



**KING COUNTY**

1200 King County Courthouse  
516 Third Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98104

**Signature Report**

**February 17, 2016**

**Ordinance 18234**

**Proposed No. 2016-0110.2**

**Sponsors Dembowski**

1 AN ORDINANCE authorizing the county executive to  
2 execute and enter into agreements for the purpose of  
3 collecting high-resolution lidar data for the King County  
4 region.

5 STATEMENT OF FACTS:

6 1. King County, various municipalities and other public agencies are  
7 joining together to collect high-resolution lidar data for the King County  
8 region.

9 2. The King County 2015 lidar project will collect lidar data during the  
10 leaf-off period of winter 2015/2016. The data will be available to  
11 participants in the early spring of 2016.

12 3. The funding partners will contract with Kitsap County, which manages  
13 the Puget Sound Lidar Consortium, to administer the execution of the  
14 project.

15 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY


16 SECTION 1. The county executive is authorized to execute an agreement,  
17 substantially in the form of Attachment A to this ordinance, with Kitsap County to  
18 contract for the collection of lidar data for the King County region. The county executive  
19 is also authorized to execute a funding agreement with other governmental agencies in

20 order to collect their costs shares to make payments to Kitsap County, in substantially the  
21 form of Attachment B to this ordinance.  
22

Ordinance 18234 was introduced on 2/8/2016 and passed as amended by the Metropolitan King County Council on 2/16/2016, by the following vote:

Yes: 9 - Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Dunn,  
Mr. McDermott, Mr. Dembowski, Mr. Upthegrove, Ms. Kohl-Welles  
and Ms. Balducci  
No: 0  
Excused: 0

KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON



J. Joseph McDermott, Chair

ATTEST:



Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

RECEIVED  
2016 FEB 24 PM 3:35  
CLERK  
KING COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED this 24 day of FEBRUARY 2016.



Dow Constantine, County Executive

**Attachments:** A. Interlocal Cooperative Agreement to Purchase Lidar Data, B. 2015 King County Lidar Project Funding Agreement, Revised February 16, 2016

**KITSAP COUNTY**  
**DEPT. OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT**  
911 Carver Street  
Bremerton, WA 98312  
(360) 307-5871

**INTERLOCAL COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT TO PURCHASE LIDAR DATA**  
**BY**  
**KING COUNTY, WA.**  
**KC-\_\_\_\_-2015**

THIS AGREEMENT is between King County, a municipal corporation, and Kitsap County, a municipal corporation, all in the State of Washington.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, The Interlocal Cooperation Act, as amended and codified in Chapter 39.34 of the Revised Code of Washington provides for Interlocal cooperation between governmental agencies; and

WHEREAS, Chapter 39.33 of the Revised Code of Washington provides for the intergovernmental disposition of property, and

WHEREAS, both parties are required to make certain purchases by formal advertisement and bid process, which is a time consuming and expensive process; and it is in the public interest to cooperate in the combination of bidding requirements to obtain the most favorable bid for each party where it is in their mutual interest; and

WHEREAS, the parties also wish to utilize each other's contracts where it is in their mutual interest;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

1. **PURPOSE.** The purpose of this agreement is to acknowledge the parties' mutual interest to jointly bid the acquisition of goods and services and disposition of property where such mutual effort can be planned in advance and to authorize the acquisition of goods and services and the purchase or acquisition of goods and services under contracts where a price and the terms and conditions are extended by either party's bidder to other governmental agencies;
2. **ADMINISTRATION.** No new or separate legal or administrative entity is created to administer the provision of this agreement. The Administrator of this agreement is the Director of Emergency Management of Kitsap County, Washington.
3. **SCOPE.** This agreement shall allow the following activities:
  - A. Purchase or acquisition of goods and services by each party acting as agent for either or both parties when agreed to in advance, in writing;
  - B. Purchase or acquisition of goods and services by each party where provision has been provided in contracts for other agencies to avail themselves of goods and services offered under the contract.
  - C. Disposal of goods by each party acting as agent for either, or both parties when agreed to in advance, in writing.

4. DURATION OF AGREEMENT - TERMINATION. This agreement shall become effective upon signature of both parties for a one (1) year period.

A. Termination for Default

If Kitsap County does not perform the work, or Kitsap County fails to perform in the manner called for in this agreement, or if Kitsap County fails to comply with any material provisions of this Agreement, King County may terminate this Agreement, in whole or in part, for default as follows:

1. A "notice to cure" shall be served on Kitsap County by certified or registered first class mail. Kitsap County shall have ten (10) days from the date of receipt to cure the default or, at King County's discretion, provide King County with a detailed written plan for review and acceptance, which indicates the time and methods needed to bring the work into compliance and cure the default.
2. If Kitsap County has not cured the default or the plan to cure the default is not acceptable to King County, King County may terminate this Agreement by serving a "notice of termination" setting forth the manner in which Kitsap County is in default and the effective date of termination.
3. Kitsap County shall only be paid for work performed and accepted less any damages to King County caused by or arising from such default.
4. The termination of this agreement shall in no way relieve Kitsap County from any of its obligations under this agreement nor limit the rights and remedies of the County hereunder in any manner.

B. Termination for Non-Appropriation

1. If expected or actual funding is withdrawn, reduced or limited in any way prior to the termination date set forth in this agreement or in any amendment hereto, King County may, upon written notice to Kitsap County, terminate this agreement in whole or in part. If this agreement is terminated pursuant to this section, 1) King County shall be liable only for payment in accordance with the terms of this agreement for work performed and accepted prior to the effective date of termination; and 2) Kitsap County shall be released from any obligation under this agreement affected by the termination or a related purchase order to provide further work pursuant to this agreement.
2. Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary, funding under this agreement beyond the current appropriation year is conditional upon the appropriation by the County Council of sufficient funds to support the work described in this agreement. Should such an appropriation not be approved, this agreement shall terminate at the close of the current appropriation year.

5. RIGHT TO CONTRACT INDEPENDENT ACTION PRESERVED. Each party reserves the right to contract independently for the acquisition of goods or services and or disposal of any property without notice to the other party and shall not bind or otherwise obligate the other party to participate in the activity.

6. COMPLIANCE WITH LEGAL REOUIREMENTS. Each party accepts responsibility for compliance with federal, state or local laws and regulations including, in particular, bidding requirements applicable to its acquisition of goods and services or disposal of property.

7. FINANCING. The method of financing of payment shall be through budgeted funds or other available funds of the party for whose use the property is actually acquired or disposed. Each party accepts no responsibility for the payment of the acquisition price of any goods or services intended for use by the other party.

8. FILING. Executed copies of this agreement shall be filed as required by Section 39.34.040 RCW prior to this agreement becoming effective.

9. INTERLOCAL COOPERATION DISCLOSURE. Each party may insert in its solicitations for goods a provision disclosing that other authorized government agencies may also wish to procure the goods being offered to

the party and allowing the bidder the option of extending its bid to other agencies at the same bid price, terms and conditions.

10. NON-DELEGATION/NON-ASSIGNMENT. Neither party may delegate the performance of any contractual obligation, to a third party, unless mutually agreed in writing. Neither party may assign this agreement without the written consent of the other party.

11. SEVERABILITY. Any provision of this agreement, which is prohibited or unenforceable, shall be ineffective to the extent of such prohibition or unenforceability, without invalidating the remaining provision or affecting the validity or enforcement of such provision.

12. DISPUTES. In the event a dispute arises under this agreement, the parties shall endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner by direct discussions. The parties may engage in alternative dispute resolution by mutual agreement. No party waives its rights to seek legal remedy.

13. LiDAR SURVEY DATA. Kitsap County has contracted with Quantum Spatial Inc. to provide public-domain high-resolution LiDAR topographic survey data in the Pacific Northwest. Exhibit A outlines costs associated with Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium's rate structure agreed upon with Quantum Spatial, Inc. under its contract terms and noted in Exhibit B is the Quantum Spatial, Inc. project proposal. Pursuant to paragraph 3.A of this agreement, Kitsap County will act as the agent for King County; Washington and all other Parties listed in Exhibit C. King County in turn will act as fiscal agent for the Parties listed in Exhibit C. Kitsap County will obtain and provide to King County the data described in Exhibit B from Quantum Spatial, Inc. The project, referred to as the King County LiDAR Project has a total costs for King County of \$267,702. The Project Costs is a total of LiDAR services at \$234,826 and a service fee for contract administration and Quality Assurance of \$32,876. King County agrees to pay the costs and the amount specified in this contract not to exceed \$267,702. Payments will be made per contract milestones as (1) Acquisition - \$133,851, (2) Delivery - \$80,310, and (3) Acceptance - \$53,541. Kitsap County will obtain this data under its contract with Quantum Spatial Inc.

14. RIGHTS AND REMEDIES. The parties agree that although Kitsap County is administering the contract for King County, King County is able to independently exercise all rights and remedies related to Kitsap County's contract with Quantum Spatial, Inc. as if King County was named in the original contract.

15. INDEMNIFICATION. Each party shall protect, defend, indemnify and save harmless the other party, its officers, officials, employees and agents while acting within the scope of their employment as such, from any and all suits, costs, claims, actions, losses, penalties, judgments, and/or awards of damages, of whatsoever kind arising out of, or in connection with, or incident to the services associated with this Contract caused by or resulting from each party's own negligent acts or omissions. Each party agrees that it is fully responsible for the acts and omissions of its own subcontractors, their employees and agents, acting within the scope of their employment as such, as it is for the acts and omissions of its own employees and agents. Each party agrees that its obligations under this provision extend to any claim, demand, and/or cause of action brought by or on behalf of any of its employees, or agents. The foregoing indemnity is specifically and expressly intended to constitute a waiver of each party's immunity under Washington's Industrial Insurance act, RCW Title 51, as respects the other party only, and only to the extent necessary to provide the indemnified party with a full and complete indemnity of claims made by the indemnitor's employees. The parties acknowledge that these provisions were specifically and mutually negotiated.

Accepted:  
King County, Washington

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dow Constantine, County Executive  
King County

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Accepted:  
Kitsap County Department of Emergency Management  
Kitsap County, Washington

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Gordon, Director  
Kitsap County Emergency Management

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**  
**KITSAP COUNTY, WASHINGTON**

\_\_\_\_\_  
ROBERT GELDER, Chair

\_\_\_\_\_  
EDWARD E. WOLFE, Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLOTTE GARRIDO, Commissioner

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Board

**Exhibit A**  
**Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium Rate Structure**

<b>Provider:</b>	<b>Kitsap County</b>
<b>Agreement No.:</b>	<b>KC-244-12</b>
<b>Agreement Title:</b>	<b>Remote Watershed LiDAR Services</b>

Area Extent	Price per Acre	Price per Square Mile	Contours	Intensity Images	Gain-normalized Intensities
50 to 100 sq. miles (32,000 to 64,000 acres)	\$1.42	\$909	\$0.142	\$0	\$0.080
100 to 150 sq. miles (64,000 to 96,000 acres)	\$1.11	\$710	\$0.111	\$0	\$0.060
150 to 200 sq. miles (96,000 to 128,000 acres)	\$0.94	\$602	\$0.094	\$0	\$0.050
200 to 250 sq. miles (128,000 to 160,000 ac)	\$0.84	\$538	\$0.084	\$0	\$0.040
Greater than 250 sq. mi (Greater than 160,000 ac)	\$0.78	\$499	\$0.078	\$0	\$0.035

The Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium (PSLC) adds a 14% overhead fee to the total cost. 7% is for contract and administrative services by Kitsap County Department of Emergency Management and 7% to the Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium for Data analysis.

## Exhibit B

### LiDAR Data Acquisition Cost Proposal – King County, Wa.

September 9, 2015

**Michael Gordon**

Kitsap County Department of Emergency Management

911 Carver St

Bremerton, WA 98312

360-307-5872

mgordon@co.kitsap.wa.us

#### RE: LiDAR Data Acquisition Cost Proposal – King County 2015 Project Area, WA

---

Quantum Spatial, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to present to the **Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium (PSLC)** a cost proposal for acquiring and processing high-resolution ( $> 8$  pulses/m<sup>2</sup>) LiDAR data for the project area of interest to King County, WA. Our cost for LiDAR acquisition and processing abides by our negotiated cost structure with the PSLC, assuming that a contract for standard deliverables will be administered through Kitsap County, WA.



*LiDAR point cloud colored by NAIP imagery of downtown Redmond, Washington.*

#### Services

---

##### **Airborne LiDAR**

QSI will collect LiDAR data using a Leica LiDAR system to produce a highly accurate, high resolution ( $\geq 8$  pulses/m<sup>2</sup>) LiDAR dataset with no gaps and ample buffers (at least 100m) around project boundaries. Data will be collected at a  $\leq 30^\circ$  field of view ( $\pm 15^\circ$  from nadir), with at least 50% overlap among swaths to minimize gaps and laser shadowing. The LiDAR system records up to four range measurements (returns) per pulse (first, second, third, and last). All overlapping flight lines will be flown in opposing directions to maximize detection of swath to swath inconsistencies used



to resolve system misalignments. Our GPS receivers and LiDAR systems are GNSS-capable ensuring low PDOP values and adequate satellite constellations throughout the mission. GPS quality is predicted before the flight and checked during post processing to ensure that positional accuracy exceeds specifications.

Using a combination of automated and manual techniques that are tailored to the particular land cover and terrain of the study area, LiDAR processing will include kinematic corrections, calculation of laser point position, relative accuracy testing and calibrations, classification of ground and non-ground points, assessments of statistical absolute accuracy, and creation of ground surface models.

Absolute accuracy assessments will compare known RTK ground survey points to derived LiDAR

LiDAR Specifications Summary	
Multi-Swath Pulse Density	≥ 8 pulses/m <sup>2</sup>
Scan Angle	≤30° (+/-15° from Nadir)
Returns Collected Per Laser Pulse	Up to 4
Intensity Range	1-255
Swath Overlap	50% side-lap (100% overlap)
GPS PDOP During Acquisition	≤3.0
GPS Satellite Constellation	≥6
Maximum GPS Baseline	13 nautical miles
Accuracy <sub>z</sub> (1.96 σ), slope <20°	≤ 20 cm
Vertical Accuracy (σ), slope <20°	≤ 15 cm
Horizontal Accuracy (σ)	≤ 30 cm

points. Accuracies are described as the mean and standard deviation ( $\sigma \sim \sigma$ ) of divergence from RTK ground survey point coordinates. All accuracy statistics (RMSE<sub>z</sub>, Accuracy<sub>z</sub> - 1.96σ, skewness/distribution, and percentile deviations) will be reported in the final report. Statements of statistical accuracy will apply to fixed terrestrial surfaces only.

### Survey Control

Simultaneous to the LiDAR data collection mission, QSI will conduct a static (1 Hz recording frequency) survey of the horizontal and vertical positions of two or more survey control dual-frequency DGPS base stations established at monuments with known coordinates. Maximum baseline lengths between control points and the aircraft GPS do not exceed 24 kilometers (13 nautical miles). After the static GPS data have been collected, the files will be processed using the Online Positioning User Service (OPUS). Multiple sessions will be processed over the same monument to confirm antenna height measurements and reported OPUS position accuracy. Control monument locations will be certified by a QSI Washington PLS.

Quality control real-time kinematic (RTK) ground check survey data will be collected within the project area, with an established Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of less than 2 cm. Absolute laser spot accuracies will be statistically analyzed based upon an adequate sample (500 per 50,000 acres, depending on access and GPS conditions within study area) of well-distributed RTK ground



survey points on open, bare earth surfaces with level slope.

**COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT**

**Deliverables**

Deliverables will match standard for Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium:

<b>LiDAR</b>	
Report of Survey	Text report that describes survey methods; results; vendor's accuracy assessments, including internal consistency and absolute accuracy; and metadata <i>.pdf, .doc, or .odt format</i>
Aircraft trajectories (SBET files)	Aircraft position (easting, northing, elevation) and attitude (heading, pitch, roll) and GPS time recorded at regular intervals of 1 second or less. May include additional attributes. <i>ASCII text format</i>
All-return point cloud	List of all valid returns. For each return: GPS week, GPS second, easting, northing, elevation, intensity, return#, return classification. May include additional attributes. No duplicate entries. <i>ASCII text and LAS version 1.2 format</i> <i>1/100<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (0.75 minute by 0.75 minute) tiles</i>
Ground point list	List of X,Y,Z coordinates of all identified ground points. <i>ASCII text.</i> <i>1/100<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (0.75 minute by 0.75 minute) tiles</i>
Ground surface model	Raster of ground surface, interpolated via triangulated irregular network from identified ground points. No unavoidable point misclassification <i>ESRI floating point grid, 3 ft cell size, snapped to (0,0), 1/4<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (3.75 minute by 3.75 minute) tiles</i>
First-return (highest-hit) surface model	Raster of first-return surface, cell heights are highest recorded value within that cell, voids may be filled with ground surface model <i>ESRI floating point grid, 3 ft cell size, snapped to (0,0), 1/4<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (3.75 minute by 3.75 minute) tiles</i>
Intensity image	<i>GeoTIFF, 1.5. ft pixel size, 1/4<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (3.75 minute by 3.75 minute) tiles</i>
<b>Files shall conform to a consistent naming scheme. Files shall have consistent internal formats. Surface models shall have no tiling artifacts and no gaps at tile boundaries. Areas outside survey boundary shall be coded as NoData. Internal voids (e.g., open water areas, shadowed areas in first-return surface) may be coded as NoData.</b>	

<b>Coordinate System*</b>	
<b>Projection</b>	Washington State Plane North
<b>Horizontal Datum</b>	NAD83 (CORS96)
<b>Vertical Datum</b>	NAVD88 (GEOID03)
<b>Units</b>	U.S. Survey Feet
<b>Delineations</b>	USGS Quadrangle tiling scheme
<i>*To match with existing data. The data will be created in NAD83 (CORS96), but for GIS purposes will be defined as NAD83 (HARN).</i>	

**Area of Interest – King County, WA**

The area of interest (AOI) for this cost proposal includes 700,329 acres spread through King County, WA (Figure 1). Previous LiDAR collections for the PSLC and Pierce County are shown in grey. Overlap between collections will facilitate data matching. The AOI will be buffered by 100

meters to ensure complete coverage and adequate point densities around study area boundaries.




Figure 1. Area of interest for LiDAR acquisition in King County, WA.

**Schedule**

QSI will work with PSLC and King County to coordinate timing of data collection during fall 2015 as best meets the needs of the project. All data are delivered to PSLC within 60 days of acquisition.

**COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT**

**Cost Proposal**

The following table presents LiDAR acquisition and processing costs for the project area portrayed in Figure 1, assuming above specifications and deliverables. Costs for acquisition and base level processing are in accordance with QSI's negotiated area-weighted rate structure with the Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium.

King County, WA Regional LiDAR 2015 (700,329 acres)	Total Cost	Per Acre Cost
LiDAR Acquisition and Base Processing	\$546,256.62	\$0.78

\* Budget does not include 14% PSLC administrative fee.

**COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT**

**Exhibit C**

**Parties for which King County is acting as fiscal agent**

The City of Algona, the Town of Beaux Arts Village, the City of Burien, the City of Clyde Hill, the City of Des Moines, the City of Enumclaw, Highlands Sewer District, the Town of Hunts Point, the City of Kenmore, the King County Water District 111, the King County Water District 125, King County, the City of Lake Forest Park, the City of Medina, the City of Mercer Island, the City of Milton, the Muckleshoot Tribe, the City of Newcastle, the City of Normandy Park, the City of SeaTac, the Port of Seattle, the City of Shoreline, the Skyway Water and Sewer District, the City of Snoqualmie, the City of Tukwila, the Valley View Sewer District, the Vashon Sewer District, the City of Woodinville, and the Town of Yarrow Point.

## 2015 King County Lidar Project Funding Agreement

This Funding Agreement (Agreement) is entered into by and among: the City of Algona, the Town of Beaux Arts Village, the City of Bellevue, the City of Burien, the City of Clyde Hill, the City of Des Moines, the City of Enumclaw, Highlands Sewer District, the Town of Hunts Point, the City of Kenmore, the King County Water District 111, the King County Water District 125, King County, the City of Lake Forest Park, the City of Medina, the City of Mercer Island, the City of Milton, the Muckleshoot Tribe, the City of Newcastle, the City of Normandy Park, the City of SeaTac, the Port of Seattle, the City of Shoreline, the Skyway Water and Sewer District, the City of Snoqualmie, the City of Tukwila, the Valley View Sewer District, the Vashon Sewer District, the City of Woodinville, the Town of Yarrow Point, and other cities and governmental service agencies that later join subject to Section VI of this Agreement (hereinafter “Party” or Parties”).

### RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Parties to this Agreement consist of local governmental entities, including counties, cities, and governmental services agencies; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of this Agreement is to permit the Parties to cooperate on the funding of a 2015 Lidar Acquisition Project (Project); and

WHEREAS, the Project will provide 1) deliverables from Quantum Spatial, Inc. (QSI), the vendor Kitsap County Department of Emergency Management (KCDEM) entered a contract with for the acquisition and processing of high-resolution lidar data; and 2) quality assessment/assurance (QA) of the deliverables by the Puget Sound Lidar Consortium (PSLC); and

WHEREAS, KCDEM will provide among other things, administrative management of the Project; and

WHEREAS, agencies throughout the King County region regularly engage in joint operations and provide mutual assistance through effective interagency cooperation, and

WHEREAS, jointly funding the Project will optimize the agencies’ powers and resources, and ensure a standardized product for all Parties; and

WHEREAS, this Agreement will provide substantial benefit to the citizens of the participating governmental agencies;

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby agreed and covenanted among the undersigned as follows:

## **I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Project details, technical specifications, and deliverable descriptions appear in the Lidar Data Acquisition Cost Schedule developed by QSI, found in Exhibit A. All deliverables will match the best-of-breed data specification as defined for the Puget Sound Lidar Consortium. The area of interest (AOI) for this project includes approximately 1,095 square miles of the western portion of King County and portions of adjacent counties to capture the AOIs for the cities of Auburn, Bothell, Milton, Shoreline, and Woodinville. The Project data will be acquired beginning in October 2015 for the upper elevations and in November/December for the lower elevations during the early leaf-off period of 2015. Delivery of data and products by QSI to the PSLC QA team will be within sixty (60) calendar days of the last date of acquisition for the Project. QA review will be completed within thirty (30) business days of delivery from QSI. Project costs are shared subject to Section V of this Agreement reducing each Party's financial commitment.

## **II. PROJECT MANAGEMENT**

1. KCDEM will manage all components of the Project, including but not limited to contract administration and coordination with QSI and the PSLC. King County shall enter into a separate Interlocal Agreement (ILA) with KCDEM and appoint a Project Manager (KC PM) to coordinate with KCDEM. The KC PM shall report to the Parties on the matters described in Section III.2 below, and shall be readily accessible via phone and e-mail by all Parties. The KC PM shall remain an employee of King County at all times, and King County shall retain the right and duty to supervise the KC PM at all times.
2. The duties of the KC PM shall include:
  - a. Prepare a cost-allocation model and populate the model with required data to provide each Party with an accurate, final cost.
  - b. Provide direction and guidance to KCDEM, as requested by the Parties.
  - c. Report to the Parties on the Project's progress.
  - d. Account for availability and completeness of all Parties deliverables.
  - e. Validate and approve invoices submitted from KCDEM and direct payment to the applicable Party.

## **III. PROJECT COMMITTEE**

1. A Project Committee (Committee) shall be formed and shall consist of one representative from each Party that is a signatory to this Agreement. The KC PM will provide coordination among the Committee and KCDEM. The KC PM will serve as the Chair of the Committee.
2. The Committee shall review and take action on the following specific items:
  - a. Review Project reports submitted by KCDEM, QSI, and the PSLC.



- b. Evaluate and provide direction to KCDEM regarding change order requests whether initiated by QSI or by a member or members of the Committee.
3. Meetings of the Committee shall be held on an as-needed basis. Meetings may be scheduled at the request of the KC PM, or by one of the members of the Committee. The KC PM shall be responsible for the logistics of scheduling meetings. Attendance may be in person or via teleconferencing.

#### **IV. FISCAL AGENT**

1. King County, acting as Fiscal Agent for the Project, shall:
  - a. Enter into an ILA with KCDEM.
  - b. Validate the cost for each Party.
  - c. Invoice each Party in accordance with this Agreement.
  - d. Maintain Project funds in the King County Imagery Reserve, a separate, non-interest-bearing fund managed by the King County GIS Center, to be disbursed as provided in this Agreement.
  - e. Pay invoices submitted by the PM from KCDEM.
  - f. Refund excess funds, if necessary and if greater than \$10.00.
2. King County shall perform and maintain an accounting of payments received from all Parties including: billings received, payments made, and unspent funds. King County shall provide a statement of this accounting to all Parties upon written (including e-mail) request.
3. Upon receipt of a notice of any record keeping dispute, King County shall notify all Parties and meet jointly with all interested Parties within two (2) weeks to resolve any record keeping dispute.

#### **V. CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTIES**

1. By executing Counterparts, Section XVI of this Agreement, each Party agrees to pay its required monetary contribution, as stated in Exhibit B incorporated herein by this reference, for standard deliverables, KCDEM project management and QA services, for its AOI.
2. The contribution by each Party shall be calculated using the cost allocation model as set forth here.
  - a. The square miles of the corporate or otherwise defined AOI times 1.5, defined to two decimal point precision.
  - b. Value from (a) above, multiplied by the unit cost (cost per sq. mile) of the standard deliverables (\$569.09) and multiplied by 0.5. The unit cost for the standard deliverables includes a 7% surcharge for KCDEM Project

Management and a 7% surcharge for PSLC QA Services. This is the final cost for the Party.

3. **Optional deliverables are not included in the initial project proposal or included in the costs for each party's standard deliverables as set forth in Exhibit B,,** but may be negotiated and included later, executed under a separate counterpart, and paid at a mutually agreed date.
4. By signing this Agreement, each Party commits to paying its full contribution to the King County Imagery Reserve Fund by January 31, 2016. A Party may contribute its share from its budget from either FY 2015 or FY 2016.
5. All payments to the King County Imagery Reserve Fund shall be made by check payable to: **King County Finance**, and sent to **King County GIS Center, 201 South Jackson Street, Suite 706, Seattle, WA 98104, Attn: Katy Cressey**.
6. All funds collected by King County shall be used solely to pay all responsible Parties for work accepted under the QSI contract. King County shall not collect, receive or retain any of these funds for project management, administrative fees, or other actions it performs under this Agreement.

## **VI. ADDITION OF PARTIES**

1. An entity may be added to this Agreement as long as the entity signs this Agreement and its AOI is wholly included within the project boundary defined in Exhibit A, incorporated herein by this reference.
2. A Party added to this Agreement shall make full payment to the King County Imagery Reserve Fund by January 31, 2016. A Party may contribute its share from its budget for either FY 2015 or FY 2016.

## **VII. INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR**

The services provided by King County under this Agreement are those of an independent contractor. Employees of King County are and will remain employees of King County. Employees of the other Parties are and will remain employees of their respective Parties. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to render the Parties partners or joint venturers.

## **VIII. INDEMNIFICATION**

Each Party shall defend, protect and hold harmless the other Parties from and against all claims, suits and/or other actions arising from any negligent or intentional act or omission of that Party's employees, agents and/or authorized subcontractor(s) while performing this Agreement.

**IX. AMENDMENT**

The Parties may amend this Agreement. Such amendments shall not be binding unless in writing and signed by personnel authorized to bind each of the Parties.

**X. CHOICE OF LAW AND VENUE**

This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Washington, both as to interpretation and performance. Any action at law, suit in equity or other judicial proceeding for the enforcement of this Agreement shall be instituted only in a court of competent jurisdiction in King County.

**XI. INTEGRATION CLAUSE**

This instrument embodies the entire agreement of the Parties with respect to funding of the contract. There are no promises, terms, conditions or obligations other than those contained in this Agreement. This Agreement supersedes all previous communications, representations or agreements, either oral or written, between any Party and King County.

**XII. TERMINATION CLAUSE**

In the event that King County decides not to enter into an ILA with KCDEM, this Agreement will terminate and all Parties will receive a full refund of their contributed funds.

**XIII. NO ASSIGNMENT**

The Parties shall not subcontract, assign, or delegate any of their rights, duties or obligations under this Agreement without the express prior written approval of King County.

**XIV. DISPUTES**

In the event that a dispute arises under this Agreement, the Parties shall endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner by direct discussions. If not resolved by mutual agreement, then the Parties may engage in alternative dispute resolution by mutual agreement. No party waives its rights to seek legal remedy in the jurisdiction and venue stated in Section X.

**XV. EFFECTIVE DATE; TERM**

Notwithstanding when this Agreement is signed, this Agreement shall take effect following its execution by each Party and shall expire at the end of 2016 unless terminated sooner.

**XVI. COUNTERPARTS**

This Agreement may be signed in several counterparts, each of which shall be an original, but all of which together shall constitute the same instrument. By executing this Agreement, a Party obligates itself to be bound by and perform according to the terms herein.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, authorized representatives of the parties hereto have signed their names in the spaces set forth below:

KING COUNTY

(Name of Partner)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dow Constantine  
King County Executive

\_\_\_\_\_  
[Name]  
[Mayor/Executive Director/Manager]

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Acting under the authority of  
Ordinance: \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Acting under the authority of  
[Ordinance/Resolution/Order]: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved as to form:

Approved as to form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dan Satterberg  
King County Prosecuting Attorney

\_\_\_\_\_  
[Name]  
[City Attorney/Legal Counsel]

## Exhibit A

### LiDAR Data Acquisition Cost Proposal – King County LiDAR Project

September 9, 2015


**Michael Gordon**

Kitsap County Department of Emergency Management  
911 Carver St  
Bremerton, WA 98312  
360-307-5872  
mgordon@co.kitsap.wa.us

**RE: LiDAR Data Acquisition Cost Proposal – King County 2015 Project Area, WA**

Quantum Spatial, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to present to the **Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium (PSLC)** a cost proposal for acquiring and processing high-resolution ( $> 8$  pulses/m<sup>2</sup>) LiDAR data for the project area of interest to King County, WA. Our cost for LiDAR acquisition and processing abides by our negotiated cost structure with the PSLC, assuming that a contract for standard deliverables will be administered through Kitsap County, WA.

*LiDAR point cloud colored by NAIP imagery of downtown Redmond, Washington.*



## Services

### **Airborne LiDAR**

QSI will collect LiDAR data using a Leica LiDAR system to produce a highly accurate, high resolution ( $\geq 8$  pulses/m<sup>2</sup>) LiDAR dataset with no gaps and ample buffers (at least 100m) around project boundaries. Data will be collected at a  $\leq 30^\circ$  field of view ( $\pm 15^\circ$  from nadir), with at least 50% overlap among swaths to minimize gaps and laser shadowing. The LiDAR system records up to four range measurements (returns) per pulse (first, second, third, and last). All overlapping flight lines will be flown in opposing directions to maximize detection of swath to swath inconsistencies used to resolve system misalignments. Our GPS receivers and LiDAR systems are GNSS-capable ensuring low PDOP values and adequate satellite constellations throughout the mission. GPS quality is predicted before the flight and checked during post processing to ensure that positional accuracy exceeds specifications.

Using a combination of automated and manual techniques that are tailored to the particular land cover and terrain of the study area, LiDAR processing will include kinematic corrections, calculation of laser point position, relative accuracy testing and calibrations, classification of ground and non-ground points, assessments of statistical absolute accuracy, and creation of ground surface models.

Absolute accuracy assessments will compare known RTK ground survey points to derived LiDAR points. Accuracies

LiDAR Specifications Summary	
Multi-Swath Pulse Density	≥ 8 pulses/m <sup>2</sup>
Scan Angle	≤30° (+/-15° from Nadir)
Returns Collected Per Laser Pulse	Up to 4
Intensity Range	1-255
Swath Overlap	50% side-lap (100% overlap)
GPS PDOP During Acquisition	≤3.0
GPS Satellite Constellation	≥6
Maximum GPS Baseline	13 nautical miles
Accuracy <sub>z</sub> (1.96 σ), slope <20°	≤ 20 cm
Vertical Accuracy (σ), slope <20°	≤ 15 cm
Horizontal Accuracy (σ)	≤ 30 cm

are described as the mean and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of divergence from RTK ground survey point coordinates. All accuracy statistics (RMSE<sub>z</sub>, Accuracy<sub>z</sub> - 1.96σ, skewness/distribution, and percentile deviations) will be reported in the final report. Statements of statistical accuracy will apply to fixed terrestrial surfaces only.

**Survey Control**

Simultaneous to the LiDAR data collection mission, QSI will conduct a static (1 Hz recording frequency) survey of the horizontal and vertical positions of two or more survey control dual-frequency DGPS base stations established at monuments with known coordinates. Maximum baseline lengths between control points and the aircraft GPS do not exceed 24 kilometers (13 nautical miles). After the static GPS data have been collected, the files will be processed using the Online Positioning User Service (OPUS). Multiple sessions will be processed over the same monument to confirm antenna height measurements and reported OPUS position accuracy. Control monument locations will be certified by a QSI Washington PLS.

Quality control real-time kinematic (RTK) ground check survey data will be collected within the project area, with an established Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of less than 2 cm. Absolute laser spot accuracies will be statistically analyzed based upon an adequate sample (500 per 50,000 acres, depending on access and GPS conditions within study area) of well-distributed RTK ground survey points on open, bare earth surfaces with level slope.



## Deliverables

Deliverables will match standard for Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium:

LiDAR	
Report of Survey	Text report that describes survey methods; results; vendor's accuracy assessments, including internal consistency and absolute accuracy; and metadata <i>.pdf, .doc, or .odt format</i>
Aircraft trajectories (SBET files)	Aircraft position (easting, northing, elevation) and attitude (heading, pitch, roll) and GPS time recorded at regular intervals of 1 second or less. May include additional attributes. <i>ASCII text format</i>
All-return point cloud	List of all valid returns. For each return: GPS week, GPS second, easting, northing, elevation, intensity, return#, return classification. May include additional attributes. No duplicate entries. <i>ASCII text and LAS version 1.2 format</i> <i>1/100<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (0.75 minute by 0.75 minute) tiles</i>
Ground point list	List of X,Y,Z coordinates of all identified ground points. <i>ASCII text.</i> <i>1/100<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (0.75 minute by 0.75 minute) tiles</i>
Ground surface model	Raster of ground surface, interpolated via triangulated irregular network from identified ground points. No unavoidable point misclassification <i>ESRI floating point grid, 3 ft cell size, snapped to (0,0), 1/4<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (3.75 minute by 3.75 minute) tiles</i>
First-return (highest-hit) surface model	Raster of first-return surface, cell heights are highest recorded value within that cell, voids may be filled with ground surface model <i>ESRI floating point grid, 3 ft cell size, snapped to (0,0), 1/4<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (3.75 minute by 3.75 minute) tiles</i>
Intensity image	<i>GeoTIFF, 1.5. ft pixel size, 1/4<sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle (3.75 minute by 3.75 minute) tiles</i>
<b><i>Files shall conform to a consistent naming scheme. Files shall have consistent internal formats. Surface models shall have no tiling artifacts and no gaps at tile boundaries. Areas outside survey boundary shall be coded as NoData. Internal voids (e.g., open water areas, shadowed areas in first-return surface) may be coded as NoData.</i></b>	

Coordinate System*	
<b>Projection</b>	Washington State Plane North
<b>Horizontal Datum</b>	NAD83 (CORS96)
<b>Vertical Datum</b>	NAVD88 (GEOID03)
<b>Units</b>	U.S. Survey Feet
<b>Delineations</b>	USGS Quadrangle tiling scheme
<i>*To match with existing data. The data will be created in NAD83 (CORS96), but for GIS purposes will be defined as NAD83 (HARN).</i>	



## Area of Interest – King County, WA

The area of interest (AOI) for this cost proposal includes 700,329 acres spread through King County, WA (Figure 1). Previous LiDAR collections for the PSLC and Pierce County are shown in grey. Overlap between collections will facilitate data matching. The AOI will be buffered by 100 meters to ensure complete coverage and adequate point densities around study area boundaries.




Figure 1. Area of interest for LiDAR acquisition in King County, WA.

## Schedule

QSI will work with PSLC and King County to coordinate timing of data collection during fall 2015 as best meets the needs of the project. All data are delivered to PSLC within 60 days of acquisition.

## Cost Proposal

The following table presents LiDAR acquisition and processing costs for the project area portrayed in Figure 1, assuming above specifications and deliverables. Costs for acquisition and base level processing are in accordance with QSI's negotiated area-weighted rate structure with the Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium.

King County, WA Regional LiDAR 2015 (700,329 acres)	Total Cost	Per Acre Cost
LiDAR Acquisition and Base Processing	\$546,256.62	\$0.78

\* Budget does not include 14% PSLC administrative fee.

**Exhibit B**

Below is a table representing the costs each Party agrees it is responsible to reimburse the County in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

<b>Name of Party</b>	<b>Area of Interest (AOI)<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Cost share for standard deliverables<sup>23</sup></b>
City of Algona	1.96 sq. mi.	\$557.45
Town of Beaux Arts Village	0.12 sq. mi.	\$34.78
City of Bellevue	13.35 sq. mi.	\$3,798.68
City of Burien	14.90 sq. mi.	\$4,238.38
City of Clyde Hill	1.59 sq. mi.	\$451.34
City of Des Moines	9.52 sq. mi.	\$2,709.94
City of Enumclaw	9.23 sq. mi.	\$2,627.71
Highlands Sewer District	0.88 sq. mi.	\$251.50
Town of Hunts Point	0.44 sq. mi.	\$124.68
City of Kenmore	9.21 sq. mi.	\$2,621.11
King County Water District 111	7.70 sq. mi.	\$2,191.32
King County Water District 125	7.62 sq. mi.	\$2,168.48
City of Lake Forest Park	5.26 sq. mi.	\$1,497.35
City of Medina	2.12 sq. mi.	\$602.09
City of Mercer Island	9.44 sq. mi.	\$2,686.98
City of Milton	3.90 sq. mi.	\$1,111.03
Muckleshoot Tribe	9.06 sq. mi.	\$2,578.72
City of Newcastle	6.69 sq. mi.	\$1,903.94
City of Normandy Park	3.74 sq. mi.	\$1,064.28
Port of Seattle - Seaport	2.78 sq. mi.	\$791.61
City of SeaTac	15.42 sq. mi.	\$4,388.26
City of Shoreline	17.69 sq. mi.	\$5,033.19
Skyway Water and Sewer District	3.66 sq. mi.	\$1,041.23
City of Snoqualmie	15.31 sq. mi.	\$4,356.42
City of Tukwila	14.45 sq. mi.	\$4,110.39
Valley View Sewer District	12.67 sq. mi.	\$3,604.64
Vashon Sewer District	1.42 sq. mi.	\$405.25
City of Woodinville	14.61 sq. mi.	\$4,158.43
Town of Yarrow Point	0.54 sq. mi.	\$154.74

<sup>1</sup> Area of Interest equals (square miles of incorporated area) multiplied by (1.5)

<sup>2</sup> Cost share equals (AOI) multiplied by \$569.09 (unit cost per square mile for standard deliverables) multiplied by (0.5)

<sup>3</sup> Amounts shown are subject to small rounding errors