, based on neighboring school districts.

Ordinance No. 10162, Section R., Page 5: lines 30 thru 35 & Page 6: line 1: "Student factors shall be based on district records of average actual student generation rates for new developments constructed over a period of not more than five (5) years prior to the date of the fee calculation: provided that, if such information is not available in the district, data from adjacent districts, districts with similar demographics, or county wide averages may be used."

16698

Six-Year Capital Facility Plan 2009 - 2014



Board Approved June 22, 2009

ATTACHMENT F 2009 - 579 Lake Washington School District #414 Serving Redmond, Kirkland, Sammamish, and King County, Washington

Lake Washington School District #414

Serving Redmond, Kirkland, Sammamish, and King County, Washington

SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS

Jackie Pendergrass, President

Ravi Shahani, Vice-President

Nancy Bernard

Doug Eglington

Christopher Carlson

SUPERINTENDENT

Dr. Chip Kimball

Lake Washington School District's Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan 2009-2014

For information about this plan, call the District Support Services Center (425/882-5108)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Section	Page Number
I.	Executive Summary	2-5
II.	Six-Year Enrollment Projection and Long Term Plann	ing 6-8
III.	Current District "Standard Of Service" (As Defined by King County Code 21A.06)	9-11
IV.	Inventory and Evaluation of Current Facilities	12
V.	Six-Year Planning and Construction Plan	13-14
VI.	Relocatable and Transitional Classrooms	15
VII.	Six-Year Classroom Capacities: Availability / Deficit Projection	16
VIII.	Finance Plan	17
IX.	Appendices A - E	
Х.	Tables 1 - 6	

I. Executive Summary

This Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan (the "plan") has been prepared by the Lake Washington School District (the "district") as the organization's primary facility planning document in compliance with the requirements of the State of Washington's Growth Management Act and King County Code 21A.43. This plan was prepared using data available in Spring 2009.

The plan is consistent with prior long-term capital facilities plans adopted by the Lake Washington School District. However, it is not intended to be the sole plan for all of the organization's needs. The district also prepares interim and long-range capital facilities plans consistent with board policies. Such plans take into account longer and shorter time periods, other factors and trends in the use of facilities, and other needs of the district as may be required. These other plans are consistent with this Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan.

In order for impact fees to be collected in the unincorporated areas of King County, the King County Council must adopt this plan as proposed by the district. The cities of Redmond and Sammamish have each adopted a school impact fee policy and ordinance similar to the King County model. For impact fees to be collected in the City of Kirkland, the City of Kirkland must also adopt this plan and adopt its own school impact fee ordinance.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Growth Management Act and the local implementing ordinances, this plan will be updated on an annual basis with any changes in the fee schedule adjusted accordingly. See *Appendix B* for the current single family calculation and *Appendix C* for the current multi-family calculation.

The district's capital facility plan establishes a "standard of service" in order to ascertain current and future capacity. With the passing of State Initiative 728 (I-728) in November 2000, target teacher-student ratio in kindergarten and first grade changed in the 2001-2002 school year. However, due to state budget constraints, I-728 was not fully implemented as originally anticipated. Because of this, the district standard was modified in 2004 to reflect a partial implementation of I-728 for as long as I-728 monies are available. The District plans to continue implementation of the modified standard of service for purposes of this plan and will

I. Executive Summary (continued)

10-12

continue to evaluate capacity standards on an ongoing basis. With the current State budget crisis and the potential of I-728 monies taken away, class size will most likely change beginning in the 2009-2010 school year. However, until the State budget is finalized (which in turn determines District budget and decisions), this plan reflects the current student/teacher standard of service ratio.

It might also be noted that though the State Superintendent of Public Instruction establishes square foot guidelines for capacity funding criteria, those guidelines do not account for the local program needs in the district. The Growth Management Act and King County Code 21A.43 authorize the district to make adjustments to the standard of service based on the district's specific needs.

udent Ratio
9 Students
24 Students
25 Students
27 Students
30 Students

In general, the district's current standard provides the following (see *Section III* for specific information):

School capacity is based on the district standard of service and the existing inventory. Existing inventory includes both permanent and relocatable classrooms (i.e. portable classroom units). As seen in *Appendix A*, the district's overall capacity is 26,135 Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) students (22,916 for permanent and 3,219 for relocatables). For this same period of time, student enrollment is 23,483 FTE. Enrollment is projected to increase to 25,167 FTE in 2014 (see *Table 1*). Though areas of growth are seen in various areas of the district, the most notable growth continues to be in the Redmond and Sammamish areas along with some areas of growth in of Kirkland.

32 Students

I. Executive Summary (continued)

Some examples include:

- Growth has necessitated the construction of one elementary school (a.k.a. Site 52, Rachel Carson Elementary School) on the Sammamish plateau which opened in the fall of 2008. Due to capacity issues, this school opened with four relocatable classrooms on the site.
- The Redmond Ridge development has experienced growth to the point that four (4) additional portables will be added to Rosa Parks Elementary School in the summer of 2009.
- Homes have begun to be completed and occupied in the Redmond Ridge East development which has resulted in some student generation. In anticipation of the potential student growth from that development, the District secured property within that development in 2007 for a future elementary school, Site 31 (see *Tables 4, 5* and *6*).
- The City of Sammamish approved a land use plan known as the Sammamish Town Center. This plan allows 1,300 to 1,800 new residential dwelling units to be developed in the Town Center area. The District anticipates that this plan will create additional capacity needs in this area of the District.
- Enrollment continues to press for the addition of portables in several schools in the Kirkland area.
- It is projected that other locations throughout the district will need relocatables to address capacity issues within the planning period of this report.

In February 2006, voters in the Lake Washington School District passed a bond measure to fund Phase II (2006-2014) of the School Modernization Program. The schedule for the schools has been established with many of the schools being modernized within the timeframe of this plan.

In the timeframe of this plan, the district will:

 Modernize and open seven elementary schools, two junior high schools, one choice school, and one high school as part of the District's Phase II School Modernization Program (see *Table 6*). All these projects are planned to receive appropriate permanent capacity additions and, if possible, will remove any existing relocatable classrooms.

I. Executive Summary (continued)

• Add relocatable classrooms to address capacity when needed in the District. See *Section VI*.

A financing plan is included in *Section VIII* that demonstrates the district's ability to implement this plan.

II. Six-Year Enrollment Projection and Long Term Planning

Six-Year Enrollment Projection

Based on the district's forecasts (see *Table 1*), enrollment is projected to increase approximately 2,033 students over the next six years. This is a 8.79% increase over the current student population. Applying the enrollment projections contained in *Table 5* to the district's existing capacity, the district will be over permanent capacity by 1,961 students. This projection contemplates the full development of Redmond Ridge and the Redmond Ridge East development. Other developments that are expected to generate students and affect the district are also included in the projection. The numbers anticipated for the Redmond Ridge East development show the need for a future elementary school within that planned development. The District expects that some of the new residential development in the Sammamish Town Center will begin to occur in the six-year planning period. Therefore, the enrollment projections also include the first anticipated phase of the Sammamish Town Center development.

Student enrollment projections have been developed using a two methods: first, the cohort survival – historical enrollment method is used to forecast enrollment growth based upon the progression of existing students in the district; then, development tracking – the enrollment projections are modified to include students anticipated from new development in the district. The cohort survival method was used to determine base enrollments. This mechanism uses historical enrollment data to forecast the number of students who will be attending school the following year. Information on known and anticipated housing development was used as a second means in determining enrollment projections. This method allows the district to more accurately project student enrollment by school attendance area. (See *Table 2*) The District has not tracked short plats, infills or demographic changes and therefore, they are not reflected in the student enrollment projections.

Cohort Survival

A percentage of King County live births is used to predict future kindergarten enrollment. Actual King County live births through 2007 are used to project kindergarten enrollment through the 2012-2013 school year.

II. Six-Year Enrollment Projection and Long Term Planning (continued)

After 2013, the number of live births is based on King County projections. Historical data is used to estimate the future number of kindergarten students that will generate from county births. For other grade levels, past cohort survival trends were analyzed.

Development Tracking

In order to increase the accuracy and validity of enrollment projections, a major emphasis has been placed on the collection and tracking of data of 83 known new housing developments. This data provides two useful pieces of planning information. First, it is used to determine the actual number of students that are generated from a new single family or multi-family residence. It also provides important information on the impact new housing developments will have on existing facilities and/or the need for additional facilities.

It is important to note that even though in-fill or short plat projects are not tracked, such activity has resulted in increased student population. This type of development has resulted in the need for additional portables in the Kirkland area.

Developments that have been completed over the last five years are used to forecast the number of students who will attend our schools from future developments. District wide statistics show that new single-family homes currently generate 0.457 elementary student, 0.125 junior high student, and 0.093 senior high student, for a total of 0.675 school-age child per single family home (see *Appendix B*). New multi-family housing units currently generate an average of 0.132 elementary student, 0.049 junior high student, and 0.031 senior high student for a total of 0.212 school age child per multi-family home (see *Appendix C*). The totals of the student generation numbers have increased since 2008 for both new single family and new multi-family developments. Information obtained from the cities and county provides the foundation for a database of all known future developments in the district and is consistent with the comprehensive plans of the local permitting jurisdictions. Contact has been made with each developer to determine the number of homes to be built and the

II. Six-Year Enrollment Projection and Long Term Planning (continued)

anticipated development schedule. There is limited data from projects five years or newer. Historically, the district has seen student growth accelerate in developments after five years.

The student generation factors (see *Appendix D*) were used to forecast the number of students expected from these developments.

III. Current District "Standard of Service"

King County Code 21A.06 refers to a "standard of service" that each school district must establish in order to ascertain its overall capacity. The standard of service identifies the program year, the class size, the number of classrooms, students and programs of special need, and other factors (determined by the district), which would best serve the student population. Relocatables (i.e. portable classroom units) may be included in the capacity calculation using the same standards of service as the permanent facilities.

The standard of service outlined below reflects only those programs and educational opportunities provided to students that directly affect the capacity of the school buildings. The special programs listed below require classroom space; thus, the permanent capacity of some of the buildings housing these programs has been reduced. Newer buildings have been constructed to accommodate some of these programs. When older buildings are modified to accommodate these programs, there may be a reduction in classroom capacity. At both the elementary and secondary levels, the district considers the ability of students to attend neighborhood schools to be a component of the standard of service.

<u>I-728</u>

In November 2000, voters passed I-728. The decision to reduce the teacher-student ratio has a direct impact on the capacity of our elementary schools. With the start of the 2001-2002 school year, kindergarten and first grade changed its staffing to a teacher-student ratio of 18:1. As a result of the Legislature's cutbacks related to the funding of I-728, the district's plan was modified in the 2002-2003 school year such that the teacher-student ratio for grades kindergarten through second grade is 19:1 for this six-year planning period. Ratios remained the same for the 2008-2009 school year and changes may be implemented for the 2009-2010 school year due to State budget cuts.

In the 2007 Session, the Legislature "fully funded" I-728. In order to help balance the State budget, I-728 monies will most likely be taken away from school districts starting in the 2009-2010 school year. The Lake Washington School District is assessing how this loss of revenue will

III. Current District "Standard of Service" (continued)

impact the District's student/teacher standard of service for the 2009-2010 school year and subsequent years.

Standard of Service for Elementary Students

- □ Class size for grades K 1 average 19 students
- □ Class size for grades 2 3 average 24 students
- □ Class size for grades 4 average 25 students
- □ Class size for grade 5-6 average 27 students
- □ Special Education for students with disabilities may be provided in a self-contained classroom
- □ All students will be provided music instruction in a separate classroom
- □ All students will have scheduled time in a special computer lab

Identified students will also be provided other special educational opportunities in classrooms designated as follows:

- □ Resource rooms
- □ English Language Learners (ELL)
- □ Education for disadvantaged students (Title I)
- □ Gifted education (pull-out Quest programs)
- District remediation programs
- □ Learning assisted programs
- □ Severely behavior disordered
- □ Transition room
- □ Mild, moderate and severe disabilities
- Developmental kindergarten
- □ Extended daycare programs and preschool programs

Standard of Service for Secondary Students

- □ Class size for grades 7-9 should not exceed 30 students
- □ Class size for grades 10-12 should not exceed 32 students
- □ Special Education for students with disabilities will be provided in a self-contained classroom

III. Current District "Standard of Service" (continued)

Identified students will also be provided other special educational opportunities in classrooms designated as follows:

- □ English Language Learners (ELL)
- □ Resource rooms (for special remedial assistance)
- □ Computer rooms
- □ Preschool and daycare programs

Room Utilization at Secondary Schools

It is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of regular teaching stations because of scheduling conflicts for student programs, the need for specialized rooms for certain programs, and the need for teachers to have a work space during their planning periods. Based on actual utilization, the district has determined a standard utilization rate of 70% for nonmodernized secondary schools. As secondary schools are modernized, the standard utilization rate is 83%. The anticipated design of the modernized schools and schools to be constructed will incorporate features which will increase the utilization rate for secondary schools.

IV. Inventory and Evaluation of Current Facilities

The district currently has permanent capacity to house 22,916 students and transitional (relocatable) capacity to house 3,219 students (see *Appendix A*). This capacity is based on the district's Standard of Service as set forth in *Section III*. The district's current student enrollment is 23,483 and is expected to increase to 25,167 in 2014 (see *Table 1*).

Calculations of elementary, junior high school, and senior high school capacities are set forth in *Appendix A*. Included in this six-year plan is an inventory of the district's schools arranged by area, name, type, address, and current capacity (see *Table 3*).

The physical condition of the district's facilities was evaluated by the 2006 State Study and Survey of School Facilities completed in accordance with WAC 180-25-025. As schools are modernized, the State Study and Survey of School Facilities report is updated. That report is incorporated herein by reference.

V. Six-Year Planning and Construction Plan

To address existing and future capacity needs, the district's six-year construction plan includes the following capacity projects:

- During the last six years,
 - New growth in the Redmond and the Sammamish areas created the need to construct two elementary schools.
 - One of these new elementary schools (Rosa Parks Elementary School, Site 41), located within the Redmond Ridge development, was occupied in the fall of 2006.
 - The other new elementary school, Rachel Carson Elementary School, was opened on the Sammamish Plateau in the fall of 2008. Because of the growth in enrollment in that area, the school opened with four relocatables on the site.
 - o During the summer of 2007, old Dickinson was decommissioned.
 - In 2007-2008, the District purchased land within the Redmond Ridge East development on the basis that projections for that development necessitate the need for a new elementary site. The District continues to monitor the phased project. The first phase of homes in this development are beginning to be occupied.
- Phase II School Modernization (2006-2014) was funded by the voters in February 2006. The approved bond measure will fund the modernization of 10 schools throughout the district. During the period of this Capital Facilities Plan, the district will begin the planning or complete the modernization for: Frost Elementary, Rush Elementary, Sandburg Elementary, Muir Elementary, Keller Elementary, Bell Elementary, Finn Hill Junior High, Rose Hill Junior, International Community School/Community Elementary and Lake Washington High School. Each elementary school modernization project also includes the addition of new student capacity.
- The District anticipates the need to plan and construct a new elementary school in the Redmond Ridge East development toward the end of the timeframe of this plan. To do so, the District will need the project to be approved by the voters in order to fund the project.
- Relocatable classrooms (as outlined in *Section VI*) will be added to address capacity needs until more permanent capacity can be constructed. Within the six-year planning window of this Capital Facility Plan, projections indicate that other relocatables may also

V. Six-Year Planning and Construction Plan (continued)

be needed in the Sammamish, Redmond, Kirkland and unincorporated King County areas.

Included in this plan is an inventory of the projects listed above. They are arranged by cost, additional capacity, and projected completion date. (See *Table 5 & 6*)

VI. Relocatable and Transitional Classrooms

The district inventory includes 143 relocatables (i.e. portable classroom units) that provide standard capacity and special program space as outlined in *Section III* (see *Appendix A*).

Based on enrollment projections and planned permanent facilities, the district anticipates the need to acquire additional relocatables during the next six-year period.

- Rachel Carson Elementary opened as new construction in the fall of 2008 and included four (4) portables.
- In the summer of 2009, four portable classrooms will be added to Rosa Parks Elementary School in the Redmond Ridge development due to student population growth in that development and homes beginning to be occupied within the Redmond Ridge East development.
- Within the six-year planning window of this Capital Facility Plan, projections indicate that other relocatables may also be needed in the Sammamish, Redmond, Kirkland and unincorporated King County areas.

For a definition of relocatables and permanent facilities, see *Section* 2 of *King County Code* 21A.06. As schools are modernized, permanent capacity will be added to replace portables currently on school sites to the extent that enrollment projections for those schools indicate a demand for long-term permanent capacity (see *Table 5*).

As enrollment fluctuates, relocatables provide flexibility to accommodate immediate needs and interim housing. Because of this, new school and modernized school sites are all planned for the potential of adding up to four portables to accommodate the changes in demographics. In addition, the use and need for relocatables will be balanced against program needs.

VII. Six-Year Classroom Capacities: Availability / Deficit Projection

Based on the six-year plan, there will be sufficient total capacity to house anticipated enrollment (see *Table 5*). As demonstrated in *Appendix A*, the district currently has permanent capacity (classroom and special education) to serve 11,760 students at the elementary level, 5,439 students at the junior high school level, and 5,717 students at the high school level. Current enrollment at each grade level is identified in *Appendix A*. As depicted in *Table 5*, the district currently has insufficient permanent capacity and will continue to have an increasing insufficient permanent capacity through 2014. Differing growth patterns throughout the district may cause some communities to experience overcrowding.

This is especially true in the eastern portions of the district where significant housing development has taken place. Though the economy has slowed, there still is growth in these areas. The continued development of the Sammamish Plateau, Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge East, northwest Redmond, and also the in-fill and short plats in Kirkland will put pressure on schools in those areas. To meet the needs associated with overcrowding or under utilization, the district will utilize a number of solutions. Those solutions include the movement of relocatables, boundary changes, reconfiguration, new construction, modernization, and modifications in the educational program. A boundary change of three of the elementary schools on the Sammamish plateau was accomplished in the 2007-2008 school year in anticipation of the opening of Rachel Carson (Site 52) Elementary School in September 2008. Though Rachel Carson Elementary School helps with capacity issues, the new school opened with four portables. In addition, the City of Sammamish will finish their planning for the new Sammamish Town Center that will add up to 1,800 housing units within the district on the Sammamish plateau.

VIII. Impact Fees and the Finance Plan

The school impact fee formula ensures that new development only pays for the cost of the facilities necessitated by new development. The fee calculations (*Appendix B* and *Appendix C*) examine the costs of housing the students generated by each new single family dwelling unit (or each new multi-family dwelling unit) and then reduce that amount by the anticipated state match and future tax payments. The resulting impact fee is then discounted further. Thus, by applying the student generation factor to the school project costs, the fee formula only calculates the costs of providing capacity to serve each new dwelling unit. The formula does not require new development to contribute the costs of providing capacity to address existing needs.

The finance plan shown on *Table 6* demonstrates how the Lake Washington School District plans to finance improvements for the years 2009 through 2014. The financing components include secured and unsecured funding. The plan is based on approved bond issues (approved in 1990, 1998 and 2006 by election), securing of state funding, collection of impact fees under the State's Growth Management Act, and voluntary mitigation fees paid pursuant to Washington State's Environmental Policy Act.

As discussed in *Section V*, the District is currently in negotiations for the purchase of property to construct a new elementary school within the Redmond Ridge East development. Future updates to this plan will include information regarding this property purchase and the associated school construction costs in the finance plan and school impact fee calculations.

Capital Facilities Plan 2009-2014

IX. Appendices

Appendix A: Calculations of Capacities for Elementary, Junior High, and Senior High Schools

Appendix B: Calculations of Impact Fees for Single Family Residences

Appendix C: Calculations of Impact Fees for Multi-Family Residences

Appendix D: Student Generation Factor Calculations

Appendix E: Calculation Back-Up

Elementary	# Standard	Classroom	SS	SS Room	# Relocatable	Relocatable	Total	2008-2009
Schools	Classrooms *	Capacity (23)		Capacity (12)	Classrooms	Capacity (23)	Capacity *	Enrollment **
Alcott	21	483	0	0	8	184	667	624
Audubon	17	391	0	0	2	46	437	454
Bell	15	345	0	0	3	69	414	383
Blackwell	21	483	0	0	3	69	552	576
Carson	20	460	0	0	4	92	552	510
Community	0	0	0	0	3.5	69	69	67
Dickinson	22	506	1	12	5	115	633	507
Discovery	3	69	0	0	1	23	035	73
Einstein	18	414	0	0	0	0	414	/3 //15
Explorer	1	23	0	0	3	60		
Franklin	19	437	0	0	2	46	32	10
Frost	15	345	2	24		40	403	473
Juanita	17	301	õ	0	0		201	408
Keller	16	368	2	24	4		391	301
Kirk	19	437		12			484	353
Lakeview	16	368	1	24		69	318	203
Mann	18		1 in	24		40	438	432
McAuliffe	21	414			7		414	437
Mend	10	405	- i	12		101	644	503
Muir	15		<u> </u>	12	0	138	587	629
Redmond	10	300		0	0	138	506	404
Rochwell	21	391	- 2	24	2	46	461	423
Roso Parke	21	40.3	0	0	2	45	529	508
Roce Hill	15	403		0	0	<u> </u>	483	516
Rush	15	343	4	24		0	369	373
Sandhura	20	308	<u> </u>	0	4	92	460	398
Smith	20	400	0	0		115	575	500
Thereau	23	329	0	0	8	184	713	569
Thurin	1/	391	0	0	0	0	391	368
Wildor		483	<u> </u>	0	4	92	575	560
Wildet	19	437		12	4	92	541	474
101815	504	11,592	14	168	95	2,185	13,945	12,933
			e e				BANKARA	
Junior High	# Standard	Classroom Capacity	SS	SS Room	# Relocatable	Relocatable Capacity	Total	2008-2009
Schools	Classrooms	(30x70%)		Capacity (12)	Classrooms	(30x70%)	Capacity	Enrollment
Environmental	6	126	0	G	0	Ó	126	141
Evergreen	32	672	1	12	9	189	873	734
Finn Hill	25	525	0	0	2	42	567	449
Inglewood	50	1050	3	36	0	0	1,086	1.086
International ***	12	360	0	0	1	30	390	380
Kamiakin	25	525	2	24	7	147	696	573
Kirkland ****	23	573	1	12	0	0	585	520
Northstar	0	0	0	0	7	147	147	90
Redmond ****	35	872	2	24	0	0	896	817
Renaissance	4	100	0	0	0	0	100	88
Rose Hill	24	504	2	24	6	126	654	465
Stella Schola	0	0	0	0	4	84	84	91
Totals	236	5,307	11	132	36	765	6.204	5,434
			医素肥于				ALC: NO	NEW MALLECT
Senior High	# Standard	Classroom Capacity	SS	SS Room	# Relocatable	Relocatable Canacity	Total	2008-2000
Schools	Classrooms	(32x70%)		Capacity (12)	Classrooms	(37x70%)	Conscitu	Enrollment
BEST	8	179	ō	0	2	45	224	140
Eastlake	66	1 478	4	49	6	رہ	424	100
Juanita	52	1 165	-7	36		170	1,520	1,330
Lake Washington	60	1 744		36	2	119	1,380	1,0//
Redmond ****	57	1 410		17	<u> </u>	42	1,425	1,144
Totale	2/12	5 505	,	120		0	1,451	1,405
A VILLE		COLDE	11	134	21	269	5,986	5,116
TOTAL	NO1	20.404					Contraction of State	
10100	70.7	££,404	20	432	143	3,219	26,135	23,483

Calculations of Capacities for Elementary, Junior High, and Senior High Schools

Key: "Standard Capacity" does not include capacity for special programs as identified in Section III

"Total enrollment" on this chart does not include Family Learning Center and contractural students

"SS" = Special Services self-contained classrooms

* "Standard of Service" in elementary schools excludes some rooms if not built-in (e.g. 20 total rooms = 17 standard + computer + 1 music + 1 R/R)

** October 1, 2008 headcount, 1/2 day kindergarten counted as .5 (only includes enrollment that impacts capacity)

*** Capacity Model = 100% utilization of classrooms due to teacher planning area

**** Capacity Model = 83% utilization of classrooms due to teacher planning area

Estimated School Impact Fee Calculation Based on King County Code 21.A.43

Single Family Residence ("SFR")

School Site Acquisition C	<u>ost:</u>					
	Facility <u>Acreage</u>	Cost/ Acre	Facility <u>Size</u>	Site Cost/ Student	Student Factor	Cost/ <u>SFR</u>
Elementary Junior	10 20	\$0 \$0	483 900	\$0 \$0	0.4570	\$0 \$0
Senior	40	\$0	1500	\$0	0.0930	\$0 \$0
				TOTAL		50
School Construction Cost	<u>:</u>					
		Facility <u>Cost</u>	Facility <u>Size</u>	Bldg. Cost/ Student	Student Factor	Cost/SFR (cst. 90%)
Elementary		\$19,626,611	414	\$47,407	0.4570	\$19,499
Senior (additional capacity))	\$0 \$0	0 0	\$0 \$0	0.1250 0.0930	\$0 \$0
				TOTAL		\$19,499
Temporary Facility Cost:						
		Facility <u>Cost</u>	Facility <u>Size</u>	Bldg. Cost/ Student	Student Factor	Cost/SFR (est. 10%)
Elementary		\$0	0	\$0	0.4570	\$0
Senior		\$0 \$0	0 0	\$0 \$0	0.1250 0.0930	\$0 \$0
				TOTAL		S 0
State Matching Credit Cal	lculation:					
	Area Cost Allowance	Sq. Ft./ <u>Student</u>	Funding Assistance	Credit/ Student	Student <u>Factor</u>	Cost/ <u>SFR</u>
Elementary	168.79	90.0	22.16%	\$3,366	0.4570	\$1,538
Senior	168.79 168.79	117.0 130.0	22.16% 22.16%	\$0 \$0	0.1250 0.0930	\$0 \$0
				TO	TAL	\$1,538

.

ì

Estimated School Impact Fee Calculation Based on King County Code 21.A.43

Single Family Residence ("SFR")

Tax Payment Credit Calculation:

.

۰.

SFR Impact Fee	\$7,040
50% Local Share	\$7,040
Sub-Total	\$14,080
Tax Payment Credit	(\$3,880)
State Match Credit	(\$1,538)
Temporary Facility Cost	\$0
Permanent Facility Cost	\$19,499
Site Acquisition Cost	\$0
Impact Fee Summary for Single Family Residence:	
Present Value of Revenue Stream	\$3,880
Current Bond Interest Rate	4.96%
Years Amortized	10
Annual Tax Payment	\$501.52
Current Capital Levy Rate (2009)/\$1000	\$0.84
Average SFR Assessed Value	\$597,904
Estimated School Impact Fee Calculation Based on King County Code 21.A.43

Multiple Family Residence ("MFR")

School Site Acquisition	Cost:					
	Facility <u>Acreage</u>	Cost/ <u>Acre</u>	Facility <u>Size</u>	Site Cost/ Student	Student Factor	Cost/ <u>MFR</u>
Elementary Junior Senior	10 20 40	\$0 \$0 \$0	483 900 1500	\$0 \$0 \$0	0.1320 0.0490 0.0310	\$0 \$0 \$0
					TOTAL	\$0
School Construction Co	ost:					
		Facility Cost	Facility	Bldg. Cost/ Student	Student <u>Factor</u>	Cost/MFR (est. 90%)
Elementary Junior Senior (additional capaci	ty)	\$19,626,611 \$0 \$0	414 0 0	\$47,407 \$0 \$0	0.1320 0.0490 0.0310	\$5,632 \$0 \$0
					TOTAL	\$5,632
Temporary Facility Cos	st:					
		Facility <u>Cost</u>	Facility <u>Size</u>	Bldg. Cost/ Student	Student Factor	Cost/MFR (est. 10%)
Elementary Junior		\$0 \$0	0	\$0	0.1320	\$ 0
Senior		\$0 \$0	0	\$0 \$0	0.0490	\$0 \$0
					TOTAL	\$0
State Matching Credit (Calculation:					
	Area Cost Allowance	Sq. Ft./ <u>Student</u>	Funding Assistance	Credit/ <u>Student</u>	Student <u>Factor</u>	Cost/ <u>MFR</u>
Elementary Junior	168.79 168.79	90.0 117.0	22.16%	\$3,366 \$0	0.1320	\$444 \$0
Senior	168.79	130.0	22.16%	\$0	0.0490	\$0 \$0
					TOTAL	\$444

Estimated School Impact Fee Calculation Based on King County Code 21.A.43

Multiple Family Residence ("MFR")

Tax Payment Credit Calculation:

MFR Impact Fee	\$1,813
50% Local Share	\$1,813
Sub-Total	\$3,626
Tax Payment Credit	(\$1,562)
State Match Credit	(\$444)
Temporary Facility Cost	\$0
Permanent Facility Cost	\$5,632
Site Acquisition Cost	\$0
Impact Fee Summary for Single Family Residence:	
Present Value of Revenue Stream	\$1,562
Current Bond Interest Rate	4.96%
Years Amortized	10
Annual Tax Payment	\$201.88
Current Capital Levy Rate (2009)/\$1000	\$0.84
Average MIFR Assessed Value	\$240,674

2009 MITIGATION DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY STUDENT GENERATION FACTORS Five Year History

	CITY/	#	#	#		2009 ST	JDENTS			2009 R	ATIO	Γ
SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENTS	COUNTY	PLANNED	COMPL.	occup.	ELEM	JUNIOR	SENIOR	TOTAL	ELEM	JUNIOR	SENIOR	TOTAL
Aaronwood	KC	21	21	21	6	3	4	13	0.286	0.143	0.190	0.619
Arbors at Pine Lake	S	26	26	26	33.	6	4	46	1.269	0.346	0.154	1.769
Asbery Place	S	25	25	25	15	8	0	18	0.600	0.120	0.000	0.720
Bear Creek Meadows	R	13	13	13	2	4	0	e	0.154	0.077	0.000	0.231
Bellasera	S	17	17	17	15	2	5	22	0.882	0.118	0.294	1.294
Castle Pines	S	62	62	62	55	14	13	82	0.887	0.226	0.210	1.323
Central Park North	R	18	7	7	1	0	0	7	0.143	0.000	0.000	0.143
Conover Commons	R	25	25	25	1	0	0	+	0.040	0.000	0.000	0.040
Crosswater	S	27	27	27	31	17	7	55	1.148	0.630	0.259	2.037
Evergreen Lane	R	25	12	7	3	2	0	5	0.429	0.286	0.000	0.714
Fox Hollow	R	18	18	18	3	4	3	10	0.167	0.222	0.167	0.556
Grayson	R	52	52	52	23	4	1	34	0.442	0.077	0.135	0.654
Greystone	R	16	16	16	2	1	0	3	0.125	0.063	0.000	0.188
Hedges	Å Ö	35	33	33	20	4	4	28	0.606	0.121	0.121	0.848
Heritage Bissell	KC	14	14	14	9	0	0	9	0.429	0.000	0.000	0.429
Lynden Lane (Hubbell)	KC	11	11	11	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Illahee	S	88	78	76	31	10	7	48	0.408	0.132	0.092	0.632
Kensington	R	121	121	118	47	6	10	99	0.398	0.076	0.085	0.559
Kingsgate	KN	20	20	20	8	2	2	12	0.400	0,100	0.100	0.600
Kirkwood	KN	17	8	5	3	1	+	5	0.600	0.200	0.200	1.000
Latour	S	10	10	10	6	3	2	14	0.900	0.300	0.200	1.400
Marivaux	S	21	21	21	17	5	7	29	0.810	0.238	0.333	1.381
Meadow Creek	S	27	27	27	13	5	2	20	0.481	0.185	0.074	0.741
Mondavio	R	107	29	26	8	5	2	15	0.308	0.192	0.077	0.577
Monticello	R	115	115	115	38	12	10	60	0.330	0.104	0.087	0.522
Moulinet	S	36	36	36	26	13	9	45	0.722	0.361	0.167	1.250
Muirfield	S	29	29	29	22	10	9	38	0.759	0.345	0.207	1.310
Northstar	R	133	120	114	34	12	16	62	0.298	0.105	0.140	0.544
One Eagle Place	Š	14	13	10	2	0	0	2	0.200	0.000	0.000	0.200
Palmermo (Overlook Ridge)	S	19	17	14	10	2	2	14	0.714	0.143	0.143	1.000
Pheasant Ridge	R	14	14	14	4	3	2	9	0.286	0.214	0.143	0.643
Portico on Finn Hill	KC	20	20	20	2	4	0	9	0.100	0.200	0.000	0.300

Appendix D

June 22, 2009

Lake Washington School District Capital Facilities Plan

2009 MITIGATION DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY STUDENT GENERATION FACTORS Five Year History

	CITY/	#	#	#		2009 ST	JDENTS			2009 F	LATTO	
SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENTS	COUNTY	PLANNED	COMPL.	occup.	ELEM	JUNIOR	SENIOR	TOTAL	ELEM	JUNIOR	SENIOR	TOTAL
Prescott at English Hill	R	69	6	7	0	1	0	1	0.000	0.143	0.000	0.143
Redmond Ridge	KC	987	286	987	482	108	18	671	0.488	0.109	0.082	0.680
Redmond Ridge East	KC	665	605	60	15	3	0	18	0.250	0.050	0.000	0.300
Reserve at Patterson Creek	KC	29	25	22	12	4	2	18	0.545	0.182	0.091	0.818
Ketreat at Crosswater	S	46	46	46	10	3	2	15	0.217	0.065	0.043	0.326
Kosemont at Timberline	s	14	14	12	8	2	1	11	0.667	0.167	0.083	0.917
Kowan's View	R	13	13	13	5	1	1	7	0.385	0.077	0.077	0.538
Sable & Aspen Kidge	R	43	30	17	1	0	1	2	0.059	0.000	0.059	0.118
Sequoia	R	33	33	33	4	1	0	5	0.121	0:030	0.000	0.152
Sterling Woods	S	67	67	67	54	24	15	93	0.806	0.358	0.224	1.388
I he Villages at Redmond Heights I&II	R	27	24	21	9	1	0	10	0.429	0.048	0.000	0.476
I he Woodlands	R	69	69	66	16	3	4	23	0.242	0.045	0.061	0.348
I I imberline Ridge Div I, II, III	S	200	200	200	173	48	40	261	0.865	0.240	0.200	1.305
l oulon	S	38	88	38	28	13	10	51	0.737	0.342	0.263	1.342
I yier's Creek	£	6	53	38	16	4	1	21	0.421	0.105	0.026	0.553
Waterbrook	S	114	114	111	38	10	6	57	0.342	0.090	0.081	0.514
Whistler Ridge	£	62	56	50	17	5	e	25	0.340	0.100	0.060	0.500
Woodbridge Divisions I-IV	R	356	356	356	73	3	2	78	0.205	0.008	0.006	0.219
Wynstone	ц	46	43	34	15	9	4	25	0.441	0.176	0.118	0.735
TOTALS		4,164	3,839	3,207	1,466	400	298	2,164	0.457	0.125	0.093	0.675
												1

Appendix D

ł

June 22, 2009

Lake Washington School District Capital Facilities Plan

2009 MITIGATION DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY STUDENT GENERATION FACTORS Five Year History

	CITY/	# UE	% OCC110/1	Ŧ		10000	DENTO	ſ		1 0000	Citro 1	
MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENTS	COUNTY	UNITS	# COMPL.	occuP.	ELEM	JUNIOR	SENIOR	TOTAL	ELEM	TINTOR	SENIOR	TOTAL
Avalon Bay at Juanita	KC	211	95%	200	Π	6	2	16	0.055	0.015	0000	
Avondale Park Townhouses	R	85	100%	85	32	13	11	95	0.376	0.153	0.120	0.650
Bon Terra Apartments	R	60	95%	60	0	0	2	3			0.033	0.033
Chelsea Apartments at Juanita Village	Y	196	88%	191	0					0000		
Cleveland Street Condos	R	84	84	72	0	0		T	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.014
Cobblestone Court	K	72	72	72	18	6	4	31	0.250	0.125	0.056	0.431
Evans Creek at Woodbridge Apartments	R	205	%16	199	33	5	0	38	0.166	0.025	0.000	0.191
Kirkland Central Condos	X	110	110	85	2	-	+	4	0.024	0.012	0.012	0.047
Redmond Park Townhomes	R	26	26	26	16	11	4	34	0.615	0.423	0.769	1 308
Redmond Ridge Apartments - The Lodge	λ δ	272	98%	267	8	ę	4	44	0.113	0.038	0.015	0.165
Redmond Ridge Condominiums	¥C K	242	242	242	52	21	12	85	0.215	0.087	0.050	0.351
Towne Pointe Condos	R	20	2	20	6	6	4	16	0.450	0 150	0.200	0.800
Villa Juanita Townhomes	KN	32	32	32	10	0	0	0	0.063	0.000	0.000	0.063
TOTALS		1,615		1,551	205	76	48	329	0.132	0.049	0.031	0.212

Appendix D

June 22, 2009

Lake Washington School District Capital Facilities Plan

١

Calculation Back-Up

Elementary school construction cost estimated to be built in 2008.

	Comparable Project	Rosa Parks Flomontary
Cost		y
	2006 Rosa Parks Elementary New Construction	\$18,137,316
Ct	Future Value of Project in 2008 @ 6%	\$19,225,554
Size		
	2006 Project	483 (21 classrooms x 23 students per classroom)
	2009 Project	414 (18 classrooms x 23 students per classroom)
Capacity Adjustment		
	2006 Project	483 x \$37,551/per student space (based on Rosa Parks 2006 total project coste) = \$18,127,216*
	2008 Project	$414 \times 37,551/\text{per student space}$ $(based on Rosa Parks 2006 total)$ $project costs) = $15,546,114$
Adjusted Costs		<u>(13,540,114</u>
	2008 Project – Value Based on 2006 Project Costs	\$15,546,114
	Future Value of Project in 2010 @ 6%	\$19,626,611
	Present Value of Project in 2009	\$19,626,611

*Sum is adjusted to account for variations due to rounding.

Capital Facilities Plan 2009-2014

X. 7	TABLES
Table 1:	Six-Year Enrollment Projections
Table 2:	Enrollment History
Table 3:	06-07 Inventory and Capacities of Existing Schools
Table 4:	Inventory of Undeveloped Land
Table 4a:	Мар
Table 5:	Projected Capacity to House Students
Table 6:	Six-Year Finance Plan

	Six-Yea	r Enroll	ment Pro	jections			
	<u>2008*</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
County Live Births**	22,431	22,874	22,680	24,244	24,899	23,339	21,779
change		443	(194)	1,564	655	(1,560)	(1,560)
Kindergarten ***	1,783	1,845	1,855	2,010	2,097	1,996	1.889
Grade 1 ****	1,903	2,000	2,067	2,076	2,243	2,319	2,204
Grade 2	2,020	1,903	2,000	2,071	2,081	2,229	2.298
Grade 3	1,934	2,034	1,930	2,026	2,097	2,093	2.231
Grade 4	1,901	1,903	2,005	1,916	2,006	2,062	2,052
Grade 5	1,854	1,904	1,910	2,018	1,938	2,008	2.058
Grade 6	1,738	1,890	1,945	1,963	2,073	1,976	2.039
Grade 7	1,805	1,698	1,849	1,907	1,944	2,037	1.918
Grade 8	1,673	1,763	1,671	1,822	1,884	1,913	1,998
Grade 9	1,782	1,651	1,752	1,665	1,815	1,869	1.890
Grade 10	1,739	1,760	1,618	1,713	1,621	1,756	1,805
Grade 11	1,728	1,761	1,782	1,647	1,741	1,649	1,782
Grade 12	1,909	1,759	1,799	1,822	1,693	1,782	1,691
Total Enrollment	23,769	23,871	24,183	24,656	25,233	25,689	25,855
Total Enrollment with 1/2 K at .5	23,134	23,205	23,512	23,908	24,441	24,948	25,167
Yearly Increase		71	307	396	533	507	219
Yearly Increase		0.31%	1.32%	1.68%	2.23%	2.07%	0,88%
Cumulative Increase		71	378	774	1,307	1,814	2,033

* Number of Individual Students (10/1/08 Headcount).

** County Live Births estimated based on OFM projections. 2012 and prior year birth rates are actual births 5 years prior to enrollment year.

*** Kindergarten enrollment is calculated at 7.48% of County Live Births plus anticipated developments.

**** First Grade enrollment is based on District's past history of first grade enrollment to prior year kindergarten enrollment.

Lake Washington School District

Capital Facilities Plan 2009-2014

				_	Enrol	lmen	t Hist	ory *							
	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
County Live Births **	22,386	22,951	22,799	23,049	22,301	22,010	21,817	21,573	21,646	22,212	22,007	22,487	21,778	21,863	22,431
Kindergarten / Live Birth	7.16%	7.51%	7,39%	7.00%	7.61%	7.23%	6.96%	7.48%	7.26%	7.45%	7.54%	7.71%	8.21%	7.76%	7.95% 7.48%
Kindergarten	1,602	1,723	1,684	1,613	1,696	1,592	1,518	1,613	1,572	1,654	1,660	1,734	1,789	1,696	1,783
Grade 1	1,757	1,832	1,919	1,839	1,750	1,820	1,781	1,730	1,804	1,761	1,825	1,846	1,916	1,959	1,903
Grade 2	1,891	1,792	1,842	1,942	1,834	1,738	1,818	1,799	1,744	1,834	1,755	1,881	1,860	1,901	2,020
Grade 3	1,955	1,871	1,828	1,844	1,967	1,834	1,777	1,882	1,818	1,760	1,863	1,792	1,870	1,853	1,934
Grade 4	1,941	1,946	1,902	1,884	1,854	1,959	1,838	1,807	1,871	1,870	1,781	1,868	1,776	1,857	106'1
Grade 5	196'1	1,910	1,944	1,908	1,844	1,856	1,983	1,823	1,807	1,873	1,871	1,775	1,810	1,753	1,854
Grade 6	1,870	1,969	1,953	1,944	1,854	1,854	1,845	1,956	1,833	1,838	1,866	1,872	1,726	1,825	1,738
Grade 7	1,930	1,875	1,942	1,971	1,898	1,828	1,808	1,812	1,919	1,857	1,829	1,828	1,818	1,692	1,805
Grade 8	1,825	1,914	1,871	1,944	1,996	1,884	1,839	1,813	1,813	1,917	1,886	1,807	1,806	1,811	1,673
Grade 9	1,856	1,836	1,897	1,824	1,899	1,964	1,843	1,850	1,803	1,822	1,889	1,860	1,765	1,755	1,782
Grade 10	1,801	1,918	1,904	1,951	1,854	1,928	1,975	1,846	1,841	1,802	1,889	1,887	1,824	1,763	1,739
Grade 11	1,745	1,827	.1,970	1,984	2,017	1,809	1,866	1,890	1,801	1,812	1,700	1,853	1,856	1,811	1,728
Grade 12	1,724	1,779	1,943	2,043	1,962	2,078	1,703	1,855	1,849	1,831	1,900	1,799	1,881	1,890	1,909
Total Enrollment	23,858	24,192	24,599	24,691	24,425	24,144	23,594	23,676	23,475	23,631	23,714	23,802	23,697	23,566	23,769
Yearly Change		334	407	92	(266)	(281)	(550)	82	(201)	156	83	80	(105)	(131)	203
* October 1st Headcount ** Number indicates actual b 5 years prior to enrollmen	virths it year.			4 L · P	Averag Total in Percent Averag	e incres crease age inc yearly	te in the for per rease for increase for the rease for the	he num iod or perio se	ber of s d	tudents	; per ye	ar			-6 -89 0% -0.03%

Table 2

June 22, 2009

	2008-2009 Inventor	ry and Capacities of Exist	ing Schools
*	<u>Juanita Area</u>	Address	Capacity (w/ nortables)
25	Frost Elementary	11801 NE 140th	461
03	Juanita Elementary	9635 NE 132nd	301
04	Keller Elementary	13820 108th NE	484
26	Muir Elementary	14012 132nd NE	506
06	Discovery Community School	12801 84th NE	92
06	Sandburg Elementary	12801 84th NE	575
02	Thoreau Elementary	8224 NE 138th	301
63	Finn Hill Jr. High	8040 NE 132nd	567
60	Environmental & Adventure School	8040 NE 132nd	126
67	Kamiakin Jr. High	14111 132nd NE	696
82	Juanita High School	10601 NE 132nd	1 390
	Kirkland Area		1,00
07	Dell Florenter		
U/ A/	Bell Elementary	11212 NE 112th	414
90	Community School	11133 NE 65th	69
10	Franklin Elementary	12434 NE 60th	483
19	Kirk Elementary	1312 6th Street	518
10	Lakeview Elementary	10400 NE 68th	438
15	Rose Hill Elementary	8044 128th NE	369
18	Rush Elementary	6101 152nd NE	460
14	I wain Elementary	9525 130th NE	575
96	International Community School	11133 NE 65th	390
65	Kirkland Jr. High	430 18th Avenue	585
84	Northstar Jr. High	12033 NE 80th	147
69	Rose Hill Jr. High	13505 NE 75th	654
61	Stella Schola	13505 NE 75th	84
80	Best High School	10903 NE 53rd St	224
84	Lake Washington High	12033 NE 80th	1,425
	Redmond Area		
53	Alcott Elementary	4213 228th NE	667
19	Audubon Elementary	3045 180th NE	437
46	Dickinson Elementary	7040 208th NE	633
24	Einstein Elementary	18025 NE 116th	414
46	Explorer Community School	7040 208th NE	92
22	Mann Elementary	17001 NE 104th	414
23	Redmond Elementary	16800 NE 80th	461
21	Rockwell Elementary	11125 162nd NE	529
41	Rosa Parks Elementary	22845 NE Cedar Park Cre	sent Dr 483
32	Wilder Elementary	22130 NE 133rd	541
74	Evergreen Jr. High	6900 208th NE	873
71	Redmond Jr. High	10055 166th NE	896
85	Redmond High School	17272 NE 104th	1,431
	Sammamish Area		
54	Blackwell Elementary	3725 205th PL NE	570
52	Carson Elementary	1035 20001 FE INE	552
57	McAuliffe Elementary	23823 NE 2254	332
58	Mead Elementary	1725 216th NE	044
56	Smith Elementary	23305 NF 14th	20/ 712
77	Inglewood Jr. High	24120 NF 8th	/1J 1 ng/
78	Renaissance Jr. High	400 228th NF	1,000 100
86	Eastlake High School	400 228TH NF	1 574
			1,540

* Note: See Table 4a for District Map. Locations indicated by numbers stated in this column.

* Note: "Standard capacity" does not include capacity for special programs as identified in Section III

<i>Site</i> # *	Area	Address	Jurisdiction	Status
	Juanita Area None			
27	Kirkland Area Elementary	10638 – 134 th Ave. NE	Redmond	In reserve ***
28 31 33 59 73 75 90 99	Redmond Area Elementary Elementary Elementary Undetermined Undetermined Undetermined Bus Satellite	172 nd NE & NE 122 nd Redmond Ridge East 194 th NE above NE 116 th Main & 228 th NE 4213 – 228 th NE 22000 Novelty Hill Road NE 95 th & 196 th NE	King County King County King County Sammamish King County King County King County	In reserve *** In reserve *** In reserve *** In reserve *** In reserve *** In reserve ***

Inventory of Undeveloped Land

Footnotes

"*" = See Table 4a for a District map. Locations indicated by numbers stated in this column.

"***" = "In reserve" refers to sites owned by the District. While the District does not anticipate construction school facilities on these sites within these six years, they are being held for the District's long term needs.



	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2
Permanent Capacity	22,916						
New Construction*:							
Redmond Ridge East Elementary #31							
Modernization:							
Frost Elementary #25		42					
Lake Washington High School #94				(50)			
Muir Elementary #26				(200)			
Rush Elementary #18				40	42		
Sandburg Elementary #06				42			
Rose Hill Jr. #69							
Bell Elementary #07							
Keller Elementary #04							
ICS/Community #96							
Permanent Capacity Subtotal	22,916	22,958	22,958	22,750	22,792	22,792	
(Permanent + SS)							
Total Entoinient with .5 K**	23,134	23,205	23,512	23,908	24,441	24,948	
Permanent Surplus / (Deficit Capacity)	(218)	(247)	(554)	(1,158)	(1,649)	(2,156)	(
Transitional Capacity [Relocatables]	3,219	3,104	2,989	2 874	2 750	7 644	
Change in number of Classrooms***	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	
Total Surplus / Deficit Capacity	3,219	2,857	2,435	1,716	1,110	488	

**** Note: Number and identification of Phase II modernization projects that will occur during this plan have not been determined Capacity numbers reflect new standard of service resulting from I-728 implementation.

Capital Facilities Plan 2009- 2014

				Six-1	(ear Financ	e Plan					
		2009	2010	2011		2013	2014	Total	Local	Est Secured <u>State</u>	Unsecured Local *
Site 25	Mod - Frost Elementary	25,600,000						25,600,000	23,860,699	1,739,301	
Site 84	Mod - Lake Washington High			99,000,000				000'000'66	93,000,000	6,000,000	
Site 63	Mod - Finn Hill Junior			53,300,000				53,300,000	50,300,000	3,000,000	
Site 26	Mod - Muir Elementary			26,500,000			-	26,500,000	24,700,000	1,800,000	
Site 18	Mod - Rush Elementary				27,850,000			27,850,000	26,050,000	1,800,000	
Site 69	Mod - Rose Hill Junior				65,900,000			65,900,000	61,900,000	4,000,000	
Site 06	Mod- Sandburg Elementary				29,100,000			29,100,000	27,300,000	1,800,000	
Site 96	Mod - ICS/Community					14,550,000		14,550,000	12,750,000	1,800,000	
Site 31	New - Redmond Ridge East El						29,300,000	29,300,000	24,900,000 +*	0	4,400,000
Site 04	Mod - Keller Elementary					30,400,000		30,400,000	28,600,000	1,800,000	
Site 07	Mod - Bell Elementary					31,700,000		31,700,000	29,900,000	1,800,000	
	Portables	500,000	500,000					1,000,000	0		1,000,000
	Totals	\$26,100,000	\$500,000	S178,800,000	S122,850,000	\$76,650,000	\$29,300,000	\$434,200,000	\$403,260,699	10£,653,528	\$5,400,000
	 These are expected to be secured through Im ** Monies for Redmond Pidno Fact Themerican 	pact and Mitigation	Fees. (Calculatio	n of estimated impa	ict fees are shown in a base conved	n Appendix B & C.)					
	Note 1: Dollars are adjusted for expected inflat	tion.									
	Note 2: Phase It school modernization (2006-2	014) financing is ba	tsed on a bond me.	asure approved in F	ichruary 2006.						

Table 6

ł

June 22, 2009

Lake Washington School District

•



2009 - 2010 - 2014 - 2015

Capital Facilities Plan



New Panther Lake Elementary School open in Fall 2009

Kent School District No. 415 provides educational service to Residents of Unincorporated King County and Residents of the Cities of Kent, Covington, Auburn, Renton Black Diamond, Maple Valley, and SeaTac, Washington

April 2009

ATTACHMENT G



Nent School District



2009 - 2010 - 2014 - 2015

Capital Facilities Plan



Kent School District No. 415 provides educational service to Residents of Unincorporated King County and Residents of the Cities of Kent, Covington, Auburn, Renton Black Diamond, Maple Valley, and SeaTac, Washington

April 2009

Kent School District No. 415 12033 SE 256th Street Kent, Washington 98030-6643 (253) 373-7295

SIX - YEAR CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

 $2009 - 2010 \sim 2014 - 2015$



BOARD of DIRECTORS

Jim Berrios Bill Boyce Sandra Collins Chris Davies Debbie Straus

ADMINISTRATION

Barbara Grohe, Ph.D. - Superintendent

Fred H. High – Assistant Superintendent for Business Services Merri Rieger, Ed.D. – Assistant Superintendent, Learning & School Improvement Larry Miner – Assistant Superintendent for Human Resources

John Knutson – Executive Director of Finance Janis Bechtel – Executive Director, School Improvement 7–12 Jeanette Ristau – Executive Director, School Improvement K–6 David Staight – Executive Director, School Improvement K–6 Becky Hanks – Executive Director, Community Connections Charles Lind – Executive Director, Labor Relations & Legal Services Laura Madeo – Executive Director of Instructional Services Thuan Nguyen – Chief Information Officer



SIX - YEAR CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

$2009 - 2010 \sim 2014 - 2015$

April 2009

For information on the Plan, please call the Finance & Planning Department at (253) 373-7295

Capital Facilities Plan

Contributing Staff

Gwenn Escher-Derdowski Forecast & Planning Administrator

Fred Long, Supervisor of Facilities & Construction Karla Wilkerson, Facilities Department Don Walkup, Supervisor of Transportation Department Kathy Fosjord, Transportation Manager Maps by Jana Tucker, Printing Services

Rent School Bouget

Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan Table of Contents

	Section		Page Number
	1	Executive Summary	2
	11	Six-Year Enrollment Projection & History	4
	111	District Standard of Service	9
	IV	Inventory, Capacity & Maps of Existing Schools	12
	V	Six-Year Planning and Construction Plan	15
	VI	Relocatable Classrooms	18
	V 1 1	Projected Classroom Capacity	19
,	VIII	Finance Plan, Cost Basis and Impact Fee Schedules	24
]	X	Summary of Changes to Previous Plan	31
2	X	Appendixes	32

Kent School District Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

I Executive Summary

This Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan (the "Plan") has been prepared by the Kent School District (the "District") as the organization's facilities planning document, in compliance with the requirements of Washington's Growth Management Act, King County Code K.C.C. 21A.43 and Cities of Kent, Covington, Auburn, Renton, Black Diamond, Maple Valley, and SeaTac. This annual plan update was prepared using data available in the spring of 2009 for the 2008-2009 school year.

This Plan is consistent with prior long-term capital facilities plans adopted by the Kent School District. This Plan is not intended to be the sole planning document for all of the District's needs. The District may prepare interim and periodic Long Range Capital Facilities Plans consistent with Board Policies, taking into account a longer or shorter time period, other factors and trends in the use of facilities, and other needs of the District as may be required.

Prior Capital Facilities Plans of the Kent School District have been adopted by Metropolitan King County Council and Cities of Kent, Covington, Auburn and Renton and included in the Capital Facilities Plan element of the Comprehensive Plans of each jurisdiction. The first ordinance implementing impact fees for the unincorporated areas was effective September 15, 1993.

In order for impact fees to be collected in the unincorporated areas of Kent School District, the Metropolitan King County Council must adopt this Plan and a fee-implementing ordinance for the District. For impact fees to be collected in the incorporated portions of the District, the cities of Kent, Covington, Auburn and Renton must also adopt this Plan and their own school impact fee ordinances. This Plan has also been submitted to cities of Black Diamond, Maple Valley, and SeaTac.

This Capital Facilities Plan establishes a standard of service in order to ascertain current and future capacity. While the State Superintendent of Public Instruction establishes square footage guidelines for capacity, those guidelines do not account for local program needs in the District. The Growth Management Act, King County and City codes and ordinances authorize the District to make adjustments to the standard of service based on specific needs for students of the District.

This Plan includes the standard of service as established by Kent School District. Program capacity is based on an average capacity and updated to reflect changes to special programs served in each building. Relocatables in the capacity calculation use the same standard of service as the permanent facilities.

I Executive Summary (continued)

The capacity of each school in the District is calculated based on the District standard of service and the existing inventory, which includes some relocatable classrooms. The District's program capacity of permanent facilities reflects program changes and the reduction of class size to meet the requirements of Student Achievement Initiative 728. Relocatables provide additional transitional capacity until permanent facilities are completed.

Kent School District is the fourth largest district in the state. Enrollment is electronically reported monthly to the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) on Form P-223. Although funding apportionment is based on Annual Average Full Time Equivalent (AAFTE), Enrollment on October 1 is a widely recognized "snapshot in time" that is used to report the District's enrollment for the year.

P-223 Headcount for October 1, 2008 was 26,831. P-223 FTE (Full Time Equivalent) enrollment was 25,827.82. (FTE reports Kindergarten at .5 and excludes Early Childhood Education [ECE] and college-only Running Start students.) The actual number of individual students per the October 2008 full head count was 27,579. (Full Headcount reports all enrolled students at 1.0 including Kindergarten, ECE and college-only Running Start students.)

The District's standard of service, enrollment history and projections, and use of transitional facilities are reviewed in detail in various sections of this Plan. The District plans to continue to satisfy concurrency requirements through the transitional use of relocatables.

A financing plan is included in Section VIII which demonstrates the District's ability to implement this Plan. Pursuant to the requirements of the Growth Management Act, this Plan will be updated annually with changes in the fee schedules adjusted accordingly.

II Six - Year Enrollment Projection

For capital facilities planning, growth projections are based on cohort survival and student yield from documented residential construction projected over the next six years. *(See Table 2)* The student generation factor, as defined on the next page, is the basis for the growth projections from new developments.

King County births and the District's relational percentage average were used to determine the number of kindergartners entering the system. (*See Table 1*) 8.48% of 22,874 King County live births in 2004 is projected for 1,940 students expected in Kindergarten for October 1, 2009. Together with proportional growth from new construction, 8.48% of King County births is equivalent to the number of students projected to enter kindergarten in the district for the next six-year period. (*See Table 2*)

State Funded Full Day Kindergarten ("FDK") programs required a revision to the methodology for projecting Kindergarten at 1.0 FTE for qualifying schools. Schools with half-day Kindergarten programs and the optional Tuition-based FDK are projected at .50 FTE. (See Table 2 A)

Early Childhood Education students (sometimes identified as "Preschool Special Education [SE] or handicapped students") are forecast and reported separately. Capacity is reserved to serve the ECE programs at seven elementary schools.

The first grade population is traditionally 7 - 8% larger than the kindergarten population due to growth and transfers to the District from private kindergartens. Cohort survival method uses historical enrollment data to forecast the number of students projected for the following year.

Near term projections assume some growth from new developments to be offset by current local economic conditions. With notable exceptions, the expectation is that enrollment increases will occur District-wide in the long term. District projections are based on historical growth patterns combined with continuing development of projects in the pipeline dependent on market/growth conditions.

The District will continue to track new development activity to determine impact to schools and monitor conditions to reflect adjustments in this assumption. The six-year enrollment projection anticipates moderate enrollment growth from new development currently in some phase of planning or construction in the district.

Information on new residential developments and the completion of these proposed developments in all jurisdictions may be considered in the District's future analysis of growth projections.

Within practical limits, the District has kept abreast of proposed developments. The Kent School District serves seven permitting jurisdictions: unincorporated King County, the cities of Kent, Covington, and Auburn and smaller portions of the cities of Renton, SeaTac, and Maple Valley. The west Lake Sawyer area of Kent School District is in the city of Black Diamond.

(Continued)

April 2009 Page 4

II Six - Year Enrollment Projection

(Continued)

STUDENT GENERATION FACTOR

"Student Factor" is defined by King County code as "the number derived by a school district to describe how many students of each grade span are expected to be generated by a dwelling unit" based on district records of average actual student generated rates for developments completed within the last five years. Following these guidelines, the student generation rate for Kent School District is as follows:

Single Family	Elementary Middle School Senior High Total	.445 .118 <u>.245</u> .808
Multi-Family	Elementary Middle School Senior High Total	.296 .075 <u>.111</u> .482

The student generation factor is based on a survey of 1,878 single family dwelling units and 1,283 multi-family dwelling units with no adjustment for occupancy rates. Please refer to Appendix E on Page 36 of the Capital Facilities Plan for details of the Student Generation Factor survey.

The actual number of students in those residential developments was determined using the District's Education Logistics (EDULOG) Transportation System.

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 415 OCTOBER P 223 F T E (Full Time Equivalent) ENROLLMENT HISTORY

25,828 2008 8.48% LB in 1999 LB in 2000 LB in 2001 LB in 2002 LB in 2003 22,431 2245 1966 1549 6,610 2060 2143 1920 1916 2044 2117 2573 2081 2081 365 g 568 768 25,745 2007 8.29% 21,863 2474 6,527 2130 2184 2560 1873 2045 2033 2049 2020 1882 2098 1491 -119 906 85 For Full Day Kindergarten at other schools, the second half of the day is funding by grants or tuition and the students are still reported at .5 FTE on the P-223 Enrollment Report which generates state apportionment funding. Enrollment reported to the state on Form P-223 generates basic education funding and excludes Early Childhood Education ("ECE" & "B2" or Birth to 2 Preschool Special Education) and college-only Running Start students. ³ Starting in 2008, Kindergarten students are reported at 1.0 (same as headcount) at 5 schools which qualified for Full Day Kindergarten (FDK) funded through State Apportionment. See Table 2A for FD Kindergarten detail. 2006 8.22% 25,864 2212 21,778 2205 2772 6,646 2003 2026 2015 2254 1881 998 2051 2101 1451 -709 ß 895 ¹ FTE enrollment counts have been rounded to the nearest whole number. Most Kindergarten students are reported at .5 FTE atthough most elementary schools now provide some full day Kindergarten programs. 2005 25,809 8.41% 2173 22,487 2200 2293 1799 1475 2090 6,591 1938 962 2164 2767 981 2024 480 943 33 2004 25,770 8.33% October 2008 P-223 Headcount = 26,831 & Fuil Headcount = 27,579. Fuil Headcount includes Kindergarten, Early Childhood Education & college-only Running Start students at 1.0 Headcount. 1,446 22,007 1,935 2,243 2,705 2,124 1,907 2,020 2,102 2,139 2,221 6,552 1,954 2,057 412 -205 917 ² This number indicates actual births in King County 5 years prior to enroliment year as updated by King Co Health Dept. KSD percentage based on actual Kindergarten enroliment 5 years later LB = Live Births LB in 1995 LB in 1966 LB in 1967 LB in 1968 LB in 1999 LB in 1990 LB in 1991 LB in 1992 LB in 1993 LB in 1994 LB in 1995 LB in 1996 LB in 1997 LB in 1998 2003 25,358 8.05% 22,212 2,209 2,309 2,207 1,787 1,466 6,140 1,965 1,975 2,205 2,072 2,067 2,351 1,851 566 894 4 2002 25,354 21,646 73 1,823 8.06% 1,936 2,055 2,068 2,149 2,380 2,079 2,039 1,475 6,135 2,404 1,922 2,151 873 თ 2001 25,344 8.41% 21,573 1,835 2,067 2,040 2,166 2,109 2,246 2,064 1,440 6,126 1,936 2,253 2,127 2,154 -244 106 907 2000 25,238 8.25% 21,817 2,015 2,033 2,113 1,770 2,098 2,086 2,208 2,208 1,432 6,019 2,069 2,251 2,056 -193 178 006 1999 25,060 22,010 8.56% 1,782 2,015 2,045 2.078 2,111 2,222 2,037 2,119 2,081 2,102 1,537 5,841 1,989 -345 178 942 1998 24,882 8.27% 1,953 1,849 22,355 2,208 2,045 2,095 2,045 2,108 2,063 1,970 1,925 1,632 5,663 -833 2,064 322 925 24,560 8.38% 1997 23,188 1,936 1,797 2,058 2,036 1,977 1,507 2,085 2,194 2,023 1,982 1,931 5,341 2,064 186 768 972 1996 8.44% 23,792 23,002 1,899 1,963 1,851 1,681 979,1 2,025 1,986 1,924 1,927 1,465 4,574 2,152 ,988 -102 469 971 23,323 1,606 1995 . 8.54% 1,959 1,765 1,430 23,104 1,975 2,012 1,925 1,941 1,894 4,104 2,011 1,895 1,924 529 563 987 8.47% 22,794 1994 22,541 1,800 1,529 1,915 1,882 1,690 1,252 1,965 1,942 1,899 1,946 1,368 3,575 1,967 1,937 955 ÷ 22,803 1993 9.07% 2,048 1,939 1,799 1,716 1,537 1,340 1,972 1,915 1,698 3,585 21,289 2,017 1,907 1,951 582 840 965 22,222 1992 9.40% 1,689 1,409 20,449 2,029 1,998 1,950 1,900 1,911 1,885 1,812 1,724 1,663 1,290 3,003 450 606 962 State Funded Full Day Kindergarten 1-2-3 21,312 9.49% 1,400 1991 1,945 1,944 1,866 1,916 1,865 1,628 1,612 1,480 1,255 1,178 1,720 2,094 19,999 1,733 174 949 20,135 1990 8.88% 1,773 1,824 1,793 1,545 1,483 1,468 1,360 1,202 19,825 1,852 1,702 1,629 1,624 880 916 916 851 ncrease / Decrease 9 - Junior High October FTE Enrollment King County Live Births² 9 - Senior High Kindergarten / Birth % ² Kindergarten ^{1 - 2 - 3} Yearly FTE Increase Total Enroliment⁴ Cumulative Increase ç Grade 11 Grade 12 2 ო 4 S ø ω ~ Grade 4

Kent School District Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

Table 1

April 2009 Page 6

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 415 SIX - YEAR FTE ENROLLMENT PROJECTION

State Funded FDK at 14 schools	LB in 2003	LB in 2004	LB in 2005	LB in 2006	LB in 2007	LB est 08	LB est 09
Octobor	2008	2000	2010		0040		
	2000	2009	2010	2011	2012	1 2013	2014
King County Live Births 1	22,431	22,874	22,680	24,244	24,899	25,000	25,100 1
increase / Decrease	568	443	-194	1,564	655	101	100
Kindergarten / Birth % ²	8.48%	8.48%	8.48%	8.48%	8.48%	8.48%	8.48%
2/3 Kindergarten FTE @ .5	768	479	471	537	565	569	573
^{2/3} FD Kindergarten @ 1.0	365	982	982	982	982	982	982
Grade 1	1,920	2,021	2,081	2,054	2,183	2,232	2,230
Grade 2	1,916	1,961	2,083	2,145	2,117	2,249	2,299
Grade 3	2,081	1,971	1,989	2,122	2,184	2,156	2,289
Grade 4	2,060	2,073	2,001	2,029	2,163	2,226	2,198
Grade 5	2,044	2,065	2,085	2,023	2,051	2,185	2,248
Grade 6	2,081	2,091	2,110	2,140	2,077	2,106	2,242
Grade 7	2,117	2,096	2,111	2,130	2,160	2,097	2,126
Grade 8	2,143	2,131	2,129	2,144	2,163	2,193	2,130
Grade 9	2,573	2,497	2,507	2,505	2,522	2,544	2,579
Grade 10	2,245	2,249	2,210	2,219	2,227	2,232	2,251
Grade 11	1,966	1,841	1,889	1,857	1,864	1,871	1,875
Grade 12	1,549	1,661	1,662	1,705	1,676	1,683	1,689
Total FTE Enrollment	25,828 Note: 2/3/4	26,118	26,310	26,592	26,934	27,325	27,711
Yearly Increase	83	290	192	282	342	391	386
Yearly increase/Decrease %	0.32%	1.12%	0.74%	1.07%	1.29%	1.45%	1.41%
Cumulative Increase	83	373	565	847	1,189	1,580	1,966
Full Time Equivalent (FTE)	25,828	26,118	26,310	26,592	26,934	27,325	27,711

¹ Kindergarten enrollment projection is based on KSD percentage of live births in King County five years previous.

² Kindergarten FTE projection is calculated by using the District's previous year percentage of King County births

 ⁵ years earlier compared to actual kindergarten enrollment in the previous year. (Excludes ECE - Early Childhood Education)
 ³ Kindergarten projection is at 1.0 FTE for 14 schools qualifying for Grant & State Apportionment Funded Full Day Kindergarten (FDK) Schools with 1/2 Day Kindergarten and Optional Tuition-based Full Day Kindergarten programs are projected at .5 FTE.

⁴ Oct. 2008 P223 FTE is 25,828 & Headcount is 26,831. Full student count with ECE Preschool & Running Start = 27,579.

PROJECTIONS - Adjustments for current economic factors GROWTH

For facilities planning purposes, this six-year enrollment projection anticipates moderate enrollment growth from new development currently in some phase of planning or construction in the district.

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 415 CAPACITY OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS & KINDERGARTEN PROJECTIONS FOR OCTOBER 2009

	Elem	Schl	Abrv	Code	8	2	<u>8</u>	રુ	Hi (<u>т</u>			5 d	ШН	ц Ч	Т Ш	! >	SW	MR	ШУ	Mt.	Q	Ы	Q	РТ	RW	SW	HS	sc	SB	SR	-	1		HdCnt
us sted	arten	ints	Ш	@ .50	34		37	36	;	30	45	5	5 6	33	8		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1		34	25	ł			16	36	35		23		36		479 × 2	958	982 1940
Proiec	Kinderg	Stude	F T	@ 1.0		58			86		 1				50	02	2	90	06		40	102	82	71	20	1		76	2	56	3		982		
.5 FTE Forecast	for	0.50 Funded	Basic Ed	1/2 Day K Only			37						đ	- c	20		ç	77									35						146		5
.5 FTE	for	0.50 Funded	Uptionai Tuition-Based	1/2 Day (& FDK)	34			36		30	34		32								31					36	00		50	24	50	ar	292		6
.5 FTE	for	0.50 Funded	Kindergarten	1/2 Day																		ŝ				0)							41		2
1.0 FTE	for	1.0 Funded	Title	' kai fdk																		40			ŝ	R							60		
1.0 FTE	for	1.0 Funded	1-728	FDK		58	:		86						:	50			<u> 9</u> 6				102 8	70							56		542		9
1.0 FTE	FOIECERSI	1.0 Funded	State Apportionment	FDK								71					62			83					71				76				380		9
	2008-2000	Program	Canacity		452	402	498	456	464	504	408	450	456	452	504	398	464	524	474	464	524	498	440	396	480	522	504	504	464	408	398	504	13,012		entary Schools ²
			dav		00	8 2	8 8	C N	舌	EP	FW	DE	GR	ಕ	뿟	2	Щ	Ľ	WS	MR	ME	ML	0 N	Ъ	PO	Ы	RW	SW	SH	sc	SB	SR			28 Elem
			ELEMENTARY SCHOOL		Camada Crast Elementary	Contraction Elementary	Could valiey Elementary Covington Flementary	Crestwood Flementary	East Hill Elementary	Emerald Park	Fairwood Elementary	George T. Daniel Elementary	Gienridge Elementary	Grass Lake Elementary	Horizon Elementary	Jenkins Creek Elementary	Kent Elementary	Lake Youngs Elementary	Martin Sortun Elementary	Meadow Ridge Elementary	Meridian Elementary	Millennium Elementary	Neely-O'Brien Elementary	Panther Lake Elementary	Park Orchard Elementary	Pine Tree Elementary	Ridgewood Elementary	Sawyer Woods Elementary	Scenic Hill Elementary	Soos Creek Elementary	Springbrook Elementary	Sunrise Elementary	Elementary TOTAL		

April 2009 Page 8

Table 2 A

Kent School District Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

III Current Kent School District "Standard of Service"

In order to determine the capacity of facilities in a school district, King County Code 21A.06 references a "standard of service" that each school district must establish in order to ascertain its overall capacity. The standard of service identifies the program year, the class size, the number of classrooms, students and programs of special need, and other factors determined by the district which would best serve the student population.

This Plan includes the standard of service as established by Kent School District. The District has identified schools with significant special needs programs as "impact" schools and the standard of service targets a lower class size at those facilities. Relocatables included in the capacity calculation use the same standard of service as the permanent facilities. *(See Appendix A, B & C)*

The standard of service defined herein may continue to change in the future. Kent School District is continuing a long-term strategic planning process combined with review of changes to capacity and standard of service adjustments to meet the requirements of Student Achievement Initiative 728. This process will affect various aspects of the District's "standard of service" and future changes will be reflected in future capital facilities plans. Because the funding for Initiative 728 is incremental, implementation of the Initiative is also incremental and may result in changes to school capacity.

Current Standards of Service for Elementary Students

Class size for Kindergarten is planned for an average of 22 students. Class size for grades 1 - 4 is planned for an average of 23 students. Class size for grades 5 - 6 is planned for an average of 29 students.

Program capacity for general education elementary classrooms is calculated at an average of 24 students per classroom because of fluctuations between primary and intermediate grade levels (i.e. third/fourth or fourth/fifth grade split classes, etc.). Most elementary schools provide full day kindergarten programs (FDK or KAI – Full Day Kindergarten or Kindergarten Academic Intervention) with the second half of the day funded by grants or tuition.

Students have scheduled time in a computer lab. Students may also be provided music instruction and physical education in a separate classroom or facility.

Special Education for students with disabilities may be provided in a selfcontained classroom with a capacity of 10-15 depending on the program.

(continued)

III Current Kent School District "Standard of Service" (continued)

Identified students will also be provided other educational opportunities in classrooms for programs such as those designated as follows:

English Language Learners (E L L) Self-contained Special Education Support Center Programs (SC) Integrated Programs & Resource Rooms (for special remedial assistance) Early Childhood Education (ECE) (3-4 yr. old students with disabilities) School Adjustment (SA) Program for severely behavior-disordered students Speech & Language Therapy & Programs for Hearing Impaired students Adaptive Support Center for Mild, Moderate & Severe Disabilities (ASC-DD) Occupational & Physical Therapy Programs (OT/PT) Developmental Kindergarten in SC Programs Kindergarten Academic Intervention Program (KAI-Full Day Kindergarten) Education for Disadvantaged Students (Title I) – Federal Program Learning Assisted Programs (LAP) – State Program District Remediation Programs Education for Highly Capable Students (formerly "Gifted" Program)

Some of the above special programs require specialized classroom space, as well as music and physical education classrooms, computer labs, etc.; thus, the permanent capacity of some of the buildings housing these programs is reduced. Some students, for example, leave their regular classroom for a short period of time to receive instruction in these special programs and "pull-out" space must be allocated to serve these programs. Some newer buildings have been constructed to accommodate most of these programs; some older buildings have been modified, and in some circumstances, these modifications reduce the classroom capacity of the buildings. When programs change, program capacity is updated to reflect the change in program and capacity.

Current Standards of Service for Secondary Students

The standards of service outlined below reflect only those programs and educational opportunities provided to secondary students which directly affect the capacity of the school buildings. Reductions have been made in 7th and 10th grade English classes for Initiative 728. These standards are subject to change pending annual updates based on staff and public review for changes funded by Student Achievement Initiative 728.

Class size for grades 7 - 8 is planned for an average of 29 students. Class size for 7th & 10th grade English class is planned for an average of 25 students.

Class size for grades 9 - 12 is planned for an average of 31 students.

Special Education for students with disabilities may be provided in a selfcontained classroom with a capacity of 10-15 depending on the program. (cont'd.) III Current Kent School District "Standard of Service" (con

(continued)

Identified secondary students will also be provided other educational opportunities in classrooms for programs designated as follows:

Computer, Multi-media & Technology Labs & Programs – (Nova Net - Advanced Academics) Technology Academy programs at Kent-Meridian High School & Mill Creek Middle School Science Programs & Labs – Biology, Chemistry, Physics, Oceanography, Astronomy,

Meteorology, Marine Biology, General Science, etc.

English Language Learners (ELL)

Integrated Programs & Resource Rooms (for special remedial assistance) Basic Skills Programs

Transition Outreach Program (TOP) for 18-21 year old Special Education students Child Development Preschool and Daycare Programs

Music Programs - Band, Orchestra, Chorus, Jazz Band, etc.

Art Programs - Painting, Design, Drawing, Ceramics, Pottery, Photography, etc.

Theater Arts - Drama, Stage Tech, etc.

Journalism and Yearbook Classes

Highly Capable (Honors or Gifted) and Advanced Placement Programs International Baccalaureate ("I B") Program

Kent Phoenix Academy – Performance Learning Center, Gateway, Virtual High School & Kent Success program with evening classes designed for credit retrieval

Traffic Safety Education

JROTC - Junior Reserve Officers Training Corps

Variety of Career & Technical Education Programs (CTE-Vocational Education) Family & Consumer Science – Culinary Arts, Sewing, Careers w/Children/Educ., etc.

Health & Human Services – Sports Medicine, Sign Language, Cosmetology, etc. Business Education – Word Processing, Accounting, Business Law & Math, DECA,

FBLA (Future Business Leaders), Sales & Marketing, Economics, Web Design Technical & Industry – Woodworking, Cabinet Making, Building Trades, Metals, Automotive & Manufacturing Technology, Welding, Machine Shop, Drafting, Drawing, CAD (Computer-aided Design), Electronics, Engineering & Design, Aviation, etc. Graphic & Commercial Arts, Media, Photography, Theater & Stage, Ag & Horticulture

Many of these programs and others require specialized classroom space which can reduce the permanent capacity of the school buildings. In addition, alternative home school assistance, choice and transition programs are provided for students in grades 3 - 12 at Kent Mountain View Academy.

Space or Classroom Utilization

As a result of scheduling conflicts for student programs, the need for specialized rooms for certain programs, and the need for teachers to have a work space during their planning periods, it is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of regular teaching stations at secondary schools. Based on the analysis of actual utilization of classrooms, the District has determined that the standard utilization rate is 85% for secondary schools. Program capacity at elementary schools reflects 100% utilization at the elementary level with adjustments for pull-out programs served in relocatables. In the future, the District will continue close analysis of actual utilization.

IV Inventory and Capacity of Existing Schools

Currently, the District has permanent program capacity to house 27,321 students and transitional (relocatable) capacity to house 1,552. This capacity is based on the District's Standard of Service as set forth in Section III. Included in this Plan is an inventory of the District's schools by type, address and current capacity. (See Table 3 on Page 13)

The ratio between permanent capacity and transitional capacity has changed from 96 - 4% to 97 - 3% since the previous Plan.

The program capacity is periodically updated for changes in programs, additional classrooms and new schools. Program capacity has been updated in this Plan to reflect program changes and new capacity for building additions at the high schools.

Program capacity also reflects adjustments for the Student Achievement Initiative 728 reduction in class size. The class size reduction received voter approval in the Educational Programs and Operations Levy as well as through funding for Student Achievement Initiative 728. The District will conduct annual public review and update class size recommendations in accordance with the requirements and incremental funding of Student Achievement Initiative 728.

Kent Mountain View Academy (formerly Kent Learning Center and Grandview Elementary) serves Grades 3 - 12 with transition, choice and home school assistance programs. It is located in the former Grandview School in the western part of the District in the city of SeaTac. This school was originally designed as an elementary school and is included in the elementary capacity for this Plan.

Kent Phoenix Academy is a non-traditional high school which opened in Fall 2007 in the renovated site and building that formerly served Sequoia Middle School. KPA has four special programs including the Performance Learning Center, Gateway, Virtual High School and Kent Success. Kent Success replaced the former Night Academy at Kent-Meridian High School and provides afternoon and evening classes for credit retrieval.

Calculation of Elementary, Middle School and Senior High School capacities are set forth in Appendices A, B and C. A map of existing schools is included on Page 14.

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 415 INVENTORY and CAPACITY of EXISTING SCHOOLS

	Vear	1		2008-2009
SCHOOL	Opened	ABR	ADDRESS	Program
				Capacity ¹
Carriage Crest Elementary	1990	сс	18235 - 140th Avenue SE, Renton 98058	452
Cedar Valley Elementary	1971	CV	26500 Timberlane Way SE, Covington 98042	402
Covington Elementary	1961	co	17070 SE Wax Road, Covington 98042	498
Crestwood Elementary	1980	CW	25225 - 180th Avenue SE, Covington 98042	456
East Hill Elementary	1953	EH	9825 S 240th Street, Kent 98031	464
Emerald Park	1999	EP	11800 SE 216th Street, Kent 98031	504
Fairwood Elementary	1969	FW	16600 - 148th Avenue SE, Renton 98058	408
George T. Daniel Elementary	1992	DE	11310 SE 248th Street, Kent 98030	450
Glenridge Elementary	1996	GR	19405 - 120th Avenue SE, Renton 98058	456
Grass Lake Elementary	1971	GL	28700 - 191st Place SE, Kent 98042	452
Horizon Elementary	1990	HE	27641 - 144th Avenue SE, Kent 98042	504
Jenkins Creek Elementary	1987	JC	26915 - 186th Avenue SE, Covington 98042	398
Kent Elementary	1999	KE	24700 - 64th Avenue South, Kent 98032	464
Lake Youngs Elementary	1965	LY	19660 - 142nd Avenue SE, Kent 98042	524
Martin Sortun Elementary	1987	MS	12711 SE 248th Street, Kent 98030	474
Meadow Ridge Elementary	1994	MR	27710 - 108th Avenue SE, Kent 98030	464
Meridian Elementary	1939	ME	25621 - 140th Avenue SE, Kent 98042	524
Millennium Elementary	2000	ML	11919 SE 270th Street, Kent 98030	498
Neely-O'Brien Elementary	1990	NO	6300 South 236th Street, Kent 98032	440
Panther Lake Elementary	1938	PL	20831 - 108th Avenue SE, Kent 98031	396
Park Orchard Elementary	1963	PO	11010 SE 232nd Street, Kent 98031	480
Pine Tree Elementary	1 9 67	PT	27825 - 118th Avenue SE, Kent 98030	522
Ridgewood Elementary	1987	RW	18030 - 162nd Place SE, Renton 98058	504
Sawyer Woods Elementary	1994	sw	31135 - 228th Ave SE, Black Diamond 98010	504
Scenic Hill Elementary	1960	SH	26025 Woodland Way South, Kent 98030	464
Soos Creek Elementary	1971	SC	12651 SE 218th Place, Kent 98031	408
Springbrook Elementary	1969	SB	20035 - 100th Avenue SE, Kent 98031	398
Sunrise Elementary	1992	SR	22300 - 132nd Avenue SE, Kent 98042	504
Elementary TOTAL				13,012
Cedar Heights Middle School	1993	СН	19640 SE 272 Street, Covington 98042	923
Mattson Middle School	1981	MA	16400 SE 251st Street, Covington 98042	804
Meeker Middle School	1970	MK	12600 SE 192nd Street, Renton 98058	890
Meridian Middle School	1958	MM	23480 - 120th Avenue SE, Kent 98031	790
Mill Creek MS (former Kent Jr Hi) 2	2005 / 1952	MC	620 North Central Avenue, Kent 98032	828
Northwood Middle School	1996	NW	17007 SE 184th Street, Renton 98058	972
Middle School TOTAL				5,207
Kent-Meridian Senior High School	1951	км	10020 SE 256th Street, Kent 98030	1.772
Kentlake Senior High School	1997	KL	21401 SE 300th Street, Kent 98042	2,157
Kentridge Senior High School	1968	KR	12430 SE 208th Street, Kent 98031	2 270
Kentwood Senior High School	1981	ĸw	25800 - 164th Avenue SE, Covington 98042	2,137
Senior High TOTAL				8,336
Kent Mountain View Academy 3	1965	MV/LC	22420 Military Road, Des Moines 98198	416
Kent Phoenix Academy 4	1966	PH	11000 SE 264th Street, Kent 98030	350
DISTRICT TOTAL			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	27 224
				21,327

¹ Changes to capacity reflect program changes and new building additions at high schools.

² Mill Creek Middle School and Technology Academy replaced renovated Kent Junior High in 2005.

³ Kent Mountain View Academy serves grades 3-12. The school was formerly known as Kent Learning Center & Grandview Elementary.
 ⁴ Kent Phoenix Academy is a non-traditional high school which opened in Fall 2007 in the former Sequoia MS building.

April 2009 Page 13



V Six-Year Planning and Construction Plan

At the time of preparation of this Plan in spring of 2009, the following projects are completed or in the planning phase in Kent School District:

- Construction is in progress for additional classroom capacity at Kent-Meridian High School and has recently been completed at Kentlake High School.
- Sequoia Middle School was renovated and reconfigured to provide capacity for approximately 350 high school students at the non-traditional high school, Kent Phoenix Academy in 2007-08.
- Kent Junior High was renovated and renamed Mill Creek Middle School. Mill Creek MS also serves the Kent Technology Academy program for students in Grades 7 8. The construction of Phase I I of the renovation of Mill Creek MS is in progress.
- In February 2006, voters approved construction funding for replacement of Panther Lake Elementary School and a future Elementary School to accommodate new growth. A new site was acquired and construction is in progress for replacement of Panther Lake Elementary. The new Panther Lake will open to replace the current school in Fall 2009.
- Planning is in progress for a replacement school for Covington Elementary School.
- Enrollment projections reflect future need for additional capacity at the elementary school level. Future facility and site needs are reflected in this Plan.
- Some funding is secured for purchase of additional portables and some funding may be provided by impact fees as needed. Sites are based on need for additional capacity.

As a critical component of capital facilities planning, county and city planners and decision-makers are encouraged to consider safe walking conditions for all students when reviewing applications and design plans for new roads and developments. This should include sidewalks for pedestrian safety as well as bus pull-outs and turn-arounds for school buses.

Included in this Plan is an inventory of potential projects and sites identified by the District which are potentially acceptable site alternatives in the future. (See Table 4 on Page 16 & Site map on Page 17)

Voter approved bond issues have included funding for the purchase of sites for some of these and future schools, and the sites acquired to date are included in this Plan. Some funding is secured for purchase of additional sites but some may be funded with impact fees as needed. Not all undeveloped properties meet current school construction requirements and some property may be traded or sold to meet future facility needs.

2006 voter approval of \$106M bond issue for capital improvement included the construction funding for a new elementary school, replacement of Panther Lake Elementary, and classroom additions to high schools. Some impact fees will also be applied to those projects. Student Achievement Initiative 728 funds are being utilized to reduce class size and provide extended learning opportunities. Based on community input at public hearings, the Board will continue annual review of standard of service and those decisions will be reflected in the each update of the Capital Facilities Plan.

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 415 Site Acquisitions and Projects Planned to Provide Additional Capacity

					Projected	Projected	% for
	SCHOOL / FACILITY / SITE	LOCATION	Туре	Status	Completion	Program	new
					Date	Capacity	Growth
	·	7				Approximate	Approximate
# c.i Map	ELEMENTARY	(Numbers assigned to future school	's may not corre	elate with r	number of exi	isting school	s.)
13	Replacement for Panther Lake Elementary (F)	SE 216th Street & 102nd Ave SE	Replacement	Construction	Fall 2009	500	21%
	PL Elementary Site (F)	SE 216th Street & 102nd Ave SE	Site		2009		21%
	Panther Lake Elementary - Replaced in 2009	SE 208 Street & 108th Ave SE	Replacement	Planning	2009	-396	
5	Replacement for Covington Elementary (U)	SE 256th Street & 154th Ave SE	Replacement	Planning	2011	600	20%
	Covington Elem - Replacement planned for 2011	17070 SE Wax Road, Covington	Replacement	Planning	2011	-498	
	Elementary # 31 (Actual #29) (F)	To be determined ²	New	Planning	2012-13	600	100%
	Site for Elementary # 31 (Unfunded) ¹	To be determined ²	Site	Planning	2012-13		100%
	MIDDLE SCHOOL]					
	Mill Creek Middle School Phase II of Renovation	620 N. Central Avenue, Kent	Renovation	Construction in progress	2009	828	N/A
	Sequoia Middle School	Renovation & Reconfiguration for Kent Phoenix Academy	Renovation	Planning	2007	- 771	N/A
	SENIOR HIGH]					
	New Non-traditional High School Kent Phoenix Academy (Funded)	, Former Sequoia Middle School 11000 SE 264th Street, Kent	Renovation	Utilized	2007-08	350	100%
	Classroom additions at Kentlake High School (F)	21401 SE 300th Street, Kent	Additions	Utilized	2008	171	100%
	Classroom additions at Kent-Meridian HS (F)	10020 SE 256th Street, Kent	Additions	In progress	2009	79	100%
	TEMPORARY FACILITIES					Additional Capacity]
	Relocatables	For placement as needed	New	Planning	2008 +	24 - 31 each	100%
	SUPPORT FACILITIES						
	Bus Facility (Unfunded) ¹	Near Kent-Meridian High School	New	Planning	TBD ²	N/A	
# on Map	³ OTHER SITES ACQUIRED				Туре	Land Jurisdio	Use ction
4	Covington area North (Near Mattson MS)	SE 251 & 164 SE, Covington 9	8042		Elementary	City of Co	vington
7	Covington area South (Scarsella)	SE 290 & 156 SE, Kent 98042			Elementary	King Co	ounty
5	Covington area West (Halleson-Wikstrom)	SE 256 & 154 SE, Covington 98	8042		Elementary	City of Co	vington
3	Ham Lake area (Pollard)	16820 SE 240, Kent 98042			Elementary	King Co	ounty
8	SE of Lake Morton area (West property)	SE 332 & 204 SE, Kent 98042			Secondary	King Co	ounty
2	Shady Lk area (Sowers, Blaine, Drahota, Paroline)	17426 SE 192 Street, Renton 9	8058		Elementary	King Co	ounty
1	So. King Co. Activity Center (Nike site)	SE 167 & 170 SE, Renton 9805	i8		TBD ²	King Co	unty
12	South Central site (Plemmons-Yeh-Wms)	SE 286th Street & 124th Ave. Si	E, Kent		TBD ²	King Co	ounty
	Notes: ¹ Unfunded facility needs will be reviewed in th ² TBD - To be determined - Some sites are ac ³ Numbers correspond to sites on Site Bank N	ne future. quired but placement, timing and/or o lap on Page 17. Other site locations a	configuration ha	ave not be atified in Ta	en determine able 7 on Pag	d. e 27.	



VI Relocatable Classrooms

For the purpose of clarification, the term "portables" and the more descriptively accurate term, "relocatables" are used interchangeably in this Plan. The Plan also references use of portables or relocatables as interim or transitional capacity/facilities.

Currently, the District utilizes 128 relocatables to house students in excess of permanent capacity, for program purposes at school locations, and several for storage or other purposes. (See Appendices A B C D)

Based on enrollment projections, implementation of additional full day kindergarten programs, program capacity and the need for additional permanent capacity, the District anticipates the need to purchase some additional relocatables during the next six-year period. The continually escalating cost of moving relocatables will increasingly limit the choice between building new relocatables on site and relocating older ones.

During the time period covered by this Plan, the District does not anticipate that all of the District's relocatables will be replaced by permanent facilities. During the useful life of some of the relocatables, the school-age population may decline in some communities and increase in others, and these relocatables provide the flexibility to accommodate the immediate needs of the community.

Portables, or relocatables, may be used as interim or transitional facilities:

- 1. To prevent overbuilding or overcrowding of permanent school facilities.
- 2. To cover the gap between the time of demand for increased capacity and completion of permanent school facilities to meet that demand.
- 3. To meet unique program requirements.

Relocatables currently in use are continually evaluated resulting in some being improved and some replaced. Quality concerns will be among those addressed by the next Community Facilities Planning Committee for review of capital facilities needs for the next bond issue.

The Plan projects that the District will use relocatables to accommodate interim housing needs for the next six years and beyond. The use of relocatables, their impacts on permanent facilities, life cycle and operational costs, and the interrelationship between relocatables, emerging technologies and educational restructuring will continue to be examined.
VII Projected Six-Year Classroom Capacity

As stated in Section I V, the program capacity study is periodically updated for changes in special programs and reflects class size fluctuations in Grades K - 4. As shown in the Inventory and Capacity chart in Table 3 on Page 13, the program capacity is also reflected in the capacity and enrollment comparison charts. (See Tables 5 & 5 A-B-C on pages 20 - 23)

Enrollment is electronically reported to OSPI on Form P-223 on a monthly basis and funding apportionment is based on Annual Average FTE (AAFTE). The first school day of October is widely recognized as the enrollment "snapshot in time" to report enrollment for the year.

Full Time Equivalent (FTE) student enrollment for October 2008 was 25,827.82. Kindergarten students are reported at .5 although many schools provide full day kindergarten ("FDK") with alternative funding for the second half of the day. State-funded Full Day Kindergarten programs will report and project some Kindergarten students at 1.00 FTE at qualifying FDK schools. P-223 FTE excludes Early Childhood Education (preschool) students and College-only Running Start students. (See Tables 5 & 5 A-B-C on pages 20 - 23)

In October there were 679 students in 11th and 12th grade participating in the Running Start program at 10-20 different colleges and receiving credits toward both high school and college graduation. 334 of these students attended classes only at the college ("college-only") and are excluded from FTE and headcount for capacity and enrollment comparisons.

Kent School District continues to be the fourth largest district in the state of Washington. P-223 Headcount for October 2008 was 26,831 with kindergarten students counted at 1.0 and excluding ECE and college-only Running Start students. A full headcount of all students enrolled in October 2008 totals 27,579 which includes ECE and college-only Running Start students.

Based on the enrollment forecasts, current inventory and capacity, current standard of service, relocatable capacity, and future planned additional classroom space, the District anticipates having sufficient capacity to house students over the next six years. (See Table 5 and Tables 5 A-B-C on Pages 20 - 23)

This does not mean that some schools will not experience overcrowding. There may be significant need for additional portables and/or new schools to accommodate growth within the District and class size reduction mandated under Student Achievement Initiative 728. Some schools, by design, may be opened with relocatables on site. Boundary changes, limited and costly movement of relocatables, zoning changes, market conditions, and educational restructuring will all play a major role in addressing overcrowding and underutilization of facilities in different parts of the District.

TOTAL DISTRICT

	CONTRACTOR OF STREET	An and the second second	Arts the base of the state	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2		NAME OF BRIDE STREET
SCHOOL YEAR	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015
	Actual	Р	R O	JE	E C	Τ Ε	D
	1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -	an a		et in standig at the second	1999 - 1999 - 19 8 2 - 1999 - 1998 - 1999 -	in de la grade de la com	ator o na de graden.
Permanent Program Capacity ¹	27,150	27,321	27,504	27,504	27,606	28,206	28,206
Changes to Permanent Capacity ¹							
Classroom additions at Kentlake HS (F)	171						
Classroom additions at Kent-Meridian HS (F)		79					
Mill Creek MS Renovation - No new Capacity ²							
Replacement schools with projected increase in	capacity						
Panther Lake Elementary ³ (Funded)		500					
To Replace current school capacity		-396					
Covington Elementary ³ (Unfunded)				600			
To Replace current school capacity				-498			
New Elementary # 31 (Funded)					600		
Permanent Program Capacity Subtotal	27,321	27,504	27,504	27,606	28,206	28,206	28,206
Interim Relocatable Capacity							
Elementary Relocatable Capacity Required	0	120	288	408	96	480	840
Middle School Relocatable Capacity Required 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Senior High Relocatable Capacity Required	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Relocatable Capacity Required 186	0	120	288	408	96	480	840
TOTAL CAPACITY 1	27,321	27,624	27,792	28,014	28,302	28,686	29,046
TOTAL FTE ENROLLMENT/ PROJECTION ⁵	25,828	26,118	26,310	26,592	26,934	27,325	27.711
				}			
DISTRICT AVAILABLE CAPACITY	1,493	1,506	1,482	1,422	1,368	1.361	1 335

¹ Capacity is based on standard of service for programs provided and updated periodically to reflect program changes.

² Phase II of renovation continues for Mill Creek Middle School & Kent Technology Academy. (No new capacity added.)

³ Replacement schools for Panther Lake & Covington Elementary will be built on new sites with increased capacity.

⁴ In Fall 2004, 9th grade moved to the high schools which increased capacity available at Middle School 7 - 8 grade levels.

⁵ FTE = Full Time Equivalent Enrollment/Projections (i.e. 1/2 day Kindergarten student = .5 & Full Day Kindergarten student = 1.0 FTE).

⁶ 2008-2009 total classroom relocatable capacity is 1,552.

SENIOR HIGH - Grades 9 - 12

SCHOOL YEAR	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015
	Actual	P	R O	J E	<u> </u>	T E	D
Senior High Permanent Capacity ¹	8,515	8,686	8,765	8,765	8,765	8,765	8,765
Includes Kent Phoenix Academy ²							
Changes to High School Capacity							
Classroom additions at Kentlake HS (F)	171						
Classroom additions at Kent-Meridian HS and KM Technology Academy ³ (F)		79					
Subtotal	8,686	8,765	8,765	8,765	8,765	8,765	8,765
Relocatable Capacity Required ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CAPACITY ¹	8,686	8,765	8,765	8,765	8,765	8,765	8,765
FTE ENROLLMENT / PROJECTION 4	8,333	8,248	8,268	8,286	8,289	8,330	8,394
SURPLUS (DEFICIT) CAPACITY	353	517	497	479	476	435	371
Number of Relocatables Required	0	0	O	0	0	0	0

No Classroom Relocatables required at this time. Some Relocatables used for classroom and program purposes.

¹ Capacity is based on standard of service for programs provided and updated periodically to reflect program changes.

- ² Sequoia Middle School was renovated and reconfigured as a new non-traditional high school, and re-opened in Fall 2007 as Kent Phoenix Academy serving grades 9 - 12 with four special programs.
- ³ KM added a new high school Technology Academy program in 2007 serving students in grades 9 10 in 2008-09.
- ⁴ FTE = Approximate Full Time Equivalent Enrollment or projections, excluding College-only Running Start students.

MIDDLE SCHOOL - Grades 7 - 8

SCHOOL YEAR	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015
	Actual	Р	R O	JE	E C	T E	D
						<u> </u>	
Middle School Permanent Capacity ¹	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207
Changes to Middle School Capacity]						
 ⁴ Mill Creek MS & Kent Technology Academy are open during Phase 2 of Renovation (No new capacity added in renovation) 							
Subtotal	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207
Relocatable Capacity Required ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CAPACITY ^{1&3}	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207	5,207
FTE ENROLLMENT / PROJECTION ²	4,260	4,227	4,240	4,274	4,323	4,290	4,256
SURPLUS (DEFICIT) CAPACITY ⁵	947	980	967	933		917	951

No Classroom Relocatables required at middle schools at this time. Some Relocatables used for classroom and program purposes.

¹ Capacity is based on standard of service for programs provided and updated periodically to reflect program changes.

² FTE = Approximate Full Time Equivalent Enrollment or Projections

- ³ Grade level reconfiguration All 9th grade students moved to the high schools in Fall 2004.
- ⁴ Mill Creek Middle School & Technology Academy Kent Junior High was renovated and re-opened in Fall 2005 with a Technology Academy serving 7th & 8th grade students from all service areas. Phase I I of Mill Creek renovation continues in 2008-09 and the school is open during construction.
- ⁵ Middle School capacity meets concurrency requirements and no impact fees are collected for middle schools.

ELEMENTARY - Grades K - 6

SCHOOL YEAR	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015
	Actual	PI	र 0	J E	E C	ΤE	D
					<u></u>	<u></u>	1996 A. 1997 A. 1997 A. 1997
Elementary Permanent Capacity ¹	13,012	13,428	13,532	13,532	13,634	14,234	14,234
Kent Mountain View Academy ²	416						
Changes to Elementary Capacity							
Replacement schools with projected increas	e in capacity						
Panther Lake Elementers		500					
	led)	500					
Replaces current school capacity		-396					
Covington Elementary 4 (Unfunder	d)			600			
Replaces current Covington Flem, ca	nacity			-498			
	puolity			400			
New Elementary # 31 (Funded) 5					600		
Subtotal	13,428	13,532	13,532	13,634	14,234	14,234	14,234
Relocatable Capacity Required ¹	0	120	288	408	96	480	840
TOTAL CAPACITY 2	13,428	13,652	13,820	14,042	14,330	14,714	15,074
FTE ENROLLMENT / PROJECTION ³	13,235	13,643	13,802	14,032	14,322	14,705	15,061
SURPLUS (DEFICIT) CAPACITY	193	9	18	10	8	9	13
Number of Relocatables Required	Ð	5	13	17	4	20	35

35 Classroom Relocatables required in 2014-15. Some additional Relocatables used for program purposes.

¹ Capacity is based on standard of service for programs provided and is updated periodically to reflect program changes.

- ² Kent Mountain View Academy is a special program at the former Grandview School serving students in Grades 3 12. The school building (formerly Kent Learning Center & Grandview Elem.) was designed as an elementary school.
- ³ FTE = Approximate Full Time Equivalent Enrollment or Projections (Kindergarten @ .5 or 1.0 & excluding ECE) Kindergarten projection is at .5 FTE for Half Day Kindergarten programs or optional Tuition-based Full Day Kindergarten. Kindergarten projection is at 1.0 FTE for Full Day Kindergarten programs funded by state apportionment or grants.
- ⁴ Replacement schools for Panther Lake & Covington Elementary will be built on new sites with increased capacity.
- ⁵ Site selection and construction timing for Elementary #31 is pending review of location and capacity needs.

VIII Finance Plan

The finance plan shown on *Table 6* demonstrates how the Kent School District plans to finance improvements for the years 2009 - 2010 through 2014 - 2015. The financing components include secured and unsecured funding and impact fees. The plan is based on voter approval of future bond issues, collection of impact fees under the State Growth Management Act and voluntary mitigation fees paid pursuant to State Environmental Policy Act.

Voters approved a \$69.5 million bond issue for Capital Construction and Improvements in February 2002. The bond issue partially funded building additions at three high schools which coincided with moving 9th grade students from junior high to senior high schools in September 2004. The District received some District Equalization funds (formerly known as "state matching funds") and has utilized impact fees for the senior high additions.

In February 2006, voters approved a \$106 million bond issue for replacement of Panther Lake Elementary School with increased capacity, as well as construction of a new elementary school to accommodate growth. The new Panther Lake Elementary School is under construction and will be open in Fall 2009.

The bond issue also funded Phase II of the renovation for Mill Creek Middle School and renovation of Sequoia Middle School for reconfiguration as a new non-traditional high school. Kent Phoenix Academy opened at the former Sequoia Middle School site in September 2007.

2006 construction funding approval also provided for some additional classrooms to be constructed at Kentlake and Kent-Meridian High Schools. Some impact fees will be utilized for the new construction that will increase capacity.

Enrollment projections reflect future need for additional capacity at the elementary level and unfunded facility needs will be reviewed in the future.

Some funding is secured for additional portables and some will be funded from impact fees.

For the Six-Year Finance Plan, costs of future schools are based on estimates from Kent School District Facilities Department. Please see pages 26-27 for a summary of the cost basis.

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 415 SIX-YEAR FINANCE PLAN

					The second s						
									Secured	Unsecured	Impact
	F					0.400	2014	TOTAL	Local & State	State ² or Local ³	Fees ⁵
SCHOOL FACILITIES	-	2009	2010	Z011 I	1 2102	2012	1			Estimated	Estimated
PERMANENT FACILITIES											
Addition to Kentlake HS ¹⁻²	LL.	\$5,700,000						\$5,700,000	\$1,700,000		\$4,000,000
Addition to Kent-Meridian HS ^{1 - 2}	<u>u.</u>	\$2,500,000						\$2,500,000	\$1,000,000		\$1,500,000
Panther] ake Flem Replacement	<u> </u>	\$26,700,000						\$26,700,000	\$21,093,000		\$5,607,000
Panther Lake Elementary Site ³	ш	\$4,485,013						\$4,485,013	\$3,543,160		\$941,853
Covington Elementary Replacement	5			\$28,900,000				\$28,900,000	\$1,850,000	\$21,270,000	\$5,780,000
Elementary # 31 1-2-3	11.				\$30,320,000			\$30,320,000	\$14,000,000	\$5,600,000	\$10,720,000
Elementary Site ³	<u> </u>				\$2,500,000			\$2,500,000			\$2,500,000
TEMPORARY FACILITIES	1										
Additional Relocatables ^{3 . 4}	μ⊃		\$246,860 2 relocatables	\$259,200 2 relocatables				\$506,060	\$79,000		\$427,060
OTHER											
N/A	L 										
Totals		\$39,385,013	\$246,860	\$29,159,200	\$32,820,000	\$0	\$0	\$101,611,073	\$43,265,160	\$26,870,000	\$31,475,913
<pre>* F = Funded U = Unfunded</pre>											
¹ Based on estimates of actual or future c	consi	truction costs fre	om Facilities Del	partment. (See I	Page 25 for Cost	Basis Summ	(עונ				
² The District anticipates receiving some	: stat∈	e matching fund	s for these proje	ects.							
³ Facility needs are pending review. Som	ne of	these projects r	may be funded v	vith impact fees.							
⁴ Cost of Relocatables based on current (t cost	and adjusted fc	or inflation for fut	ure years.							
⁵ Fees in this column are based on amou	unt o	of fees collected	to date and estin	mated fees on fi	uture units.						

Kent School District Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

Table 6

April 2009 Page 25

VIII Finance Plan - Cost Basis Summary

For impact fee calculations, construction costs are based on cost of the last elementary school, adjusted for inflation, and projected cost of the next elementary school.

Elementary School	Cost	Projected Cost
Millennium Elementary #30 Opened in 2000	\$12,182,768	
Cost of Panther Lake Elementary Replacement (To open in 2009)	\$26,700,000	
Projected cost - Covington Elementary Replacement (Projected to open in 2011)		\$28,900,000
Projected cost of Elementary #31 in 2012		\$30,320,000
Average cost of Covington Elem. replacement & Elementary #31		\$29,610,000

Construction cost of the additions to two high schools:

Senior High School Additions	Projected Cost	Total
2008 Addition to Kentlake High School	\$5,700,000	,
2009 Addition to Kent-Meridian HS	\$2,500,000	
Construction cost of new HS capacity		\$8,200,000

Site Acquisition Cost

The site acquisition cost is based on an average cost of sites purchased or built on within the last ten years. Please see Table 7 on page 27 for a list of site acquisition costs and averages.

District Adjustment

The impact fee calculations on pages 29 and 30 include a "District Adjustment" which is equal to the amount of increase that the impact fee formulas drive out for this year and adjusted for increase in the Consumer Price Index.



April 2009 Para 27

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT FACTORS FOR ESTIMATED IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

Student Gene	ration Factors - Sin	gle Family
Elementary	(Grades K - 6)	0.445
Middle School	(Grades 7 - 8)	0.118
Senior High	(Grades 9 - 12)	0.245
Total		0.808
		<u></u>
Projected Incr	eased Student Cap	pacity
Elementary		600
Middle School		900
Senior High		250
Required Site	Acreage per Facilit	:y
Elementary (re	equired)	11
Middle School	(required)	21
Senior High (re	quired)	32
New Facility C	construction Cost	
Elementary *		\$29,610,000
Middle School		\$0
Senior High *		\$8,200,000
* See cost basis or	n Pg. 26	
Temporary Fa	cility Square Foota	ge
Elementary		73,748
Middle School		18,544
Senior High	20/	22,960
lotal	3%	115,252
-		
Permanent Fa	cility Square Foota	ge
Elementary		1,4/5,936
Senior High		1 121 062
Total	97%	3 258 803
	/-	0,200,000
Total Facilities	Square Footage	
Elementary	- quai o i ootugo	1,549.684
Middle School		679,448
Senior High		1,144.923
Total		3,374,055
Developer Pro	vided Sites / Facilit	ies
Value		0
Dwelling Units		0

Student Generation Factors - Multi-Family

Elementary	0.296
Middle School	0.075
Senior High	0.111
Total	0.482

OSPI - Square Footage per Student

90
117
130
144

Average Site Cost / Acre

Elementary	\$287,573
Middle School	\$0
Senior High	\$0

Temporary Facility Capacity & Cost

Elementary	@	24	\$123,430
Middle School	@	29	\$0
Senior High	@	31	\$0

State Equalization Credit (formerly "State Match") Current District Equalization Percentage 55.78%

Area Cost Allowance ACA - Cost/S	iq. Ft.
Area Cost Allowance (Effective July 08)	\$168.79
District Average Assessed Value	
Single Family Residence	\$348,876
District Average Assessed Value	
Multi-Family Residence	\$121.557
Apartments 71% Condos 29%	
Capital Levy Tax Rate/\$1,000	
Current / \$1,000 Tax Rate (1.73255)	\$1.73

General Obligation Bond Interest Rate

Current Bond Interest Rate	4.96%
Current bond interest Rate	4.96%

Kent School District Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPACT FEE CALCULATION for SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE

Site	Acquisition Cost	per Single Family Resid	lence				
For	nula: ((Acres x Co	ost per Acre) / Facility Cap	pacity) x Student General	tion Factor			
		Required Site Acreage	Average Site Cost/Acre	Facility Capacity	Student Facto	r	
A 1	(Elementary)	11	\$287,573	600	0.445		\$2,346.12
A 2	(Middle School)	21	\$0	1,065	0.118		\$0
A 3	(Senior High)	32	\$0	1,000	0.245		\$0
					0.808		
					А	⇔_	\$2,346.12
Peri	manent Facility Co	onstruction Cost per Sir	gle Family Residence				
For	nula: ((Facility Co	st / Facility Capacity) x SI	udent Factor) x (Perman	ent/Total Square Fo	otage Ratio)		
		Construction Cost	Facility Capacity	Student Factor	Footage Ratio	5	
B 1	(Elementary)	\$29,610,000	600	0.445	0.97		\$21,301.93
B 2	(Middle School)	\$0	900	0.118	0.97		\$0
B 3	(Senior High)	\$8,200,000	250	0.245	0.97		\$7,794.92
				0.808	В	⇔¯	\$29,096,85
Tem	porary Facility Co	ost per Single Family Re	sidence			=	
Forn	nula: ((Facility Co	st / Facility Capacity) x St	udent Factor) x (Tempor	ary / Total Square Fo	ootage Ratio)		
		Facility Cost	Facility Capacity	Student Factor	Footage Rativ		
C 1	(Elementary)	\$123,430	24	0.445	0.03	<u> </u>	
C 2	(Middle School)	\$0	29	0.118	0.03		90.00¢
С3	(Senior High)	\$0	31	0.245	0.03		\$U \$0
				0.240	0.03	<u>в</u>) —	\$0
Dist	rict Equalization (redit per Single Family	Residence (formarly "S	toto Motobil)	0		\$68.66
Form	ula: Area Cost Al	lowance v SPI Square F	Contractudent v Distri	at Esualization (
1 0/11		Area Cast Allowage		ct Equalization % x	Student Facto	r	
Пſ	(Elementany)	¢169.70	SPISQ. Ft. / Student	Equalization %	Student Facto	<u>r</u>	
	(Middle School)	\$100.79 \$169.70	90	0.5578	0.445		\$3,770.75
	(Nucule School)	\$ 100.79 \$169.70	117	0	0.118		\$0
03	(Senior High)	\$100.79	130	0.5578	0.245	、—	\$2,998.71
T	0				U	 	\$6,769.46
lax	Credit per Single	Family Residence					
		Average SF Residential	Assessed Value	\$348,876			
		Current Capital Levy Rat	e / \$1,000	\$1.73			
		Current Bond Interest Ra	nte	4.96%			
		Years Amortized (10 Ye	ars)	10	TC	₽	\$4,675.66
Dove	Jonar Broyidad Er	cility Crodit	E				
Deve	noper Fronded Fa	icinty creun	Facility / Site Value	Dwelling Units			
			0	0	FC	¢	0
Fee	Recan						
Δ =	Site Acquisition ne	r SE Residence	F0 040 40				
R =	Dermanont Escilitu	Cost per Besidence	Φ2,340.1Z				
с –	Tomporany Esolity	Cost per Residence	\$29,096.85				
C -	remporary racing	Cost per Residence	\$68.66				
n -	Chata Matata Orania	Subiotai	_	\$31,511.62			
U =	State Match Credit	per Residence	\$6,769.46				
10 =	Tax Credit per Res	idence	\$4,675.66				
		Subtotal		\$11,445.12			
		Total Infunded Need		6 00 000			
			retion	\$20,066.50	_		
					\$10,033		
		District Adjustment (0)			0		
		Some Aujustment (See	-aye 20 for explanation)	L	(\$4,639)		
	i	Net Fee Obligation per Si	ngle Family Residence		\$5,394		

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPACT FEE CALCULATION for MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE

Site Acquisition Cost	per Multi-Family Resider	nce Unit			
Formula: ((Acres x Co	st per Acre) / Facility Cap	acity) x Student Generat	ion Factor		
	Required Site Acreage	Average Site Cost/Acre	Facility Capacity	Student Factor	_
A 1 (Elementary)	11	\$287,573	500	0.296	\$1,872.68
A 2 (Wildle School)	21	\$U \$0	1,065	0.075	\$0
A 3 (Senior Hign)	32	\$0	1,000	<u>0.111</u>	\$0
				0.482	
				A 5	\$1,872.68
Permanent Facility Co	nstruction Cost per Mul	ti-Family Residence Ur	nit		
Formula: ((Facility Co	st / Facility Capacity) x Stu	Ident Factor) x (Perman	ent / Total Square Fe	ootage Ratio)	
	Construction Cost	Facility Capacity	Student Factor	Footage Ratio	
B 1 (Elementary)	\$29,610,000	600	0.296	0.97	\$14,169.37
B 2 (Middle School)	\$0	900	0.075	0.97	\$0
B 3 (Senior High)	\$8,200,000	250	<u>0.111</u>	0.97	\$3,531.58
			0.482	B ⇔_	\$17,700.95
Temporary Facility Co	st per Multi-Family Resi	dence Unit			
Formula: ((Facility Cos	st / Facility Capacity) x Stu	ident Factor) x (Tempora	ary / Total Square Fo	ootage Ratio)	
	Facility Cost	Facility Capacity	Student Factor	Footage Ratio	
C 1 (Elementary)	\$123,430	24	0.296	0.03	\$45.67
C 2 (Middle School)	\$ 0	29	0.075	0.03	\$0
C 3 (Senior High)	\$0	31	0.111	0.03	\$0
			0.482	C ⇔¯	\$45.67
District Equalization C	redit per Multi-Family R	esidence (formerly "Sta	ate Match")	=	
Formula: Area Cost Al	iowance x SPI Square F	eet per student x Distri	ct Equalization % x	Student Factor	
	Area Cost Allowance	SPI So, Ft. / Student	Equalization %	Student Factor	
D1 (Elementary)	\$168.79	90	0.5578	0.296	\$2 509 19
D 2 (Middle School)	\$168.79	117	0	0.075	φ2,300.10 ¢n
D 3 (Senior High)	\$168.79	130	0 5578	0.075	ΦU \$4.259.00
(+ . , ...	<i>• • • • • • • • • •</i>	100	0.0070	<u></u> D⇔	\$1,000.00
Tax Credit per Multi-F	amily Residence Unit			=	\$3,000.78
Tux ofcut per multi-it	Average ME Residential	Account Value	\$104 EET		
	Current Canital Levy Rate	- 1 \$1 000	9121,007 \$1.70		
	Current Bond Interest Ba	to	\$1.73 4.000		
	Vears Amortized (10 Vo		4.96%		• • • • • • • •
	Teals Anonized (10 Te	ais)	10		\$1,363.29
Developer Provided Fa	cility Credit	Facility / Site Value	Dwelling Units		
•	•	0	0	FC. 🔿	٥
		U	0	10 4	0
Fee Recap					
A = Site Acquisition pe	r Multi-Family Unit	\$1 872 68			
B = Permanent Facility	Cost per ME Unit	\$17,700,95			
C = Temporary Facility	Cost per MF Unit	\$45.67			
	Subtotal		\$10 610 20		
D = State Match Credit	ner MF Unit	\$3 866 78	ψ13,013.2 3		
TC = Tax Credit per MF	Unit	\$1,363,20			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Subtotal		\$5 230 07		
	oubtotal	-	ψ0,200.07		
	Total Unfunded Need		\$14 389 22		
	50% Developer Fee Ohlic	ration	÷ ,,000.22	\$7 105	
	FC = Facility Credit (if and	plicable)		φ,,135 Ω	
	District Adjustment (See F	Page 26 for explanation)		(\$3.873)	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Γ	(10,070)	
	Net Fee Obligation per Mi	ulti-Family Residence U	nit	\$3,322	

IX Summary of Changes to April 2008 Capital Facilities Plan

The Capital Facilities Plan (the "Plan") is updated annually based on previous Plans in effect since 1993. The primary changes from the April 2008 Plan are summarized here.

Projects in progress include replacement and expansion of Panther Lake and Covington Elementary schools, a future new elementary school, classroom additions at high schools to accommodate new growth, renovation for Kent Phoenix Academy and Phase II of the renovation at Mill Creek Middle School.

Changes to capacity continue to reflect fluctuations in class size as well as program changes. Reduction in class size and extended learning opportunities for Student Achievement Initiative 728 are reflected in this update. Changes in relocatables or transitional capacity reflect use, purchase, sale, surplus and/or movement between facilities. Permanent and temporary facility ratio has changed from 96 - 4% to 97 - 3%.

The student enrollment forecast is updated annually. Six-year Kindergarten projections were modified to meet the requirements for State Funded Full Day Kindergarten programs.

The district expects to receive some state equalization (formerly "matching") funds for projects in this Plan and tax credit factors are updated annually. Biennieal update of student generation rates was reported last year. Unfunded site and facility needs will be reviewed in the future.

ITEM	Grade/Type	EDOM	TO	Commente
			10	Comments
Student Generation Factor	Elem	0.445	0.445	No Change – Update in 2010
Single Family (SF)	MS	0.118	0.118	54
	SH	0.245	0.245	. 11
	Total	0.808	0.808	No Change – Biennial Update
Student Generation Factor	Elem	0.296	0.296	No Change - Update in 2010
Multi-Family (MF)	MS	0.075	0.075	<i>at</i>
	SH	0.111	0.111	и
	Total	0.482	0.482	No Change – Biennial Update
District Equalization Ratios (former State Match)		57.06%	55.78%	Per OSPI Website
Area Cost Allowance (former Boeckh Index)		\$168.79	\$168.79	Per OSPI Website
Average Assessed Valuation (AV)	SF	\$316,091	\$348,876	Per Puget Sound ESD
AV - Average of Condominiums & Apts.	MF	\$102,465	\$1 21,557	Per Puget Sound ESD
Debt Service Capital Levy Rate / \$1000		\$1.73	\$1.73	Per King Co. Assessor Report
General Obligation Bond Interest Rate		5.11%	4.96%	Market Rate
Impact Fee - Single Family	SF	\$5,304	\$5,394	Change to fee + \$90
Impact Fee - Multi-Family	MF	\$3,266	\$3,322	Change to fee + \$56

Changes to Impact Fee Calculation Factors include:

Appendixes

Χ

Appendix A: Calculations of Capacities for Elementary Schools

Appendix B: Calculations of Capacities for Middle Schools

Appendix C: Calculations of Capacities for Senior High Schools

Appendix D: Use of Relocatables

Appendix E: Student Generation Factor Survey

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 415 STANDARD of SERVICE - PROGRAM CAPACITY - INVENTORY of RELOCATABLES - FTE and HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT

	Г Т								a Tan In Ing			
KSD		Number of	Std/High Cap	² SE / IP	² Special	2008-2009	Program	Classroom	Reiocatable	10/1/2008	10/1/2008	L
ELEMENTARY	ABR	Std or High Cap	Capacity	ELL	Program	Program	Use	Use	Capacity	P223 FTE ⁴	P223 Hdcount	۵
SCHOOL		Classrooms	at 24 average ¹	СR	Capacity	Capacity ²	Relocatables	Relocatables	at 24 average ¹	Enroltment	Enroltment	К ⁵
	e = ECE	& h = Highly Capabl	le Programs						0 ECE	& K @ .5 or 1.0	0 ECE & K @ 1.0]
Carriage Crest	ပ္ပ ပ	18	432	S	20	452	-	0	0	445.38	480	 -
Cedar Valley	CV/e	16	384	9	18	402	2	0	0	326.50	356	-
Covington	CO/e	20	480	5	18	498	÷	0	0	518,50	557	Ŧ
Crestwood	Q	19	456	2	0	456	4	~	24	474.50	510	÷
East Hill	Ш	17	408	7	56	464	ю	ຕ	72	527.00	576	-
Emerald Park	ដា	21	504	2	0	504	5	0	0	465,50	496	F
Fairwood	FW/e	17	408	ы	0	408	n	0	0	406.17	442	Т
George T. Daniel Elem	Ц	18	432	5	18	450	٠.	0	D	502.11	503	۲
Glenridge	ц Ц	19	456	4	0	456	. 2	0	0	428.20	457	H
Grass Lake	GLh	18	432	4	20	452	-	0	0	420,53	440	н
Horizon	뽀	21	504	5	0	504	0	0	0	501.36	536	Н
Jenkins Creek	ç	15	360	2	38	398	ę	-	24	362.09	388	-
Kent Elem.	KE/eh	17	408	9	56	464	2	2	48	541.00	541	۷
Lake Youngs	гYh	21	504	7	20	524	0	0	0	497.07	520	н
Martin Sortun	WS	19	456	ю	18	474	~		24	485,50	532	I
Meadow Ridge	MR/e	17	408	9	56	464	0	4	96	445.04	447	۷
Meridian Elementary	MEA	21	504	e	20	524	ю	2	48	493.03	522	₽
Millennium Elementary	ML	20	480	ო	18	498	0	0	0	530.50	576	Ж
Neely-O'Brien	g	16	384	c)	56	440	5	ъ	120	650.15	602	-
Panther Lake	ц Ц	15	360	ო	36	396	7	0	0	482.50	517	Ж
Park Orchard	Q	18	432	7	48	480	2	0	0	467.03	468	A
Pine Tree	ΡT/h	21	504	4	18	522	ςΩ	0	0	460.00	484	К
Ridgewood	RW/h	21	504	۴	0	504	-	2	48	494.08	531	ч
Sawyer Woods	SW	21	504	2	0	504	0	0	0	465.66	501	Н
Scenic Hill	ΗS	17	408	9	56	464	en	÷	24	502.00	502	A
Soos Creek	SC/e	17	408	4	0	408	ε	0	0	374.50	397	Н
Springbrook	SB	15	360	сл	38	398	2	0	0	371.03	401	1
Sunrise	SR/h	21	504	2	0	504	e	0	0	529.50	565	÷
Kent Mtn. View Academy	₹	14	356	ю	60	416	0	0	0	68.65	73	0
Elementary TOTAL ³		530	12,740	122	688	13,428	61	22	528	13,235.08	14,027	
¹ Elementary classroom cap	acity is t	based on avera	ge of 24: 18-22	in K-3, 23	in Grade 4 &	29 in Grades 5-(i. Includes adjui	stments for clas	ss size reduct	ion or program	changes.	
Kent School District Stand	ard of Se	ervice reserves	some rooms for	pull-out p	rograms. ie. 3	20 Total = 17 St	andard + 1 Con	iputer Lab + 1	Music + 1 Inte	grated Progran	n classroom.	
³ Elementary schools have 1	100% sp:	ace utilization ra	ate. ⁴ Elements	IN FTE re	ports Kind @	.5 FDK @ 1.0 - I	223 Headcoun	t reports Kinde	raarten @ 1.0). Excludes EC	E preschoolers	
⁵ FDK = Full Day KIndergart	en T =	Tuition-based	l = I-728 Funde	d Schoolw	ride K = KAI	Title I Funded	A ≈ State Appor	tionment Fund	ed H = Half I	Dav Kindergart	en only	

Page 33 April 2009

APPENDIX A Kent School District Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

STANDARD of SERVICE - PROGRAM CAPACITY - INVENTORY of RELOCATABLES - FTE and HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT

	Ŀ											
# OI Standard SE	I Standard SE	8	đ	Special Ed	Spec	Special ¹	2008-2009	Program	mooraae J			
ABR Std Capacity ELL	d Capacity 2 ELL	ਸ਼ੋ ~		ELL	Prgm	Program	Program	0.01			8007/1/01	10/1/2008
Clsrms at 25-29 Cls	ns at 25-29 Cls	l Cls		Canacity	Clermo			0000	asn	Capacity	P223 FTE °	Headcount ³
@ 85% Utilization	@ 85% Utilization	tion	-16	85% Ubilization			Capacity -	Relocatables	Relocatables	at 29 ea.	Enroliment	Enroltmont
			1		Ð		@ ap % Utilization					
<u>сп 32</u> 782 g	782 9	თ		93	2	48	023	c	(
MA 24 585 6	585 6	9		59	~		C 70	5	N	145	745.09	747
MK 33 807 4	807 4	4					804	9	0	0	667.00	670
MJ 26 631 F	631 F	r u		5 4		24	890	0	0	0	652.11	655
	0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	יי		t	4	95	190	с С	9	174	714.20	716
G 621 00 00	5 621	n		51	~	48	828	0	Ą	440	00 002	
W 33 807 4	807 4	4		46	LC.	110		, ,	r	<u>a</u>	107.701	762
Srades 3 - 12) Middle School Grac	12) Middle School Grad	ichool Grad	<u> </u>	1e 7 - 8 En	rollmont	<u>n</u> -	- 7/6	0	0	0	662.60	663
											56.20	57
178 4,341 33	4,341 33	33		372	21	494	5 207	0	Ę			
								2	2	435	4.259.20	4 270

ß
\mathbf{v}
\sim
Ω
Z
Ш
Ū.
0
<

	1												
KSD		30 #		[
SENIOR HIGH	V DD	5 7	Standard	SE/IP	Special Ed	Spec	Special ¹	2008-2009	Program	Classroom	Relocatable	10/1/2008	10/1/2008
SCHOOL	Į	000	Capacity	ਜ <u>਼</u>	EL	Prgm	Program	Program	Use	Use	Capacity	P223 FTE ³	Headcount ³
	-	Cisinas	85% Utilizatio	a Clo	Capacity 85% University	Clsrms	Capacity	Capacity ²	Relocatables	Relocatables	at 31 ea.	Enroilment	Enroltment
Kent-Meridian Senior Lich							REZINIO % co fi	o (@ 85% Utilization					
	N N	23	1,376	ß	110	12	286	1,772		s.	1 5.5	1 770 00	070 7
Kentlake Senior High	¥	58	1,508	12	145	44	333	1 986	c) г	3	1,10,20	1,813
Kentridge Senior High	КХ	69	1.766	11	123	8			>	n	CCL	1,798.20	1,833
Kentwood Senior High		ļ			3	2	201	2,270	0	4	124	2,192.60	2.237
	× ×	65	1,692	ъ	51	17	394	2,137	4	R,	10		
Kent Mountain View Academy	y (Grade	is 3 - 12)	Senior Hic	th Grade	- 9 - 12 Enri	nilment		1	·	,	3	10.120.2	171.2
Kent Phoenix Academy			-					See Elem				154.57	157
								350				270 EU	000
regional Justice Center	R	N/A						N/A					1
Senior High TOTAL												33.00	33
		245	6,342	36	429	59	1,394	8,515	ъ	19	589	8 333 54	8 53 <i>4</i>
						API	DENDIX	ر ب				Lavasta	1000
								 >			<u> </u>	Excludes Runn	ning Start &
DISTDICT TOTAL				╞	┝						Ë	arly Childhood	1 Ed students
MAINNE INIAL		953	23,423	191	1,489	80	1,888	27,150	75	53	1 660		
Special Program capacity include	s classr	ooms rec	viring spec	ialized u	Se curb as	Consol I					7 700'1	78.120,02	26,831
Secondary school capacity is adju	usted for	- 85% intil	Tation rota	1 1 200			- מתרשוומוו	vareer & rechr	ncal Education	I Programs, C	omputer Lab	is, etc.	
				מווח הול	CO CIBSS SIZ	e reductic	on in 7th &	10th grade Eng.	lish classes. 9	th arade move	nd to LIC in 2	700	
CINONITIENT IS REPORTED ON FTE & I	Headcol	unt basis.	P223 Hea	druint o	CE opinion	4 - C e L		•					

Total of Appendices A B & C

³ Enrollment is reported on FTE & Headcount basis. P223 Headcount excludes ECE & College-only Running Start students. Full headcount including ECE & RS = 27,579.

Kent School District Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

Some totals may be slightly different due to rounding.

Page 34 April 2009 KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 415

USE of RELOCATABLES

School Year	2008 - 2	009	2009-20	10	2010-20		2011-2(<u>)</u> 12	2012-20		0013_013_0	11.4	CC VECC	15
Relocatable Use ¹	No. of	Student	No. of	Student	No. of	Student	No. of	Student	No. of	Student	No. of	Student	No. of	Student
	Relocatables	Capacity	Relocatables	Capacity	Relocatables	Capacity	Relocatables	Capacity	Relocatables	Capacity	Relocatables	Capacity	Relocatables	Capacity
								10102020202020	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A		ALL DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T		The second second	10000
Relocatables for classroom use	53		53		53		53		53		53		53	····
Relocatables for program use	75		75		75		75		75		75		75	
(ie. Computer labs, music, etc.)														<u> </u>
Elementary Capacity Required @ 24 ²	0	0	വ	120	13	312	17	408	4	96	20	480	35	840
Middle School Capacity Required @ 29 ³	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0
Senior High Capacity Required @ 31	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# of Relocatables Utilized ⁴	128		128		128		128		128		128		128	
Classroom Relocatable/Capacity Required	o	0	ъ	120	13	312	17	408	4	96	20	480	35	840
Plan for Allocation of Required Classr	com Reloc	atable F	acilities inc	i bebula	Finance	Plan.								
Elementary ^{1/2}	0		ى.	5	13		17		P		.u.		35	<u> </u>
Middle School ³	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Senior High 4	0	I	0	I	0	ł	0	1	0	I	0	i	0	
Total	Ø		ß		13		17	÷	4		20		35	
¹ Use of additional relocatables for classroon	ms or special p	programs	is based on n	eed and f	fuctuations o	f enrollme	int at each so	hool.						
a														

² Full Day Kindergarten will increase the need for relocatables at the elementary level until permanent capacity can be provided.

³ Grade Level Reconfiguration - In 2004, 9th grade students moved to high schools creating sufficient permanent capacity at middle schools.

⁴ Although relocatables are utilized for a wide variety of purposes, new construction and boundary adjustments are timed to minimize the requirement for relocatables.

APPENDIX D

Kent School District Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

Page 35 April 2009

KENT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 415 Student Generation Factor Survey

Edulo											
\$	Single Family David and	Elementary	Total		s t u d	ents		0)	student Gene	ration Facto	-
*	oundie raining Developments	Area	Units	Total	Elem	MS	HS	Total	Elem	MS	SH
78	Crofton Hills / Savana / The Reserve / Stonefield - Covington	8	351	360	169	6	120	1 026			
187	Eastland Meadows - Kent	S	13 51	2	σ	ξ c	3 6			111.0	0.368
399	Fern Crest East - Kent	SR	171	122	, w	4 ç	2 4		760.0	0.154	0.769
400	Fern Crest West - Kent	SR	130	70,	47	2 ¢	8 6	0.609	0.503	0.117	0.152
228	Kentlake Highlands - Kent	CIAL	2		F!	2	3	0,000	795.0	0.077	0.169
000		A 0	IR	8/	47	13	27	0.897	0.485	0.134	0.278
202	Ir arke weadows & North Parke Meadows - Covington	Ş	106	117	70	15	32	1.104	0.660	0.142	0.302
410	Rhododendron Estates - Kent	ML	16	37	24	9	7	2.313	1.500	0.375	0.438
419	Southridge - Eagle Crest - Park View - Kent	뽀	219	164	66	24	41	0.749	0.452	0.110	0.187
420	Tamarack Ridge - Covington	CW	134	78	40	12	26	0.582	0.299	0.090	0.194
179	The Parks - Renton	RW	172	153	71	21	61	0.890	0.413	0.122	0.355
337	The Parks at Riverview - Kent	ON	148	38	20	თ	6	0.257	0.135	0.061	0.061
416	Trovitsky Park - Renton	RW	167	124	81	5	32	0.743	0,485	0.066	0.192
417	Wood Creek - Covington	CW	154	128	73	16	39	0.831	0.474	0.104	0.253
	Total		1,878	1,518	836	221	461	0.808	0.445	0.118	0.245

74		Elementary	Total		Stud	ents			Student Gene	eration Facto	, L	r
	Multi-Family Developments	Area	Units	Total	Elem	WS	HN H	Total	Elom I	WC	ů T	T
							2	LOIGI		CIVI	2	Т
418 Ad2	igio Apartments - Covington	8	200	61	28	12	21	0.305	0.140	0.060	0.105	
412 Ald	arbrook Apartments - Kent	H	207	118	78	18	22	0.570	0.377	0.087	0.106	
156 Brei	ntwood Townhomes - Kent	HS	81	51	25	14	¢ +	0.630				· · · · ·
146 Fair	wood Pond Apartments - Renton	ΕŴ	194	33	ċ		i r		0.308	0.1.0	0.148	
414 Parl	· Place Anartmonto IV		5	3	17	1.	ი ი	0.170	0.108	0.036	0.026	
			51	88	62	6	17	1.725	1.216	0.176	0.333	_
102 Roc	k Creek Landing - Kent	Ъ	211	77	41	14	22	0.365	0.194	0 066	0 104	
413 Silve	sr Springs Apartments - Kent	٦٢	251	163	108	24	34	0 649	0.430		1010	
192 Suni	ise at Benson Condos - Kent	GR	88	27	17		, თ	0.307	0.102	0.044	0.130	
	Total		1 282	610	000				0.1.0	10.0	0.102	-
				010	200	an an	142	0.482	0.296	0.075	0.111	

April 2009 Page 36

APPENDIX E

Kent School District Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

16698



CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 - 2014



Enumclaw School District No. 216 2929 McDougall Avenue Enumclaw, Washington 98022 (360) 802-7100

Capital Facilities Plan

2009-2014



Enumclaw School District No. 216

2929 McDougall Avenue Enumclaw, Washington 98022 (360) 802-7100

Board Adopted: June 22, 2009

Enumclaw School District 6-Year Capital Facilities Plan

May 2009

Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan 2009-2014

Board of Directors

Cathy Dahlquist

Nancy Merrill

Chris VanHoof

Corey Cassell

Gerd Weyer

Administration

Mike Nelson Superintendent

Randy Stocker Director, Business & Operations

Kathleen Lockyer Director, Human Resources **Terry Parker** Director, Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment

Aaron Stanton Director, Student Support Services

Enumclaw School District No. 216 Enumclaw, Washington 98022

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

Approved by Board of Directors Resolution No. 937

The Enumclaw School District No. 216 hereby provides to the King County Council, City of Enumclaw and City of Black Diamond, this Capital Facilities Plan documenting present and future school facility requirements of the District. The plan contains all elements required by the Growth Management Act and King Code 21A, including a six (6) year financing plan component.

Table of Contents

Page

Executive S	ummary	1
Section I:	Six-Year Enrollment Projection	2
Section II:	Current Enumclaw School District "Standard of Service"	4
Section III:	Inventory and Projected Six-Year Enrollment	6
Section IV:	The District's Planning and Construction Plan	9
Section V:	Capital Facilities Financing Plan	12
Section VI:	Impact Fee Variables and Calculated Fees	15

•

Executive Summary

In accordance with King County Code 21A.43, this update has been prepared by the Enumclaw School District No. 216 to reflect current conditions in facility usage and needs.

The District's service area includes areas of unincorporated King County, the City of Black Diamond, and the City of Enumclaw. Currently, the District serves a student population of about 4,388 (Oct. 2008) students in kindergarten through grade 12. Current enrollment, along with projections presented herein, indicates that the enrollment growth will continue over the next six years.

Following a period of little to no growth, the District anticipates healthy enrollment gains as a result of growth projected to begin within the six-year planning period (and continue beyond the six year planning period). In particular, the City of Black Diamond is currently reviewing a proposed development of 1,250 dwelling units and a second proposed project of approximately 4,800 residential dwelling units (both developments have primarily single family homes). Using current student generation rates, this could mean that the District's enrollment will grow by approximately 3,548 new students at full build out (using conservative estimates and the best known information regarding unit types). In addition, there is a third potential project of approximately 1,400 dwelling units as well as other smaller scale development within the City of Black Diamond. In the City of Enumclaw, the District is likely to be impacted by growth now that the City of Enumclaw has lifted its sewer moratorium. In addition, the City of Enumclaw is currently reviewing annexation options, which could lead to additional residential development. Finally, there is ongoing, though limited, development in the unincorporated area of King County that is located within the District. With this cumulative potential new development, the District will likely need to add student capacity at all three grade levels. Section IV of this Plan identifies the District's anticipated long term planning with regard to the development within the City of Black Diamond.

This Plan includes the capacity projects planned by the District during this planning period. The District has identified a need during this six-year planning period for additional elementary capacity in the Black Diamond area. As noted above, the District will also need substantial capacity additions in the long-term planning period in response to development activity throughout the District and particularly within the City of Black Diamond. Future updates to this Plan will reflect planning needs in response to growth.

Section I: Six-Year Enrollment Projection

This plan update is based on the anticipated number of students expected to be enrolled through 2014 and beyond. The six-year projection (2009-2014) will assist in determining short term needs and form the basis for assessing the need for impact fees.

Enrollment projections are most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. Moving further into the future, more assumptions about economic conditions and demographic trends in the area affect the projection. In the event that enrollment growth slows, plans for new facilities can be delayed. It is much more difficult, however, to initiate new projects or speed projects up in the event enrollment growth exceeds the projections. Regular updates of both the enrollment projections and the Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) are essential to good facility planning.

The District relies on two population forecasts for purposes of projecting student enrollment. The first is an estimate by the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI). OSPI estimates future enrollment through 2014 using the cohort survival method. This method estimates how many students in one year will attend the next grade in the following year. Due to the fact that the cohort survival method does not incorporate in-migration, particularly from anticipated new development within the District, these projections are considered highly conservative. See <u>Appendix A</u>.

The second forecast is a modified cohort analysis, which uses the cohort projections as a base and then incorporates assumptions based on known new residential development proposals within the District. See <u>Appendix B</u>. Because this analysis incorporates the expected in-migration to the District from new development, the District uses this analysis for purposes of determining capacity needs throughout the six years of this planning period. Using the modified enrollment projections, the District's enrollment is expected to increase over the six years of this Plan.

With regard to the expected enrollment from the expected 6,050 dwelling units in Black Diamond, the District anticipates, using best known information to date, that building will commence in 2011 (and continue for a period of fifteen years of more thereafter). As such, the enrollment impacts from these two developments begin to show during the last years of this Plan period. Future updates to this Plan will provide additional and updated information regarding these projects and the impacts on District enrollment. ¹

Note that the District uses a headcount enrollment figure because full-day kindergarten has, for several years, been uniform across the District. Due to the state budget, for the 2009-10 school year, the District is moving to a half-day kindergarten with an option to pay (either directly or through scholarships) for full-day kindergarten. The District is also pursuing funding for a pilot full-day kindergarten program. At this time, it is unclear how the funding changes will affect the full-day kindergarten enrollment figures. For this reason, the District is continuing to plan for full-day kindergarten space needs and will re-evaluate this program in the next plan update.

¹ Similarly, the District intends to closely monitor development in the City of Enumclaw (where the current sewer moratorium was recently lifted and annexation options are being studied) in order to further assess the potential and real impacts to student enrollment. Future updates to this Plan will reflect new enrollment information.

Using the modified cohort survival projections, a total enrollment of 4,772 (HC) is expected in 2014. In other words, the District expects the enrollment of 384 additional students between 2008 and 2014. See Table 1.

Projection	2008*	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Actual Change	Percent Change
Modified Cohort (HC)	4,388	4,209	4,235	4,329	4,428	4,586	4,772	384	8.75%

Table 1: Projected Student Enrollment 2008-2014

Actual enrollment (October 1, 2008).

•

Section II: Current Enumclaw School District "Standard of Service"

In order to determine the capacity of the District's facilities, the King County Code 21A refers to a "standard of service" that each school district must establish in order to ascertain its overall capacity.

The standard of service is based upon the number of classrooms available at each school and the desired average class load district-wide. A favorable class size is used to promote the standard and quality of educational programs the residents of the Enumclaw School District expect and support through the passage of levies and bonds.

Rooms designed for special use are not counted as classrooms. Portables used for classrooms are employed on an interim basis only. When additional permanent classrooms are available portables are removed from service, transferred to other locations, or used for non-classroom purposes.

Current Standards of Service for Elementary Students:

Average district wide class size for grades K-4 should not exceed 23 students.

Average district wide class size for grades 5 should not exceed 26 students.

Elementary school permanent capacity should be between 400 and 500 students.

Class size may vary from building to building based upon different influencing factors at each school.

Students may be provided music instruction, physical education, and lunch in a separate classroom or facility.

Students may have scheduled time in a special computer lab.

Special Education for student with disabilities may be provided in a self-contained classroom with a maximum capacity of 10-12 depending on the program.

Identified students will also be provided other educational opportunities in classrooms and/or special spaces for programs designated as follows:

English as a Second Language (ESL) Integrated Programs & Resource Rooms (for special remedial assistance) Education for Disadvantage Students (Chapter 1) Gifted Education Other Remediation Programs Learning Assisted Program (LAP) School Adjustment Programs for severely behavior-disordered students Hearing Impaired Mild, Moderate and Severe Developmental Disabilities Developmental Kindergarten Preschool Handicapped Early Childhood Education Assistance Programs (ECEAP)

May 2009

All of the above special programs require specialized classroom space; thus, the full-time student capacity of buildings housing these programs is reduced. Students leave their regular classroom for a period of time to receive instruction in these special programs. When programs change, program capacity fluctuates and the plan is updated annually to reflect the change in program and capacity.

Current Standards of Service for Secondary Students:

The standards of service outlined below reflect only those programs and educational opportunities provided to secondary students which directly affect the capacity of the school buildings.

Average district wide class size for grades 6-8 should not exceed 28 students.

Middle school permanent capacity should be between 500 and 550 students.

Average district wide class size for grades 9-12 should not exceed 28 students.

High school permanent capacity should not exceed 1,300 students.

Special Education for students with disabilities may be provided in a classroom with a capacity of 10-15 depending on program.

Identified students will also be provided other educational opportunities in classrooms and/or special spaces for programs designated as follows:

- Instrumental and Vocal Music
- Integrated Programs & Resource Rooms (for special remedial assistance)
- Computer Labs
- Honors (Gifted) and Advanced Placement Programs
- Basic Skills Programs
- Variety of Vocational Education Programs

i.e.: Home & Family Life, Business Education (Keyboarding, Accounting, Sales & Marketing, etc.),Woods, Agriculture, Technology, Auto Shop, Drafting, Etc.

Many of these programs require specialized classroom space and can reduce the permanent capacity of the school buildings. In addition, an alternative (continuation) program with limited capacity and enrollment is provided for secondary students at Collins High School and the Collins Extension Center, cooperative programs with Sumner and White River School districts housed in Buckley.

Each schools' available capacity will vary with the type of programs and space utilization in the building. When a large number of portables are added to site to add capacity, other support facilities, such as gymnasiums, lunch areas, halls, etc. become inadequate.

Section III: Inventory and Projected Six-Year Enrollment Capacity of Schools

Currently, the District has permanent program capacity to house 4,352 students based on the District's Standard of Service as set forth in Section II. Approximately 100 students are served by Collins High School and JR High and the Collins Extension Center program in Buckley. Students come from the Enumclaw, White River, Orting and Sumner School Districts. Children attending Collins High School, JR High and Collins Extension Center are counted as students in the White River School District. Portable classroom capacity for 440 students brings the total capacity to 4,792. A summary of the current enrollment and proposed capacity, and the breakdown at each grade span, is as follows:

Table 2: Summary of Capacity

2008-09 Current	Permanent Capacity	Portable Capacity	Total Capacity	Oct 2008 Enrollment (HC)	Surplus Capacity w/o Portables	Surplus Capacity w/ Portables
Elementary	1,916	220	2,136	1,861	55	275
Middle School	1,092	0	1,092	1,089	3	
Senior High	1,344	220	1,564	1,438	-220	126
District Total	4,352	440	4,792	4,388	-162	401

Included in this Plan is an inventory of the District's schools by type, address and current capacity. See Table 3. In the fall of 2005, the District closed J.J. Smith Elementary due to the age and condition of the building. Because the building does not meet current educational instruction requirements, the District would need to comprehensively modernize or completely replace the building before it could be used for classroom instruction. While the building remains on the District's inventory, the District is unable to use the building for instructional purposes. As such, J.J. Smith is not included in the District's inventory for purposes of this Capital Facilities Plan.

Based on the enrollment forecasts, current inventory and program capacity, current standard of service, portable capacity, and construction of new classroom spaces, the District anticipates having sufficient capacity to house students during the next two to three years. However, with the planned new development commencing in the City of Black Diamond and potential development in the City of Enumclaw and King County during the six year planning period, the District anticipates needing to add additional student capacity in the short term. Table 4 analyzes projected enrollment and capacity.

.

TABLE 3: Inventory SummaryAn inventory of existing permanent school facilities including the locations andcapacities of those facilities is provided below.

Existing Facility	Location	Capacity ¹
Black Diamond Elementary	25314 Baker Street Black Diamond, WA 98010	193
Byron Kibler Elementary	2057 Kibler Avenue Enumclaw, WA 98022	461
Southwood Elementary	3240 McDougall Avenue Enumclaw, WA 98022	364.5
Sunrise Elementary	899 Osceola Street Enumclaw, WA 98022	461
Westwood Elementary	21200 SE 416th Enumclaw, WA 98022	436.5
Enumclaw Middle School	550 Semanski Street S. Enumclaw, WA 98022	560
Thunder Mountain Middle School	42018 264th Avenue E. Enumclaw, WA. 98022	532
Enumclaw High School	226 Semanski Street S. Enumclaw, WA 98022	1344
¹ =Exclusive of portable classrooms		

Exclusive of portable and based upon District standards (see Section II).

Table 4 – Projected Enrollment & Capacity*

K-5 Elementary							
Plan Years	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Permanent Capacity	1,916	1,916	1,916	1,916	1,723**	1,723	2,223
New Construction: Elementary						500***	
Portable Capacity Available	220	220	220	220	220	220	220
Portable/Purchase, Relocate							
Total Capacity	2,136	2,136	2,136	2,136	1,943	2,443	2,443
Projected Enrollment*	1,861	1736	1742	1795	1851	1941	2048
Surplus/(Deficit) of Perm. Capacity	55	180	174	121	(128)	282	175
Surplus/(Deficit) with Portables	275	400	394	341	92	502	395
6-8 Middle School			<u> </u>				
Plan Years	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Permanent Capacity	1,092	1,092	1,092	1,092	1.092	1.092	1.092
New Construction: Middle School			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·			.,
Portable Capacity Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portable/Purchase, Relocate							
Total Capacity	1,092	1,092	1,092	1,092	1,092	1,092	1,092
Projected Enrollment*	1,089	1,000	1,005	1,023	1,042	1,073	1,108
Surplus/(Deficit) of Perm. Capacity	3	92	87	69	50	19	(16)
Surplus/(Deficit) with Portables					·		
9-12 High School							
Plan Years	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Permanent Capacity	1,344	1,344	1,344	1,344	1,344	1.344	1.344
New Construction: H.S.							
Portable Capacity Available	220	220	220	220	220	220	220
Portable/Purchase, Relocate							
Total Capacity	1,564	1,564	1,564	1,564	1,564	1,564	1,564
Projected Enrollment*	1,438	1,473	1,488	1,511	1,535	1,572	1.616
Surplus/(Deficit) of Perm. Capacity	(94)	(129)	(144)	(167)	(191)	(228)	(272)
Surplus/(Deficit) with Portables	126	91	76	53	29	(8)	(52)
						·····	

2008 enrollment is actual (based upon October 2008 reported enrollment).

*Note: the District uses headcount enrollment projections due to the fact that all-day kindergarten is uniform across the District.

**The existing Black Diamond Elementary School will be closed for reconstruction. Students will be temporarily housed in portables or at other school sites.

***The new Black Diamond Elementary School, with expanded capacity, is scheduled to open.

Section IV: The District's Planning and Construction Plan

Trigger of Construction

Planning for new schools and additions to existing schools is triggered by comparing the enrollment forecasts with District capacity. Projected available student capacity was derived by subtracting projected student enrollment from existing school capacity for each of the six years in the forecast period (2009-2014). Capacity needs are expressed in terms of "Surplus/(Deficit) of Perm. Capacity." A "(Deficit)" in permanent capacity means that there will be unhoused students (who will likely be served in portable classrooms, in classrooms where class size exceeds State standards, Board expectations and/or contractually negotiated agreements within the local school district). The unhoused student levels are shown in Table 5. Note: for purposes of assessing capacity, the District has included the capacity improvements that are planned over the six year planning period. As previously discussed in this Plan, the District intends to monitor development and enrollment growth and will continue to assess the need for any capacity additions in future updates to this Plan.

Facility Needs (2009-2014)

Based upon present information, it appears that the District should plan for additional elementary school capacity in the Black Diamond area. At the present time, the District anticipates that this will be accomplished with a replacement of and capacity addition at the existing Black Diamond Elementary School. Notably, creating capacity in this area of the District will also ensure that elementary schools in other areas of the District are not overcrowded. The projects listed in Table 5 are anticipated based upon information available at the present time and are only preliminary planning estimates. Future updates to this Plan will reflect actual planning decisions.

Facility Needs (Long Term)

Based upon present information regarding the development activity within the City of Black Diamond, the District is planning for long term needs in the Black Diamond area. The District anticipates that, based upon service standards and enrollment projections, the two projects currently under review will necessitate the need for four new elementary schools, two new middle schools, and one new high school. The third project that has been identified in the Black Diamond area would necessitate, at a minimum, an additional elementary school. The District is uncertain at this time regarding long term additional capacity needs that may result from development within the City of Enumclaw and unincorporated King County. The District will continue to monitor development activity and related capacity needs. Future updates to this Plan will reflect the planning needs in response to long term growth impacts.

General Considerations

The decision and ability to actually construct a new school facility involve multiple factors not wholly within the control of the District. The availability of funds is the biggest consideration; whether those funds are generated from locally approved bonds, state construction funds, impact fees, or mitigation payments.

The District is also currently researching the possible modernization/replacement of one or more of its existing facilities. This decision will be based upon the need for new facilities due to the age of the facilities and educational program needs. Modernization/replacement projects will generally not include new capacity additions. Future updates to this Plan will reflect actual planning decisions.

Table 5 - Planned Projects 2009-2014

School/Facility/Site	Location	Туре	Status	Projected Comp Date	Added Capacity Approx	% for new Growth
Elementary			1		· approx	Арріод
Black Diamond Elem	Black Diamond	New*	Planning	2013/14	307**	100%
Middle School		1				10070
Senior High		1				
			1			
			ļ			
·						
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Other Sites			<u> </u>			
South West Enumclaw (18A	1009 SE 244th, Enumclaw	New	Exist.	Site Bank	0	0
North East Enumdaw (20A)	East of Highway 169	New	Exist.	Site Bank	0	0%
Black Diamond (40A)		New	Planning		500	100%

Enumdaw School District No. 216 Projects Planned and Sites Acquisitions

*Replacement and expansion of capacity **The existing capacity of 193 will be increased to 500

Table 6 – Finance Plan

2

Estimate	ed Project Cost	by Year -	in \$millions		Total	Secured	Secured	Unsecured
2009 2010	2011 2	012	2013 20	014	Cost	Bond/Levy (1)	Other (2)	Other (3)
Improvements Adding Student Capacity						(All Amounts in \$000)		
Elementary School								
Property Acquisition								
New Construction*	\$2	0.000 \$	9.000		\$29.000			\$29.000
Middle School								
Property Acquisition								
New Construction								, 1, 1, _
High School								
Property Acquisition								
New Construction								
							*****	****
Subtotal	\$2	0.000 \$	9.000		\$29.000			
Total	\$2	0.000 \$	9.000		\$29.000			\$29.000
 Secured Bond/Levv- Bond and levv funding already appr 	roved by voters				n de la companya de			

becured bond/Levy- bond and revy funding arready approved by voters.
 becured Other - Funds currently available to the District including proceeds from property sales, school mitigation and impact fees, and State Match Funds remaining from prior construction projects.
 Unsecured future - School mitigation and impact fees not yet collected, bonds and levies not yet approved, state match
 Unsecured future - School mitigation and impact fees not yet collected, bonds and levies not yet approved, state match
 Unsecured future - School mitigation and impact fees not yet collected, bonds and levies not yet approved, state match
 Replacement of existing Black Diamond Elementary and related new capacity.

Enumclaw School District 6-Year Capital Facilities Plan

May 2009

Page 11

Section V: Capital Facilities Financing Plan

The Six-Year Finance Plan shown on Table 6 demonstrates how the District intends to fund new construction and improvements to school facilities for the years of 2009-2014. The financing plan and impact fee calculation formula also differentiate between capacity and noncapacity projects.

The District's ability to accomplish its building program is dependent on the following funding sources:

- Passage of general obligation bonds by District voters
- Collection of school mitigation and impact fees
- State equalization funds

General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to pass a bond. Bonds are then retired through collection of property taxes. The District will need to present a bond proposal to its voters for the replacement of the existing Black Diamond Elementary School within the six years of this Plan.

State Equalization Funds

State Equalization Funds come from the Common School Construction Fund. Bonds are sold on behalf of the fund then retired from revenues accruing predominantly from the sale of renewable resources (i.e. timber) from State school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate funds or the State Board of Education can establish a moratorium on certain projects.

School districts may qualify for State Equalization Funds for specific capital projects. To qualify, a project must first meet a State established criteria of need. This is determined by a formula that specifies the amount of square footage the State will help finance to provide permanent structures for the unhoused enrollment projected for the district. If a project qualifies, it can become part of a State prioritization system. This system prioritizes allocation of available funding resources to school districts statewide based on seven prioritization categories. Funds are then disbursed to the districts based on a formula which calculates district assessed valuation per pupil relative to the whole State assessed valuation per pupil to establish the percent of the total project cost to be paid by the State. The State contribution can range from less than half to more than 70% of the project's cost.

State Equalization Funds can only be applied to major school construction projects. Site acquisition and minor improvements are not eligible to receive matching funds from the State. Because availability of State Equalization Funds has not been able to keep pace with the rapid enrollment growth occurring in many of Washington's school districts, equalization funds from the State may not be received by a school district until after a school has been constructed. In such cases, the District must "front fund" a project. That is, the District must finance the complete project with local funds (the future State's share coming from funds allocated to future District projects). When the State share is finally disbursed (without accounting for escalation) the future District project is partially reimbursed.

Because of the method of computing State Equalization, the official percentage match portion calculated by the State does not typically equal the actual percentage of total facility cost. The State Equalization Ratio for the Enumclaw School District is approximately 55.35%. Notably, this only applies to costs that the State considered eligible for equalization. Land costs and other development costs are not considered eligible for State Equalization Funds. Furthermore, the State only allows 90 square feet per elementary student while the District's service standard requires more square feet per student. This additional space must be funded with local dollars. For a typical project that has maximum State funding, less that 50% of the total project costs will covered by state match dollars.

Mitigation Payments and School Impact Fees

For development in those jurisdictions that have not adopted a school impact fee ordinance, the District relies on mitigation required under the State Environmental Policy Act and related statutes.

In those jurisdictions where a school impact fee ordinance is in place, the District requests that an impact fee be collected by the permitting agency for the construction of any new residential dwelling unit.

Fees assessed are based on the new enrollment growth in the District. By law, new development cannot be assessed impact fees to correct existing deficiencies.

Impact fees have been calculated utilizing the formula in the King County Ordinance 11621. The resulting figures are based on the District's cost per dwelling unit to purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools and purchase, install or relocate temporary facilities (Portables). Credits have also been applied in the formula to account for State Equalization Funds to be reimbursed to the District and projected future property taxes to be paid by the owner of a dwelling unit.

The District's cost per dwelling unit is derived by multiplying the cost per student by the applicable student generation rate per dwelling unit. King County Ordinance 11621 defines "Student Factor" as "the number derived by a school district to describe how many students of each grade span are expected to be generated by a dwelling unit. Student factors shall be based on district records of average actual student generation rates for new developments constructed over a period of not more than five (5) years prior to the date of the fee calculation; provided that, if such information is not available in the district, the data from adjacent districts, districts with similar demographics, or county wide averages may be used."

Enumclaw School District's student generation factors are based on the 2009 average of student factors from surrounding districts in King County. See Table 7. The surrounding districts include Auburn, Issaquah, Kent, and Lake Washington.

Table 7 - Summary of Student Generation Rate (SGR)

Single Family Dwelling Unit:

	Auburn	Issaquah	Kent	Lk. Wash	Average
Elementary	0.320	0.374	0.445	0.457	0.360
Middle .	0.152	0.145	0.118	0.125	0.135
High	0.158	0.146	0.245	0.093	0.161
Total	0.630	0.665	0.808	0.675	0.695

Multi-Family Dwelling Unit:

	Auburn	Issaquah	Kent	Lk. Wash	Average
Elementary	0.079	0.102	0.296	0.132	A 152
Middle	0.034	0.049	0.075	0.049	0.052
High	0.042	0.052	0.111	0.031	0.059
Total	0.155	0.203	0.482	0.212	0.263
Section VI: Impact Fee Variables and Impact Fees

Student Factors-Single/Multi-Family

Elementary	.399/.152
Middle School	.135/.052
High School	.161/.059

Student Capacity Per Facility

Elementary	400-500
Middle School	500-550
High School	1,300

Site Acreage Site

Elementary	15
Middle School	25
High School	40

Site Cost per Acre

Elementary Middle School High School

New Facility Construction Cost

Elementary

\$ 29,000,000

~ ~

a a a

SPI Square Footage per Student

Elementary (K-5)	90	
Middle School (6-8)	117	
High School (9-12)	130	
Special Education	144	

Temporary Classroom Capacity

22
22
22

Developer Provided Sites/Facilities None

Temporary Facilities Costs Elementary Middle School High School

Permanent Square Footage

Elementary	244,960
Middle School	87,334
High School	157,519
Total	489,813

Temporary Square Footage

Elementary	15,645
Middle School	
High School	10,638
Total	26,283

Total Facilities Square Footage

Elementary	260,605
Middle School	87,334
High School	168,157
Total	516,096

School Construction State Match Local District 55.35% Current Area Cost Allowance \$168.70

District Average Assessed Value Single Family Res. \$365,722 K.C. Assessor, 3/23/09

Gen. Obligation Bond Interest Rate

Current Bond Buyer Index 4.96%

District Average Assessed Value Multi-Family Res. \$100,802 K.C. Assessor, 3/23/09 Avg. of Condos and Apts.

District Debt Service Tax Rate Current \$/1,000 \$1.07 Using the variables and formula described above, impact fees proposed for the District are summarized in Table 8. See also Appendix C.

Table 8 - School Impact Fees

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Dwelling Unit City of Black Diamond*
Single Family	\$12,462
Multi-Family	\$5,003

*To be proposed to the City of Black Diamond

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Dwelling Unit City of Enumclaw*
Single Family	\$12,462
Multi-Family	\$5,003

*To be proposed to the City of Enumclaw

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Dwelling Unit King County**
Single Family	\$7,789
Multi-Family	\$3,127

**Per Chapter 21A.43 KCC and Ordinance No. 10162

APPENDIX A OSPI COHORT SURVIVAL PROJECTIONS

Enumclaw School District 6-Year Capital Facilities Plan

May 2009

Appendix A

REPORT NO. 1049 RUN QN 10:04 NOV 24 '08

•

STATE OF WASHINGTON Superingent of Public. Instruction Olympia DETERMENATION OF PROJECTED ENROLLMENTS

BY COHORT SURVIVAL KKLINEAR PROJECTION

ENDACEAN	.S10	TRICT NO	. 216 W	ING	COUN	ITY NO. 1	p.,						
	2002	-ACTUAL	EMROLLMEN 2005	NTS ON OC 2006	TOBER FI 2007	RST 2008	AVER. & SURVIVAL	2008	2010 2010	2015 2011	376 D 2012	E N R O L 2013	LMENTS 2014
KINDERGARTEN	904	316	353	308	283	280		286	\$43	273	205	269	252
GRADE 1	562	334	000	346	297	283	\$9.51	279	285	278	272	265	258
GRADE 2	345	311	322	305	340	010	101,57	294	283	289	282	276	692
GRADE 3	347	358	319	338	308	395	101,98	616	300	682	582	288	281
GRADE 4	360	348	345	316	282	308	99,14	329	316	297	287	282	266
GRADE 5	976	358	356	347	335	338	101.72	314	335	126	302	202	282
GRADE &	406	376	376	355	342	351	101.58	343	318	340	326	202	297
K-6 HEADCOUNT	2,434	2,403	2,371	2,316	2,252	2,212		2,164	2,117	2,087	2,030	010,1	1,541
K.B W/K B 1/2	2,282	2,245	3,195	2,162	2,105	2,072		2,021	1,978	1,951	1,8.97	1,850	1,815
grade 7	417	427	378	387	376	352	103.38	363	355	022	351	337	312
GRADE 8	457	420	424	394	372	386	09.001	498	365	397	262	363	338
7-8 HEADCOUNT	874	847	202	781	842	738		742	720	687	683	469	ឲ៨៤
GRADE 9	488	541	555	428	390	374	114.1\$	434	068	402	393	366	382
grade i o	421	452	426	414	412	196	87.61	328	372	342	362	344	321
GRADE 11	333	352	350	404	363	348	25.12	324	279	317	201	40E	5 6 2
GRADE 12	283	932 2	662	341	360	335	88,65	309	287	247	281	258	266
9-12 HEADCOUNT	1,535	1,401	1,630	1,587	1,515	1,438		998.	1,328	1,308	1,317	1,243	1,269
K-12 HEADCOUNT	4,843	4,851	4,803	4,684	4,515	4,368		4,267	4,105	4,082	4,030	3,937	3, \$66

Enumelaw School District 6-Year Capital Facilities Plan

May 2009

Appendix A

APPENDIX B MODIFIED COHORT SURVIVAL PROJECTIONS

PROJECTED ENROLLMENTS

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Kindergarten	280	281	292	304	319	337
Grade 1	270	273	282	290	305	322
Grade 2	271	257	266	275	290	308
Grade 3	300	315	323	332	347	365
Grade 4	328	327	335	344	359	377
Grade 5	287	28 9	297	306	321	339
K-5 Headcount	1736	1742	1795	1851	1941	2048
Grade 6	321	317	324	331	343	355
Grade 7	338	341	347	354	364	376
Grade 8	341	347	352	357	366	377
6-8 Headcount	1000	1005	1023	1042	1073	1108
Grade 9	375	376	384	391	400	411
Grade 10	361	356	361	367	377	388
Grade 11	369	366	371	377	386	397
Grade 12	368	390	395	400	409	420
9-12 Headcount*	1473	1488	1511	1535	1572	1616
K-12 FTE						
K-12 Headcount	4209	4235	4329	4428	4586	4772

*The District uses headcount enrollment due to the fact that all-day kindergarten is uniform across the District.

٩.

~

.

APPENDIX C SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

Enumclaw School District 6-Year Capital Facilities Plan

*

May 2009

Appendix C

SCHOOL IN	DACT FEE CAL	CHILATIONS		•	1	· · ·			
SCHOOLIN		COLATIONS	•	· ·					. .
DISTRICT	Enumclow S	D #216	···· · ··········				100.0.1 1-1 man at	****	
YEAR	2009 King Co	unty	****					••••••	
		·	· · · · · ·			···· ; · ·	· · · · · · ·		
School Site	Acquisition Co	st:	Student Conor	dion Factor				1	
II/ACIESACOS	si per Acremia	capacity)	Siddeni Gener	Student	t Student				
	Facility	Cost/	Facility	Factor	Factor	 ;c	ost/	L Cost/	•
	Acreage	Acre	Capacity	SFR	MFR	SI	R	MFR	
Elementary	15.0	\$0.0	0	0.399	0.	(5 2	\$0		\$0
Middle	25.0	30:0	80() 0.13)52	\$0	:	\$0
High	40.0	\$0.0	V	J	TOTAL	,,,,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$0	: 	\$0
School Con	 struction Cost:	•	· · · · · · · · · ·	1 1	TUTAL	Ļ _	20	ļ	\$0
((Focility Co	st/Facility Cap	acity)xStudent (Generation Fac	torix(permane	ent/Total Sa	Ff)		.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Student	Student		***********	·	••••
	%Perm/	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor	<u>ic</u>	ost/	Cost/	
	fotal Sq.Ft.	Cost	Capacity	SFR	MFR	SF	R	MFR	
Elementary	94.919	5.\$.29.000.00	0) 0.399	0.1	52	\$21,964	1	8,367
Middle	04.019		Q:M	N 191		52	¢0		
High	94.919	5.5	1.200	0.16	0.0	152	<u>ېر</u> \$0		- 3 0
					TOTAL	<u> </u>	\$21,964	5	
Temporary F	Facility Cost:				······································			 `	
((Facility Co	st/Facility Cap	acity)xStudent (Generation Fac	lor]x[lempora	ry/Total Squ	are Fe	eet)	i i	
		-		Student	Student	<u> </u> C	ost/	Cost/	
	"%Temp/	Facility	Facility	factor	Factor	SF	R	MFR	
Elementary	. Joiui sq.Fi. 5 097		Size	10 TK	MFK 01	52	40	i	
Middle		\$	22	0.135	0.0	52		i	04 02
High	5.09%	. 5		0.161	0.0	59	\$0	• • • • • • •	\$0
	•				TOTAL	Г	\$0		\$0
State Matchi	ing Credit:	·· · · · · · · ·	:	:		ļ			
Boeckh Inde	ex X SPI Square	Footage X Distr	ict Motch % X \$	tudent Factor			* *		
	Boeckh	501	District	Student	Student	:	·		
1	Index	Footage	Match %	SFR	MFR	SE	R	MER	•
Elementary	\$ 168.79		0 55,35%	0,399	0.1	52	\$3,355	\$	1.278
Junior	\$ 168.79		7 0.00%	0.135	0.0	52	\$0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0
Sr. High	\$ 168.79	13	0.00%	0.161	0.0	59	\$0		\$0 [.]
					TOTAL	L	\$3.355	\$	1,278
Tay Baumer	t Cradit:	• ••	· · · · ·			••			
Averdoe As	sessed Volue	• • • •		»		31	r **********	INIFK	0.040
Capital Bon	d Interest Rate	· · . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••		•		4.96%	\$+V	4,96%
Net Present	Value of Avera	ge Dwelling	· · · · · · ·				\$2,829,502	\$77	9.881
Years Amort	ized			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••		10		÷χ
Property Tax	Levy Rote	•			- 		\$1;071	\$	1.071
	Present Value	e of Revenue Sti	eom	·		L	\$3,031		\$836
	Fee Sumary:	·····	•• ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Single	Multi-				• • •••
	Sile Acquistic	n Costs	÷	so so	.Formy	<u></u>		• •	• ·
	Permonent F	acility Cost	· • · · · · · · • • • • • • •	\$21,964		7:	**********	• . •	
	Temporary Fo	cility Cost		\$0	\$	0		·• ·	
	State Match	Credit	······································	(\$3.355)	(\$1,27	8)		•••••	• • • • •
	Tax Payment	Credit	· [(\$3.031)	(\$83	6)			
	EEE (AS CALC						····· · · ·	• •	
		1 14 43 1 201 1		15 570	6175				

.

000000		A111 A								
SCHOOL IM	PACT FEE CAL	CULATIONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································	Į .	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •			
DISTRICT	Foundaw S				· .			• •		
YEAR	2009 Cities of	Black Diamond ar	d Frunclaw					i 	~· · ·	-
· · ·				· · · · ·	† .	• •••	·····	••••••••	1	
School Site	Acquisition Co	st:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · ·						
[[AcresxCos	i per Acre}/Fac	ity Capacity)xS	ludent Gener	ation Factor			j	······································	[
			ļ	Student	Student					
	Facility	Cost/	Facility	Factor	Factor		Cost/	Cost/		
Somonian	Acreage	Acre	Copacity	ISFR	MFR		SFR	MFR		
Middle	10.UL יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	50.00		0.395	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2152	\$0		\$0	
High	40.00	\$0.00 \$0.00)	0.052	\$0		\$0	
		······································		(TOTAL	1024	\$0		\$0	
School Cons	truction Cost:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTAL			ļ	-\$0	
[[Facility Co	st/Facility Cap	city)xStudent Ge	eneration Fac	lorixipermane	nt/Total S	n Ft)			- I	
		an ar an		Student	Student	7			· · · ·	
	%Perm/	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor		Cost/	Cost/		
	Total Sq.FI.	Cost	Capacily	SFR	MFR	• •	SFR	MFR		
Elementary	9491%	\$ 29:000.000	500	0.399	(.152	\$21.964	\$8	.367	
Middle	94 919	.	enn	h 196		060		· · ·		
High	94,91%	\$	1.200	0.171	. (0.002	90 40	Na		
-	•			······································	TOTAL		\$21.964	\$0	367	
Temporary F	acility Cost:	•	:	in			94.17/04	φ ο		
([Facility Co	st/Facility Capo	city)xStudent Ge	neration Fact	or)x(Temporar	y/Total Sa	vare	Feet)			
*****	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L		Student	Student		Cosi/	Cost/	1	
	%Temp/	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor		SFR	MFR		
	Total Sa.Ft.	Cost	Size	SFR	MFR					
Elementory	5.09%	\$	22	0.399	. 0	.152	\$0		\$0	
Middle	. 5.09%	\$		0.135	0	.052	\$ 0		\$0	
ngn	3.07% i	Artonologian i	22 	0.161	0	.059	\$0		\$0	
State Matchi	J. Credit:			······································	TOTAL		\$0		\$0	
Boeckh Inde	x X SPI Soucre i		Match % X St	i dent Factor						
	- -		maion yeres	Student	Student					
••••••	Boeckh	SPI	District	Factor	Factor		Cost/	Cost/		
	Index	Footage	Malch %	SFR	MFR		SFR	MFR	•••	
Elementary	\$ 168.79	90	55.35%	0.399	0	152	\$3.355	\$1.	278	
Junior	\$ 168.79	117	0.00%	0.135	0	052	\$0		\$0	
Sr. High	\$ 168.79	130	0.00%	0.161	0	059	\$0		\$0	
	••		···· ······		TOTAL	Ĺ	\$3,355	\$1.	278	
on Denser	Cuadte		• ••• •• •• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		، ء .]	
average Arr	vreait:		• • • •		-		SFR	MFR		
Capital Band	Interest Rote	· · ·					\$365,722	\$100	802	
Net Present V	alue of Avern	e Dwelling .					\$7,970 =00	4,	76%	
ears Amorth	ed	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·			•••••	\$2,027,0UZ	\$//9.		
roperly Tax	Levy Rale	··· · ·	. :	· · · · · ·		• • •	\$1.071	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (71	
	Present Value	of Revenue Stree	י. האכ	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	ŕ	\$3.031		336	
	Fee Sumary;		•• • •• •• •• ••	Single	Multi-	· L				
				Family	Family		······			
	Site Acquistion	n Costs		\$0		\$0				
···· ·	Permanent Fa	cilily Cost		\$21.964	\$8,3	67				
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	lemporary Fa	Citity Cost	•	\$0	a se e s	\$0				
	Sigle Malch C	redit		[\$3.355]	(\$1,2	78)				
•••• • •••	iux royment (reon	• • • • • • • • •	(\$3,031)	(\$8	36)	• •			
	FFE (AS CALC)		· · · · · · · · ·	\$15 570	e / ^					
				\$10, 378	\$0,2	54				
• ••• •• ••									1	
	FEE AS DISCO	UNIED)		\$12.462	ቁና ሰ	03 '				
	FEE IAS DISCO	UNIED)	:	\$12,462	\$5,0	03	:			

Fife School District No. 417 Capital Facilities Plan 16698 2009-2014



FIFE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 417 5802 20 STREET EAST TACOMA WA 98424-2000

School Board Members

Bob Scheidt Bruce Burnside Doug Fagundes Marisa Michaud Rex Sutherland

Stephen D. McCammon, Ed.D. Superintendent

Jeff Short, Deputy Superintendent

Kari Harris, Business Manager

Fife High School, 5616 20th St E, Tacoma WA 98424 Principal: Mark Knight Assistant Principal: Brian Neufeld Dean of Students: Amanda Fox

Columbia Junior High School, 2901 54th Ave E, Tacoma WA 98424 Principal: Jeff Nelson Dean of Students: Jim Snider

Surprise Lake Middle School, 2001 Milton Way, Milton WA 98354 Principal: John McCrossin

Endeavour Intermediate School, 1304 17th Ave, Milton WA 98354 Principal: Kevin Alfano

Alice V. Hedden Elementary School, 11313 8th Street East, Edgewood WA 98372 Principal: Julia Grubiak

> **Discovery Primary School**, 1205 19th Ave, Milton WA 98354 Principal: Julie Bartlett

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

· •

• •

.

- -

. -

	Page
DISTRICT MAP	1
INTRODUCTION	2
INVENTORY OF EXISTING SCHOOL FACILITIES	3
ENROLLMENT BASE AND PROJECTION	4
STANDARD OF SERVICE	5
CAPACITY AND SPACE NEEDS	
Fife High School	6
Columbia Jr. High School	
Surprise Lake Middle School	8
Endeavour Intermediate School	9
Alice V. Hedden Elementary School	10
Discovery Primary School	11
FUTURE SCHOOL FACILITY NEEDS	
AND FINANCING	12-14
SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATION	15
APPENDIX	
Table 1. Current Facilities Inventory	A.1 Pierce Co.
Table 2. Square Feet per Student	A.2 Pierce Co.
Table 3. Individual Capacity Projects	A.2 Pierce Co.
Table 4. CFP Projects and Financing Plan	A.2 Pierce Co.
Table 5. Capital Facility Requirements to 2015	A.3 Pierce Co.
Table 6. School District Cost Per Student	A.3 Pierce Co.
Table 7. Projected Capacity to House Students	A.4 King Co.
Table 8. Six Year Finance Plan	A.4 King Co.
Table 9. Student Generation Rate Detail	A.5 King Co.



INTRODUCTION

This Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan is Fife School District's planning document prepared in compliance with the requirements of the Growth Management Act (GMA), King County and Pierce County, and cities of Fife, Milton, and Edgewood. It is designed to support the collection of school impact fees and consists of:

- (a) An inventory of existing school facilities;
- (b) An enrollment base and projection;
- (c) A standard of service;

. .

- -

-

.

- (c) A summary of school facility capacity and projected need for space;
- (d) A forecast of future school facility needs, financing, and impact fee formula.

Fife School District serves a population of over 15,000, and is located off Interstate 5, east of Tacoma, north of the Puyallup River, about ten square miles in area, and falling in both Pierce and King Counties. It includes the cities of Fife, Milton, and Edgewood, unincorporated areas of Trout Lake, Jovita, Fife Heights, and a portion of the Port of Tacoma.

The Growth Management Act authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. To collect impact fees, a local jurisdiction must have adopted a GMA school impact fee ordinance, and must approve the District's Capital Facilities Plan as a component of their comprehensive plan. The District will utilize the State Subdivision Act and the State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA) to collect mitigation fees in those jurisdictions where there is no GMA impact fee ordinance.

Existing District Facilities

Discovery Primary School (grades K-1 and preschool) Built new and opened in 1992.

Alice V. Hedden Elementary School (grades 2-5)

Built new and opened in 2001.

Endeavour Intermediate School (grades 2-5) Originally constructed as Milton Elementary School in 1951 with additions in 1953, 1955, 1958, 1962, and 1968. Modernized in 1975. Closed one year for some demolition, total modernization and addition. Reopened in 1993 as Endeavour Intermediate School.

Surprise Lake Middle School(grades 6-7)Originally constructed in 1970.Extensive modernization and addition in 1992. Main offices and Counselors offices remodeled 1998.

Columbia Junior High School(grades 8-9)Built new and opened in September2003. Performing arts auditorium, sports and athletic complex completed in 2004.

Fife High School(grades 10-12)Originally constructed in 1930 withadditional buildings and space added in 1949, 1956, 1958, 1960, 1961, 1970 and modernization in 1975. Somedemolition, extensive modernization and addition completed in 1995. Alternative High School modernized in1997.

Transportation Center Built new in 1996.

Educational Services Center Located in a portion of the old Fife Elementary School. Modernized in 1997.

INVENTORY OF EXISTING SCHOOL FACILITIES

.

						Facility	Portable	Portable	Portable
School	Capacity	Site Size				Size	Number	Capacity	Size
		(est.acres)	(sq. ft)	(sq. ft)	(sq. ft)	(sq.ft.)	(3/08)		(sq. ft.)
			(D-7)	(D-7)	(D-7)				
			New	Mod	Total				
Fife High School	705	28.86				140,193	5	110	4,480
IV Classroom			325	34,925	35,250				
V Annex			8,065	13,843	21,908				·
VI Gym			22,089	20,564	42,653				
VII Cafeteria			1,952	14,045	15,997	/			
VIII Shop			104	9,780	9.884				······································
IX Science			2.882	4,169	7.051				
Alternative School				7,450	7 450				
				-,	140 193				
					110,100				
Columbia Jr. High School	600	34.4				92 000	1	88	2 5 4 4
Classroom/Office/Gvm			92 000		92 000	02,000		00	5,044
					02,000				
Surprise Lake Middle School	530	17.23				72 176	<u>/</u>	88	3 584
Classroom/Office			518	38,599	39,116			0	
Classroom/Gym			14.072	18,988	33 060				
					72 176				
Endeavour Intermediate	530	7.045				54.058	4	88	3 584
Classroom				3.020	3 020				
Classroom/Office			12.444	6,901	19.345				
Classroom/Gym			28,700	2,993	31,693				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
					54 058				
Playshed			2 800		2 800				
			,						
Alice V. Hedden Elementary	485	14.89				51 673	2		1 770
Classroom/Office/Gym			51.673		51 673	01,070	L		1,112
Playshed			2 160		2 160				
			2,100		2,100				
Discovery Primary	485	7.045			57 047	57.047		120	5 376
Playshed			2 776		2 776	01,047			5,570
			,,,0		2,110				····
TOTAL	3.335	109.47				467 147	25	550	22 3/0
				· · ·					22,540
TOTAL CORE AND									
PORTABLE CAPACITY	3.885								

.

ENROLLMENT BASE AND PROJECTION

The District uses the enrollment projections provided by Washington State Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) as a base. The projections are based on the "Cohort Survival Method" which computes progressive ratios for each grade level and averages those ratios over the past five years. The average ratio is then multiplied by the actual current year's enrollment using October headcount for each grade to project the enrollment in the next grade for the next year. The Cohort Survival Method uses past enrollment indicators to predict future growth, however, it does not account for anticipated growth due to new residential and commercial construction in the Fife/Milton area. For example, there are over 200 planned single family housing starts and over 140 planned multifamily units within our school district's boundary, expected to generate an additional 116 new students. Despite a down-turn in the economy, we continue to experience growth as evidenced by the table below. Actual enrollment growth over the past ten years averaged approximately 2.19% per year, and 1.68% per year over the past five years. For the next six years, the Cohort Survival Method predicts an increased average growth rate of 3.01% as shown below.

ENROLLM	ENT*	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09**	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
FHS												
	10	273	286	279	297	294	305	248	265	292	314	306
	11	286	277	287	312	280	302	313	255	272	300	322
	12	270	297	301	284	306	286	306	317	258	275	304
Total	#	829	860	867	893	880	893	867	837	822	889	932
COLUMBIA												
	8	261	270	276	290	250	253	279	300	293	338	303
	9	304	279	292	311	300	255	272	300	323	315	364
Total	#	565	549	568	601	550	508	551	600	616	653	667
											_	
SLMS												
	6	247	265	247	246	261	280	273	315	283	305	355
	7	263	252	276	261	250	267	287	280	323	290	313
Total	#	510	517	523	507	511	547	560	595	606	595	668
FUEFAVOUE		-[
ENDEAVOUR												
	2	93	117	123	142	1.34	144	169	177	180	182	185
	3	110	104	128	130	153	136	147	171	180	183	185
	4	109	116	101	148	136	157	141	152	176	186	189
Tetal	5	130	113	126	121	152	139	161	144	156	181	191
Totar	#	442	450	478	541	575	576	618	644	692	732	750
NEDDEN												
NEDDEN		110	445	110	104	105						
	2	110	115	112	121	105	114	132	139	141	143	146
	-3	99	102	118	111	127	107	115	134	141	143	145
	5	113	414	108	117	100	123	110	119	139	146	148
Total		100	442	427	460	119	110	126	114	122	142	150
iotai		430	442	421	400	451	404	463	506	543	5/4	589
DISCOVERY												
PS sections		5	3	3	3							
1 0 000000	<u></u>	206	227	100	222	277	277	201		3	3	
	1	200	217	246	200	252	211	201	200	209	294	298
Total K-1	' #	427	444	445	452	530	558	295	500	502	509	313
					452			576		293	603	011
Total K-12	#	3209	3262	3308	3454	3407	3536	3655	3767	3870	4046	1217
FTE		1				3337 80					4040	4217
% Increase	#	- 62%	1 65%	1 41%	4 41%	1 24%	1 12%	3 37%	3 07%	2 70%	1 170/	1 220/
Avg Growth/Ye	ar			1.1170		1.68%	1.12.70	0.07 /0	3.07 %	2.13/0	4.41 /0	4.2370

Headcount (rather than FTE) is used as a more appropriate indicator for enrollment and capacity needs. Part-time students (less than 1 full FTE) require seating space and program resources as though full-time. Many kindergarten students now attend fulltime as well and thus require full-time seating space.

** Actual enrollment based on October student headcount through the 08-09 school year.

STANDARD OF SERVICE

Fife School District, as written in its mission statement, is committed to providing a safe and caring environment, which ensures that all students will learn. And, as a Standard Bearer District, Fife is a leader in school reform and committed to providing our students highly engaging, meaningful, challenging, and satisfying work. The District is committed to achieving a high standard of learning for our students, as detailed in each of the six school building improvement plans. Keeping class sizes at an optimal level is a critical component in reaching these goals. Due to incredible community support, the District is able to set this standard at approximately 20 students per class, with first priority at the primary grade levels (K - 4). Students are provided traditional basic education programs which include reading, writing, math, social studies, science, physical education, health, music and art. In addition there are scheduled times in computer labs and a number of special programs such as special populations. These special programs significantly affect school capacity by the need for separate space, scheduling complications, mandated program requirements, and population changes. Rooms designed for special use are not counted as classrooms.

CAPACITY AND SPACE NEEDS

Washington Administrative Code (WAC) sets factors determining a school's eligibility to receive state-matching funds for school construction. One factor is "square feet per student" set at 90 in grades K-6, 108 in grades 7 and 8, and 130 in grades 9-12. These space allocations are part of a funds allocation model and do not reflect the true space needed to carry out the instructional program. Fife's actual K - 12 average square feet per student is 134.

Fife School District has chosen to determine **actual program capacity** by surveying each school, reviewing with each school principal how the teaching spaces are being used, and the number of teaching staff assigned. Projections of space needs are based on the assumption of adding a teacher and classroom space for approximately each additional 18 to 22 students dependent upon grade level. *This does not account for additional space needed for special programs and support services such as library, gym, athletics, kitchen, bathrooms, storage, etc.* To reflect current programming needs and actual use of facility spaces, the District is finalizing participation in a community-wide study and survey. The survey results and any changes to facility capacities will be reflected in future updates to this Plan.

Previous and current survey information used to determine current and future capacity for each school is summarized on the following charts by buildings. The charts include:

- 1. Enrollment by grade level headcount from the 2004-2005 school year through the 2014-15 year. Preschool information is by sections rather than headcount.
- 2. Planned capacity when the building was designed.

.

- 3. Teachers currently assigned and projected to be assigned under the District's standard of service.
- 4. Permanent rooms, including special program areas.
- 5. Portable classrooms (including the number of additional classrooms needed).

Because space needs are driven, in part, by the number of teachers available, future projections can be significantly impacted by availability of state and local funds. A levy failure or other severe budget impact may temporarily reduce the number of teachers, thus increasing class sizes and reducing the need for additional classrooms. When funding levels are restored, teachers will be re-hired to return class sizes to District standards. However, in the absence of budget set-backs, the number of teachers needed (and the number of classrooms required) will increase as District enrollment continues to grow.

.

FIFE HIGH SC	HOOL			(bas	ed on Dis	trict-adius	ted 10/08 \$	SPI enrollm	ent project	tione	<u> </u>	
					[1	T	T		1000s)	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Enrollment*		04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11.12	12.12		
10		273	286	279	297	294	4 305	248	265	12-13	13-14	14-15
11	<u> </u>	286	277	287	312	28(2 302	313	255	272	300	200
12		270	297	301	284	300	5 286	306	317	258	276	322
total 10-1	2 #	829	860	867	893	880	893	867	837	822	210	022
FTE						864.40	,					932
Plan Capacity	705			— <u>————</u> _		·	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
				1			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Teachers						45	45	43	42	41	45	47
												<u> </u>
Rooms	# Avail					Use	Proj	Proj	Proi	Proi	Proi	Broi
IV Classrm												
Up Clsrm	7					7	7	7	7	7	7	
Down Clsrm	1					1	1	1	1		1	
Sp. Ed.	2					2	2	2	2	2	2	
Home Ec.	1					1	1	1	1			2
Lib. Comp Lab	1					1	1	1	1		1	
Basic Lab	1					1	1	1	1	1		
V Annex												
Up Clsrm	1					1	1	1				
Down Clsrm	9					9	9					
VI Gym												9
Clsrm	1					1	1					
Gym	2					2	2	2		2	<u> </u>	
Wrest/Weight	1					1		1				
VII Café					+							
Music	1					1	1	1				
VIII Shop												
Art	1					1						
Wood	1					1	1	1				
Metal	1					1	1	1	1			
IX Science/Ag	3					3	3	3	3			
Alt H.S.	1					1	1	1	1		$-\frac{3}{1}$	
(East) Classroom	4					4	4	4	4			
total	39					39	39	39	39	39		
Classrooms				2258 3		6	6	4	3	- 2	CO CO	J9
Portables	<u></u>	desita da							1.1926 (1955).		2	
Future						5	5	4	3	2	5	5
Total	44					1	1				1	3
note: 4 period day/1	teachern		<u>.</u>			45	45	43	42	41	45	47
Storage Container			<u> </u>							T		
		L_				3	3	3	3	3	3	3

*Headcount

..

COLUMBIA JUNIOF	RHIGH		(based or	District	-adjusted	10/08 SI	Pl enrolim	nent proje	ections)		
	r}	T					T					
Enrollmont**		04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
Enronment				00-07	01-00	00-00						
0		261	270	276	290	250	253	279	300	293	338	303
8		201	270	210	311	300	255	272	300	323	315	364
9		504	540	569	601	550	508	551	600	616	653	667
I OTAI 8-9	#					546 52						
FIE										·		
Diama Compatibut ****	<u></u>				·							
Flamoapacity	000				<u> </u>							·
Teochoro						29	25	28	30	31	33	33
reachers					<u> </u>							
Rooms	# Avail					Use	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj
Special Ed	3					3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Science	4				1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Chorus	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Band	1				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Drama			·		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Art	1				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Technology	1				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Auditorium	0				1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Computer	1				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Library						1	1					
Classrooms	15	[1	14	10	13	15	15	15	15
Gym	2					2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Weight Room	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Tota	30					29	25	28	30	30	30	30
Classrooms.						0	0	0	0	1	3	3
Portables	4	a sa ang pananang pang	ne ne region con a do se Ne	Constant Section	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	3
Future	*			1		0	0	0	0	0	0	D
	+			1	1	1						
Tota	1 34	1		1		29	25	28	30	31	33	33
×			<u> </u>	1	1	1						
Note: 6 period day	1 teacher	r prep peri	od				1					
						<u> </u>	- 	ļ	_		<u> </u>	
Storage Container	s		}	}						1		

*Opened Fall 2003

**Headcount

***Even though the Plan Capacity of Columbia Junior High is listed at 600, the actual regular capacity of the facility is less than 600 due to the programming needs at the school and the actual use of classroom spaces. As such, the District anticipates capacity needs at this school during the six year planning period. The District is finalizing a facility study and survey of all District facilities. The survey results and any changes to facility capacities will be reflected in future updates to this Plan.

· · ·

. .

- -

•

•

SURPRISE LAKE MIDDLE SCHOOL (based on District-adjusted 10/08 SPI enrollment projections)												
Enroliment*		04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
6		247	265	247	246	261	280	273	315	283	305	355
7		263	252	276	261	250	267	287	280	323	290	313
Total 6-7	#	510	517	523	507	511	547	560	595	606	595	668
FTE						508.08						
Plan Capacity	530											
Teachers						26	27	28	30	30	30	33
Rooms	# Avail					Use	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj
ESL	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Science	3					3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Drama	1											
Shop	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Art	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Choir/Band	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Library lab	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Gym	2					2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Wrestling	0											
Classrooms	15					15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Sp. Ed.	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
LAP	0											
total	26					26	26	26	26	26	26	26
Classrooms Needed						0	1	2	4	4	4	7
Portables	4					0	1	2	4	4	4	4
Future						0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Total	30	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			26	27	28	30	30	30	33
Note: 7 períod dav/	1 teacher	prep perio	od ·									
ponod duy		Frob bour	-									
Storage Containers	5					2	2	2	2	2	2	2

*Headcount

ENDEAVOUR	_		(based on District-adjusted 10/08 SPI enrollment projections)												
INTERMEDIATI	E		بر 		n Distric	ct-adjust	ted 10/0	8 SPI er	rolimer	nt projec	tions)				
Enrollment*		04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08.00	00.40	40.44							
					07-00	00-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15			
2		93	117	127	142	134	1 14	160	17	7 10					
3	1	110	104	133	130	153	136	147	17		18:	2 185			
4		109	116	117	148	136	157	141	15	1 180	18	185			
5	1	130	113	112	121	152	130	161	10	1/0		189			
Total 2-	5 #	442	450	489	541	575	576	618	64	+ 150	735	191			
FTE						573.52	2			1 092	132	750			
Plan Capacity	530	Y. Astr									†				
Teachers						30	30	33	34	36	39	39			
Rooms	# Avail					11	Dural								
Sp. Ed.	2		<u>+</u>			Use 	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj			
Lab	1	┼───┼					2	2	2	2	2	2			
ESL	1	<u>├</u>				- 1		1	1	1	1	1			
Title I/Lap	2								1	1	1	1			
Art	1					- 1	- 2	- 2	<u>2</u>	2	2	2			
Music	1					1	1			1	1	1			
Gym	1	├───-}-				1				1	1	1			
Classrooms	21					21	21	21	21	21		1			
total	30					30	30	30	30	$\frac{21}{30}$	30	21			
Classical	Service Andrews	BERGERSTON CON 187													
Needed						_0	0	3	4	6	9	9			
Portables*	4					0									
Future						0	0	0	0	2		4			
Total															
*Share Discovery I	Portables					30		33	34	36	39	39			
T															
Storage Containe	rs					1		1		1					
							·			1		1			

*Headcount

- .

.

,

.

ALICE V. HEDD	EN		(based on District-adjusted 10/08 SPI enroliment projections)											
										T				
Enrollment**	ļ	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15		
2		116	115	112	121	105	11/	132	120	144				
3		99	102	118	111	121	107	115	139	141	143	146		
4		113	114	108	117	106	123	110	110	130	143	145		
5		108	111	89	111	119	110	126	114	122	140	148		
Total 2-5	#	436	442	427	460	451	454	483	506	543	574	590		
FTE						450.63						009		
								<u> </u>						
Rlan Gapacity***	485													
Teachers						25	25	26	26	29	30	31		
Rooms	# Avail					Use	Proi	Proi	Proi	Proi	Proi	Proi		
Sp. Ed.	1					1	1	1	1	1	1			
ESL	1					1	1	1	1	1	1			
Lap	2	<u> </u>				2	2	2	2	2	2	- 2		
Comp. Lab	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Music	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Art	1					1	1	1	1	1	1			
Gym	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Classrooms	18					17	17	18	18	18	18	18		
total	26					25	25	26	26	26	26	26		
Classrooms Needed						0	0	0	0	3	4	5		
Portables	2					0	0	0	0	2	2	2		
Future						0	0	0	0	1	2	3		
Total	28					25	25	26	26	29	30	31		
Storage Containe	ers													

*Opened Fall 2001.

**Headcount

***Even though the Plan Capacity of Alice V. Hedden Elementary is listed at 485, the actual regular capacity of the facility is less than 485 due to the programming needs at the school and the actual use of classroom spaces. As such, the District anticipates capacity needs at this school during the six year planning period. The District is finalizing a facility study and survey of all District facilities. The survey results and any changes to facility capacities will be reflected in future updates to this Plan.

DISCOVERY PRIMARY				(based	on Dist	rict-adjus	ted 10/08	SPI enro	ollment pr	ojections)		
Enroliment*		04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
Birth to 3 space												
PS sections		5	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Includes preschool	slots											
K		206	227	199	233	277	277	281	285	289	294	298
1		221	217	246	219	253	281	295	300	304	309	313
Total K-1		427	444	445	452	530	558	576	585	593	603	611
FTE						394.65						
Plan Capacity	485	(Includes	Presche	ool)								
Teachers												
Teachers						29	31	32	33	33	34	34
Pre-School						3	3	3	3	3	3	3
total						32	34	35	36	36	37	37
Rooms	# Avail					Use	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj	Proj
Pre-Sch	3					3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Sp. Ed.	2				•	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Title I	2					2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Music	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
ESL/LAP	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Gym	1					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Classrooms	19					19	19	19	19	19	19	19
total	29					29	29	29	29	29	29	29
Classrooms Needed						3	5	6	7	7	8	8
Portables**	6					3	5	6	6	6	6	6
Future						0	0	0	1	1	2	2
Total	35					32	34	35	36	36	37	37
**Share Endeavour	Portables	3										01
Storage Containers	3					2	2	2	2	2	2	2

*Headcount

. .

FUTURE SCHOOL FACILITY NEEDS AND FINANCING

A survey and study was completed in July 1997, and revised April 2000. Based on those projections, the district received voter authorization to build 2 new schools (elementary and junior high schools) to meet projected enrollment growth. The Alice V. Hedden Elementary School was built and occupied in September 2001, adding capacity for 485 students. However, there were still approximately 350 secondary students occupying portables on sites throughout the District. Existing core facilities and support space at the secondary grade levels (bathrooms, cafeteria, gym, special programs, etc) remained over capacity for 600 students. The balance of the project (entry road and parking lot) was completed during the fall of 2006. The grade configuration at the high school was changed to grade levels 10-12, eliminating the need to build a new and costly high school. The District plans to expand the existing high school within the next six years to provide additional student capacity. Primary grade levels remain as grades K - 5. The middle and junior high schools now serve grades 6 - 9. As a result of these two new schools, the District has the classroom space to meet current capacity needs, and enable the Fife School District to maintain a high Standard of Service and commitment to our students and community.

To reflect current building conditions, as well as capacity needs, the District is finalizing participation in a community-wide study and survey. The survey results and any changes to facility capacity and needs will be reflected in future updates to this Plan.

Despite a one-year drop in the 2004-05 school year enrollment, all indicators point to steady enrollment growth. New residential developments and general population changes are projected to account for between 600 and 750 additional students within the next six years. As core facilities become overcrowded, the District will continue to utilize portable classrooms to accommodate student growth on a temporary basis until enrollment is sufficient to occupy a new school. The need for space is based on the practical capacity of existing facilities and true space needed to carry out a full instructional program. This differs from space allocation used in the funding formula to determine a school district's eligibility for state-matching funds. For example, at the elementary level, Fife School District provides 105.41 square feet per student compared to 90 in the state formula. The National average is 110.

Future Classroom Needs

. .

Projected classrooms needed, (including those for preschool), are shown below. In addition to classrooms, there is additional space needed for support services such as special programs, gym, cafeteria, storage, bathrooms, etc. These projections for future classrooms are based simply on past enrollment statistics through the 2008-09 school year. Since this forecast is conservative, the plan will be revised as necessary to account for anticipated residential development.

	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
FHS	7	5	5	6	6	6	4	3	2	6	8
COLUMBIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3
SLMS	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4	4	7
ENDEAVOUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	6	9	9
HEDDEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		5
DISCOVERY	0	0	0	0	3	5	6	7	7	8	8
Classrooms Needed	7	5	5	6	9	12	15	18	23	34	40

Addition to Sr Hi 14-15

Current Building Conditions

Since modernization began in 1992, all District facilities have been completely modernized and/or built new. This includes the new Columbia Junior High and Alice V. Hedden Elementary Schools, Discovery Primary, Fife Transportation Center as well as remodels/additions to Endeavour Intermediate, Surprise Lake Middle School, Fife High School, and the District Administration Office. To reflect current building conditions, as well as capacity needs, the District is finalizing participation in a community-wide study and survey. The survey results and any changes to facility capacities and needs will be reflected in future updates to this Plan.

School Construction Plans

1996-1997	Survey and study (completed)
1998-1999	Plan for schools (completed)
1999-2000	Plan and request bond issue for schools (approved February 2000)
2000-2001	Build/occupy Hedden Elementary (completed 2001)
2001-2006	Build/occupy Columbia Junior High (occupied 2003)
2007-2008	Add modular classrooms at Hedden and Columbia
2007-2009	Survey and Study (in process)
2010-2012	Plan for senior high school addition and request bond issue
2013-2015	Build/occupy new addition

Cost

. .**.**

Alice V. Hedden Elementary Modular Classrooms - Alice V. Hedden Elementary School was constructed on a 14.89 acre site located in Edgewood and opened in September 2001 with a capacity of 485 students. Final cost was \$11,100,000. Due to continued enrollment growth two new portable classrooms were added for use during the 2007-08 school year. Costs are almost finalized, and are estimated at \$331,867 or about \$165,934 per classroom.

Columbia Junior High School Modular Classrooms - Columbia Junior High School was constructed in Fife as part of a joint cooperative effort with the City of Fife. The total site encompasses 34.4 acres, with the City of Fife providing 27.1 acres and the District providing an additional 7.3 acres. In return for receiving the 27.1 acres valued at approximately \$1.85 million, the District built additional park and athletic facilities. Usage, operations, and maintenance expenses will be shared according to interlocal agreements signed by the two agencies. The school has a capacity for 600 students and opened in September 2003, with final project completion during the fall of 2006. Final construction costs were \$25,398,269. Due to continued enrollment growth four new modular classrooms were added for use during the 2007-08 school year. Costs are almost finalized, and are estimated at \$633,829 or about \$158,457 per classroom.

Fife Senior High Addition - Preliminary plans call for a \$23,671,104 expansion of the present high school within the next 6 years to accommodate growing secondary enrollments. The existing five portable classrooms will be removed to make way for the new 320 student addition.

Funding - The primary funding source for the Alice V. Hedden and Columbia Junior High School modular classrooms were school impact fees. The primary funding source for the Fife Senior High School addition will be Voted General Obligation Bonds, with impact fees providing an additional funding source. The District's last bond issue, a \$35 million dollar bond issue, was approved by the voters on February 29, 2000 to construct the two new schools. The Senior High addition will need voter authorization for \$24 million in additional bonds. Impact fees will be collected and applied to all three projects. Due to inadequate state funding levels, the discrepancy still exists between the "square feet per student" used in the state formula and the actual space needed to provide a full instructional program with support services. Therefore, the District does not expect to qualify for matching funds for the Fife Senior High addition.

Impact Fees

Impact fees are calculated on the basis of the facilities needed to house students from new residential development. Impact fees for Fife School District are shown on the following page. Student Generation Rates (SGR) were updated in 2009, and are based on an analysis of single and multiple-family development projects constructed between 2004 through 2008 within

Fife School District boundaries. The results were updated with 2009 student address data. (See Appendix Table 9.) Based on this most recent study, the single-family rate is calculated at \$2,903. The multiple-family rate is calculated at \$1,660.

New Capacity Needs and Financing Summary

.

As demonstrated in the tables on pages 6-11, the District currently has capacity to serve 1,500 students at the elementary (preschool -5^{th} grade) level, 1,130 students at the middle/junior high school level (grades 6-9), and 705 students at the high school (grades 10-12) level. Current enrollment at each grade level is identified in the tables on pages 6-11. The District is currently over capacity at the elementary level by 56 students, under capacity at the middle/junior high school level by 69 students, and over capacity at the high school level by 175 students.

Based on the District's student generation rates, the District expects that .398 students will be generated from each new single family home in the District and that .219 students will be generated from each new multi-family dwelling unit.

- To address existing and future capacity needs, the District's six-year construction plan includes the following capacity projects:
 - Construction of new capacity and remodel of Fife High School.
 - Modular classrooms were added to both Columbia Junior High and Hedden Elementary sites during the 2007-2008 time period to ease crowding.

Based upon the District's capacity and enrollment projections, the District determined that the majority of its capacity improvements are necessary to serve students generated by new development, with the remaining additional capacity required to address existing needs.

The school impact fee formula ensures that new development only pays for the cost of the facilities necessitated by new development. The fee calculations examine the costs of housing the students generated by each new single family dwelling unit (or each new multi-family dwelling unit) and then reduces that amount by the anticipated state match and future tax payments. The resulting impact fee is then discounted by 50%. Thus, by applying the student generation factor to the school project costs, the fee formula only calculates the costs of providing capacity to serve each new dwelling unit. The formula does not require new development to contribute the costs of providing capacity to address existing needs.

School Impact Fee Calculation District: FIFE

I

School Site	Acquisitio	n Cost:					••••			<u> </u>			
((AcresxCos	st per Acre)/	Facility Can	acitvia	xStuder	nt Ge	neration I	Factor						
1		, oup				Student		Studer	nt		Cale	ulatod	
	Facility	Cost/		Facilit	v	Factor		Factor			Cost/	uialeu	Cost/
	Acreage	Acre		Size	,	SFR		MFR			SFR		MER
Elementary	/ 0.0	0			485		0.189)	0.081	\$		\$	
Jr. High	-0.0	0			600		0.097	,	0.073	ŝ	-	ŝ	_
Sr. High	0.0	0			320		0.112	l.	0.065	\$	-	\$	-
	1.000.000 / 2.0000 / 2.00000 (A	972, 1991, 979, 979, 974, 975, 576, 576, 576, 576, 576, 576, 576, 5						TOTAL		\$	-	T\$	
School Con	struction C	ost:					0.398		0.219	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
((Facility Co	st/Facility C	apacity)xSti	udent	Genera	tion I	actor)x(p	erman	ent/Total	Sq Ft)				
						Student		Studen	it i				
	%Perm/	Facility		Facility	/	Factor		Factor		Cost/		Cost/	
	Total Sq.I	FICost		Size		SFR		MFR		SFR		MFR	
Elementary	95.449	ó: •••	\$0		485		0.189		0.081	\$	-	\$	-
Jr. High	95.44%	0	\$0		600		0.097		0.073	\$	-	\$	-
Sr. High	95.44%	6 \$23,671	104		320		0.112		0.065	\$	7,907.10	\$	4,588.94
								TOTAL		\$	7,907.10	\$	4,588.94
Temporary	Facility Cos	st:											
((Facility Cos	st/Facility C	apacity)xStu	ident (Generat	tion F	actor)x(T	empor	ary/Total	Square	Feet)			
						Student		Studen	t	Cost/		Cost/	
	%Portable	e/Facility		Facility	/	Factor		Factor		SFR		MFR	
	Total Sq.F	-1 Cost		Size		SFR		MFR					
Elementary	4.56%	D	\$0		44		0.189		0.081	\$	-	\$	-
Jr. High	4.56%))	\$0		88		0.097		0.073	\$	-	\$	-
Sr. High	4.56%	0	×\$0		22		0.112		0.065	\$	-	\$	-
								TOTAL		\$	-	\$	-
State Match	ing Credit:												
Boeckh Inde:	x X SPI Squ	are Footage	e X St	ate Mat	ch %	X Studer	nt Fact	or					
						Student		Studen	t				
	Boeckh	SPI		State		Factor		Factor		Cost/		Cost/	(
	Index	Footage		Match	%	SFR		MFR		SFR		MFR	
Elementary	168.79) 	0.0	0.0	0%		0.189		0.081	\$	-	\$	-
Jr. High	168.79)	0.8	0.0	0%		0.097		0.073	\$	-	\$	-
Sr. High	168.75		SU; U	્રિપ્	0%		0.112		0.065	\$	-	\$	
								TOTAL		\$	-	\$	-
Tax Paymen	t Gredit:									SFR		MFR	
Average As	sesseu va	lue								\$3	12,242.00	\$1	88,453.00
Net Present	Value of /		valling	-						* • •	4.96%	• • •	4.96%
Years Amor	tized	verage Dr	A GINU Ó	J						\$ 2,4	15,740.32	\$ 1,4	58,014.97
Property Ta	uzeu x Levv Rat	P								¢	10	¢	10
. Topony ra	Present V	alue of Rev	مانامه	Stroop	m				ł	ф Ф	0.87	<u></u>	0.87
	Foo Sum	2800 OF 1161	renue	ouca	11	Cinal		N.A. 141.		φ	2,101.69	Þ	1,268.47
		ary.				Single	; - 	worth	ne -				1
	Site Acqui	ction Cost				ram r	нy	ram	my				
	Dermonen	t Eacility C	o o o t			Φ Φ 700	-	ф Ф 4 5 6	-				
	Temporan	r Facility C	oct			ቁ 7,90 ቁ	7.10	ቅ 4,50 ድ	58.94				1
	State Mate	h Credit	051			ዋ ድ	-	¢ \$	-				
	Tax Pavm	ent Credit				Ψ \$ (^) 4 C	-	φ ¢ (4 ο 6	- 47				
	Sub-total	on orduit				Ψ (∠, 10 \$ 5 5 5	5 10	ψ (1,20	0.47				
	Local Sha	e				φ 0,00 \$ 200	2.40	ψ 3,32 \$ 1 66	0,47				
	District Dis	count				Ψ Ζ,Ου	2.10	φ 1,00 \$.23				
	FEE				Г	\$	2 903	\$	1 660			VE70	2000
							-,000	*	.,			1 LAK	2003

15

.

Fife School District Current Facilities Inventory The inventory of current Instructional Facilities includes the following:

	Capacity*	
Name	(Number of Students)	Location
FIFE		
<u>Elementary</u> Discovery Primary	485	1205 – 19 th Avenue, Milton WA 98354
Hedden Elementary	485	11313 8 th Street East, Edgewood WA 98372
Endeavour Intermediate	530	1304 – 17th Avenue, Milton WA 98354
<u>Middle/Junior</u> Surprise Lake Middle School	530	2001 Milton Way, Milton WA 98354
Columbia Jr. High School	600	2901 54 th Avenue East, Tacoma, WA 98424
<u>Senior</u> Fife High School	<u>705</u>	5616 - 20 Street East, Tacoma, WA 98424
TOTAL	3,335	

* These capacity numbers exclude portable classroom facilities.

-A.1-Pierce County

Public School Facilities (Square Feet per Actual Student Headcount)

<u></u>	a stadom Hoddooull)	
Elementary	Middle/Jr	Senior High
Schools (1)	Schools (2)	School
105.41	154.26	159.31
	Elementary Schools (1) 105.41	ElementaryMiddle/JrSchools (1)Schools (2)105.41154.26

(1) Includes Discovery @ 107.64, Hedden @ 114.57 and Endeavour @ 94.01.

.

(2) Includes Surprise Lake Middle School @ 141.25 and Columbia @ 167.27.

Appendix Table 3

Public School Facilities

Individual	Capacity	^v Projects
------------	----------	-----------------------

Name	Capacity
Senior High Addition	320

Appendix Table 4

Public School Facilities CFP Projects and Financing Plan Sources and Uses of Funds

Sources/Uses	2005-2015
Sources of Funds:	
Existing Revenue:	261,840
	,
New Revenue:	
Bonds, Not approved	24,000,000
Impact Fees	1,100,888
Total Sources:	25,100,888
	, , ,
Use of Funds:	
Capacity Projects:	
Senior Hi Addition	23,671,104
Sub Total	23,671,104
Non-Capacity Projects:	1,429,784
Sub Total	1,429,784
	, ,
Total Costs	25,100,888
Balance:	
Surplus or (Deficit)	0
······	

-A.2-Pierce County

Time Period	Student	Student	Net Reserve	Dollar Cost @	
	Population/	Capacity	or	\$ per Student	
	Student Demand		Deficiency	-	
2008-09 Actual	3,497	3,335	-162	-\$ 7,516,152*	
2008-09 to 2014-15 Growth	2008-09 to 2014-15 4,217 Growth		-562	-\$ 26,074,552*	

Public School Facilities Capital Facility Requirements to 2014-15

* Calculated using cost per student (Table 6) avg. \$46,396 X deficiency.

Appendix Table 6

Public School Facilities School District Cost per Student Headcount

District Name	Elementary	Junior High	Senior High		
	School	School	School		
Fife	\$22,887	\$42,330	\$73,972		

Elementary School: calculated using actual Hedden Elementary cost of \$11,100,000 ÷ 485 (actual capacity). Jr. High School: calculated using actual Columbia Jr. High cost of \$25,398,269 ÷ 600 (actual capacity).

Sr. High School: calculated using construction manager estimate of \$23,671,104 ÷ 320 (projected capacity).

-A.3-Pierce County

		A CTTV TO	HOUSE S	TUDENTS	10.12	2013-14	2014-15	
PROJ	ECTS CAP	ACIT TO	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-11		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010					
New Addition			1225	3335	3335	3335	3655	
	3335	3335	3335			0	-5**	
Core Capacity	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	-110	
Portable # Change	0 0	0	0	Ū			440	
Portable Capacity Change			660	550	550	550	4-10	
	550	550	220			2005	4095	
Portable Capacity			3885	3885	3885	3000		
Core + Portable Capacity	3885	3885	5005	2767	3872	4046	4217	
Core i roma	> 3497	3536	3655	5101			177	
Projected Enrollment (Headcount	388	349	230	118 -432	13 -537	-161 -711	-562	
Surplus Capacity with Portables	-162	-201	-320	102			t addition	
Surplus Capacity w/o Portables	_		Tife H	ligh School	, replaced t	by permanent addition.		
the Demoval of	5 portable of	classrooms	from File E	IIBII Delle				
** Reilloval V	- 1							



-A.4-King County

	Total Pierce and King County SGR	King County SGR	Pierce County SGR	Grade	SF Combined	MF Combined
SINGLE FAMILY						
				ĸ	21	0
Elementary K through 5	0.189	0.220	0.186	1	23	2
Middle School 6 through 8	0.097	0.136	0.094	2	27	1
High School 9 through 12	0.112	0.169	0.107	3	32	5
		•		4	13	0
Total	0.398	.525	0.386	5	22	2
				6	21	2
MULTIPLE FAMILY				7	27	4
				8	23	3
				9	26	2
Elementary K through 5	0.081	0.000	0.081	10	19	3
Middle School 6 through 8	0.073	0.000	0.073	11	20	1
High School 9 through 12	0.065	0.000	0.065	12	17	2
				Total	291	27
iotal	0.219	0.000	.218			
				Total		
				Units	732	124

.

•

- -

-

2009 Fife School District Student Generation Rates*

*Note: These student generation rates are based on new residential development for the five year period 2004 through 2008.

16.698

Les en la servici de la constance de la constan

Auburn School District No. 408

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015



AUBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 408

915 Fourth Street NE Auburn, Washington 98002

(253) 931-4900

Serving Students in: Unincorporated King County City of Auburn City of Algona City of Kent City of Pacific

BOARD of DIRECTORS

Janice Nelson

Ray Vefik

Carol Helgerson

Lisa Conners

Craig Schumaker

Dennis Kip Herren, Superintendent

• 3 2 **AUBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT** "Avenue to Excellence"

Table of Contents

Section I	Executive Summary	Page 1
Section II	Enrollment Projections	Page 6
Section III	Standard of Service	Page 8
Section IV	Inventory of Facilities	Page 15
Section V	Pupil Capacity	Page 18
Section VI	Capital Construction Plan	Page 21
Section VII	Impact Fees	Page 24
Section VIII	Appendices	Page 28
	Appendix A.1 - Student Enrollment Projections Page 29	
	Appendix A.2 - Capital Facilities Plan Projections Page 43	
	Appendix A.3 - Student Generation Survey Page 48	



Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015

I. Executive Summary

This Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan (the "Plan") has been prepared by the Auburn School District (the "District") as the District's principal planning document, in compliance with the requirements of Washington's Growth Management Act and the adopted ordinances of the counties and cities served by the District. This plan was prepared using data available in the spring of 2009.

This Plan is consistent with prior long-term capital facilities plans adopted by the District. However, this Plan is not intended to be the sole plan for all of the District's needs. The District may prepare interim and periodic long-range Capital Facilities Plans consistent with Board Policies and actions, taking into account a longer or a shorter time period; other factors and trends in the use of facilities; and other needs of the District as may be required. However, any such plan or plans will be consistent with this Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan.

To enable the collection of impact fees in the unincorporated areas of King County and within the City of Auburn and City of Kent; the King County Council, the City of Auburn and the City of Kent will adopt this Plan by reference as part of each jurisdiction's respective comprehensive plan. To enable the collection of impact fees in the Cities of Algona and Pacific, these municipalities must also adopt this Plan and adopt school impact fee ordinances.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Growth Management Act and the local ordinances, the Plan will be updated on an annual basis, and any changes in the fee schedule(s) adjusted accordingly.

The Plan establishes the District's "standard of service" in order to ascertain the District's current and future capacity. While the State Superintendent of Public Instruction establishes square footage guidelines for capacity, those guidelines do not account for the local program needs of the District. The Growth Management Act and the school impact fee ordinance authorize the District to define its standard of service based on the District's specific needs. In general, the District's current standard provides that class size for grades K-2 should not exceed 25 students; class size for grades 3-4 should not exceed 27 students; class size for grades 5 should not exceed 30 students. When averaged over the six elementary grades, this computes to 26.5 students per classroom. Class size for grades 6-12 should not exceed 30 students, with some subject areas restricted to lesser numbers. (See Section III for more specific information.)

2
The capacity of the schools in the District is calculated based on this standard of service and the existing inventory of facilities including transitional classrooms. The District's 2008-09 capacity was 13,743 whereas Full Time Equivalent ("FTE") enrollment for this same period was 14,018.83 (includes Full Day Kindergarten). The actual number of individual students was 14,703 as of October 1, 2008. (See Section V for more specific information.)

The capital construction plan shown in Section VI addresses the additions and proposed modernization to the District's existing facilities. This provided for a new high school approved by the voters in February 2003 and opened in September 2005; and the addition of two new elementary schools approved by the voters in February 2005; with Lakeland Hills Elementary opening in the Fall of 2006 and Arthur Jacobsen Elementary opening in the Fall of 2007. The plan includes the construction of a new middle school and a new elementary school, as well as the acquisition of future school sites to accommodate growth. The new facilities are required to meet the projected student population increase to be generated from the large development areas within the Auburn School District. Three areas that have significant impact on the school district are the Lakeland South, the Lea Hill, and the north Auburn valley areas of the district. There are other pockets of development that impact the District as well. The City of Kent has an area of approximately 158 acres that was sold to developers in 2004. The economic downturn has slowed development in these areas. The district completed a comprehensive review of all district facilities and in October 2008 a Steering Committee made recommendations to the board for capital improvements to existing facilities and replacement of seven schools over the next ten years. These recommendations led to a capital improvements levy and a bond issue that was placed on the ballot in March 2009.

The School Impact Fee Ordinances adopted by King County, the City of Auburn and the City of Kent provide for the assessment of impact fees to assist in meeting some of the fiscal impact incurred by a District experiencing growth and development. Section VII sets forth the proposed school impact fees for single family and multi-family dwelling units. The student generation factors have been generated using the students who actually attend school in the Auburn School District from single family and multi-family developments constructed in the last five years. The method of collecting the data is with the use of GIS mapping software, data from King County and Pierce County GIS; and to integrate the mapping with student data from the district's student data system. This method gives the District actual student generation numbers for each grade span for identified developments. This data is contained in Appendix A.3.

Aubum School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN CHANGES FROM 2008 TO 2009

Listed below is a summary level outline of the changes from the 2008 Capital Facilities Plan that are a part of the 2009 Plan. The changes are noted by Section for ease of reference.

Section I

Executive Summary

- A. Updated to reflect new information within the Plan.
- B. Summary level list of changes from previous year.

Section II

Enrollment Projections

Updated projections. See Appendices A.1 & A.2.

Section III

Standard of Service

- A. Increase of 2 early childhood classrooms at elementary level
- B. Increase of 1 second grade TOSA program at elementary level
- C. Reduction of 1 structured learning classroom at middle level
- D. Increase of 2 structured learning classrooms at the high school level

Section IV

Inventory of Facilities

Updated to include portables at Lakeland Hills Elementary for Fall of 2009. Updated to include Special Education Transition Facility for Fall of 2009. Updated to include portables at Mt. Baker Middle School for Fall of 2009.

Section V

Pupil Capacity

Updated to include portables at Lakeland Hills Elementary for Fall of 2009. Updated to include Special Education Transition Facility for Fall of 2009. Updated to include portables at Mt. Baker Middle School for Fall of 2009.

Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Section VII Impact Fees

CHANGES TO IMPACT FEE DATA ELEMENTS 2008 to 2009

	CPF	CPF	
DATA ELEMENTS	2008	2009	EXPLANATION
Student Generation Facto	ors		
Single Family		·	Consistent with King County Ordinance 11621,
Elementary	0.3370	0.3200	Student Generation Factors are calculated
Mid School	0.1450	0.1520	by the school district based on district
Sr. High	0.1780	0.1580	records of average actual student generation
			rates for new developments constructed
Elementary	0.0650	0.0790	over the last five years.
	0.0290	0.0340	
Sr. High	0.0390	0.0420	
School Construction Cos	ts		
Elementary	\$21,750,000	\$21,750,000	
Middle School	\$42,500,000	\$42,500,000	
Site Aquistion Costs			
Cost per acre	\$272,387	\$286,006	Updated estimates on land costs
Area Cost Allowance Boec	\$168.43	\$168 79	Updated to projected SPI schedule
	¢100.10	¢100.70	opulated to projected SFT schedule.
Match % - State	59.32%	59.17%	Updated to current SPI schedule.
Match % - District	40.68%	40.83%	Computed
District Average AV			
Single Family	\$290.617	\$316.271	Updated from March 2009 King County
	,		Dept of Assessments data.
Multi-Family	\$79.574	COF 700	Indeted from March 2000 King Original
Mala-r anny	\$10,374	φου,729 Ι	Dept of Accomments data using weighted
4		<i>.</i>	average
			average.
Debt Serv Tax Rate	\$1.79	\$1.67	Current Fiscal Year
GO Bond Int Rate	5.11%	4.99%	Current Rate (Bond Buyers 20 Index 3-09)

Section VIII

Appendices

Appendix A.1 - Updated enrollment projections from October 1, 2008

Appendix A.2 - Updated enrollment projections with anticipated buildout schedule.

Appendix A.3 - Student Generation Survey March 2009



Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015 ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

The Auburn School District uses a modified cohort survival model to project future enrollment for all of the District's operations. Table II.1 is an extract from the comprehensive projection model found in Appendix A.2 titled "CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN Enrollment Projections". This Table shows the anticipated enrollment for the next six years based on the previous 6 year history of the District under the assumptions set forth in the comprehensive projections, Appendix A.1, and the projection for additional students generated from new developments in the district as shown in Appendix A.2.

TABLE	AS	DENROLLM	ENT]			
<u> </u>	PROJEC	CTIONS (Mar	ch 2009)				
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
GRADE	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
KDG	998	1024	1051	1082	1114	1142	1170
1	1015	1057	1084	1116	1149	1177	1205
2	1024	1044	1087	1118	1151	1179	1207
3	1048	1058	1078	1126	1159	1187	1216
4	1044	1092	1102	1128	1176	1205	1233
5	1069	1088	1136	1152	1178	1223	1252
K - 5	6198	6364	6538	6722	6926	7114	7283
6	1096	1095	1114	1168	1185	1208	1252
7	1034	1120	1119	1144	1199	1211	1234
8	1076	1065	1152	1157	1182	1233	1246
6 - 8	3206	3280	3385	3469	3566	3652	3732
9	1256	1368	1361	1458	1469	1495	1549
10	1341	1218	1330	1329	1426	1431	1455
11	1350	1275	1151	1267	1265	1355	1358
12	1352	1326	1250	1130	1245	1237	1325
9 - 12	5299	5187	5092	5184	5406	5517	5687
TOTALS	14703	14831	15016	15374	15898	16284	16701

GRADES K-12	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
K-5 w/K @ 1/2	5699	5851	6013	6181	6369	6543	6698
6-8	3206	3280	3385	3469	3566	3652	3732
9-12	5299	5187	5092	5184	5406	5517	5687
K-12 w/K @ 1/2	14204	14319	14490	14833	15341	15713	16117

Note: The district is currently operating Full Day Kindergarten in nine schools and includes two state funded Full Day Kindergarten at two additional elementary schools.



The School Impact Fee Ordinances adopted by King County, the City of Aubum and the City of Kent indicate that each school district must establish a "Standard of Service" in order to ascertain the overall capacity to house its projected student population. The Superintendent of Public Instruction establishes square footage "capacity" guidelines for computing state funding support. The fundamental purpose of the SPI guidelines is to provide a vehicle to equitably distribute state matching funds for school construction projects. By default these guidelines have been used to benchmark the district's capacity to house its student population. The SPI guidelines do not make adequate provision for local district program needs, facility configurations, emerging educational reform, or the dynamics of each student's educational program. The Auburn School District Standard of Service addresses those local considerations that require space in excess of the SPI guidelines. The effect on the space requirements for both permanent and relocatable facilities is shown below for each grade articulation pattern. Conditions that may result in potential space needs are provided for information purposes without accompanying computations.

OVERVIEW

The Auburn School District operates fourteen elementary schools housing 6,198 students in grades K through 5. 665 of the 998 Kindergarten students attend 1/2 days throughout the year. Grades 1 through 5, plus 333 kindergartners, attend on a full day basis. When converted to a full time equivalence the K-5 enrollment is 5,784. Four middle schools house 3,206 students in grades 6 through 8. The District operates three comprehensive senior high schools and one alternative high school housing 5,299 students in grades 9 through 12. The District opened the fourth high school in the fall of 2005.

CLASS SIZE

The number of pupils per classroom determines the number of classrooms required to house the student population. Class sizes are subject to collective bargaining. Changes to class size agreements can have significant impact on available space.

The current pupil/teacher limit across all elementary programs is an average of 26.5 students per teacher. Consistent with this staffing limit, room capacities are set at 26.5 students per room at grades K - 5. At grades 6 - 12 the limit is set at 30 pupils per room. The SPI space allocation for each grade articulation level, *less* the computed reduction for the Auburn School District Standard of Service, determines the District's capacity to house projected pupil populations. These reductions are shown below by grade articulation level.

ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

STRUCTURED LEARNING FOR DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED SPECIAL EDUCATION

The Auburn School District operates a structured learning program for students with moderate to severe disabilities at the elementary school level which currently uses ten classrooms to provide for 105 students. The housing requirements for this program are provided for in the SPI space guidelines. No loss of capacity is expected unless population with disabilities grows at a disproportionate rate compared to total elementary population.

ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR

The Auburn School District operates an adaptive behavior program for students with behavior disabilities at the elementary school level. The program uses two classrooms to provide for 20 students. The housing requirements for this program exceed the SPI space allocations by two classrooms.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 2 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(53)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(53)

SPECIAL EDUCATION RESOURCE ROOMS

The Auburn School District operates a resource room program at the elementary level for special education students requiring instruction to address their specific disabilities. Seventeen standard classrooms are required to house this program. The housing requirements for this program exceed the SPI space guidelines by ten standard classrooms. The loss of capacity is expected as growth in program is larger than the total elementary population.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 10 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(265)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	Ò Ó
Total Capacity Loss	(265)

NATIVE AMERICAN RESOURCE ROOM

The Auburn School District operates one resource room to support the education of Native American students at the elementary level. One standard classroom is fully dedicated to serve these students.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 1 room @ 26.5 each	=	(27)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each	=	0
Total Capacity Lo	oss	(27)

HEAD START

The Auburn School District operates a Head Start program for approximately 120 youngsters in six sections of 1/2 day in length. The program is housed at three elementary schools and utilizes three standard elementary classrooms and auxiliary office spaces. The housing requirements for this program are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 3 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(80)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(80)

EARLY CHILDHOOD SPECIAL EDUCATION

The Auburn School District operates a pre-school program for young children with disabilities below age five. This program is housed at eight different elementary schools and currently uses eleven standard classrooms. The housing requirements for this program are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 11 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(292)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(292)

READING LABS

The Auburn School District operates a program for students needing remediation and additional language arts instruction. These programs utilize non-standard classroom spaces if available in each elementary school. Four elementary schools do not have non-standard rooms available, thus they are housed in a standard classroom. The housing requirements for this program are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 4 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(106)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(106)

MUSIC ROOMS

The district elementary music programs require one acoustically modified classroom at each elementary school for music instruction. The housing requirements are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 14 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(371)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	Ò
Total Capacity Loss	(371)

ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE PROGRAM

The Auburn School District operates a pullout program at the elementary school level for students learning English as a second language. This program requires fourteen standard classrooms that are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 14 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(371)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	Ò
Total Capacity Loss	(371)

SECOND GRADE TOSA PROGRAM

The Auburn School District provides a TOSA reading specialist program for eight highly impacted elementary schools. This pullout model provides direct instruction to students who are not at grade level and do not receive other services. This program requires eight standard classrooms that are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 8 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(212)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(212)

ELEMENTARY LEARNING SPECIALIST PROGRAM

The Auburn School District provides a learning specialist program to increase literacy skills for first and second graders. This program model has been created from the I-728 funds and currently has the specialist going into existing teacher classrooms, as well as pulling out students into designated classrooms. The district is utilizing classrooms at all fourteen elementary schools.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 14 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(371)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	Ò Í
Total Capacity Loss	(371)

FULL DAY KINDERGARTEN

The Auburn School District provides Full-Day Kindergarten programs to increase academic skills for kindergarten students. This program model has been created from tuition, I-728 funds and currently has two schools receiving state funding for 2008-09 school year. The district is utilizing fourteen classrooms at nine of the fourteen elementary schools. Housing requirements exceed the OSPI space guidelines for this program by seven classrooms.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 7 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(186)	
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	0	
Total Capacity Loss	(186)	

MIDDLE SCHOOLS

SPECIAL EDUCATION RESOURCE ROOMS

The Auburn School District operates a resource room program for each grade at the middle school level. This is to accommodate special education students needing remedial instruction to address their specific disabilities. Nine classrooms are required at the middle school level to provide for approximately 300 students. The housing requirements for this program are not entirely provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR SPECIAL EDUCATION

The Auburn School District offers a self-contained program for students with moderate to severe behavior diabilities. The program is housed at one of the middle schools and uses one classroom. The housing requirements for this program are not provided for in the SPI space allocations.

STRUCTURED LEARNING CENTER AND DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED SPECIAL EDUCATION

The Auburn School District operates four structured learning classrooms at the middle school level for students with moderate to severe disabilities and one developmentally disabled classroom for students with profound disabilities. Two of the four classrooms for this program are provided for in the SPI space allocations.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 2 rooms @ 26.5 each =	(53)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 26.5 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(53)

MIDDLE SCHOOL COMPUTER LABS

The Auburn School District operates a minimum of one computer lab at each middle school. This program utilizes a standard classroom per middle school. The housing requirements for this program are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 4 rooms @ 30 each =	(120)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 30 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(120)

ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE

The Auburn School District operates a pullout program at the middle school level for students learning English as a second language. This program requires four standard classrooms that are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 4 rooms @ 30 each =	(120)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 30 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(120)

ROOM UTILIZATION

The Auburn School District provides a comprehensive middle school program that includes elective options in special interest areas. Facilities to accommodate special interest activities are not amenable to standard classroom usage. The district averages 95% utilization of all available teaching stations. SPI Report #3 dated 11/14/08 identifies 148 teaching stations available in the mid-level facilities. The utilization pattern results in a loss of approximately 8 teaching stations.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 8 rooms @ 30 each =	(240)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 30 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(240)

SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS

SENIOR HIGH COMPUTER LABS

The Auburn School District operates two computer labs at each of the senior high schools. This program utilizes two standard classrooms at comprehensive high schools and one at West Auburn. The housing requirements for this program are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 7 rooms @ 30 each =	(210)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 30 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(210)

ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE

The Auburn School District operates a pullout program at three comprehensive high schools for students learning English as a second language. This program requires three standard classrooms that are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 3 rooms @ 30 each =	(90)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 30 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(90)

STRUCTURED LEARNING CENTER PROGRAM

The Auburn School District operates six structured learning center classrooms for 53 students with moderate to severe disabilities. This program requires two standard classrooms that are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 2 rooms @ 30 each =	(60)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 30 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(60)

TRANSITION PROGRAM FOR 18-21 YEAR OLD

The Auburn School District operates a transition program for 18-21 year old students with moderate to severe disabilities. Two classrooms are utilized for this program that connects students to the community and work. The housing requirements for this program are not provided for in the SPI space guidelines.

Loss of Permanent Capacity 2 rooms @ 30 each =	(60)
Loss of Temporary Capacity 0 rooms @ 30 each =	0
Total Capacity Loss	(60)

SPECIAL EDUCATION RESOURCE ROOMS

The Auburn School District operates a resource room program at the senior high level for special education students requiring instruction to address their specific learning disabilities. The current senior high school program requires eleven classrooms to provide for approximately 370 students. The SPI space guidelines provide for one of the eleven teaching stations.

Loss of Permanent Capacity	10 rooms @ 30 each =	(300)
Loss of Temporary Capacity	0 rooms @ 30 each =	0
	Total Capacity Loss	(300)

(250)

PERFORMING ARTS CENTERS

Auburn High School includes 25,000 square feet used exclusively for a Performing Arts Center. The SPI Inventory includes this space when computing unhoused student capacity. This space was not intended for nor is it usable for classroom instruction. It was constructed to provide a community center for the performing arts. Using SPI capacity guidelines, 25,000 square feet computes to 208 unhoused students or 8.33 classrooms.

ROOM UTILIZATION

The Auburn School District provides a comprehensive high school program that includes numerous elective options in special interest areas. Facilities to accommodate special interest activities are not amenable to standard classroom usage. The district averages 95% utilization of all available teaching stations. SPI Report #3 dated 11/14/08 identifies 148 teaching stations available in the senior high facilities. The utilization pattern results in a loss of approximately 10 teaching stations.

Loss of Permanent Capacity	10 rooms @ 30 each =	(300)
Loss of Temporary Capacity	0 rooms @ 30 each =	0
	Total Capacity Loss	(300)

STANDARD OF SERVICE COMPUTED TO	DTALS
ELEMENTARY	
Loss of Permanent Capacity =	(2,332)
Loss of Temporary Capacity	0
Total Capacity Loss	(2,332)
MIDDLE SCHOOL	
Loss of Permanent Capacity =	(533)
Loss of Temporary Capacity	0
Total Capacity Loss	(533)
SENIOR HIGH	
Loss of Permanent Capacity =	(1,270)
Loss of Temporary Capacity	0
Total Capacity Loss	(1,270)
TOTAL	
Loss of Permanent Capacity =	(4,135)
Loss of Temporary Capacity	0
Total Capacity Loss	(4,135)

Auburn School District No. 408 Capital Facilities Plan 2009 through 2015

Section IV

Inventory of Facilities

Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015 INVENTORY OF FACILITIES

Table IV.1 shows the current inventory of permanent district facilities and their OSPI rated capacities.

Table IV.2 shows the number and location of each portable unit by school. The district uses relocatable facilities to:

- 1. provide interim housing in school attendance areas uniquely impacted by increasing school populations that would otherwise require continual redistricting.
- 2. make space available for changing program requirements and offerings determined by unique student needs, and
- 3. provide housing to cover district needs until permanent facilities can be financed and constructed.

Relocatable facilities are deemed to be interim, stop gap measures that often place undesirable stress on existing physical plants. Core facilities (i.e. gymnasiums, restrooms, kitchens, labs, lockers, libraries, etc.) are not of sufficient size or quantity to handle the increased school population served by adding relocatable classrooms.

Table Permanent Facilities IV.1 @ OSPI Rated Capaci (November 2008)	ty	District Se	chool Facilities
Building	Capacity	Acres	Address
		Elementa	ry Schools
Washington Elementary	492	5.40	20 E Street Northeast, Auburn WA, 98002
Terminal Park Elementary	401	6.70	1101 D Street Southeast, Auburn WA, 98002
Dick Scobee Elementary	550	10.50	1031 14th Street Northeast, Auburn WA, 98002
Pioneer Elementary	415	8.30	2301 M Street Southeast, Auburn WA, 98002
Chinook Elementary	485	8.75	3502 Auburn Way South, Auburn WA, 98092
Lea Hill Elementary	459	10.00	30908 124th Avenue Southeast, Auburn WA, 98092
Gildo Rey Elementary	531	10.00	1005 37th Street Southeast, Auburn WA, 98002
Evergreen Heights Elem. 456		8.09	5602 South 316th, Auburn WA, 98001
Alpac Elementary	494	10.60	310 Milwaukee Boulevard North, Pacific WA, 98047
Lake View Elementary 595		16.40	16401 Southeast 318th Street, Auburn WA, 98092
Hazelwood Elementary 578		12.67	11815 Southeast 304th Street, Auburn WA, 98092
Ilalko Elementary	585	12.00	301 Oravetz Place Southeast, Auburn WA, 98092
Lakeland Hills Elementary	594	12.00	1020 Evergreen Way SE, Auburn WA,98092
Arthur Jacobsen Elementary	614	10.00	29205 132 nd Street SE, Auburn, WA 98092
ELEM CAPACITY	7249		
		Middle	Schools
Cascade Middle School	836	17.30	1015 24th Street Northeast, Auburn WA, 98002
Olympic Middle School	898	17.40	1825 K Street Southeast, Auburn WA, 98002
Rainier Middle School	849	26.33	30620 116th Avenue Southeast, Auburn WA, 98092
Mt. Baker Middle School	845	30.88	620 37th Street Southeast, Auburn WA, 98002
MS CAPACITY	3,428		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·····		Senior Hi	gh Schools
West Auburn High School	231	5.10	401 West Main Street, Auburn WA, 98001
Auburn Senior High	2,261	18.60	800 Fourth Street Northeast, Auburn WA, 98002
Auburn Riverside HS	1,388	33.00	501 Oravetz Road, Auburn WA, 98092
Auburn Mountainview HS	1,431	40.00	28900 124 th Ave SE, Auburn, WA 98092
SH CAPACITY	5,311		· · · · · ·
TOTAL CAPACITY	16,025		

Aubum School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015 INVENTORY OF FACILITIES

IABLE	TEMPORARY/RELO	CATABLE						
IV.2	FACILITIES INVE	NTORY						
	(March 2009	l)	-					
Elementary	Location	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Washington		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terminal Pa	rk	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Dick Scobee		3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Pioneer		3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Chinook		5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Lea Hill		5	5	5	2	2	2	2
Gildo Rey		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Evergreen H	eights	0	0	2	2	2	2	2
Alpac		2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Lake View		2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Hazelwood		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ilalko		2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Lakeland Hil	is Elementary	0	2	2	4	4	4	4
Arthur Jacob	sen Elementary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNITS		30	32	34	33	33	33	33
TOTAL CAP	ACITY	795	848	901	875	875	875	875
Middle Scho	ollocation	2009.00	2000 10	2010 11	0011 10	0040.40	0040 44	004445
Cascade		2000-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Olympic		0	0	0	2	2	2	2
Rainier		5	5	5	8	2	2	2
Mt. Baker		6	8	8	8	8	- 8	8
TOTAL UNIT	S	11	13	13	18	20	20	20
TOTAL CAP	ACITY	330	390	390	540	600	600	600
Sr. High Sch	ool Location	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
West Auburn		0	0	0	1	1	1	1
Auburn		12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Auburn River	side	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
Auburn Mour	tainview	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNIT	S	25	25	25	26	26	26	26
TOTAL CAP	ACITY	750	750	750	780	780	780	780
			· · · ·					

COMBINED TOTAL UNITS	66	70	72	77	79	79	79
COMBINED TOTAL CAPACITY	1,875	1,988	2,041	2,195	2,255	2,255	2,255



Aubum School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015 PUPIL CAPACITY

While the Auburn School District uses the SPI inventory of permanent facilities as the data from which to determine space needs, the District's educational program requires more space than that provided for under the formula. This additional square footage is converted to numbers of pupils in Section III, Standard of Service. The District's capacity is adjusted to reflect the need for additional space to house its programs. Changes in the capacity of the district recognize new unfunded facilities. The combined effect of these adjustments is shown on Line B in Tables V.1 and V.2 below. Table V.1 shows the District's capacity with relocatable units included and Table V.2 without these units.

	Table V.1								
		Capacity							
	WIT	H relocatables	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
	A.	SPI Capacity	15,950	15,950	15,950	15,950	15,950	16,750	16,750
	A.1	SPI Capacity-New Elei	n						585
<u>1</u> /	A.2	SPI Capacity- New MS					800		
	В.	Capacity Adjustments	(2,260)	(2,147)	(2,094)	(1,940)	(1,880)	(1,880)	(1,880)
	C.	Net Capacity	13,690	13,803	13,856	14,010	14,870	14,870	15,455
	D.	ASD Enrollment	14,703	14,319	14,490	14,833	15,341	15,713	16,117
<u>3/</u>	E.	ASD Surplus/Deficit	(1,013)	(516)	(634)	(823)	(471)	(843)	(662)
2	CAPACITY	ADJUSTMENTS							
		Include Relocatable	1,875	1,988	2,041	2,195	2,255	2,255	2,255
<u>2</u> /		Exclude SOS (pg 14)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)
		Total Adjustments	(2,260)	(2,147)	(2,094)	(1,940)	(1,880)	(1,880)	(1,880)
	1								

	Table V.2								
		Capacity							
	WITH	OUT relocatables	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
	A.	SPI Capacity	15,950	15,950	15,950	15,950	15,950	16,750	16,750
	A.1	SPI Capacity-New Eler	n						585
<u>1</u> /	A.2	SPI Capacity- New MS	;				800		
	Í								
	В.	Capacity Adjustments	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)
	C.	Net Capacity	11,815	11,815	11,815	11,815	12,615	12,615	13,200
	D.	ASD Enroliment	14,703	14,319	14,490	14,833	15,341	15,713	16,117
<u>3/</u>	E.	ASD Surplus/Deficit	(2,888)	(2,504)	(2,675)	(3,018)	(2,726)	(3,098)	(2,917)
	CAPACITY	ADJUSTMENTS							
<u>2</u> /		Exclude SOS (pg 14)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)
		Total Adjustments	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)	(4,135)

1/ New facilities shown in 2012-13 and 2014-15 are not funded under the current Capital Facilities Plan.

2/ The Standard of Service represents 25.38% of SPI capacity. When new facilities are added the Standard

of Service computations are decreased to 23.35% of SPI capacity.

3/ Students beyond the capacity are accomodated in other spaces (commons, library, theater, shared teaching space).

Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015 PUPIL CAPACITY

PERMANENT FACILITIES @ SPI Rated Capacity (March 2009)

A. Elementary Schools

Building	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Washington	492	492	492	492	492	492	492
Terminal Park	401	401	401	401	401	401	401
Dick Scobee	550	550	550	550	550	550	550
Pioneer	415	415	415	415	415	415	415
Chinook	485	485	485	485	485	485	485
Lea Hill	459	459	459	459	459	459	459
Gildo Rey	531	531	531	531	531	531	531
Ever Heights	456	456	456	456	456	456	456
Alpac	494	494	494	494	494	494	494
Lake View	595	595	595	595	595	595	595
Hazelwood	578	578	578	578	578	578	578
Ilaiko	585	585	585	585	585	585	585
Lakeland Hills	585	585	585	585	585	585	585
Arthur Jacobsen	585	585	585	585	585	585	585
Elementary #15							585
ELEM CAPACITY	7,211	7,211	7,211	7,211	7,211	7,211	7,796

B. Middle Schools

Building	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Cascade	836	836	836	836	836	2010 14	2014-13
Olympic	898	898	898	898	898	808	000
Rainier	849	849	849	849	849	840	090
Mt. Baker	845	845	845	845	845	845	049 945
Middle School #5	0	0	0	0.0	800	800	800
MS CAPACITY	3,428	3,428	3,428	3.428	4 228	4 228	4 228

C. Senior High Schools

2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
231	231	231	231	231	231	2014-10
2,261	2,261	2,261	2.261	2.261	2 261	2 261
1,388	1,388	1,388	1.388	1 388	1,388	1 388
1,431	1,431	1,431	1,431	1,431	1 431	1,000
5,311	5,311	5,311	5,311	5.311	5 311	5 311
-	2008-09 231 2,261 1,388 1,431 5,311	2008-09 2009-10 231 231 2,261 2,261 1,388 1,388 1,431 1,431 5,311 5,311	2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 231 231 231 2,261 2,261 2,261 1,388 1,388 1,388 1,431 1,431 1,431 5,311 5,311 5,311	2008-092009-102010-112011-122312312312312,2612,2612,2612,2611,3881,3881,3881,3881,4311,4311,4311,4315,3115,3115,3115,311	2008-092009-102010-112011-122012-132312312312312312312,2612,2612,2612,2612,2611,3881,3881,3881,3881,3881,4311,4311,4311,4311,4315,3115,3115,3115,3115,311	2008-092009-102010-112011-122012-132013-142312312312312312312312,2612,2612,2612,2612,2612,2611,3881,3881,3881,3881,3881,3881,4311,4311,4311,4311,4315,3115,3115,3115,3115,3115,311

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
ICOMBINED CAPACITY I	15 950	15 950	15 050	15 050	40 750	40 750	47.005
		10,000	10,000	10,900	10,/50	16,/50	17.335

Auburn School District No. 408 **Capital Facilities Plan**

2009 through 2015

Section VI

Capital Construction Plan

Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015 CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PLAN

The formal process used by the Board to address current and future facility needs began in 1974 with the formation of a community wide citizens committee. The result of this committee's work was published in the document titled '*Guidelines for Development*.' In 1985 the Board formed a second Ad Hoc citizens committee to pick up from the work of the first and address the needs of the District for subsequent years. The work of this committee was published in the document titled '*Directions for the Nineties*.' In 1995 the Board commissioned a third Ad Hoc citizens committee to make recommendations for improvements to the District's programs and physical facilities. The committee recommendations are published in the document titled '*Education Into The Twenty-First Century - A Community Involved*.'

The 1995 Ad Hoc committee recommended the District develop plans for the implementation, funding, and deployment of technology throughout the District's programs. The 1996 Bond proposition provided funding to enhance the capacity of each facility to accommodate technological applications. The 1998 Capital Levy provided funding to further deploy technology at a level sufficient to support program requirements in every classroom and department. In 2005 a replacement technology levy was approved to continue to support technology across all facets of the District's teaching, learning and operations.

In addition to the technology needs of the District the Ad Hoc committee recognized the District must prepare for continued student enrollment growth. As stated in their report, "the District must pursue an appropriate high school site as soon as possible." The Ad Hoc recommendation included commentary that the financing should be timed to maintain consistent rates of assessment.

A proposition was approved by the voters on April 28, 1998 that provided \$8,000,000 over six years to address some of the technology needs of the District; and \$5,000,000 to provide funds to acquire school sites.

During the 1997-98 school year a Joint District Citizen's Ad Hoc Committee was appointed by the Auburn and Dieringer School Boards to make recommendations on how best to serve the school population from an area that includes a large development known as Lakeland South. Lakeland South at that time was immediately adjacent to the southern boundary of the Auburn School District. On June 16, 1998 the Ad Hoc Committee presented its recommendation at a joint meeting of the Auburn and Dieringer Boards of Directors. On June 22, 1998 the Auburn School Board adopted Resolution No. 933 authorizing the process to initiate the adjustment of the boundaries of the District in accordance with the Ad Hoc Committee's recommendation. On June 23, 1998 the Dieringer School Board adopted a companion Resolution No. 24-97-98 authorizing the process to initiate the adjustment of the boundaries in accordance with the Ad Hoc Committee's recommendation. These actions resulted in the transfer of an area from Dieringer to Auburn containing most of the Lakeland South development and certain other undeveloped properties. Development in this area is progressing at an aggressive rate.

In April of 2002, the Board formed a fifth citizen's Ad Hoc committee to address the following two items and make recommendations to the board in the Fall of 2002:

a. A review of the conclusion and recommendations of 1985 and 1995 Ad Hoc Committees related to accommodating high school enrollment growth. This included the review of possible financing plans for new facilities.

Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015 CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PLAN

b. Develop recommendations for accommodating high school enrollment growth for the next 10 years if a new senior high school is not built.

This committee recommended the board place the high school on the ballot for the fifth time in February 2003. The February election approved the new high school at 68.71% yes votes. The school opened in the Fall of 2005.

In the Fall of 2003 the school board directed the administration to begin the planning and design for Elementary #13 and Elementary #14. In the Fall of 2004, the Auburn School Board passed Resolution No. 1054 to place two elementary schools on the ballot in February 2005. The voters approved the ballot measure in February of 2005 at 64.72%. Lakeland Hills Elementary (Elementary #13) opened in the Fall of 2006. Arthur Jacobsen Elementary (Elementary #14) is located in the Lea Hill area on a 10 acre site and opened in the Fall of 2007. These two elementary schools were built to accommodate the housing growth in Lakeland Hills and Lea Hill areas of the school district.

In the 2004-05 school year, the Board convened a sixth Citizen's Ad Hoc committee to again study and make recommendations about the future impacts in the District. One of the areas of study was the need for New Facilities and Modernization. The committee made a number of recommendations including school size, the need for a new middle school, and to begin a capital improvements program to modernize or replace facilities based upon criterion.

During the 2005-06 school year, a Joint District Citizen's Ad Hoc Committee was appointed by the Auburn and Kent School Boards to make recommendations on how best to serve the school population that will come from an area that includes a number of projected developments in the north Auburn valley. On May 17, 2006 the Ad Hoc Committee presented its recommendation at a joint meeting of the Auburn and Kent Boards of Directors. On June 14, 2006 the Kent School Board adopted Resolution No. 1225 authorizing the process to initiate the adjustment of the boundaries of the District in accordance with the Ad Hoc Committee's recommendation. On June 26, 2006 the Auburn School Board adopted a companion Resolution No. 1073 authorizing the process to initiate the adjustment of the boundaries in accordance with the Ad Hoc school No. 1073 authorizing the process to initiate the adjustment of the boundaries in accordance with the Ad Hoc school No. 1073 authorizing the process to initiate the adjustment of the boundaries in accordance with the Ad Hoc school No. 1073 authorizing the process to initiate the adjustment of the boundaries in accordance with the Ad Hoc school District to the Auburn School District effective September 29, 2006.

In October of 2008, after two years of review and study, a Steering Committee made recommendations to the school board regarding the capital improvements program to modernize or replace facilities as recommended by the 2004-05 Citizen's Ad Hoc Committee. These recommendations, based on specific criteria, led to the school board placing a school improvement bond and capital improvements levy on the ballot in March 2009. Voters did not approve either measure that would have updated 24 facilities and replaced three aging schools. This review also identified the need for a Special Education Transition Facility for students with disabilities that are 18 to 21 years old. This facility is planned to be operational by the Fall of 2009 to address this growth need.

The District is projecting 1998 additional students within the six year period including the Lakeland, Lea Hill, and north Auburn valley areas. This increase in student population will

Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015 CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PLAN

require the acquisition of new middle school and new elementary school sites and construction of a middle school and elementary school during the six year window.

Based upon the District's capacity data and enrollment projections, as well as the student generation data included in Appendix A.3, the District has determined that approximately eightysix percent of the capacity improvements are necessary to serve the students generated from new development, with the remaining additional capacity required to address existing need.

The table below illustrates the current capital construction plan for the next six years. The exact timelines are wholly dependent on the rate of growth in the school age population and passage of bond issues and/or capital improvement levies.

2009-15 Capital Const	ruction Plan]									
(March 2009)												
		Projected	Fund	Project Timelines								
Project	Funded	Cost	Source	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15		
All Facilities -			2006									
Technology	Yes	\$12,000,000	6 Year	XX	XX	XX	XX			1		
Modernization			Cap Levy									
Portables	Yes	\$1,200.000	Impact Fees	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx		
Property Purchase			Impact					7171				
New Middle School	Yes	\$9,000,000	Fees	XX		:	-		Ì			
New Elementary	Yes	\$3,500,000	State Mat		XX	XX	xx			ł		
Special Education Transition Facility	Yes	\$750,000	Impact Fees	xx	xx							
			Bond		XX	XX	XX	XX				
Middle School #5	No	\$42,500,000	Impact Fee		plan	const	const	open				
			Bond					XX	XX	XX		
Elementary #15	No	\$21,750,000	Impact Fee					plan	const	open		
Multiple Facility	No	\$46,400,000	Capital Levy		xx	XX	XX	xx	xx	xx		
Replacement of three aging schools	No	\$239,000,000	Bond Issue		xx	XX	xx	xx	XX	xx		

1/ These funds may be secured through local bond issues, sale of real property, impact fees, and state matching funds. The District currently is not eligible for state assistance at the elementary school level for new construction. The district is eligible for state matching funds for modernization.



Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015

IMPACT FEE COMPUTATION (Spring 2009)

Middle school site acquistion within 6 year period

Middle School #5 within 6 year period

Elementary #15 within 6 year period

SITE COST PER RESIDENCE Formula: ((Acres x Cost per Acre)/Facility Size) x Student Factor

	oite	Cost	Facility	Student Generatio	n Factor	Cost	Cost/
	Acreage	Acre	Capacity	Single Family	Multi Family	Single Family	Multi Family
Elem (K - 5)	12	\$0	550	0.3200	0.0790	\$0.00	\$0.00
Middle Sch (6 - 8)	25	\$286 006	800	0 1620			
Sr Linh /0 10/			000	0.1.050	0400.0	CC'0CC'1 &	\$303.00
171 - 2) 11811 10	+C	- ^^	00G1	0.1580	0.0420	\$0.00	\$0.00
						S4 358 52	C2/12 82

PERMANENT FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST PER RESIDENCE Formula: ((Facility Cost/Facility Size) x Student Factor) x (Permanent t н.

	הכביו ממווין כובר	1 × ninder a during × 1		al oqual e Louiage	reiveniage/		
_	Facility	Facility	% Perm Sq FV	Student Generation	n Factor	Cost	Cost/
Single Family	Cast	Size	Total Sq Ft	Single Family	Multi Family	Single Family	Multi Family
Elem (K - 5)	\$21,750,000	550	0.9691	0.3200	0.0790	\$12.263.82	\$3.027.63
Mid Sch (6 - 8)	\$42,500,000	800	0.9691	0.1520	0.0340	\$7,825,67	\$1 750 48
Sr High (9 - 12)	\$0	1500	0.9691	0,1580	0.0420	\$0.00	\$0 D0

ć

100

Totol C.

-

\$4,778.11

\$20,089.49

<u>TEMPORARY FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST PER RESIDENCE</u> Formula: ((Facility Cost/Facility Size) x Student Factor) x (Temporary t III.

Formula: ((Facilit	y Cost/Facility Size	 e) x Student Factor) x 	: (Temporary to Tot	al Square Footage	Ratio)		
	Facility	Facility	% Temp Sq FV	Student Generatio	n Factor	Cost/	Cost/
Single Family	Cost	Size	Total Sq Ft	Single Family	Multi Family	Single Family	Multi Family
Elem (K - 5)	\$122,845	26,5	0.0309	0.3200	0.0790	\$45.80	S11.31
Mid Sch (6 - 8)	\$122.845	30	0.0309	0.1520	0.0340	\$19.22	\$4.30
Sr High (9 - 12)	\$0	30	0.0309	0.1580	0.0420	\$0.00	\$0.00

\$15.61

\$65.02

V Dietrict Match × Stud STATE MATCH CREDIT PER RESIDENCE Formula: (Boeckh Index x SPI Fontage Ś.

	ion Factor Cost/ Cost/	Multi Family Single Family Multi Family	0.0790 \$2.876.34 \$710.10	0.0340 \$1.639.52 \$366.73	0.0420 \$2,051.39 \$545.31	CC 257 35 C4 233 44
	Student Generati	Single Family	0.3200	0.1520	0,1580	
A JUUUEIN FACION	District	Match	59,17%	59.17%	59.17%	
THE Y PRIME IN THE INTERNAL	IdS	Footage	06	108	130	
	Boeckh	Index	\$168.79	\$168.79	\$168.79	
			Elem (K - 5)	Mid Sch (6 - 8)	Sr High (9 - 12)	

Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2009 through 2015



Auburn School District No. 408 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

-

			2009 throu	gh 2015					
	IMPACT FEE E	LEMENTS		SINGLE FAMI					
		0	Elen	Mid Sch	1 CLULE		MULTI FAM		1
Student Factor	Single Family - Auburn acture		K - 5	6-8		Elem	Mid Sch	Sr Hinh	1
New Fac Capacity	ASD District Standards	(80/£) 1unon	0.320	0.152	0 - 1 C	X'5	6-8	9 - 12	
Wew Facility Cost	Elementary Cost Estimates Fe	- 2008 -	550	800	1500	0.079	0.034	0.042	1
·····	Middle School Cost Estimate f	eb 2008	\$21,750,000	\$42,500,000		550 \$21,750,000	800 \$42,500,000	1500	
Temp Rm Canacity									
	ASU Ulstrict Standard of Serviv	ġ	7 0 r						
	Vieues K - 5 @ 26.5 and 6 - 1;	2 @ 30.	C.02	30	30	26.5	, ,		
Temp Facility Cost	Relocatables, including site wo					2	20	30	
Site Acreage		in, set up, and turnishing	\$122,845	\$122,845	\$122,845			·	_
Site Cost/Acre	Poor Landard or SPI M	linimum				\$12X,845	\$122,845	\$122.845	
	Moleo eeo		7	25	40				
Perm Sa Footana			\$286,006	\$286,006	S2R6 DDE		25	40	
Temn So Eastern	OFT Kpt #3 dated Nov 14, 2008				4~000	\$286,006	\$286,006	\$286 006	
Total Sa Faster	66 portables at 832 sq. ft. each		1,723,525	1,723,525	1.723 525			000100	
	Sum of Permanent and Tempor	arv ahova	54,912	54,912	54 012	1,123,525	1,723,525	1,723,525	
W Town F achilities	Permanent Sq. Footage divided	hv Total Sa Fart-	1,778,437	1,778,437	1 778 427	24,912	54,912	54,912	_
/ / - / emp racilities	Temporary Sq. Footage divided	by Total Sa Footage	96.91%	96.91%	Totio 10	1,//8,437	1,778,437	1,778,437	
SPI Sa Ft/Student		- van og, ruutage	3.09%	3.09%	3 00%	96.91%	96.91%	96.91%	
Boeckh Index	From SPI Regulations		č		0/ 2010	3.09%	3.09%	3.09%	
Match % Ctots	From SPI schedule for March 20	03	06	108	130	2			
Motor No - State	From SPI Webpage March 2008		\$168.79	\$168.79	\$168 70	06	108	130	
watch % - Uistrict	Computed		59.17%	59.17%	50 170	\$168.79	\$168.79	\$168.79	
			40.83%	40.83%	40.82%	59.17%	59.17%	59.17%	
A JANE AV	King County Department of Asses	Ssmente March 2000			20000	40.83%	40.83%	40.83%	
Debt Serv Tax Rate	(multi family weighted average) Current Fiscal Voca		\$316,271	\$316,271	\$316,271	\$85,729	<u>\$85 720</u>	100	
G. O Bond Int Rate	Current Rate - (Bond Burner on)		\$1.67	¢1 &7				AZ1'000	
0.42.0	india Buyer 20 Inc	dex March 2009)	4.99%	/0/10	\$1.67	\$1.67	\$1.67		
JILE LOST Project	tions			4,33%	4.99%	4.99%	4.99%	10.14	
Accent Property	Purchase	Durchard						% <u>66</u> 't	
Suoilisinbow	Acreage Year	D	Purchase	Adjusted 1 P	rotacted Associate				
Lakeland	12.00 2002	\$2 701 042	Cost/Acre	Present Day	Inflation Factor	Sites	Latest Date	Projected	
Total	35.00 2008	\$7 801 200	\$225,087	\$301,638		painbau	of Acquisition	Cost/Acre	
10141	47.00	\$10.302.847	\$217,194	\$217,194					
		740'700'2.	\$219,209	\$259,416	5.00%				

•

\$286,006

2010

Middle School

5.00%

Auburn School District No. 408 Capital Facilities Plan 2009 through 2015

Section VIII

Appendix

Appendix A.1 - Student Enrollment Projections

Appendix A.2 - CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN Enrollment Projections

Appendix A.3 - Student Generation Survey

Appendix A.1 - Student Enrollment Projections

Auburn School District #408

Student Enrollment Projections October 2008

Introduction

The projective techniques give some consideration to historical and current data as a basis for forecasting the future. In addition, the 'projector' must make certain assumptions about the operant variables within the data being used. These assumptions are "judgmental" by definition. Forecasting can be defined as the extrapolation or logical extension from history to the future, or from the known to the unknown. The attached tabular data reviews the history of student enrollment, sets out some quantitative assumptions, and provides projections based on these numerical factors.

The projection logic does not attempt to weigh the individual sociological, psychological, economic, and political factors that are present in any demographic analysis and projection. The logic embraces the assumptions that whatever these individual factors have been in the past are present today, and will be in the future. It further moderates the impact of singular factors by averaging data over thirteen years and six years respectively. The results provide a trend, which reflects a long (13-year) and a short (6-year) base from which to extrapolate.

Two methods of estimating the number of kindergarten students have been used. The first uses the average increase or decrease over the past 13 and 6-year time frame and adds it to each succeeding year. The second derives what the average percentage Auburn kindergartners have been of the live births in King County for the past 5 years and uses this to project the subsequent four years.

The degree to which the actuals deviate from the projections can only be measured after the fact. This deviation provides a point of departure to evaluate the effectiveness of the assumptions and logic being used to calculate future projections. Monitoring deviation is critical to the viability and credibility of the projections derived by these techniques.

<u>Tables</u>

Table 1 - Thirteen Year History of October 1 Enrollments - page 3

The data shown in this table is the baseline information used to project future enrollment. This data shows the past record of enrollment in the district on October 1 of each year.

Table 2 - Historical Factors Used in Projections - page 4

This table shows the three basic factors derived from the data in Table 1. These factors have been used in the subsequent projections. The three factors are:

- 1. Factor 1 Average Pupil Change Between Grade Levels This factor is sometimes referred to as the "holding power" or "cohort survival." It is a measure of the number of pupils goined or last as they may former to be a start of the number of pupils.
- measure of the number of pupils gained or lost as they move from one grade level to the next.
- 2. Factor 2 Average Pupil Change by Grade Level This factor is the average change at each grade level over the 13 or 6-year period.
- 3. Factor 3 Auburn School District Kindergarten Enrollment as a Function of King County Live Births.

This factor calculates what percent each kindergarten class was of the King County live births in the 5 previous years. From this information has been extrapolated the kindergarten pupils expected for the next 4 years.

Table 3 – Projection Models – pages 5-13

This set of tables utilizes the above mentioned variables and generates several projections. The models are explained briefly below.

- □ Table 3.13 (pg 5) shows a projection based on the 13-year average gain in kindergarten (Factor 2) and the 13-year average change between grade levels (Factor 1). The data is shown for the district as a whole.
- □ Table 3.6 (pg 5) shows a projection using the same scheme as Table 3.13 except it shortens the historical to only the most recent 6 years.
- □ Table 3.13A and 3.6A (pg 6) uses the same factors above except Factor 3 is substituted for Factor 2. The kindergarten rates are derived from the King County live births instead of the average gain.
- □ Tables 3E.13, 3E.6, 3E.13A, 3E.6A (pg 7) breaks out the K-5 grades from the district projection. Summary level data is provided for percentage gain and pupil gain by grade articulation.
- Tables 3MS.13, 3MS.6, 3MS.13A, 3MS.6A (pg 8) breaks out the 6-8 grades from the district projection. Summary level data is provided for percentage gain and pupil gain by grade articulation.
- □ Tables 3SH.13, 3SH.6, 3SH.13A, 3SH.6A (pg 9) breaks out the 9-12 grades from the district projection. Summary level data is provided for percentage gain and pupil gain by grade articulation.
- □ Table 4 (pg 10) Collects the four projection models by grade group for ease of comparison.
- □ Table 5 (pgs 11-13) shows how well each projection model performed when compared with actual enrollments. Data is provided in both number and percent formats for the past 13 years.

Summary

Historically, average gain in students over the past 6 years is 1.53% annually that equates to 213 students. Over the past 13 years the average gain is 1.41% and equates to 189 students annually.

Using the cohort survival models that data below is a summary of the range of variation between the four models. This data can be used for planning for future needs of the district.

The models show changes in the next six years:

- Elementary level shows increase ranging from 286 to 581. (page 7)
- Middle School level shows increase ranging from 170 to 256. (page 8)
- High School level shows decreases ranging from -38 to -112. (page 9)

The models show these changes looking forward thirteen years:

- Elementary level shows increase ranging from 490 to 1220. (page 7)
- Middle School level shows increase ranging from 300 to 599. (page 8)
- High School level shows increase ranging from 106 to 374. (page 9)

This data does not factor new developments that are currently under construction or in the planning stages.

- 60
õ
ె
<u>ہ</u>
1
ğ
ġ
0
- <u>1</u>
2
0
-
ŝ
÷
~
0
-
5
0
ш
=
~
U.
Ω,
n
-
F
.
ш
>
_
0
ñ
<u> </u>
Z
ш
-
F
Z
III
H
1
5
S

5
\mathbf{O}
~
<u>يت</u>
+
S
÷
_
<u> </u>
0
ŏ.
\mathbf{O}
I
5
<u>ير</u>
S)
-
4
R
5
ಷ
ш
7

	1	1			10,00	14	A. 8	47			. 11		· .		1	T		T		T -		T			–				
Actual	08-09	998	1015	1024	1048	1044	1069	1096	1034	1076	1256	1341	1350	1352	14703	0.99%	144	1.53%	213						908	3037	6108	2001	1071
	01-08	986	<u> 9</u> 95	1019	667	1057	1078	1007	1057	1033	1337	1368	1352	1263	14559	0.98%	141								996	3010	6142	1110	D +
	06-07	941	1012	1002	1031	1049	998	1058	1014	1072	1372	1400	1322	1147	14418	2.34%	330		ars						941	2955	6033	7001	- 00
	02-06	955	963	963	1002	939	1065	1004	1028	1137	1379	1383	1182	1088	14088	3.04%	416	st 6 years	r last 6 yea						955	2881	5887	6801	-
	04-05	892	960	992	918	1016	957	1020	1124	1130	1461	1261	1055	886	13672	(0.22)%	-30	Gain for la	ipil Gain fo	1.41%	189				892	2844	5735	6755	2
	03-04	922	982	606	396	947	1018	1111	1131	1052	1473	1249	1010	902	13702	2.05%	275	Average %	Average Pi						922	2813	5774	6885	****
	02-03	905	006	961	940	973	1062	1104	1021	1026	1441	1234	927	833	13427	(0.25)%	-34	1.28%	164	s years.	13 years.				905	2766	5741	6845	2
, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	01-02	846	968	949	996	1077	1108	1028	1017	1004	1405	1073	1090	930	13461	2.48%	326		ſS.	Gain for 18	pil Gain for				846	2763	5914	6942	!
	00-01	912	905	914	1031	1071	1011	998	619	1003	1222	1157	1067	865	13135	0.64%	84	it 6 years.	1st 6 year	Average %	Average Pu				912	2731	5844	6842	
	00-66	849	943	1015	1054	1012	983	981	1015	974	1202	1132	1036	855	13051	0.84%	109	Gain for 1s	Ipil Gain for	*	4				849	2807	5856	6837	
	98/99	854	995	1023	1009	974	982	962	939	959	1156	1165	1007	917	12942	1.35%	173	Average %	Average Pu					tions	854	2872	5837	6299	
	8//A	978	1031	1014	980	985	958	941	959	911	1163	1012	1026	811	12769	2.64%	328							p Combina	978	3023	5946	6887	
20102	16/06	963	866	970	066	961	917	916	934	949	1061	1084	893	805	12441	Gain								Srade Grou	963	2931	5799	6715	
1	GRAUE 1	, YCG	-	7	ę	4	ŝ	G	2	æ	თ	10	5	12	TOTALS	Percent of (Pupil Gain						TABLE	1A 0	KDG	K,1,2	K - 5	K-6	

	[·		
	998	3037	8100	D	7294	1000	2000	5200		6296	0000	0070	2110		3366	5000	CA7C	4043
	996	3010	6142		7149	1100		5146		6153	1000	1000	2090	2	3427	6220	0700	3983
	941	2955	8033		7091	3046	0,100	5092	0110	0619	1111	++-0	2086		3458	5044		3869
	955	2881	5887		6891	900E	0404	4932		OSAC	3160	2010	2165		3544	5032	4000	3653
	892	2844	5735		6755	0870		4843	6002	2000	2774	1.1.2	2254		3715	4663		3202
	922	2813	5774	-	6885	7887	-001	4852	5060	CORC	3294		2183		3656	4634		3161
	905	2766	5741		6845	2801		4836	EDAD	0100	3151		2047		3488	4535		3094
	846	2763	5914		6942	2883		5068	8008	0600	3049	>	2021		3426	4498		3093
	912	2731	5844		6842	2850		4932	5020	2000	2980		1982		3204	4311		3089
	849	2807	5856		6837	3012		5007	5988		2970		1989	1010	5191	4225		3023
tions	854	2872	5837		6799	3027		4983	5945		2860		1898		9006	4245		3089
p Combina	978	3023	5946	1000	1884	3025		4968	5909		2811		1870	0000	56D5	4012	0.00	2849
Frade Grou	963	2931	5799	1.10	6170	2958		4836	5752		2799		1883	1000	1107	3843	0000	78/7
HI I	KDG	K,1,2	K - 5	2	0 - -	1-3		1-5	1-6		6 - 8	1	8-1	0		9 - 12	C 7 O 7	11 - 12

prj08-09.xls

10/20/2008

Page 3

AUB TABLE Factors Used in Projections 2

Factor	Average P	upil Chang	je Between	Grade
-	Levels			
13 YEAR B	ASE		6 YEAR BA	\SE
K to 1	54.67		K to 1	47.80
1 to 2	11.08		1 to 2	17.60
2 to 3	20.08		2 to 3	22.20
3 to 4	19.17		3 to 4	32.20
4 to 5	19.00		4 to 5	31.80
5 to 6	14.42		5 to 6	13.80
6 to 7	15.67		6 to 7	11.40
7 to 8	13.25		7 to 8	18.80
8 to 9	301.42		8 to 9	276.20
9 to 10	(74.75)		9 to 10	(53.80)
10 to 11	(91.17)		10 to 11	(80.00)
11 to 12	(84.83)		11 to 12	(37.00)
total	218.00		total	301.00
Factor 1 is	the averag	le gain or le	oss of pupils	as they
move from (one grade l	evel to the	next. Facto	r 1 uses
the past (12) OR (5) ye	ars of chai	nges.	-

ade Level	•	BASE	15.20	6.60	23.00	10.40	19.40	10.20	(3.00)	(19.40)	4,80	(43.40)	18.40	68.00	90.00	evel size	
e By Gra	•	6 YEAR	¥	-	2	3	4	£	9	2	8	თ	10	11	12	n grade I	
upil Chanc																e change i	
Average P		ASE	2.92	1.42	4.50	4.83	6.92	12.67	15.00	8.33	10.58	16.25	21.42	38.08	45.58	the averag	OR 01/02.
Factor	- 2	13 YEAR B	×	t	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	actor 2 is	rom 94/95

ractor	•	NHOROK	SCHOOL [DISTRICI	KINDERGA	RTEN EN	ROLLMENTS	
2	14707		AS FUNC	TION OF	KING COUL		BIRTH RATES	
ENDAR		2/3rds	1/3rds	TEAR DF		ט ערא ח_		NDERGARTEN
YEAR	BIRTHS	BIRTHS	BIRTHS	ENROLL	BIRTHS	ENROLL.		D LIVE BIRTHS
1971	16,436	10,957	5,479	77/78	14,625	578	é	952%
1972	13,719	9,146	4,573	78/79	13,539	598	4	417%
1973	13,449	8,966	4,483	79/80	13,478	618	4	585%
1974	13,493	8,995	4,498	80/81	13,524	600	4.4	436%
1975	13,540	9,027	4,513	81/82	13,687	588	4	296%
1976	13,761	9,174	4,587	82/83	14,375	698	4.	856%
1977	14,682	9,788	4,894	83/84	14,958	666	4.4	452%
1978	15,096	10,064	5,032	84/85	16,048	726	4.	524%
1979	16,524	11,016	5,508	85/86	16,708	792	4	740%
1980	16,800	11,200	5,600	86/87	17,000	829	4.5	876%
1981	17,100	11,400	5,700	87/88	18,241	769	4.2	216%
1982	18,811	12,541	6,270	88/88	18,626	817	4.5	386%
1983	18,533	12,355	6,178	89/90	18,827	871	4.6	526%
1984	18,974	12,649	6,325	90/91	19,510	858	4.9	398%
1985	19,778	13,185	6,593	91/92	19,893	606	4.5	269%
1986	19,951	13,301	6,650	92/93	21,852	920	4.2	210%
1987	22,803	15,202	7,601	93/94	21,624	930	4.2	301%
1988	21,034	14,023	7,011	94/95	24,062	927	3.5	353%
1989	25,576	17,051	8,525	96/96	26,358	954	3.6	319%
1990	26,749	17,833	8,916	26/96	24,116	963	3.6	93%
1991	22,799	15,199	7,600	86//6	20,973	978	4.6	363%
1992	20,060	13,373	6,687	66/86	21,573	854	3.6	359%
1993	22,330	14,887	7,443	00/66	22,129	849	3.8	337%
1994	22,029	14,686	7,343	00/01	24,013	912	3.7	98%
1995	25,005	16,670	8,335	01/02	22,717	846	3.7	724%
1996	21,573	14,382	7,191	02/03	21,622	905	4.1	86%
1997	21,646	14,431	7,215	03/04	22,023	922	4.1	86%
1998	22,212	14,808	7,404	04/05	22,075	892	4.0	141% Last 5
1999	22,007	14,671	7,336	05/06	22,327	955	4.2	:77% year
2000	22,487	14,991	7,496	06/07	22,014	941	4.2	74% Average
2001	21,778	14,519	7,259	07/08	21,835	966	4.5	62% 4.328%
2002	21,863	14,575	7,288	08/09	22,242	998	Actual 4.4	87%
2003	22,431	14,954	7,477	09/10	22,726	984	<prjctd ave<="" td=""><td>erage</td></prjctd>	erage
2004	22,874	15,249	7,625	10/11	22,745	984	<prjctd ave<="" td=""><td>erage</td></prjctd>	erage
2005	22,680	15,120	7,560	11/12	23,723	1027	<prjctd ave<="" td=""><td>erage</td></prjctd>	erage
2006	24,244	16,163	8,081	12/13	24,683	1068	<prjctd ave<="" td=""><td>erage</td></prjctd>	erage
2007	24,902	16,601	8,301	13/14	8,301	prelimina	y number of D(Н

Source: Center for Health Statistics, Washington State Department of Health

prj08-09.xls

Page 4

		1-	~ .	I																	7			1.0		F	-						_						·		
		PRO.	21/22	1036	1088	1096	000	0011		641	1157	1170	1180	1478	1401	1307	1219	15518	0.24%	38				PROJ	21/22	1196	1228	1231	1238	1255	1271	1270	1266	1270	1531	1462	1366	1314	16896	1.18%	100
		PROJ	20/21	1033	1085	1093	1110	1178	0711	741	1015	/9/1	////	14/6	1398	1304	1216	15480	0.47%	73				PROJ	20/21	1180	1213	1215	1222	1239	1256	1255	1251	1254	1515	1446	1351	1299	16698	1.29%	213
		PROJ	19/20	1030	1082	1090	1107	1123	1140		1011	1104	4711	14/0	1395	1301	1178	15408	0.47%	72			ſ	PROJ	19/20	1165	1198	1200	1207	1224	1241	1239	1236	1239	1500	1431	1336	1268	16485	1.27%	207
		PROJ	18/19	1027	1079	1087	1104	1121	1137	1140	1481	1714	1711		1392	1263	9/11	15336	0.43%	65				COH-	18/19	1150	1183	1185	1192	1209	1226	1224	1220	1224	1485	1416	1305	1260	16279	1.18%	190
		PROJ	17/18	1024	1076	1084	1101	1118	1134	1145	1158	1168	1467	1254		1921	1180	1/761	0.56%	85			1000		81//1	1135	1167	1170	1177	1194	1210	1209	1205	1209	1470	1385	1297	1261	16089	1.33%	211
		PROJ	16/17	1021	1073	1081	1098	1115	1131	1142	1155	1165	1429	1357	1965	1467	101	10101	0.01%	76					/1/01	0711	1152	1155	1162	1179	1195	1194	1190	1194	1439	1377	1298	1225	15878	1.29%	202
		PROJ	15/16	1018	0/01	1078	1096	1112	1128	1139	1152	1128	1427	1356	1242	1162	12100	20101	% 0 t · 0	19			1 Cad	15/16	01/01	401-	1011	1139	1146	1163	0811	1179	1175	1163	1430	1378	1262	1218	1 9/901	.12%	174
		PROJ	4010	0101	1001	1075	1093	1109	1125	1136	1114	1126	1431	1333	1248	1176	15048	0 91%	4.06	130			PROL	14/15	1080	6001	7711	1124	1.51	1148	1100	1163	1144	4011	1932	1342	407L	1232	1 5002 1	0, 20, 10	234
	.000	12/14	1012	1064		1073	1090	1106	1122	1099	1112	1130	1408	1339	1260	1098	14913	0.70%	104	5			PROJ 1	13/14	1074	1107		1110	110	1150	0011	501-	1150	1206	1000	1000	1450	1130	28%	10,1	101
	1000	12/13	1010	1061	1070	10201	/801	1103	1084	1097	1116	1106	1414	1352	1183	1127	14809	1.52%	222				PROJ	12/13	1059	1091	1094	1101	1118	1110	1124	1127	1120	1380	1240	105	181	5077 1 3	86% 1	75	2
		11/12	1007	1059	1067	1001	1001	CODI	1082	1101	1093	1112	1426	1274	1212	1005	14586	0.41%	60			 : :	PROJ	11/12	1044	1076	1079	1086	1087	1110	1126	1101	1113	1402	1275	1218	1085	4802 1	82% 1	21	
SNC	PRO L	10/11	1004	1056	1064	1046	1060	0001	0001	//nL	1099	1125	1349	1303	1090	1165	14527	0.30)%	(43)		4S		PROJ	10/11	1028	1061	1063	1055	1078	112	060	094	126	329	298	122	224	1682 1	11% 0	16	
ROJECTIC		01/00	1001	1053	1026	1044	1067	1007 1063	1000	1003	1112	1047	1377	1181	1250	1265	4570)) %(16)	(133)		CUECTION	ear History	ROJ	09/10	013	046	033	046	080	. 920	083	107	053 1	352 1	202 1	261 1	313 1	665 14	26)% 0.	. (88	
ISTRICT F		08/09	998	1015	1024	1048	1044	1069	1006	0001	1034	9/01	9671	1341	1350	1352	4703	of Gain (C	il Gain		TRICT PR	sed on 6 Ye	TUAL F	38/09 (998	015 1	024 1	048 1	044 1	069 1	096 1	034 1	076 1	256 1	341 1.	350 1:	352 1:	703 14	Gain (0.2	Gain (C	
ABLE D	A	RADE	<dg< td=""><td>-</td><td>5</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>ۍ د</td><td>, ,</td><td>- 0</td><td>D C</td><td>n Ç</td><td>2 :</td><td>= :</td><td>12</td><td>IALS 1</td><td>Percent</td><td>Pup</td><td></td><td>BLE DIS</td><td>6 Bas</td><td>AC</td><td>ADE</td><td>с С</td><td>-</td><td>~</td><td>÷</td><td>۳۰ ۲۰</td><td></td><td>÷</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>ں 1</td><td>1</td><td>2 15</td><td>ALS 14</td><td>Percent of</td><td>Pupil</td><td></td></dg<>	-	5	3	4	5	ۍ د	, ,	- 0	D C	n Ç	2 :	= :	12	IALS 1	Percent	Pup		BLE DIS	6 Bas	AC	ADE	с С	-	~	÷	۳۰ ۲۰		÷	1	1	1	ں 1	1	2 15	ALS 14	Percent of	Pupil	
Ľ_		ΰ	<u>×</u>													1	5				TAI	3		<u>B</u>	<u> </u>		11		4	ŝ	9	7	80	σ	10	#	12	TOTA	_		

10/20/2008

Page 5

prj08-09.xls

TABLE	DISTRICT	PROJECT	IONS	,										
3.13A	Based on I	Birth Rates	& 13 Year	History										
	ACTUAL	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ
GRADE	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22
¥	966	984	984	1027	1068									
-	1015	1053	1038	1039	1081	1123								
7	1024	1026	1064	1049	1050	1093	1134							
e	1048	1044	1046	1084	1069	1070	1113	1154						
4	1044	1067	1063	1065	1103	1089	1089	1132	1173					
£	1069	1063	1086	1082	1084	1122	1108	1108	1151	1192				
9	1096	1083	1077	1101	1097	1099	1136	1122	1123	1165	1207			
7	1034	1112	1099	1093	1116	1112	1114	1152	1138	1139	1181	1222		·
80	1076	1047	1125	1112	1106	1130	1126	1128	1165	1151	1152	1194	1236	
6	1256	1377	1349	1426	1414	1408	1431	1427	1429	1467	1452	1453	1496	1537
10	1341	1181	1303	1274	1352	1339	1333	1356	1352	1354	1392	1378	1378	1421
5	1350	1250	1090	1212	1183	1260	1248	1242	1265	1261	1263	1301	1286	1287
12	1352	1265	1165	1005	1127	1098	1176	1163	1157	1180	1176	1178	1216	1202
TOTALS	14703	14553	14490	14570	14851									
Perce	ent of Gain	(1.02)%	(0.43)%	0.55%	1.93%									
ц	^o upil Gain	(150)	(63)	80	281									
TABLE	DISTRICT	PROJECT	IONS											
3.6A	Based on E	Sirth Rates	& 6 Year H	istory										
	ACTUAL	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ	PROJ
GRADE	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22
KDG	998	984	984	1027	1068									
-	1015	1046	1031	1032	1075	1116								-
77	1024	1033	1063	1049	1050	1092	1134							
в	1048	1046	1055	1086	1071	1072	1114	1156						
4	1044	1080	1078	1087	1118	1103	1104	1147	1188					
5	1069	1076	1112	1110	1119	1150	1135	1136	1178	1220				
Ð	1096	1083	1090	1126	1124	1133	1163	1149	1150	1192	1234			
7	1034	1107	1094	1101	1137	1135	1144	1175	1160	1161	1204	1245		
80	1076	1053	1126	1113	1120	1156	1154	1163	1194	1179	1180	1222	1:264	
6	1256	1352	1329	1402	1389	1396	1432	1430	1439	1470	1455	1456	1499	1540
10	1341	1202	1298	1275	1349	1335	1342	1378	1377	1385	1416	1402	1402	1445
11	1350	1261	1122	1218	1195	1269	1255	1262	1298	1297	1305	1336	1322	1322
12 1	1352	1313	1224	1085	1181	1158	1232	1218	1225	1261	1260	1268	1299	1285
TOTALS	14703	14636	14608	14712	14996									
Perce	ent of Gain	(0.46)%	(0.19)%	0.71%	1.93%									
Ъ	upil Gain	(67)	(28)	104	284									

10/20/2008

prj08-09.xls

Page 6

							13 year	408									13 vear	1220																						
							b year	700									6 vear	581									A VOOL	759									4 4031	303		
		PROJ	1036	1088	1113	1129	1140	%/C0	18			21/22	1196	1228	1231	1255	1271	7418	1.24%	ĥ		PRO.I	21/22									PROJ	21/22		<u> </u>		86-			
er 2008		PROJ	1033	1085	1110	1126	241	0.27%	2 8 2 8			20/21	1180	1213	1215	1239	1256	7327	1.26%	Lß		PRO.1	20/21									PROJ 1	20/21							
- Octobe		PROJ 19/20	1030	1082	1107	1123	114U	0.27%	17			19/20	1165	1198	1200	1224	1241	7235	1.28%	LA		PROJ	19/20									PROJ	19/20							
CTIONS		PROJ 18/19	1027	1079	1104	1121	1131 REEE	0.27%	18			18/19	1150	1183	1185	1209	1226	7144	1.29%	R.		PROJ	18/19									PROJ	18/19							
PROJE		PROJ 17/18	1024	1076 1084	1101	1118	6437	0.27%	18			17/18	1135	1167	1170	1194	1210	7053	1.31%	1.2		PROJ	17/18				1192					PROJ	17/18				1220			
LMENT		PROJ 16/17	1021	1073 1081	1098	1115	6520	0.27%	17		10ad	16/17	1120	1152	1155	1179	1195	6962	1.33%	2		PROJ	16/17			57.11	1151					PROJ	16/17			0077	1178			
ENROL		PROJ 15/16	1018	1070	1096	1112	6502	0.27%	18		DRO.	15/16	1104	1137	1146	1163	1180	6871	1.35%	ā		PROJ	15/16			1154	1108					PROJ	15/16			1156	1136			
TUDENT		PROJ 14/15	1016	1067 <u>.</u> 1075	1093	1109	6485	0.27%	18		I PROJ	14/15	1089	7711	1131	1148	1165	6779	1.36%	6		PROJ	14/15		1134	1113 1080	1108					PROJ	14/15		1134	1114	1135			
TRICT S		PROJ 13/14	1013	1064 1073	1090	1106	6467	0.81%	52		PRO.I	13/14	1074	110/	1116	1133	1150	6688	1.62%	201		PROJ	13/14	0077	1093	1070	1122					PROJ	13/14	1116	1092	1072 1103	1150			
OL DIS ⁻		PROJ 12/13	1010	1061 1070	1087	1084	6415	0.81%	51		PROJ	12/13	1059	1001	1101	1118	1119	6581	1.55%	-		PROJ	12/13	1068	1050	1069 1103	1084	6457	1.73% 110			PROJ	12/13	1075	1050	1071 1118	1119	6501	1.72% 110	
IN SCHC		PROJ 11/12	1007	1067	1084	1082	6363	0.71%	45		PROJ	11/12	1044	0/01	1086	1087	1110	6481	1.30% 83	;	listor	PROJ	11/12	1027	1049	1084 1065	1082	6347	1.03% 65		stor	PROJ	11/12	1032	1049	1086 1087	1110	6391	1.05% 66	
AUBUR	tor,	PROJ 10/11	1004	1064	1046	1086	6319	1.04%	65	Ę	PROJ	10/11	1028	1001	1055	1078	1112	6398	104		\$ 13 Year	PROJ	10/11	984 1038	1064	1046 1063	1086	6282	U.73% 45		k 6 Year Hi	PROJ	10/11	1031	1063	1055 1078	1112	6324	0.96% 60	
	JECTIONS 3 Year His	PROJ 09/10	1001	1026	1044 1067	1063	6254	0.90%	56	FCTIONS	PROJ	06/10	1013 1046	1033	1046	1080	1076	6294 1 5 E 0/	96 %	ECTIONS	inth Rates	PROJ	09/10	984 1043	1026	1044 1067	1063	6237	0.62% 39	ECTIONS	irth Rates &	PROJ	01/60	1046	1033	1046 1080	1076	6264	1.U/% 66	
	K - 5 PRO. Based on 1	ACTUAL 08/09	998 4045	1024	1048 1048	1069	6198	int of Gair	'upil Gain	K - 5 PROJ Based on 6	ACTUALT	08/09	998 1015	1024	1048	1044	1069	6198 1	upil Gain	<u><-5 PROJ</u>	Based on B	ACTUAL	08/09	998 1015	1024	1048 1044	1069	6198	upil Gain	(- 5 PROJI	Based on B		80/00	1015	1024	1048 1044	1069	6198	nt or Gain Ipil Gain	
:	TABLE 3E.13	GRADE	۵ ۲	- 7	ლ 1	ruo	K - 5 TOT	Perce		IABLE 3E.6		GRADE	PING.	- 0	۱ <i>۳</i>	4	2	K - 5 TOT	30	TABLE	3E.13A		GRADE	¥	· 7	€0 4	5	K - 5 TOT	Perce.	TABLE	3E.6A E		RDG KDG	į –	01	€ Ω	5	K - 5 TOT	ายา มีนี้	

prj08-09.xls

Page 7

.

10/20/2008

.

					13 vear	300									13 voar	500	200									10 vear	333									10 vear	411		
					6 vear	170			_						6 vear	256	222									6 vear	170									G vear	25b	221	
	PROJ	21/22	1157	1170	1180	3506	0.25%	6				21/22	1270	1266	1270	3805	1.21%	46	2			PROJ	21/22			-8							04100	7717		- 8	T		
	PROJ	20/21	1154	1167	1177	3498	0.25%	6				20/21	1255	1251	1254	3760	1.23%	46				PROJ	20/21			1236							20/24			1264			
	PROJ	19/20	1151	1164	1174	3489	0.25%	თ			- Cad	19/20	1239	1236	1239	3714	1.24%	46				PROJ	19/20		1222	1194						PRO. 1	19/20	2	1245	1222			
	PROJ	18/19	1148	1161	1171	3480	0.25%	6			1 ORG	18/19	1224	1220	1224	3669	1.26%	46				PROJ	18/19	1207	1181	1152	3539	2.45%	85			PRO.1	18/19	1234	1204	1180	3617	2.40%	85
	PROJ	17/18	1145	1158	1168	3471	0.25%	6			PRO.I	17/18	1209	1205	1209	3623	1.27%	46				PROJ	17/18	1165	1139	1151	3455	0.84%	29			PRO.1	17/18	1192	1161	1179	3533	0.82%	29
	PROJ	16/17	1142	1155	1165	3463	1.27%	43			PROJ	16/17	1194	1190	1194	3577	1.74%	61				PROJ	16/17	1123	1138	1165	3426	0.71%	24			PROJ 1	16/17	1150	1160	1194	3504	0.49%	- 17
	PROJ	15/16	1139	1152	1128	3419	1.26%	43			PROJ	15/16	1179	1175	1163	3516	1.58%	55				PROJ	15/16	1122	1152	1128	3402	0.75%	25			PROJ	15/16	1149	1175	1163	3487	0.72%	25
	PROJ	14/15	1136	1114	1126	3376	1.07%	36			PROJ	14/15	1163	1144	1154	3462	1.10%	38				PROJ	14/15	1136	1114	1126	3376	1.07%	36			PROJ	14/15	1163	1144	1154	3462	1.10%	38
	PROJ	13/14	1099	1112	1130	3341	0.64%	21			PROJ	13/14	1133	1135	1156	3424	1.27%	43				PROJ	13/14	1099	1112	1130	3341	0.64%	21			PROJ	13/14	1133	1135	1156	3424	1.27%	43
	PROJ	12/13	1097	1116	1106	3319	0.40%	13			PROJ	12/13	1124	1137	1120	3381	1.23%	41				PROJ	12/13	1097	1116	1106	3319	0.40%	13			PROJ	12/13	1124	1137	1120	3381	1.23%	41
4S	PROJ	11/12	1101	1093	1112	3306	0.14%	5	S		PROJ	11/12	1126	1101	1113	3340	%06	30		S	listory	PROJ	11/12	1101	1093	1112	3306	0.14%	5	<i>v</i>	torv	PROJ	11/12	1126	1101	1113	3340	0.90%	30
CUECTION	PROJ	10/11	1077	6601	1125	3301	1.82%	59	OJECTION	Z	PROJ	10/11	1090	1094	1126	3310	2.07%	67		OJECTION	t 13 Year H	PROJ	11/01	1077	1099	1125	3301	1.82%	59	DIFCTION	6 Year His	PROJ	10/11	1090	1094	1126	3310	2.07%	67
CHOOL PF 3 Year His	PROJ	09/10	1083	7111	1047	3242	1.13%	36	CHOOL PR	Year Histo	PROJ	09/10	1083	1107	1053	3243	1.15%	37		HOOL PR	irth Rates 8	PROJ	1 0L/60	1083	1112	1047	3242	1.13%	36	HOOL PRC	rth Rates &	PROJ	09/10	1083	1107	1053	3243	1.15%	37
MIDDLE S(ACTUAL	08/09	1096	4001	9/01	3200	nt of Gain	upil Gain	VIDDLE SC	Jased on 6	ACTUAL	08/09	1096	1034	1076	3206	nt of Gain	upil Gain		AIDDLE SC	lased on Bi		- AU/00	1096	1034	1076	3206	it of Gain	ipil Gain	IDDLE SC	ased on Bil		08/09	1096	1034	1076	3206	t of Gain	pil Gain
TABLE 3MS.13		GRADE	10 r	~ 0	0 <u>6 0 TOT</u>	10-0-0	Perce		TABLE	3MS.6 L		GRADE	ю I	7	80	6 - 8 TOT	Percei	٩		TABLE N	3MS.13A E		GIVALE GIVALE	D I	- 0	0 201	9-8101	Percer	Ĩ	TABLE IN	3MS.6A B	4	GRADE	9	7	8	6 - 8 TOT	Percen	n L

prj08-09.xls

Page 8

10/20/2008
							13 vear	106										13 vear	374										13 year	148										13 year	293		
		-					6 vear	(112)										6 vear	(38)										6 year	(112)										6 year	(38)		
		PROJ	21/22	1478	1401	1307	1219	5405	0.22%	12	-		PROJ	21/22	1531	1462	1366	1314	5673	1.08%	61		•	PROJ	21/22	1537	1421	1287	1202	5447	1.31%	70			PROJ	21/22	1540	1445	1322	1285	5592	1.27%	02
		PROJ	20/21	1476	1398	1304	1216	5393	0.87%	46			PROJ	20/21	1515	1446	1351	1299	5612	1.38%	76			PROJ	20/21	1496	1378	1286	1216	5376	1.25%	66			PROJ	20/21	1499	1402	1322	1299	5522	1.09%	60
		PRO.I	19/20	1473	1395	1301	1178	5347	0.86%	46			PROJ	19/20	1500	1431	1336	1268	5536	1.28%	20			PROJ	19/20	1453	1378	1301	1178	5310	0.50%	26			PROJ	19/20	1456	1402	1336	1268	5462	0.48%	26
		PROJ	18/19	1470	1392	1263	1176	5301	0.74%	39			PROJ	18/19	1485	1416	1305	1260	5466	0.98%	53			PROJ	18/19	1452	1392	1263	1176	5284	0.41%	21			PROJ	18/19	1455	1416	1305	1260	5436	0.43%	23
		PROJ	17/18	1467	1354	1261	1180	5262	1.13%	59			PROJ	17/18	1470	1385	1297	1261	5413	1.38%	74			PROJ	17/18	1467	1354	1261	1180	5262	1.13%	59			PROJ	17/18	1470	1385	1297	1261	5413	1.38%	74
		PROJ	16/17	1429	1352	1265	1157	5203	0.30%	15			PROJ	16/17	1439	1377	1298	1225	5339	0.94%	50			PROJ	16/17	1429	1352	1265	1157	5203	0.30%	15			PROJ	16/17	1439	1377	1298	1225	5339	0.94%	50
		PROJ	15/16	1427	1356	1242	1163	5188	0.01%	۰			PROJ	15/16	1430	1378	1262	1218	5289	0.53%	28			PROJ	15/16	1427	1356	1242	1163	5188	0.01%	-			PROJ	15/16	1430	1378	1262	1218	5289	0.53%	28
		PROJ	14/15	1431	1333	1248	1176	5187	1.61%	82			PROJ	14/15	1432	1342	1255	1232	5261	2.00%	103			PROJ	14/15	1431	1333	1248	1176	5187	1,61%	82			PROJ	14/15	1432	1342	1255	1232	5261	2.00%	103
		PROJ	13/14	1408	1339	1260	1098	5105	0.60%	30			PROJ	13/14	1396	1335	1269	1158	5158	0.86%	44			PROJ	13/14	1408	1339	1260	1098	5105	0.60%	30			PROJ	13/14	1396	1335	1269	1158	5158	0.86%	44
		PROJ	12/13	1414	1352	1183	1127	5075	3.21%	158			PROJ	12/13	1389	1349	1195	1181	5114	2.67%	133			PROJ	12/13	1414	1352	1183	1127	5075	3.21%	158			PROJ	12/13	1389	1349	1195	1181	5114	2.67%	133
		PROJ	11/12	1426	1274	1212	1005	4917	0:22%	£			PROJ	11/12	1402	1275	1218	1085	4981	0.15%	8		History	PROJ	11/12	1426	1274	1212	1005	4917	0.22%	=		story	PROJ	11/12	1402	1275	1218	1085	4981	0.15%	x
SNO	tory	PROJ	10/11	1349	1303	1090	1165	4906	(3.30)%	(167)	SNO	λ.	PROJ	10/11	1329	1298	1122	1224	4974	(3.02)%	(155)	SNC	& 13 Year I	PROJ	10/11	1349	1303	1090	1165	4906	(3.30)%	(167)	SNC	s 6 Year Hi	PROJ	10/11	1329	1298	1122	1224	4974	(3.02)%	(cci)
PROJECT	13 Year His	PROJ	09/10	1377	1181	1250	1265	5074	(4.25)%	(225)	PROJECTI	Year Histo	PROJ	09/10	1352	1202	1261	1313	5128	(3.22)%	(171)	ROJECTI	lirth Rates	PROJ	03/10	1377	1181	1250	1265	5074	(4.25)%	(225)	ROJECTIC	irth Rates	PROJ	09/10	1352	1202	1261	1313	5128	(3.22)%	(171)
SR. HIGH	Based on	ACTUAL	08/09	1256	1341	1350	1352	5299	ent of Gain	^o upil Gain	SR. HIGH	Based on t	ACTUAL	08/09	1256	1341	1350	1352	5299	ent of Gain	upil Gain	SR. HIGH	Based on E	ACTUAL	08/09	1256	1341	1350	1352	5299	int of Gain	upil Gain	SR. HIGH I	Based on E	ACTUAL	08/09	1256	1341	1350	1352	- 667G	nt of Gain	upii Galli
TABLE	3SH.13		GRADE	o O	23	= :	12	9-12 101 1	Perce	-	TABLE	3SH.6		GRADE	თ :	10	.	12	9-12 TOT	Perce	ш.	TABLE	3SH.13A		GRADE	ъ,	01	11	12 	9-12 TOT	rerce		TABLE	3SH.6A		GRADE	ග ්	6;	=	12 <u>~ 10 TOT 1</u>	101 21-8	Lerce	

AUBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT STUDENT ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS - October 2008

prj08-09.xls

10/20/2008

Ĩ.

Page 9

<u>س</u>
20
_ 23
<u> </u>
e
- 12
0
- #
Ř
0
,
()
~ ~ ~
4
0
Ē
o
ш
5
ń
2
Ľ.
ሲ
- L.
-
Z
ш
1
-
_
0
ñ
5
~
ш
-
-
~
ш
5
2
5
S

5
2
2
Ľ
5
0
\overline{a}
0
ž
2
I
0
ž
Z
2
H I
)
m
Ē
1
Ч,

			13 vear	. 8 6	198				13 vear	371	1022					13 vear	300	289					12 1021	106 106	374	148	293				13 vear	815	2193		
			6 vear	17	91		~~~		6 vear	269	490			_	_	6 vear	170	256					6 vear	(112)	(38)	(112)	(38)				6 vear	345	799		
		PRO.I	21/22	1036	1196			PROJ	21/22	5571	6222				PRO.I	2012	3506	3805				1000	21/22	5405	5673	5447	5592			PROJ	21/22	15518	16896		
		PROJ	20/21	1033	1180			PROJ	20/21	5557	6146				PRO.I	20/21	3498	3760				I Udd	1000	5393	5612	5376	5522			PROJ	20/21	15480	16698	1	
		PROJ	19/20	1030	1165			PROJ	19/20	5542	6070				PRO.1	19/20	3489	3714				1 I Uad	19/20	5347	5536	5310	5462			PROJ	19/20	15408	16485		
		PROJ	18/19	1027	1150			PROJ	18/19	5527	5994				PROJ	18/19	3480	3669	3539	3617		PROJ	18/19	5301	5466	5284	5436			PROJ	18/19	15336	16279		
		PROJ	17/18	1024	1135			PROJ	17/18	5513	5918				PROJ	17/18	3471	3623	3455	3533		PRO.I	17/18	5262	5413	5262	5413			PROJ	17/18	15271	16089		
		PROJ	16/17	1021	1120			PROJ	16/17	5498	5842				PROJ	16/17	3463	3577	3426	3504		PRO.I	16/17	5203	5339	5203	5339			PROJ	16/17	15185	15878		
		PROJ	15/16	1018	1104			PROJ	15/16	5484	5766				PROJ	15/16	3419	3516	3402	3487		PROJ	15/16	5188	5289	5188	5289			PROJ	15/16	15109	15676		
		PROJ	14/15	1016	1069			PROJ	14/15	5469	5690				PROJ	14/15	3376	3462	3376	3462		PROJ	14/15	5187	5261	5187	5261			PROJ	14/15	15048	15502		
		PROJ	13/14	1013	10/4			PROJ	13/14	5454	5614				PROJ	13/14	3341	3424	3341	3424		PROJ	13/14	5105	5158	5105	5158			PROJ	13/14	14913	15270		
		PROJ	12/13	1010	9001	1068		PROJ	12/13	5405	5523	5388	5432		PROJ	12/13	3319	3381	3319	3381		PROJ 1	12/13	5075	5114	5075	5114			PROJ	12/13	14809	15077	14851	14996
		PROJ	11/12	1007	1024	1027		PROJ	11/12	5357	5438	5320 5254	0304		PROJ	11/12	3306	3340	3306	3340		PROJ	11/12	4917	4981	4917	4981			PROJ	11/12	14586	14802	14570	14712
ARISONS		PROJ	10/11	1004	1020	984		PROJ	10/11	5315	5370	5298 5240	00400		PROJ	10/11	3301	3310	3301	3310		PROJ	10/11	4906	4974	4906	4974			PROJ	10/11	14527	14682	14490	14608
ON COMP. GROUP		PROJ	09/10	1001	C D D	984		PROJ	09/10	5253	5281	5253 E204	1070		PROJ	09/10	3242	3243	3242	3243		PROJ	09/10	5074	5128	5074	5128			PROJ	09/10	14570	14665	14553	14636
PROJECTI	REN	ACTUAL	08/09	998 008	800	998	D 5	ACTUAL	60/80	5200	5200	5200	7500	D 8	ACTUAL	08/09	3206	3206	3206	3206	D 12	ACTUAL	08/09	5299	5299	5299	5299	TAL O	1 HLS	ACTUAL	08/09	14703	14703	14703	14703
TABLE 4	KINDERGA		GRADE	Е.13 П. 8	F 13A	E.6A	GRD 1 GR		GRADE	E.13	г.б 	E.13A E.6A		GRD 6 GR		GRADE	MS.13	MS.6	MS.13A	MS.6A	GRD 9 GR	<u></u>	GRADE	SH.13	SH.6	SH.13A	SH.6A		חוסו צורי ור		GRADE	3.13	3.6	3.13A	3.6A

10/20/2008

Page 10

prj08-09.xls

AUBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT STUDENT ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS - October 2008

PROJECTION COMPARISONS	RV GRADE COOLID
TABLE	v.

October 1 Actual Count AND Projected Counts Total =

Number Projection is under(-) or over Actual Percent Projection is under(-) or over Actual Dìff ≝ = %

Prj 3.13 - 13 YEAR HISTORY & Using Average Kdg Increase Prj 3.6 - 6 YEAR HISTORY & Using Average Kdg Increase Prj 3.13A 13 YEAR HISTORY & King Cty Birth Rates Prj 3.6A - 6 YEAR HISTORY & King Cty Birth Rates

Grades		1996-97			1997-98			1998-99			1999-00			2000-01	
K - 5	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	10-0007	70
ACTUAL	5799	XXX	XXX	5946	XX	XXX	5837	XXX	XXX	5856	XXX	XXX	5844		2
Prj 3E.13	5843	44	0.76%	5928	(18)	(0.30)%	6049	212	(0.54)%	5778	(78)	3 15%	5811	(66)	/0 E6/0/
Prj 3E.6	5821	22	0.38%	5904	(42)	(0.71)%	6026	189	%(96.0)	5735	(124)	20101.0	FREA	(160)	a/00.01
Prj 3E.13A	5853	54	0.93%	5783	(163)	(2.74)%	5936	66	(2.64)%	5811	(45)	1 51%	4000	75	0/ (on.c)
Prj 3E.6A	5798	. (1)	(0.02)%	5768	(178)	(2.99)%	5917	80	(2.93)%	5785	(11)	1 15%	5895	. r	0.87%
												2011	2222	5	0/10.0
Grades		1996-97			1997-98			1998-99			1999-00			2000.01	ſ
6.8	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%
	2799	XXX	xxx	2811	××	XXX	2860	XX	×	2970	XXX	××	2980		2
Prj 3E.13	2875	76	2.72%	2820	თ	0.32%	2910	50	(2.64)%	2927	(80)	(2 62)%	2002	źę	1 4492
Prj 3E.6	2848	49	1.75%	2789	(22)	(0.78)%	2878	18	(2.70)%	2895	(15)	(2.46)%	3009	65	%
Prj 3E.13A	2875	76	2.72%	2820	6	0.32%	2910	50	(2.64)%	2927	(80)	(2.62)%	3023	43	1.44%
Pri 3E.6A	2848	49	1.75%	2789	(22)	(0.78)%	2878	18	(2.70)%	2895	(75)	(2.46)%	3009	29	0.97%
Grades	:	1996-97			1997-98			1998-99			1999-00			2000-01	Γ
9 - 12	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	^^
	3843	XXX	XX	4012	XX	XXX	4245	××	xx	4225	××	XXX	4311	XX	×
Рŋ 3E.13	3768	(75)	(1.95)%	4092	80	1,99%	4110	(135)	2.74%	4301	76	(0.32)%	4369	58	1.35%
Prj 3E.6	3750	(83)	(2.42)%	4069	57	1.42%	4103	(142)	1.51%	4313	88	(1.49)%	4394	83	1 93%
Prj 3E.13A	3768	(75)	(1.95)%	3983	(29)	(0.72)%	4110	(135)	2.74%	4301	76	(0.32)%	4369	58	1.35%
Pri 3E.6A	3750	(93)	(2.42)%	3970	(42)	(1.05)%	4103	(142)	1.51%	4313	88	(1.49)%	4394	83	1.93%
]
	i i	1996-97			1997-98			1998-99			1999-00			10-00	
Grades	Fotal	Diff	%	Total	Ë	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%
ACTUAL	12441	XXX	×	12769	XX	XXX	12942	XXX	xx	13051	××	××	13135	××	×
Prj 3E.13	12486	45	0.36%	12840	11	0.56%	13069	127	(0:30)%	13006	(45)	0.97%	13203	68	0.52%
PT] 3E.6	12419	(22)	(0.18)%	12762	6	(0.05)%	13007	65	(0.82)%	12943	(108)	0.50%	13067	(68)	(0.52)%
Prj 3E.13A	12496	55 	0.44%	12586	(183)	(1.43)%	12956	14	(1.44)%	13039	(12)	0.10%	13311	176	1.34%
rij 3E.6A	12396	(45)	(0.36)%	12527	(242)	(1.90)%	12898	(44)	(1.89)%	12993	(58)	(0.33)%	13298	163	1 74%

prj08-09.xls

Page 11

10/20/2008

1.34% 1.24%

I		~
	PROJECTION COMPARISONS	BY GRADE GROUP (Continued
	TABLE	2ı

Total = October 1 Actual Count AND Projected Counts Diff = Number Projection is under(-) or over Actual

M = Percent Projection is under(-) or over Actual

-

Prj 3.13 - 13 YEAR HISTORY & Using Average Kdg Increase Prj 3.6 - 6 YEAR HISTORY & Using Average Kdg Increase Prj 3.13A 13 YEAR HISTORY & King Cly Birth Rates Prj 3.6A - 6 YEAR HISTORY & King Cly Birth Rates

·		00 1000			00000			2003-04			2004-05			2005-06	
Grades		20-1002			50-2002	ò	}		/0	Loto T		70	Total	19 19	%
х. 5	Total	Diff	%	lotal	ПШ	<u>%</u>	l otal		0/	10(8)	5		10101		
ACTUAL	5914	XX	XXX	5741	XXX	××	5774	××	xx	5735	XX	××	5887	×	ž
Pri 3E 13	5827	(87)	(1.47)%	5723	(18)	(0.31)%	5655	(119)	(0.49)%	5761	26	0.45%	2150	(137)	(2.33)%
Pri 3F 6	5802	(112)	(1.89)%	5735	(9)	(0.10)%	5662	(112)	(0.34)%	5821	86	1.50%	5795	(92)	(1.56)%
Pri 3F 13A	5839	(75)	(1.27)%	5743	. 01	0.03%	5605	(169)	(1.24)%	5709	(26)	(0.45)%	5750	(137)	(2.33)%
Pri 3E.6A	5831	(83)	(1.40)%	5776	35	0.61%	5631	(143)	(0.81)%	5756	21	0.37%	5784	(103)	(1.75)%
Grados		2001-02			2002-03			2003-04			2004-05		-	2005-06	
6-8	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%
ACTUAL	3049	XXX	XXX	3151	XXX	XXX	3294	××	xx	3274	xx	××	3169	XX	XX
Pri 3E 13	3025	(24)	%(62.0)	3185	34	1.08%	3214	(80)	(8.86)%	3295	21	0.64%	3132	(37)	(1.17)%
Pri 3F.6	3011	(38)	(1.25)%	3192	41	1.30%	3216	(78)	(0.06)%	3311	37	1.13%	3137	(32)	(1.01)%
Pri 3F 13A	3025	(24)	%(62.0)	3185	34	1.08%	3214	(80)	(8.88)%	3295	21	0.64%	3132	(37)	(1.17)%
Pri 3F 6A	3011	(38)	(1.25)%	3192	41	1.30%	3216	(78)	(6.06)%	3311	37	1.13%	3137	(32)	(1.01)%
			× · · ·												
Gradae		2001-02			2002-03			2003-04			2004-05			2005-06	
Giaues 0 - 10	Tota	Diff	%	Total		%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%
ACTUAL	4498	XXX	XXX	4535	XX	×	4634	××	xx	. 4663	x	XXX	5032	XXX	××
Pri 3F 13	4455	(43)	%(96'0)	4577	42	0.93%	4630	(4)	5.90%	4783	120	2.57%	4898	(134)	(2.66)%
Pri 3E 6	4476	(22)	(0 49)%	4594	59	1.30%	4639	сı	3.69%	4769	106	2.27%	4880	(152)	(3.02)%
Pri 3F 13A	4455	(43)	%(96.0)	4577	42	0.93%	4630	(4)	5,90%	4783	120	2.57%	4898	(134)	(2.66)%
Pri 3F.6A	4476	(22)	(0,49)%	4594	59	1.30%	4639	20	3.69%	4769	106	2.27%	4880	(152)	(3.02)%
VI		2001-02			2002-03			2003-04			2004-05			2005-06	
	totot		70	Total	μĊ	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%
Claues		2	٥/	1 4101	2	2									

prj08-09.xls

10/20/2008

xxx (2.19)% (1.96)% (2.19)% (2.04)%

xxx (308) (276) (308) (287)

14088 13780 13812 13780 13780

xxx 1.22% 1.67% 0.84%

xxx 167 229 115 164

13672 13839 13901 13787 13787

xxx (1.27)% (0.95)% (1.18)% (1.18)%

xxx (173) (130) (225) (162)

13672 13699 13542 13447 13510

xxx 0.43% 0.70% 0.58%

xxx 58 94 78 135

xxx (1.14)% (1.28)% (1.05)% (1.06)%

xxx (154) (172) (142) (143)

> 13319 13318

Prj 3E.13 Prj 3E.6 Prj 3E.13A Prj 3E.6A

13461 13307 **13289**

ACTUAL

13427 13485 13521 13505 13562

1.01%

1.20%

Page 12

PROJECTION COMPARISONS	BY GRADE GROUP (Continued)
TABLE	ß

October 1 Actual Count AND Projected Counts Total =

Number Projection is under(-) or over Actual Percent Projection is under(-) or over Actual Diff = % =

Prj 3.13 - 13 YEAR HISTORY & Using Average Kdg Increase Prj 3.5 - 6 YEAR HISTORY & Using Average Kdg Increase Prj 3.13A 13 YEAR HISTORY & King Cty Birth Rates Prj 3.6A - 6 YEAR HISTORY & King Cty Birth Rates

	Average	Average		2008-09			2007-08			2006-07	ĺ	
of projection models.	(0.62)%	(36)	(0.42)%	(26)	6172	(0.78)%	(48)	6094	(2.01)%		(121)	5912 (121)
numeric impact on efficacy	(0.72)%	(41)	(1.11)%	(69)	6129	(1.35)%	(83)	6059	(2.72)%		(164)	5869 (164)
Articulation pattern has no	(0.27)%	(26)	0.63%	36	6237	(0.07)%	(4)	6138	(1.86)%		(112)	5921 (112)
	(0.22)%	(22)	(0.31)%	(19)	6179	(0.93)%	(22)	6085	(2.69)%	-	(162)	5871 (162)
pattern.	XX	xx	XX	XXX	6198	×	XX	6142	xxx		XXX	6033 XXX
K - 5, 6-8, 9-12 articulation	%	Diff	%	Diff	Total	%	Diff	Total	%		Diff	Total Diff
Historical Data is grouped by	Average	Average		2008-09			2007-08			2	2006-0	2006-0
										ļ		

pattern.	Articulation pattern has no	numeric impact on efficacy	of projection models.
----------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------

ğ %

ž

Diff ž

%

Diff Š

Total 3206

Diff Š

Total 3097

> ž %

Š Diff

ACTUAL

Total 3144

6-8

Š %

Pri 3E.13	3131	(13)	(0.41)%	3107	10	0.32%	3179	(27)	(0.84)%	0	(0.80)%
Pri 3E.6	3146	5	0.06%	3116	19	0.61%	3195	(11)	(0.34)%	(2)	(0.68)%
Pri 3E.13A	3131	(13)	(0.41)%	3107	10	0.32%	3179	(27)	(0.84)%	<u>(</u>)	(0.80)%
Prj 3E.6A	3146	61	0.06%	3116	19	0.61%	3195	(11)	(0.34)%	(5)	(0.68)%
Grades		2006-07			2007-08			2008-09		Average	Average
9 - 12	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Diff	%
ACTUAL	5241	XXX	xxx	5320	xx	XXX	5299	xx	XXX	XX	XX
Pri 3E.13	5085	(156)	(2.98)%	5190	(130)	(2.44)%	5129	(170)	(3.21)%	(24)	0,30%
Pri 3E.6	5086	(155)	(2.96)%	5192	(128)	(2.41)%	5155	(144)	(2.72)%	(22)	(0.03)%
Pri 3E.13A	5085	(156)	(2.98)%	5190	(130)	(2.44)%	5129	(170)	(3.21)%	(33)	0.09%
Prj 3E.6A	5086	(155)	(2.96)%	5192	(128)	(2.41)%	5155	(144)	(2.72)%	(29)	(0.22)%
AI		2006-07			2007-08			2008-09		Average	Average
Grades	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Total	Diff	%	Diff	%

(0.27)% (0.34)% (0.59)% %(65.0)

(1.47)% %(6.70)% (1.81)% (1.23)%

14703 14487 14587 14437 14522

ž

XXX (41) (69) (69)

xxx (216) (116) (266) (181)

(0.78)% (1.39)% (1.08)% (1.22)% Š

xxx (177) (113) (203) (157)

14559 14382 **14446** 14356

xxx (2.30)% (1.84)% (2.31)%

xxx (331) (265) (333) (274)

14153 14085 14418 14087

Prj 3E.13

ACTUAL Prj 3E.6 14144

Prj 3E.13A Prj 3E.6A

14402

(1.90)%

Š

prj08-09.xls

10/20/2008

•

Appendix A.2 - CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN Enrollment Projections

.

בייייייי יאיייייייי

BASE DATA - BUILDOUT SCHEDULE

ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1 Uses Build Out Estimates received from developers.
- Student Generation Factor are updated Auburn data for 2009 as allowed per King County Ordinance

0.0790 0.0340 0.0420 0.1550

0.3200 0.1520

0.1580

Middle School

Elementary

Factors

Senior High

0.6300

Family Multi-

Single Family

2009 Auburn

Student Generation Factors

- Takes area labeled Lakeland East slated for 2007 and divides across 2010-12 ŝ 2
- Takes area labeled Kersey Project slated for 2005 and divides across 20010-15
 - 4
- 5
- Takes area labeled Bridges (Kent Impoundment) and projects across 2009-2014 Includes known developments in N. Auburn, Lea Hill and Non-Lakeland developments (see Development Growth) 6 Includes kno

OWI UEVEROPHIEIKS III N. FURMIN								
	0000	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
	110	120	120	120				470
akeland Single Family Units	0.1	242	1001	125	160	155		695
ea Hill Area		50	150	150	145	140	51	746
Other Single Family Units	00	255	370	395	305	295	51	1911
I Otal Stilgle Failing Office								
Elementary Prinils K-5	44	82	118	126	88	94	16	219
Mid School Dunils 6-8	36	8	56	00	46	45	ω	290
Sr Hich Public 9-12	38	40	58	62	48	47	ω	302
Total K-12	151	161	233	249	192	186	32	1204
								12
l akeland Multi Family Units	13	0	0	0	0	5 (> 0	5
Other Multi Family Units	83	48	32	0	0	0	2	00
Total Multi Family Units	96	48	32	0	0	0	5	0/-
Projected Pupils:							Ċ	VV
Elementary Pupils K-5	8	4	S	0	5		- c	F F
Mid School Pupils 6-8	3	2	-	0	5	5		35
Sr. High Pupils 9-12	4	2	-	0	5		o c	30
Total K-12	15	7	2	D	2	2		
			00,	305	305	205	51	2087
Total Housing Units	336	303	402	080	200			
Finite K.K	84	85	121	126	98	94	16	625
Eletiteridary Fupils 6-8	40	40	57	60	46	45	8	296
	47	42	90	62	48	47	œ	309
Total K-12	166	168	238	249	192	186	32	1231
				4 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	07 0700	11 110	2014-15	_
Cumulative Projection	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	71-1107	CI-7102	+1-CI 07	60.F	
Elementary - Grades K -5	84	170	291	417	515 515	800	206	
Mid School - Grades 6 - 8	40	80	137	198	244	687	062	
Senior High - Grades 9 - 12	42	84	144	206	255	301	200	
	201	124	£73	821	1013	1199	1231	

Buildouts March 09 + ND3.6 ave projections

44

821

572

334

166

Total

2002
Updevior is-ivial ci I
Ī

				91-GL07 CI-+107	- Ca	1 70 00	83 86	83 85	84 87	- 10 02 02	00	87 89	89 91	90 00	70 00	117 110 110		113 116	102 105	30	1190 1 1731
			2012 11	50.07	ay	3	71	20	71	- C	1.1	4	75	76			0	95	87	59	1013
			2010-12	0-203	ц ц	3	57	57	58	200	8	PU	61	62	63		3	11	70	64	821
			2011-12	J 	38	2	40	40	40	41	Ş	44	42	43	44	5		24	49	45	572
pa	5		2010-11		23		23	23	24	24		t	25	25	26	32	1 7	0	29	26	334
& Distribut			2009-10		1		12	12	12	12	10	2	12	12	13	16	a 1	2	44	13	166
ils Added			2008-09		998	-	1015	1024	1048	1044	1060	2	1096	1034	1076	1256	1241	5	1350	1352	14703
I New Pup		average	rade	_				42.01%						22.53%			35 46%				Total
cts - Annua	-evel	Percent of a	Pupils by G	& Level	6.70%	C 000/	0/ 06.0	6.94%	7.04%	7.11%	7.26%	1000	1.33%	7.50%	7.63%	9.72%	9.40%		0.04%	7.80%	100.00%
New Proje	by Grade I	6 Year	Average	Enroll.	951	880	000	685	666	1009	1031		049	C901	1083	1380	1334	1010	1212	1106	14190
TABLE	2		GRADE		KDG	•	- (N	ň	4	ъ	ú	1 C	_	œ	o o	10	*		12	Totals

<u>و</u> بر	ear His	torical Dat	8						
Avei	age	Enrollment	and Percer	itage Distri	buted by G	rade Level			
	3-04	04-05	02-00	06-07	07-08	08-09	BVL AVA	%	
	922	892	955	941	966	998	950.67	A 70%	
	982	960	963	1012	995	1015	987 83	6 06%	
	606	<u>992</u>	963	1002	1019	1024	984 83	6 Q/0/	
	996	918	1002	1031	997	1048	998.67	70402	
	947	1016	939	1049	1057	1044	1008.67	7 110	
	1018	957	1065	966	1078	1069	1030 83	7 760/	
	1111	1020	1004	1058	1007	1006	1040 33	7 200/	
	1131	1124	1028	1014	1057	1034	1064.67	7 5007	
	1052	1130	1137	1072	1033	1076	10.2001	7 6307	
	1473	1461	1379	1372	1337	1256	1379.67	7062 0	
	1249	1261	1383	1400	1368	1341	1333.67	70100	
	1010	1055	1182	1322	1352	1350	10101 83	N 01-0	
	902	886	1088	1147	1263	1352	1106 33	7 80%	
	13702	13672	14088	14418	14559	14703	14190.33	100.00%	
, o	f change	-0.22%	3.04%	2.34%	0.98%	0.99%		2/22/22	
£	ange +/-	-30	416	330	141	144			

Buildouts March 09 + ND3.6 ave projections

2002 1
5
1
۵
5
T
2
5
4
5
D
5
Ξ.
L
≓.
5
2
Ξ
Ξ
2
Ξ.
7
-
2
2
6
D
2
2
2
2
Ē
ĩ
-

TABLE 4 New Projects - Pupil ND 3.13 by Grade Level	Projection Undated N	Cumulativ	a								
Uses a 'cohort survival'	GRADE	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-12	2042.44	34 4 400	0045.40	-	
model assuming 100% of		Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Droiactod		
previous year new	KDG	998	1012	1026	1045	1065	1080	1006	1101		
enrollees move to the next	~~	1015	1064	1079	1098	1119	1135	1151	1156		
grade level.	7	1024	1038	1087	1106	1127	1143	1159	1164		
	<i>с</i> р	1048	1056	1070	1124	1145	1161	1177	1182		
Nindergarten calculates	4	1044	1079	1087	1106	1161	1178	1194	1199		
previous years number plus	ۍ ا	1069	1075	1110	1124	1144	1196	1212	1217		
-	Υ.Υ Υ	6198	6324	6459	6604	6760	6893	6988	7019		
current generation based on	9	1096	1096	1102	1143	1157	1174	1225	1230	_	
% of total enroliment. Other	~	1034	1124	1124	1136	1178	1188	1204	1244		
use 100% cohort survival.	ω	1076	1060	1150	1156	1169	1207	1217	1222		
	GR 6-8	3206	3280	3377	3435	3504	3569	3647	3696	_	
	თ	1256	1394	1381	1482	1494	1506	1547	1547		
	10	1341	1197	1334	1328	1429	1434	1446	1472		
	5	1350	1264	1119	1260	1253	1347	1350	1347		
	12	1352	1278	1191	1050	1191	1177	1269	1259		
	GR 9-12	5299	5133	5025	5120	5366	5464	5612	5625		
	Total	14703	14736	14861	15159	15630	15926	16247	16340		
	%	of change	0.22%	0.85%	2.00%	3.11%	1.89%	2 02%	0.57%		
		change +/-	33	125	298	471	296	321	93		
TABLE 5 New Projects - Pupil	Projection (Cumulative									
ND 3.6 by Grade Level	Updated M	arch 2009									
Uses a 'cohort survival'	GRADE	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2018-17	2047_4R
model assuming 100% of		Actual	Projected								
previous year new	90X	9 <u>9</u> 8	1024	1051	1082	1114	1142	1170	1187	120001	1214
enrollees move to the next		1015	1057	1084	1116	1149	1177	1205	1223	1237	1054
grade level.	7	1024	1044	1087	1118	1151	1179	1207	1225	1031	1051
	т п	1048	1058	1078	1126	1159	1187	1216	1733	1247	1261
Kindergarten calculates	4	1044	1092	1102	1128	1176	1205	1233	1251	1265	1279
previous years number plus	_ L	1069	1088	1136	1152	1178	1223	1252	1269	1284	1298
	ب ب	6198	6364	6538	6722	6926	7114	7283	7388	7472	7556
Current generation based on	ופ	1096	1095	1114	1168	1185	1208	1252	1270	1284	1299
Ites 100% cobod cup/ind	~ (1034	1120	1119	1144	1199	1211	1234	1267	1282	1296
	L 0 0 0 0	10/6	1065	1152	1157	1182	1233	1246	1257	1271	1285
	CK 6-8	3206	3280	3385	3469	3566	3652	3732	3794	3837	3880
	<u>.</u>	1256	1368	1361	1458	1469	1495	1549	1550	1568	1585
	9	1341	1218	1330	1329	1426	1431	1455	1494	1511	1528
		1350	1275	1151	1267	1265	1355	1358	1367	1383	1399
	-12 -12	1352	1326	1250	1130	1245	1237	1325	1314	1329	1344
	GK 9-12	5299	5187	5092	5184	5406	5517	5687	5726	5791	5857
	lotal	14703	14831	15016	15374	15898	16284	16701	16907	17099	17293
	%	of change	0.87%	1.24%	2.39%	3.40%	2.43%	2.57%	1.23%	1.14%	1.14%
	0	change +/~ [128	184	359	523	386	418	206	192	194

Buildouts March 09 + ND3.6 ave projections

במוומכמו למומ וטו בוווילווויופות דוטןפרווטוואיזאוטון גטטט

I ABLE 5 New Developments -	- Pupil Proje	ction Cum	nulative				2 02%	4 14%	
ND3.13A by Grade Level	Updated M	arch 2009							
Uses a 'cohort survival'	GRADE	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
model assuming 100% of		Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
previous year new	KDG	866 	995	1007	1065	1123			5
enrollees move to the next	۴-	1015	1064	1062	1079	1139	1193		
grade level.	2	1024	1038	1087	1089	1107	1163	1217	
	ო	1048	1056	1070	1124	1127	1142	1197	1241
Kindergarten calculates	4	1044	1079	1087	1106	1161	1161	1175	1210
birth rate average plus	2J	1069	1075	1110	1124	1144	1196	1195	1198
		6198	6306	6422	6587	6802	5854	4784	3658
current generation based on	9	1096	1096	1102	1143	1157	1174	1225	1213
% of total enrollment. Other	7	1034	1124	1124	1136	1178	1188	1204	1244
use 100% cohort survival.	~	1076	1060	1150	1156	1169	1207	1217	1222
		3206	3280	3377	3435	3504	3569	3647	3679
	6	1256	1394	1381	1482	1494	1506	1547	1547
	10	1341	1197	1334	1328	1429	1434	1446	1472
	1	1350	1264	1119	1260	1253	1347	1350	1347
	12	1352	1278	1191	1050	1191	1177	1269	1259
		5299	5133	5025	5120	5366	5464	5612	5625
	Total	14703	14719	14824	15142	15672			
	%	of change	0.11%	0.72%	2.14%	3.50%			
		change +/-	16	105	318	530.			
TABLE 7 New Projects - Pupil	Projection C	umulative							
ND 3.6A by Grade Level	Updated Ma	arch 2009							
Uses a 'cohort survival'	GRADE	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
model assuming 100% of		Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
previous year new	KDG	998	995	1007	1065	1123			
enrollees move to the next	•	1015	1057	1055	1072	1132	1187		
grade level.	7	1024	1044	1087	1089	1107	1162	1217	
	ო	1048	1058	1078	1126	1129	1143	1199	1243
Andergarten calculates	4	1044	1092	1102	1128	1176	1175	1189	1234
Jirtin rate average plus	 v	1069	1088	1136	1152	1178	1223	1222	1225
	<u> </u>	6198	6334	6465	6631	6845			
vinterit generation pased on		1096	1095	1114	1168	1185	1208	1252	1240
% of total enroliment. Other	2	1034	1120	1119	1144	1199	1211	1234	1267
use 100% conort survival.	.l ∞	1076	1065	1152	1157	1182	1233	1246	1257
		3206	3280	3385	3469	3566	3652	3732	3764
sased on 6 year history	თ :	1256	1368	1361	1458	1469	1495	1549	1550
	9	1341	1218	1330	1329	1426	1431	1455	1494
		1350	1275	1151	1267	1265	1355	1358	1367
	12	1352	1326	1250	1130	1245	1237	1325	1314
- -------------		5299	5187	5092	5184	5406	5517	5687	5726
	Total	14703	14802	14942	15284	15817			
	- %	ot change	0.67%	0.95%	2.29%	3.49%			
	د		- AR	141	342	533			

.

Buildouts March 09 + ND3.6 ave projections

5092 14942 0.95% 141 47 .

Appendix A.3 Student Generation Survey

Auburn School District Development Growth since 1/1/03 March, 2009

SINGLE FAMILY

	Units/	Current	To Be					Stu	dent Genera	ation Factors	
Development Name	Parcels	Occupancy	Occupied	Elem	Middle	HS	Total	Elem	Middle	HS	Total
Alder Meadows	30	30	0	4	4	4	12	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.400
Aspen Meadows	21	21	0	11	თ	9	26	0.524	0.429	0.286	1.238
Auburn Place	14	14	0	5	Э	3	11	0.357	0.214	0.214	0.786
Bifrost Gate	52	52	0	32	14	21	67	0.615	0.269	0.404	1.288
Cambridge Pointe	26	23	3	6	3	0	12	0.391	0.130	0.000	0.522
Canterberry Crossing	20	20	0	2	0	4	11	0.350	0.000	0.200	0.550
Crystal Court	13	13	0	5	9	5	16	0.385	0.462	0.385	1.231
Dawson Hills	9	9	0	4	2	3	6	0.667	0.333	0.500	1.500
Hazel Park	15	15	0	26	18	11	55	1.733	1.200	0.733	3.667
Kelli Meadows	ω	ω	0	2	1	2	5	0.250	0.125	0.250	0.625
Kingsley Meadows	56	56	0	25	19	18	62	0.446	0.339	0.321	1.107
Lakeland: Montiano	104	104	0	19	5	7	31	0.183	0.048	0.067	0.298
Lakeland: The Reserve	80	. 80	0	19	4	7	33	0.238	0.088	0.088	0.413
Lakeland: Tuscany	76	76	0	35	15	10	60	0.461	0.197	0.132	0.789
Lakeland: Verona South	400	400	0	62	35	31	145	0.198	0.088	0.078	0.363
Lakeland: Verona North	181	181	0	41	16	19	76	0.227	0.088	0.105	0.420
LeeAnn Meadows	23	23	0	6	3	9	18	0.391	0.130	0.261	0.783
Little Fields	80	ω	0	Ţ	2	3	9	0.125	0.250	0.375	0.750
Marchini Meadows	83	83	0	31	6	21	61	0.373	0.108	0.253	0.735
Mystery Heights	9 ,	9	0	4	2	2	8	0.667	0.333	0.333	1.333
Pacific Meadows	44	44	0	19	12	11	42	0.432	0.273	0.250	0.955
Pacific View	34	34	0	5	4	3	12	0.147	0.118	0.088	0.353
River Park Estates	18	18	0	5	0	2	7	0.278	000.0	0.111	0.389
River Rim	11	11	0	5	3	2	10	0.455	0.273	0.182	0.909
Sera Monte	33	33	0	8	2	0	10	0.242	0.061	0.000	0.303
Valley View Estates	8	8	0	4	1	1	9	0.500	0.125	0.125	0.750
Vintage Hills, Division 6	61	61	0	36	21	19	76	0.590	0.344	0.311	1.246
Vintage Hills, Division 7	20	20	0	18	9	9	30	0.900	0.300	0.300	1.500
Washington National, Div 1	42	42	0	6	4	8	21	0.214	0.095	0.190	0.500
Totals	1493		3	477	226	235	938	0.320	0.152	0.158	0.630

Auburn School District Development Growth since 1/1/03 March, 2009

SINGLE FAMILY

2009 Currently Under Constru	Units/	Current	To Be	
Development Name	Parcels	Occupancy	Occupied	Elen
Beaver Meadows	60	12	48	
Cambridge Pointe	26	23	e	
Lakeland: Pinnacle Estates	76	32	44	
Lakeland: Vista Heights	125	68	36	•
Riverpointe	118	71	47	Ţ
Trail Run	169	64	105	
			283	

		1	202
2009 and up Under Construct	Units/	Current	To Be
Development Name	Parcels	Occupancy	Occupied
Alicia Glenn	31	0	31
Anderson Acres	14	0	14
Backbone Ridge	7	0	7
Brandon Meadows	55	0	55
Brandon Place	78	0	78
Bridges	386	0	386
Bridle Estates	18	0	18
Cam-West	66	0	66
Carrington Pointe	24	0	24
Daljit Dhaliwal Plat	8	0	8
Estes Park	31	0	31
Harpreet Kang	8	0	8
Hazel Heights	22	0	22
Hazel View	20	0	20
Kendall Ridge	106	0	106
Kersey 3 Project	373	0	373
Lakeland: East Phase 1	130	0	130
Lakeland: East Phase 2/3	340	0	340
Lawson Place	14	0	14
Megan's Meadows	9	0	6
Mountain View Estates	29	0	29
New Hope Lutheran Plat	8	0	8
Spencer Place	13	0	13
Stipps Plat	29	0	29
Vintage Place	25	0	25
Willow Place	18	0	18
Yates Plat	16	0	16
	1911		2194

		_		_	_			
ß	Total	30	2	28	23	30	99	
ation Facto	HS	8	0	7	9	7	17	
dent Gener	Middle	2	0	2	5	7	16	
Stu	Elem	15	1	14	12	15	34	
	Total	2	12	14	25	31	7	
	SH	0	0	4	4	11	0	
	Middle	2	e	4	4	8	4	
	Elem	0	თ	9	17	12	3	
		-			10			r
	D.	ιœ	les.	Ā	l 🖗	12	5	l

30	99	178	rs	Total	20	6	4	35	49	243	11	62	15	5	20	5	14	13	67	235	82	214	9	6	18	5	8	18	16	11	10	1381
	17	45	ation Facto	HS	5	2	1	6	12	61	3	16	4	1	5	1	3	3	17	59	21	54	2	1	5	1	2	5	4	3	3	346
>	16	43	dent Gener	Middle	5	2		œ	12	59	3	15	4	1	5	1	с С	3	16	57	20	52	2	1	4	L	2	4	4	3	2	333
15	34	91	Stu	Elem	10	4	2	18	25	124	9	32	8	3	10	3	2	9	34	119	42	109	4	3	6	3	4	6	œ	9	5	702
5	2		 																													

Auburn School District Development Growth since 1/1/03

March, 2009

MULTI FAMILY

	Units/	Current	TO Re					ð	ident Conc	rotion God	tore
)))					5	מתפויר ספווע	auvil Fau	(1)
Development Name	Parcels	Occupancy	Occupied	Elem	Middle	SH	Total	Elem	Middle	HS	Total
Butte Estates	29	29	0	80	9	4	18	0.276	0.207	0.138	0.621
Cox/Woodward TH	8	8	0	،	S	-	5	0.125	0.375	0.125	0.625
Lakeland: Capri	168	168	0	4	2	1	7	0.024	0.012	0.006	0.042
Lakeland: Carrara	170	170	0	5	1	4	10	0.029	0.006	0.024	0.059
Lakeland: Palermo Apts	362	362	0	19	7	20	46	0.052	0.019	0.055	0.127
Lakeland: Siena	101	101	0	5	0	1	9	0.050	0.000	0.010	0.059
Lakeland: Sorano	79	79	0	1	F	~	3	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.038
Pasa Fino II	19	19	0	2	Ł	-	4	0.105	0.053	0.053	0.211
Seasons at Lea Hill Village	332	332	0	55	22	20	67	0.166	0.066	0.060	0.292
	1268	1268	0	100	43	53	196	0.079	0.034	0.042	0.155

2009 and beyond

	Units/	Current	To Be			
Development Name	Parcels	Occupancy	Occupied	Elem	Middle	
Lakeland: Madera	20	23	13	0	0	
Pacific Ave Duplexes	12	8	4	1	1	
Trail Run Townhomes	115	36	52	-	2	1
"D" Street Plat	32	0	32			•
Sundallen Condos	48	0	48			
	277	101	176			

				St	tudent Gen	eration Fa	ctors
Elem	Middle	HS	Total	Elem	Middle	SH	Total
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	7
1	1	1	3	0	0	0	
	2	0	3	9	3	3	12
				3	1	1	2
				4	2	2	7
				14	9	2	27