

Dembowski moved Striking Amendment S1.
The motion carried.

S1

11/18/25

Permit Fees - Full Council
Striker

Sponsor: Perry

[E. Auzins]

Proposed No.: 2025-0311

1 **STRIKING AMENDMENT TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2025-0311, VERSION**

2 **2**

3 On page 2, beginning on line 39, strike everything through page 42, line 429, and insert:

4 "BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

5 **SECTION 1.** A. Sections 2 through 33 of this ordinance update fees currently
6 charged related to the department of local services, permitting division and road services
7 division, and the department of natural resources and parks.

8 B. These fees are assessed under K.C.C. 2.99.030.G.1., and RCW 82.02.020 as
9 reasonable fees to process applications, inspect and review plans, or prepare detailed
10 statements required by chapter 43.21C RCW, including reasonable fees that are
11 consistent with RCW 35.91.020, 43.21C.420(6), and 43.21C.428.

12 C. The department of local services shall provide informational bulletins on the
13 permitting division's website that provides information on the amount of fees that will be
14 required, and at what point in the process each portion of the fees due will be paid, to
15 help meet a goal to provide clarity and informational materials to residents and customers
16 on the fee changes adopted in this ordinance.

17 **SECTION 2.** Ordinance 10662, Section 46, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.02.050
18 are hereby amended to read as follows:

19 A. Unless otherwise required by this title, fees shall be assessed at the fee rate in
20 effect at the time the application for a permit or decision is deemed complete or the
21 request for other service is accepted by the department, but only if the application is
22 approved or permit is issued within twelve months of the date that the application is
23 deemed complete or otherwise accepted by the department. For services rendered after
24 twelve months from the date the application is deemed complete or otherwise accepted
25 by the department, any additional fees shall be assessed based on the rates in current
26 effect.

27 B. Fees for application screening are due at the time of application submittal.

28 C. Fees for application review are due ((upon)) at the time of application
29 acceptance.

30 ((C.)) D. Fees for expedited review are due when an expedited review request is
31 accepted by the department.

32 ((D.)) E. Fees owed for the resubmittal of plans or applications are due at the
33 time of resubmittal.

34 ((E.)) F. Fees for permits, inspection, or monitoring are due ((upon)) at the time
35 of permit issuance. The director may grant exceptions in cases where the basis as
36 prescribed in this title for calculating fees for a permit, inspection, or monitoring was
37 amended after an application was completed but before permit issuance.

38 ((F.)) G. Fees for reinspection of construction work or site conditions are due by
39 the date indicated on the department's invoice or before final construction approval,
40 whichever is earlier, and shall be assessed at the fee rate in effect at the time of
41 reinspection.

42 ((G.)) H. Unless otherwise allowed by this title, the department shall not accept
43 payment of fees before fees are due.

44 ((H.)) I. Changes in the ownership of an application or permit shall not revoke
45 the fees incurred by the application or permit, or the requirement to post financial
46 guarantees for permitted construction.

47 SECTION 3. Ordinance 10662, Section 47, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.02.060
48 are hereby amended to read as follows:

49 A. Fees for preapplication service requests or application screening are not
50 refundable once paid by the applicant.

51 B. Fees for ((processing or)) review of an application are refundable in
52 proportion to the amount of work performed as of the date of application withdrawal or
53 cancellation if, within sixty days after the date that fees were paid, the applicant in
54 writing withdraws, cancels, or otherwise terminates the application and requests a refund.

55 ((B.)) C. Fees for ((processing or)) inspection of a permit are refundable if, prior
56 to expiration of the permit, the permit holder in writing withdraws, cancels, or otherwise
57 terminates the permit, and requests a refund before commencing construction or land
58 disturbing activity authorized by the permit. Permit fees shall not be refunded after
59 construction or land disturbing activity has commenced.

60 ((C.)) D. The department shall refund a fee that has been paid but waived, or if
61 other fees are owed, apply the payment to outstanding fees.

62 ((D.)) E. Fees shall be refunded to the applicant of record.

63 ((E.)) F. The department shall waive and refund the fee for filing an appeal when
64 an applicant is the substantially prevailing party in an administrative appeal.

65 **((F.)) G. ((Development permit and environmental review f)) Fees paid in**
66 **accordance with this title** shall not be refunded except as provided for in this title.

67 **NEW SECTION. SECTION 4.** There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 27.02 a
68 new section to read as follows:

69 A. Except as otherwise provided in subsection B. of this section, this ordinance
70 has added a surcharge of three and five tenths percent on all fees established in K.C.C.
71 chapters 27.06 and 27.10. The surcharge shall only be used to fund:

72 1. Replacement or upgrade of the permitting software or information systems
73 used by the department.

74 2. The department's undesignated fund balance for working capital in order to
75 achieve an undesignated fund balance of forty-five days of operating and maintenance
76 expenses.

77 B. The following fees shall not be subject to the surcharge established in
78 subsection A. of this section:

79 1. Road variance review under K.C.C. 27.10.070.A.1.;
80 2. Stormwater engineering and document review under K.C.C. 27.10.082;
81 3. Road engineering review under K.C.C. 27.10.084;
82 4. Road constructability review under K.C.C. 27.10.085;
83 5. Stormwater facility constructability review under K.C.C. 27.10.087;
84 6. Floodplain permits established in section 16 of this ordinance;
85 7. Stormwater facility site inspection and pre-site work engineering meetings
86 under K.C.C. 27.10.385;

87 8. Road construction inspection and pre-site work engineering meetings under
88 K.C.C. 27.10.395;

89 9. Financial guarantee processing, monitoring, extension, and administration
90 under K.C.C. 27.10.570; and

91 10. Title elimination in K.C.C. 27.10.580.D.

92 C. The surcharge applies to fees charged between January 1, 2026, and December
93 31, 2027. An ordinance will be required to remove the surcharge from the fees to which
94 it applies.

95 SECTION 5. Ordinance 13332, Section 4, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.06.010 are
96 hereby amended to read as follows:

97 A. A fee shall be charged for preapplication services as follows:

1. Preapplication conference: already built construction for residential use	\$((4,271.00)) <u>1,452.00</u>
2. Preapplication conference: already built construction for commercial use	\$((5,084.00)) <u>5,809.00</u>
3. Preapplication conference: other development type, per county staff participant	\$((4,271.00)) <u>1,452.00</u>
4. Cancellation of a conference without notification before its scheduled start time or failure to attend	\$((50.00)) <u>57.00</u>

98 B. The total preapplication conference fee under subsection A.1. and 2. of this
99 section shall be credited against any required application or permit fees for a subsequent
100 permit application to address work commenced without permits, but only if the
101 subsequent permit application is filed within one hundred eighty days of the

102 preapplication conference or within sixty days of public health department or other
103 agency approval required for a complete development permit application.

104 C. Twenty percent of the preapplication conference fee due for one county staff
105 person according to subsection A.3. of this section shall be paid when the request for a
106 preapplication conference is submitted. The payment received shall be credited toward
107 the total preapplication conference fees due when the request is accepted by the county.

108 D. The fee for a zoning certification letter confirming an existing zoning
109 designation or development rights is ((four hundred twenty three)) four hundred eighty-
110 three dollars. The fee for zoning certification requiring historic research or review of
111 other information is ((one thousand two hundred seventy one)) one thousand four
112 hundred fifty-two dollars.

113 ((D.)) E. A fee of ((six hundred thirty five)) seven hundred twenty-six dollars
114 shall be charged for each inspection of structures for housing code compliance, damage
115 from flood, storm, fire, or other natural disaster, or for each site visit conducted before
116 permit application.

117 NEW SECTION. SECTION 6. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 27.10 a
118 new section to read as follows:

119 An application screening fee of one hundred twenty-six dollars shall be charged
120 and paid at the time of application submittal for a permit or approval that requires plan
121 review and application screening before the application is deemed complete.

122 SECTION 7. Ordinance 13332, Section 17, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.020
123 are hereby amended to read as follows:

124 A. Except as otherwise provided in this section, plan review fees for ~~((the review~~
125 ~~of))~~ buildings and structures, including construction, additions, modifications, and
126 ~~((mechanical))~~ equipment, shall be calculated using valuations published by the
127 International Code Council or other current nationally recognized standards. The
128 building official shall establish the final valuation. ~~((The fee charged))~~ Fees shall be
129 based on the valuation established under this subsection as follows:

Valuation	Fee
1. \$1.00 to \$25,000.00	\$((90.00)) <u>103.00</u> plus \$((24.00)) <u>27.40</u> for each \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$25,000.00
2. \$25,000.01 to \$50,000.00	\$((690.00)) <u>788.00</u> for the first \$25,000.00 plus \$((18.00)) <u>20.60</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$50,000.00
3. \$50,000.01 to \$100,000.00	\$((1,140.00)) <u>1,303.00</u> for the first \$50,000.00 plus \$((12.00)) <u>13.70</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$100,000.00
4. \$100,000.01 to \$500,000.00	\$((1,740.00)) <u>1,988.00</u> for the first \$100,000.00 plus \$((10.00)) <u>11.40</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$500,000.00
5. \$500,000.01 to \$1,000,000.00	\$((5,740.00)) <u>6,548.00</u> for the first

	\$500,000.00 plus \$((7.00)) <u>8.00</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$1,000,000.00
6. \$1,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00	\$((9,240.00)) <u>10,548.00</u> for the first \$1,000,000.00 plus \$((6.00)) <u>6.85</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$5,000,000.00
7. \$5,000,000.01 or more	\$((33,240.00)) <u>37,948.00</u> for the first \$5,000,000.00 plus \$((5.00)) <u>5.70</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof

130 B. Plan review ((F)) fees shall be charged for ((reviewing applications to))

131 construction or ((modify)) modifications of buildings, structures, and equipment as
132 follows:

1. Electronic communication antenna	\$((2,944.00)) <u>3,364.00</u>
2. Electronic communication tower or pole	\$((5,489.00)) <u>6,272.00</u>
3. Sign	\$((1,398.00)) <u>1,597.00</u>
4. Portable classroom, per group of three units or fraction thereof	\$((4,612.00)) <u>5,269.00</u>
5. Trail bridge, per ((lineal)) <u>linear</u> foot	\$((37.00)) <u>42.00</u>
6. Building change of use only	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>

133 C. Each plan ((R)) resubmittal ((of plans)) or revisions to an issued permit shall
134 be charged a ((fixed fee that is a)) fraction of the original building plan review fee

135 proportionate to the changes from the original plan submittal, as determined by the
136 department.

137 **SECTION 8.** Ordinance 17923, Section 45, and K.C.C. 27.10.035 are hereby
138 amended to read as follows:

139 Fees shall be charged for reviewing applications and inspecting or extending
140 permits to construct new single ((family dwellings)) detached residences as follows:

141 A. Building, zoning, and site ((application)) plan review

1. Custom home	\$((7,113.00)) <u>8,128.00</u>
2. Modular home	\$((2,117.00)) <u>2,419.00</u>
3. Mobile or manufactured home	\$((1,694.00)) <u>1,936.00</u>
4. Accessory dwelling unit	\$((3,987.00)) <u>4,556.00</u>
5. Basic home permit	\$((1,694.00)) <u>1,936.00</u>
6. Registered basic home plan – building and mechanical plan review only	\$((4,664.00)) <u>5,329.00</u>

142 B. Drainage, right-of-way, or critical area review

1. Custom home	\$((4,060.00)) <u>4,639.00</u>
2. Modular home	\$((4,060.00)) <u>4,639.00</u>
3. Mobile or manufactured home	\$((4,060.00)) <u>4,639.00</u>
4. Accessory dwelling unit	\$((3,166.00)) <u>3,618.00</u>
5. Basic home <u>permit</u>	\$((2,201.00)) <u>2,515.00</u>

143 C. Permit inspection

1. Custom home, per square foot	\$((2.16)) <u>2.46</u>
2. Modular home	\$((1,874.00)) <u>2,141.00</u>

3. Mobile or manufactured home	\$((1,545.00)) <u>1,765.00</u>
4. Accessory dwelling unit, per square foot	\$((2.16)) <u>2.46</u>
5. Basic home <u>permit</u> , per square foot	\$((2.16)) <u>2.46</u>

144 D. Sprinkler system

1. Review	\$((979.00)) <u>1,119.00</u>
2. Inspection	\$((1,748.00)) <u>1,997.00</u>

145 E. Each plan resubmittal or revision to an issued permit shall be charged a

146 portion of the application review fee listed in subsection A. of this section ((, based on))

147 proportionate to the ((plan)) changes ((as a portion)) of the entire project, as determined

148 by the department.

149 F. Additional inspection or reinspection: Minimum fee amount in K.C.C.

150 27.10.320.A.1.

151 SECTION 9. Ordinance 17923, Section 46, and K.C.C. 27.10.037 are hereby

152 amended to read as follows:

153 Fees shall be charged for reviewing applications and inspecting or extending

154 permits to construct additions or alterations to existing single ((family dwellings))

155 detached residences as follows:

156 A. Building alteration or addition

1. Mechanical installation only	Minimum fee amount in K.C.C. 27.10.320.A.1.
2. In-kind building repair or replacement only((:))	Building plan review: \$((317.00)) <u>362.00</u> Building inspection: \$((490.00)) <u>560.00</u>
3. Alteration without addition,	Building plan review: \$((697.00)) <u>796.00</u>

limited to either the roof or truss structure; or the foundation or footings; or the walls, ceiling, floor, beams, columns, doors, windows, stairs, or fireplace; or pool or spa	Building inspection: \$((1,076.00)) <u>1,229.00</u>
4. Alteration without addition, involving two of the structural elements listed in subsection A.3. of this section	Building plan review: \$((1,208.00)) <u>1,380.00</u> Building inspection: \$((1,857.00)) <u>2,122.00</u>
5. Alteration without addition, involving all of the structural elements listed in subsection A.3. of this section	Building plan review: \$((2,093.00)) <u>2,392.00</u> Building inspection: \$((3,223.00)) <u>3,683.00</u>
6. Addition of deck((,)) or accessory structure 500 square feet or smaller	Building plan review: \$((676.00)) <u>772.00</u> Building inspection, per square foot: \$((2.16)) <u>2.46</u>
7. Addition of accessory structure larger than 500 square feet	Building plan review: \$((1,424.00)) <u>1,627.00</u> Building inspection, per square foot: \$((2.16)) <u>2.46</u>
8. Addition of living space or garage, inclusive of alterations	Building plan review: \$((2,721.00)) <u>3,109.00</u> Building inspection, per square foot: \$((2.16)) <u>2.46</u>

9. Building demolition((,)) relocation	\$((490.00)) <u>560.00</u>
---	----------------------------

157 B. Site ((development)) review:

1. Title or easement review only	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>
2. ((Basic e))Critical area or site review with standardized conditions	\$((1,779.00)) <u>2,033.00</u>
3. Other site or critical area review, or combination thereof	\$((3,166.00)) <u>3,618.00</u>

158 C. Sprinkler system

1. Review	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
2. Inspection	\$((1,015.00)) <u>1,160.00</u>

159 D. Each plan resubmittal or revision to an issued permit shall be charged a
160 portion of the application review fee listed in this section((, based on)) proportionate to
161 the ((plan)) changes ((as a portion)) of the entire project, as determined by the
162 department.

163 E. Additional inspection or reinspection: Minimum fee amount per K.C.C.

164 27.10.320.A.1.

165 SECTION 10. Ordinance 13332, Section 20, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.050

166 are hereby amended to read as follows:

167 ((A fee)) Fees shall be charged ((to cover the costs of the department to)) for
168 reviewing fire systems and tank systems as follows:

A. Automatic sprinkler or fire alarm systems - new installation or alteration, per riser or system with more than	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
--	----------------------------

10 heads or devices((:))	
B. Monitoring transmitter, each system	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
C. Tank installation, removal, or abandonment, each((:))	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
D. All other system construction or installation, each system	\$((1,389.00)) <u>1,587.00</u>

169 SECTION 11. Ordinance 13332, Section 22, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.070

170 are hereby amended to read as follows:

171 Review of engineering variance or adjustment requests shall be charged fees as
172 follows:

173 A. Road variance review

1. Department of local services, road services division (if required)	\$1,978.00
2. Department of local services, permitting division((:))	\$((2,712.00)) <u>3,099.00</u>
3. Plan resubmittal((:))	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>

174 B. Surface Water Design Manual adjustment review

1. Standard	\$((3,983.00)) <u>4,551.00</u>
2. Complex or criteria exception	\$((8,645.00)) <u>9,878.00</u>

175 SECTION 12. Ordinance 18000, Section 83, and K.C.C. 27.10.075 are hereby

176 amended to read as follows:

177 Fees for ((T))temporary noise variance ((request review)) applications filed under
178 K.C.C. 12.86.550.B. shall ((require the following fees)) be charged as follows:

A. Simple review((:))	\$((428.00)) <u>489.00</u>
B. Medium review((:))	\$((854.00)) <u>976.00</u>
C. Complex review((:))	\$((1,708.00)) <u>1,952.00</u>

179 SECTION 13. Ordinance 13332, Section 23, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.080

180 are hereby amended to read as follows:

181 Site engineering review includes review for code compliance with road design,
 182 drainage, erosion and sedimentation control, and right-of-way improvements. Review
 183 fees shall be charged as follows((.)):

184 A. Plan review – ((~~basic~~)) minor or standard:

1. Minor repair, maintenance, or drainage improvements not maintained by King County	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
2. ((Basic)) <u>Review with standardized conditions</u>	\$((1,779.00)) <u>2,033.00</u>
3. Standard review	\$((3,166.00)) <u>3,618.00</u>

185 B. Plan review – complex:

1. Nonengineered plans; minimal road improvements; minimal drainage review	\$((6,356.00)) <u>7,263.00</u>
2. Engineered plans; private or minor public road improvements; small project drainage, dispersion, flow control best management practices, conveyance only or detention only, or any combination thereof	\$((12,714.00)) <u>14,528.00</u>
3. Engineered plans; private or public road improvements; full drainage review with detention or water quality, or both	\$((19,071.00)) <u>21,791.00</u>

4. Engineered plans; private or public road improvements; full drainage review with detention infiltration or water quality, or any combination thereof	\$((25,425.00)) <u>29,052.00</u>
5. Engineered plans; private or public road improvements; full drainage review with multiple basins	\$((38,138.00)) <u>43,578.00</u>
6. Engineered plans; large private or public road improvements; full drainage review with multiple basins	\$((50,849.00)) <u>58,102.00</u>
7. Engineered plans; large and complex private or public road improvements; full drainage review with multiple basins	\$((63,562.00)) <u>72,628.00</u>

186 SECTION 14. Ordinance 13332, Section 24, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.090

187 are hereby amended to read as follows:

188 Grading or clearing site plan review includes review for compliance with King
 189 County grading and clearing code requirements. Grading or clearing site plan review
 190 fees shall be charged as follows:

A. Grading up to 2,000 square feet, or clearing up to 0.2 acre	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
B. Grading between 2,001 square feet and 0.2 acres	\$((1,484.00)) <u>1,696.00</u>
C. Grading or clearing from 0.21 to 1.0 acres	\$((3,601.00)) <u>4,115.00</u>
D. Grading or clearing from 1.01 to 20.0 acres: base fee	\$((2,934.00)) <u>3,353.00</u>
E. Grading or clearing from 1.01 to 20.0 acres: fee per acre	\$((668.00)) <u>763.00</u>

F. Grading or clearing over 20.0 acres	\$((16,294.00)) <u>18,613.00</u>
G. Periodic review of surface mine and material processing facility	Applicable fee in subsections A. through F. of this section
H. Forest practices act - class IV review - residential	\$((1,484.00)) <u>1,696.00</u>
I. Forest practices act - class IV review - nonresidential	\$((2,968.00)) <u>3,391.00</u>
J. Forest practices act - release of moratorium, excluding engineering or critical areas review	\$((12,714.00)) <u>14,528.00</u>
K. Forest practices act - conversion option harvest plan: base fee	\$((2,545.00)) <u>2,908.00</u>
L. Forest practices act - conversion option harvest plan: fee per acre	\$((212.00)) <u>242.00</u>
M. Forest practices act - activities with approved forest management plan - 5 acres or less	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>
N. Forest practices act - activities with approved forest management plan - more than 5 acres	\$((1,061.00)) <u>1,212.00</u>
O. Hazard tree removal only, ten or fewer	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>
P. Farm pad or agricultural drainage maintenance in accordance with county and state approved best management practices; significant tree retention; or street tree, critical area mitigation, recreation facility, or	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>

landscape installation	
------------------------	--

191 SECTION 15. Ordinance 13332, Section 28, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.130

192 are hereby amended to read as follows:

193 Fees for critical areas review, critical area designation, critical area alteration,
 194 flood hazard certification, and variances for floodplain development or sea level rise risk
 195 area shall be charged as follows:

196 A. Critical areas review:

1. Critical area notice on title only	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>
2. Nonresidential review, ((basic) <u>simple</u> , per discipline	\$((2,327.00)) <u>2,659.00</u>
3. Nonresidential review, complex, per discipline	\$((3,813.00)) <u>4,357.00</u>
4. Stewardship planning	\$((3,390.00)) <u>3,874.00</u>

197 B. Critical area designations:

1. Minimum fee per application	\$((1,438.00)) <u>1,643.00</u>
2. Fee per discipline if the ((parcel or parcels) <u>site</u> for which <u>the</u> designation((s-are) <u>is</u> requested ((have) <u>has</u> one or more critical areas, excepting critical aquifer recharge, seismic hazard, or erosion hazard area	\$((1,061.00)) <u>1,212.00</u>
3. Fee for resubmittal of critical area study, per discipline	\$((1,061.00)) <u>1,212.00</u>

198 C. Critical area alteration exception and reasonable use exception:

1. <u>Base fee</u>	<u>\$3,874.00</u>
((1.)) 2. Review, per discipline	\$((9,323.00)) <u>10,653.00</u>

((2. Base fee	(((\$3,390.00))
3. Extension of approval	\$((1,906.00)) <u>2,178.00</u>

199 4. If applications for a shoreline permit and either a critical area alteration
 200 exception or reasonable use exception are reviewed concurrently, the ((critical area
 201 alteration)) exception application shall be charged fifty percent of the fees required by
 202 this section.

D. Floodplain development: flood hazard certificate review ((4.)) Section A	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>
((2. Section B.1, FEMA floodway	\$284.00
3. Section B.2	\$853.00))
E. Floodplain development: inspection	\$((635.00)) <u>726.00</u>

203 F. Floodplain development review: floodway and channel migration zone

1. Screening and verification	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>
-------------------------------	----------------------------

204 2. Review of a ((request for)) FEMA ((floodway letter of map amendment))
 205 letter of map amendment shall be charged at actual cost to the department including
 206 consultant costs, administrative costs, and cost of review by other county departments
 207 and governmental agencies.

G. Floodplain development review: mapped floodplain	\$((212.00)) <u>242.00</u>
H. Floodplain development review: unmapped floodplain ((1. Qualitative floodplain study))	\$((212.00)) <u>242.00</u>
((2. Minor floodplain study	\$284.00
3. Major floodplain study	\$853.00))

208 I. Review of variances for development in a floodplain or sea level rise risk area
209 or for nonresidential elevation and dry floodproofing under K.C.C. 21A.24.228 shall be
210 charged at actual cost to the department including consultant costs, administrative costs,
211 and cost of review by other county departments and governmental agencies.

212 NEW SECTION. SECTION 16. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 27.10
213 a new section to read as follows:

214 Fees for preapplication services, screening, review, inspection, or monitoring of
215 floodplain development permits by the King County river and floodplain management
216 section shall be charged as follows:

217 A. Preapplication services

1. Consultation	\$285.00
2. Site Visit	\$569.00
3. Technical assistance with application for conditional letter of map revision	\$4,908.00
4. Technical assistance with application for letter of map amendment or conditional letter of map amendment	\$427.00

218 B. Mapped floodplain permit

1. Application screening	\$427.00
2. Site visit	\$2,276.00
3. Technical review	\$2,205.00

219 C. Unmapped floodplain permit

1. Application screening	\$427.00
--------------------------	----------

2.	Technical review	\$1,921.00
	D. Elevation certificate review	\$2,276.00

220 E. Post-issuance permit compliance review and monitoring

1.	Conditional letter of map revision	\$4,908.00
2.	Letter of map revision	\$1,138.00

221 SECTION 17. Ordinance 13332, Section 30, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.150

222 are hereby amended to read as follows:

223 Review of environmental checklists and preparation of threshold determinations

224 of nonsignificance ((and)), mitigated determinations of nonsignificance, and

225 supplemental reviews shall be charged fees as follows:

226 A. Review of environmental checklists and preparation of threshold

227 determinations of nonsignificance:

1.	Minor new construction, including single ((family)) <u>detached</u> residential and agricultural buildings	\$((2,117.00)) <u>2,419.00</u>
2.	Class IV forest practices	\$((2,117.00)) <u>2,419.00</u>
3.	Minor clearing or grading permits	\$((2,117.00)) <u>2,419.00</u>
4.	Other construction	\$((5,933.00)) <u>6,779.00</u>
B.	Review of environmental checklists and preparation of threshold determinations of mitigated determinations of nonsignificance	\$((5,933.00)) <u>6,779.00</u>

228 C. Supplemental reviews

1.	Addendum	\$((1,061.00)) <u>1,212.00</u>
2.	Expanded checklist	\$((1,906.00)) <u>2,178.00</u>

D. Exemption	\$((741.00)) <u>847.00</u>
--------------	----------------------------

229 E. Preparation of an environmental impact statement shall be charged at actual
 230 cost to the department including consultant costs, administrative costs, and cost of review
 231 by other county departments and governmental agencies.

232 SECTION 18. Ordinance 13332, Section 31, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.160
 233 are hereby amended to read as follows:

234 A. ((Fees for r))Review of shoreline exemption applications shall be charged fees
 235 as follows:

1. Repair and maintenance – programmatic	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>
2. Repair and maintenance – other	\$((1,271.00)) <u>1,452.00</u>
3. Agricultural activities	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
4. Timber harvest operations within the forest production district	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
5. Boring or moorage buoy	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
6. Stream-lined joint aquatic resources permit application	No Fee
7. Watershed restoration	No Fee
8. Other	\$((2,545.00)) <u>2,908.00</u>
9. Supplemental review of mitigation plan and amendment of conditions	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>

236 B. Fees for review of a shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use
 237 permit, or variance application shall be charged as follows:

1. ((<u>Value</u>)) <u>Valuation</u> of work: \$10,000.00 or	\$((11,184.00)) <u>12,779.00</u>
--	----------------------------------

less	
2. ((Value)) <u>Valuation</u> of work: \$10,000.01 to \$100,000.00	\$((11,610.00)) <u>13,266.00</u>
3. ((Value)) <u>Valuation</u> of work: \$100,000.01 to \$500,000.00	\$((12,883.00)) <u>14,721.00</u>
4. ((Value)) <u>Valuation</u> of work: \$500,000.01 to \$1,000,000.00	\$((14,152.00)) <u>16,171.00</u>
5. ((Value)) <u>Valuation</u> of work: more than \$1,000,000.00	\$((15,846.00)) <u>18,106.00</u>
6. If multiple ((permit)) applications are reviewed concurrently, one application shall be charged the fee required by this section; the other application or applications shall be charged fifty percent of the fee required by this section.	
7. Extension of approval	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>

238 SECTION 19. Ordinance 13332, Section 32, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.170

239 are hereby amended to read as follows:

240 Fees for use permits shall be charged as follows:

241 A. Conditional use permit:

1. Residential or home industry	\$((5,677.00)) <u>6,487.00</u>
2. Cell tower	\$((5,677.00)) <u>6,487.00</u>
3. Commercial	\$((11,610.00)) <u>13,266.00</u>
4. Industrial, institutional, or other	\$((15,846.00)) <u>18,106.00</u>

	B. Transfer of development rights sending site certification	\$((1,015.00)) <u>1,160.00</u>
	C. Special use permit	\$((15,846.00)) <u>18,106.00</u>
242	D. Temporary ((U))use ((P))permit	
	1. Medical Hardship Mobile Home Placement	\$((212.00)) <u>242.00</u>
	2. Homeless Encampment	\$((4,536.00)) <u>5,183.00</u>
	3. Other	\$((9,067.00)) <u>10,360.00</u>
	4. Annual permit extension	\$((1,271.00)) <u>1,452.00</u>
243	<u>SECTION 20.</u> Ordinance 13332, Section 33, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.180	
244	are hereby amended to read as follows:	
245	Fees for zoning ((or)) <u>variance, site-specific</u> Comprehensive Plan or <u>zoning</u> map	
246	modification, <u>or other zoning reclassification request</u> shall be charged as follows:	
247	A. Variance	
	1. Review	\$((11,610.00)) <u>13,266.00</u>
	2. Extension of approval	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>
	B. Site-specific amendment of land use map, plan, code, or shoreline environment redesignation	\$((3,875.00)) <u>4,428.00</u>
	C. Other zoning reclassification requests, including shoreline environment redesignation, deletion of special district overlay, or amendment or deletion of p-suffix conditions	\$((15,846.00)) <u>18,106.00</u>
248	D. If a site-specific amendment is implemented as part of a Comprehensive Plan	
249	update, the application fee will be credited toward the zoning reclassification fee, but	

250 only if the application for zoning reclassification is filed within one year of the effective
251 date of the site-specific land use map amendment.

252 SECTION 21. Ordinance 13332, Section 34, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.190
253 are hereby amended to read as follows:

254 Preliminary subdivision, short subdivision, or binding site plan applications shall
255 be charged fees for planning, fire flow and access, site engineering, critical area, survey,
256 and ((s))State Environmental Policy Act review as follows:

A. Short subdivision - urban 3 to 4 lots, simple	\$((34,187.00)) <u>39,063.00</u>
B. Short subdivision - urban 3 to 4 lots, complex	\$((40,118.00)) <u>45,840.00</u>
C. Short subdivision - urban 5 to 9 lots	\$((50,714.00)) <u>57,948.00</u>
D. Short subdivision - rural	\$((40,118.00)) <u>45,840.00</u>
E. Subdivision or binding site plan – base fee	\$((62,839.00)) <u>71,802.00</u>
F. Subdivision – additional fee per lot	\$((212.00)) <u>242.00</u>
G. Microsubdivision – urban 2 lots	\$((15,000.00)) <u>17,140.00</u>

257 H. Minor plan revisions before or after preliminary approval

1. Microsubdivision – urban 2 lots	\$((1,800.00)) <u>2,057.00</u>
2. Short subdivision	\$((3,601.00)) <u>4,115.00</u>
3. Subdivision or binding site plan	\$((9,217.00)) <u>10,532.00</u>
I. Extension of preliminary approval	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>

258 SECTION 22. Ordinance 13332, Section 35, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.200

259 are hereby amended to read as follows:

260 Final plat, short plat, binding site plan, subdivisional legal description, or title
261 review, approval, and resubmittal shall be charged fees as follows:

262

A. Final plan review and approval

1. Short plat - urban 3 to 4 lots, simple	\$((10,762.00)) <u>12,297.00</u>
2. Short plat - urban 3 to 4 lots, complex	\$((15,001.00)) <u>17,141.00</u>
3. Short plat - urban 5 to 9 lots	\$((23,052.00)) <u>26,340.00</u>
4. Short plat - rural	\$((15,001.00)) <u>17,141.00</u>
5. Final plat or binding site plan	\$((23,052.00)) <u>26,340.00</u>
6. Microplat – urban 2 lots	\$((5,000.00)) <u>5,713.00</u>

263

B. Final plan resubmittal

1. Short plat - urban 3 to 4 lots, simple	\$((4,484.00)) <u>1,696.00</u>
2. Short plat - urban 3 to 4 lots, complex	\$((2,117.00)) <u>2,419.00</u>
3. Short plat - urban 5 to 9 lots	\$((4,239.00)) <u>4,844.00</u>
4. Short plat - rural	\$((2,117.00)) <u>2,419.00</u>
5. Final plat or binding site plan	\$((4,239.00)) <u>4,844.00</u>
6. Microplat – urban 2 lots	\$((700.00)) <u>800.00</u>

264

C. Alteration after ((recording)) recording

1. Short plat - urban 3 to 4 lots, simple	\$((7,204.00)) <u>8,232.00</u>
2. Short plat - urban 3 to 4 lots, complex	\$((10,169.00)) <u>11,620.00</u>
3. Short plat - urban 5 to 9 lots	\$((15,466.00)) <u>17,672.00</u>
4. Short plat - rural	\$((10,169.00)) <u>11,620.00</u>
5. Final plat or binding site plan	\$((18,434.00)) <u>21,063.00</u>
6. Microplat – urban 2 lots	\$((3,500.00)) <u>3,999.00</u>

265

D. Subdivisional legal description review

1. 1-50 lots - base fee	\$((1,043.00)) <u>1,192.00</u>
-------------------------	--------------------------------

2. 1-50 lots - per lot	\$((250.00)) <u>286.00</u>
3. 51-100 lots - base fee	\$((13,543.00)) <u>15,492.00</u>
4. 51-100 lots - per lot	\$((101.00)) <u>115.00</u>
5. More than 100 lots - base fee	\$((18,593.00)) <u>21,242.00</u>
6. More than 100 lots - per lot	\$((24.00)) <u>27.00</u>
7. Name change	\$((770.00)) <u>880.00</u>

266 SECTION 23. Ordinance 13332, Section 36, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.210

267 are hereby amended to read as follows:

268 A fixed fee shall be charged for separate lot recognitions, subdivision exemptions,
269 and innocent purchaser reviews as follows:

A. Innocent purchaser - review	\$((1,734.00)) <u>1,981.00</u>
--------------------------------	--------------------------------

270 B. Separate lot

1. minimum review fee	\$((1,016.00)) <u>1,161.00</u>
2. review fee per lot	\$((1,271.00)) <u>1,452.00</u>
C. Miscellaneous lot exemption – review	\$((4,489.00)) <u>5,129.00</u>
D. Condominium conversion – application	\$((3,983.00)) <u>4,551.00</u>

271 SECTION 24. Ordinance 13332, Section 37, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.220

272 are hereby amended to read as follows:

273 Boundary line adjustments and lot mergers shall be charged fixed fees as follows,

274 plus the cost of recording documents:

A. Boundary line adjustment ((basic)) review, <u>simple</u>	\$((6,271.00)) <u>7,165.00</u>
B. Boundary line adjustment ((complex))	\$((9,660.00)) <u>11,038.00</u>

review, complex	
C. Boundary line adjustment - deferred submittal of final, signed, and notarized ((M))mylar more than ninety days after approval of adjustment	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
D. Lot merger – review	\$((2,289.00)) <u>2,616.00</u>

275 E. Concurrent review of additional applications for contiguous parcels shall be
 276 charged fifty percent of the review fees listed in this section.

277 SECTION 25. Ordinance 13332, Section 40, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.320
 278 are hereby amended to read as follows:

279 A. Except as otherwise provided in this section, ((~~permit fees for the~~)) inspection
 280 ((~~fees for~~)) fees for buildings and ((~~other~~)) structures, including construction, additions,
 281 modifications, and ((~~mechanical~~)) equipment, shall be calculated using valuations
 282 published by the International Code Council or other current nationally recognized
 283 standards. The building official shall establish the final valuation. ((~~The permit~~
 284 ~~inspection fee charged~~)) Fees shall be based on the valuation established under this
 285 subsection as follows:

Valuation	Fee
1. \$1.00 to \$25,000.00	\$((160.00)) <u>183.00</u> plus \$((39.00)) <u>44.60</u> for each \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$25,000.00
2. \$25,000.01 to \$50,000.00	\$((1,135.00)) <u>1,298.00</u> for the first \$25,000.00 plus \$((27.00)) <u>30.80</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to

	\$50,000.00
3. \$50,000.01 to \$100,000.00	\$((1,810.00)) <u>2,068.00</u> for the first \$50,000.00 plus \$((19.00)) <u>21.70</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$100,000.00
4. \$100,000.01 to \$500,000.00	\$((2,760.00)) <u>3,153.00</u> for the first \$100,000.00 plus \$((45.00)) <u>17.10</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$500,000.00
5. \$500,000.01 to \$1,000,000.00	\$((8,760.00)) <u>9,993.00</u> for the first \$500,000.00 plus \$((42.00)) <u>13.70</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$1,000,000.00
6. \$1,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00	\$((14,760.00)) <u>16,843.00</u> for the first \$1,000,000.00 plus \$((9.00)) <u>10.30</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof, to \$5,000,000.00
7. \$5,000,000.01 or more	\$((50,760.00)) <u>58,043.00</u> for the first \$5,000,000.00 plus \$((6.50)) <u>7.40</u> for each additional \$1,000.00, or fraction thereof.

286 B. Inspection ((F))fees shall be charged for ((inspecting permits to)) construction
287 or ((modify)) modifications of buildings, structures, and equipment as follows:

1. Electronic communication antenna	\$((1,325.00)) <u>1,514.00</u>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------

2. Electronic communication tower or pole	\$((3,746.00)) <u>4,280.00</u>
3. Sign	\$((645.00)) <u>737.00</u>
4. Portable classroom, per group of three units or fraction thereof	\$((3,698.00)) <u>4,225.00</u>
5. Trail bridge, per ((linear)) <u>linear</u> foot	\$((57.00)) <u>65.00</u>
6. Building change of use only	\$((367.00)) <u>419.00</u>
7. Building demolition or relocation only	\$((697.00)) <u>796.00</u>
C. Additional inspection or reinspection of a building, fire, mechanical, or sign construction permit, or demolition permit	minimum fee amount in K.C.C. 27.10.320.A.1.

288 SECTION 26. Ordinance 13332, Section 42, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.350

289 are hereby amended to read as follows:

290 Fees shall be charged ((to cover the costs of physical)) for inspections to
 291 ((assure)) ensure that projects are constructed in accordance with approved plans as
 292 follows.

293 A. Automatic sprinkler or fire alarm systems – new installation or alteration

1. Fee for each device, head, or plug	\$((21.00)) <u>24.00</u>
2. Fee for each system or riser	\$((1,015.00)) <u>1,160.00</u>
B. Monitoring ((T)) <u>transmitter</u> , each system	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
C. Tank installation, removal, or abandonment, each	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
D. ((All e)) <u>Other</u> system construction or	\$((1,497.00)) <u>1,711.00</u>

installation, each system	
---------------------------	--

294 SECTION 27. Ordinance 13332, Section 43, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.360

295 are hereby amended to read as follows:

296 Fees shall be charged for reviewing applications and inspecting permits for

297 special events, and building demolition, relocation, and occupancy as follows:

298 A. Special event or fireworks display

1. Application fee	\$((423.00)) <u>483.00</u>
2. Inspection fee, per site visit	\$((697.00)) <u>796.00</u>
B. Building occupancy inspection, per building per site visit	\$((367.00)) <u>419.00</u>

299 C. Inspection ((for)) of an operational permit under the International Fire Code:

1. Initial permit, per code item	\$((150.00)) <u>171.00</u>
2. Permit renewal, per code item	\$((100.00)) <u>114.00</u>

300 SECTION 28. Ordinance 13332, Section 46, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.380

301 are hereby amended to read as follows:

302 Site inspections of grading, clearing, drainage systems, landscaping, and other site

303 development, site monitoring, and pre-site work engineering meetings shall be charged as

304 follows:

305 A. Inspection of land disturbing activity or site development not requiring

306 engineered plan:

1. Base fee	\$((854.00)) <u>976.00</u>
2. Fee per acre	\$((419.00)) <u>479.00</u>

307 B. Inspection of land disturbing activity or site development requiring engineered
308 plans:

1. Minimal road improvements; minimal drainage review	\$((9,214.00)) <u>10,528.00</u>
2. Private or minor public road improvements; small project drainage, dispersion, flow control BMPs, conveyance only or detention only, or any combination thereof	\$((17,265.00)) <u>19,728.00</u>
3. Private or public road improvements; full drainage review with detention or water quality, or any combination thereof	\$((29,556.00)) <u>33,772.00</u>
4. Private or public road improvements; full drainage review with detention, infiltration, or water quality, or any combination thereof	\$((40,783.00)) <u>46,600.00</u>
5. Private or public road improvements; full drainage review with multiple basins	\$((49,048.00)) <u>56,044.00</u>
6. Large private or public road improvements; full drainage review with multiple basins	\$((60,490.00)) <u>69,118.00</u>
7. Large and complex private or public road improvements; full drainage review with multiple basins	\$((71,930.00)) <u>82,190.00</u>
C. Inspection of landscape, street tree, significant tree, recreation facility, or critical area mitigation installation only	\$((1,271.00)) <u>1,452.00</u>

D. Site condition inspection, additional inspection, or reinspection, per site visit	\$((635.00)) <u>726.00</u>
---	----------------------------

309 E. Monitoring inspections of surface mines, material processing facilities, and
310 other long-term industrial operations;

1. Inactive sites	\$((2,225.00)) <u>2,542.00</u>
2. Semiactive sites - 20 acres or less	\$((3,920.00)) <u>4,479.00</u>
3. Semiactive sites - more than 20 acres	\$((9,006.00)) <u>10,291.00</u>
4. Active sites - 20 acres or less	\$((9,006.00)) <u>10,291.00</u>
5. Active sites - more than 20 acres	\$((19,175.00)) <u>21,910.00</u>

311 SECTION 29. Ordinance 17224, Section 43, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.425

312 are hereby amended to read as follows:

313 A. Whenever any work for which a permit or application approval required under
314 K.C.C. Titles 16, 19A, 20, or 21A has commenced without first obtaining the required
315 permit or application approval, or has proceeded without obtaining necessary inspections,
316 a fixed fee shall be charged for investigation of work done without a required permit or
317 approval whether or not a permit or application approval is subsequently issued.

318 B. Fees for residential buildings shall be charged as follows:

1. Interior alterations only	\$((697.00)) <u>796.00</u>
2. Addition of deck or accessory structure 500 square feet or smaller	\$((676.00)) <u>772.00</u>
3. Addition of accessory structure larger than 500 square feet	\$((1,424.00)) <u>1,627.00</u>
4. Garage or living space addition or conversion	\$((2,721.00)) <u>3,109.00</u>

5. New accessory dwelling unit	\$((3,987.00)) <u>4,556.00</u>
6. New mobile <u>or manufactured</u> home	\$((1,694.00)) <u>1,936.00</u>
7. New custom home	\$((7,113.00)) <u>8,128.00</u>

319 C. Fees for commercial buildings shall be charged as follows:

1. Alterations to an existing structure	\$((1,694.00)) <u>1,936.00</u>
2. New structure	\$((7,113.00)) <u>8,128.00</u>

320 D. Fees for site development shall be charged as follows:

1. Minor drainage improvement not maintained by the county	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
2. ((<u>Basic</u>)) Review with standardized conditions	\$((1,779.00)) <u>2,033.00</u>
3. Other	\$((3,166.00)) <u>3,618.00</u>

321 E. Fees for land disturbance shall be charged as follows:

1. Clearing less than 0.2 acre	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
2. Grading less than 2,000 square feet	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
3. Grading between 2,000 square feet and 0.2 acre	\$((1,484.00)) <u>1,696.00</u>
4. Grading or clearing more than 0.2 acre	\$((3,601.00)) <u>4,115.00</u>

322 F. Property owners not responsible for initiating work without a permit shall be

323 exempted from the fees in this section.

324 SECTION 30. Ordinance 13332, Section 53, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.510

325 are hereby amended to read as follows:

326 Certificates of compliance or completion shall require a fixed fee to cover the
 327 administrative and clerical costs to the department of processing and issuing the
 328 certificate.

A. Temporary occupancy permit per building or tenant space	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
B. Occupancy permit when more than one building per permit	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>
C. Occupancy permit for individual townhouses or other portions of building	\$((387.00)) <u>442.00</u>
D. Letter of completion for shell construction when more than one building per permit	\$((849.00)) <u>970.00</u>

329 SECTION 31. Ordinance 13332, Section 54, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.550

330 are hereby amended to read as follows:

331 A fee of ~~((two thousand nine hundred sixty-eight))~~ three thousand three hundred
 332 ninety-one dollars shall be charged for processing and review of preissuance construction
 333 authorization. Building and site inspections shall be charged the regular fees adopted by
 334 this title.

335 SECTION 32. Ordinance 17682, Section 46, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.560

336 are hereby amended to read as follows:

337 Requests for address or road name changes where no site visit is required shall be
 338 charged ~~((one hundred sixty-eight))~~ one hundred ninety-two dollars per address affected
 339 by the requested change.

340 SECTION 33. Ordinance 17682, Section 48, as amended, and K.C.C. 27.10.580

341 are hereby amended to read as follows:

342 Fees shall be charged for permit processing, clerical services, contractor
343 certification, title elimination, permit extension, review of resubmitted plans and permit
344 revisions, expedited review, and after-hours inspection as follows:

345 A. The department may charge a fee for its actual cost of reissuing a public
346 notice.

347 B. The department may charge fees for clerical services unrelated to permits
348 including, but not limited to, making copies, scanning documents, notarizing documents,
349 gathering, preparing, and publishing special request reports, and providing publications.

350 The fees shall be the actual cost to the department and shall be collected at the time
351 services are requested. The department shall publish a schedule of these fees on the
352 internet and in the public areas of its offices.

353 C. The fee for annual certification of a tank removal contractor shall be the
354 minimum fee amount in K.C.C 27.10.320.A.1.

355 D. The fee for a title elimination is sixty dollars.

356 E. The fee for extension of a permit shall be the minimum fee amount in K.C.C
357 27.10.320.A.1., except that the fee for extension of a single ((family residential))
358 detached residence mechanical installation permit shall be twenty-five percent of the
359 minimum fee amount per K.C.C. 27.10.320.A.1.

360 F. ((The fee for the third or subsequent intake screening of an application
361 submittal prior to acceptance is fifty dollars for each screening.)) Unless otherwise
362 specified in this title, the review of resubmitted plans or revisions to an issued building,
363 fire, or grading permit shall be charged a fee of twenty-five percent of the original
364 application fee.

365 G. The fee for expedited review of project permit applications for projects that
366 are consistent with adopted development regulations, in accordance with RCW
367 36.70B.160(1)(a), shall be one hundred fifty percent of the regular review fee, and shall
368 be charged in lieu of the regular review fee in this title.

369 H. When building or land use inspections outside regular business hours are
370 required by the construction schedule or otherwise requested by a permit holder,
371 excluding inspections for fireworks displays and other special events, the overtime labor
372 cost of county personnel shall be charged in addition to the regular inspection fees.

373 I. Permits for a new single detached residence served by exempt domestic wells
374 shall be charged the following fees in accordance with RCW 90.94.030:

<u>1. Washington state Department of Ecology</u>	<u>\$350.00</u>
<u>2. King County</u>	<u>\$150.00</u>

375 J. Development proposals on parcels with cultural resources shall be charged the
376 following fees for services provided by the King County historical preservation program:

<u>1. Screening for cultural resource</u>	<u>\$150.00</u>
<u>2. Archeological review</u>	<u>\$450.00</u>

377 SECTION 34. Severability. If any provision of this ordinance or its application
378 to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the ordinance or the
379 application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

380 SECTION 35. This ordinance takes effect January 1, 2026.

381 SECTION 36. Sections 2 through 33 of this ordinance expire January 1, 2027.

382 SECTION 37. A proposed ordinance that proposes development permitting fees
383 for 2027 shall be transmitted to the council no later than October 1, 2026. The

384 development permitting fees for 2027 shall be responsive to the recommendations
385 Ordinance XXXXX (Proposed Ordinance 2025-0288), Section 17 (office of performance,
386 strategy, and budget), Proviso P1 (permit funding and staffing model). The development
387 permitting fee proposed ordinance should also, to the extent possible, reorganize and
388 streamline K.C.C. Title 27 to reduce the number of times an applicant pays a fee for the
389 same application, improve readability, put fees for the same type of review or permit in
390 the same section of code, and reevaluate how and when a screening fee should apply,
391 including whether to make the screening fee a flat fee or a percentage of the application
392 review fee."

393

394 **EFFECT prepared by E. Auzins: Striking Amendment S1 would:**
395 1. **Adds language that the fees are consistent with the limitations on**
396 **"reasonable fees" requirements in RCW 82.02.020.**
397 2. **Modifies the screening application fee. Instead of a graduated rate**
398 **depending on type of permit review fee, a flat fee of \$126 would be charged**
399 **for permits that require plan review and application screening.**
400 3. **Modifies some fees that are based on valuation, project, or property size to**
401 **avoid overcharging some projects.**
402 4. **Modifies the requirements for the 2027 permit fee ordinance to add**
403 **flexibility in the requirements to reorganize and streamline the code, and to**
404 **add a requirement to reevaluate the screening fee.**
405 5. **Makes other technical corrections.**

FULL COUNCIL

NOVEMBER 18, 2025: AMENDMENT TRACKER FOR PO 2025-0288.2 (2026-2027 BIENNIAL BUDGET)

AMD #	1 st Pg. & Line #	Appropriation Unit [ANALYST]	Sponsor	Description	Full Council Action
1A	Pg. 1, Line 8	Statement of Fact, Findings [Sanders]	Balducci	<p>Would delete the Statement of Fact stating that, to the extent the Executive considers any proviso/ER unduly burdensome, the Executive is encouraged to transmit a supplemental budget proposing revisions. Instead, it would add a Finding that the Council expects the Executive will comply with all provisos and ERs unless Council approves a change through a supplemental budget ordinance.</p> <p><i>[Amendment 1A conflicts with 1B and 1C]</i></p>	
1B	Pg. 1, Line 8	Statement of Fact [Sanders]	Balducci	<p>Would delete the Statement of Fact noted in Amendment 1A.</p> <p><i>[Amendment 1B conflicts with 1A and 1C]</i></p>	
1C	Pg. 1, Line 10	Statement of Fact [Sanders]	Balducci	<p>In the Statement of Fact noted in Amendment 1A, it would add that the Executive should notify Council as soon as possible if the Executive anticipates any difficulty in responding to those provisos.</p> <p><i>[Amendment 1C conflicts with 1A and 1B]</i></p>	
2	Pg. 4, Line 68	Finding [Brey]	Mosqueda	<p>Regarding the Finding related to directing the Executive to transmit a supplemental budget of up to \$6 million if there is underspend (half to rental assistance half to a Continuum of Care reserve) to instead transmit a supplemental budget of up to \$3 million for rental assistance and consider reserves, additional revenue, and underspend from the 2025 Annual Budget to address cuts to the Continuum of Care program.</p>	

FULL COUNCIL

NOVEMBER 18, 2025: AMENDMENT TRACKER FOR PO 2025-0288.2 (2026-2027 BIENNIAL BUDGET)

AMD #	1 st Pg. & Line #	Appropriation Unit [ANALYST]	Sponsor	Description	Full Council Action
3	Pg. 7, Line 141	Office of the Executive [Auzins]	Dembowski	Would restore \$273,000 removed in the substitute ordinance in order to fund the general counsel position for the full biennium, remove ER1 that precluded one year of funding for the general counsel position, and remove the reference in the related proviso on the civil division study.	
4	Pg. 7, Line 150	Performance, Strategy, and Budget [Auzins]	Mosqueda	Would restrict \$50,000 for an environmental impact statement for wineries, breweries, and distilleries on Vashon-Maury Island.	
5	Pg. 21, Line 463	Office of Climate [Giambattista]	Balducci	To the proviso requiring a business plan for the Office of Climate, it would add requirements to report on how the programs and staffing of the Office of Climate contribute to climate outcome indicators identified in the 2025 Strategic Climate Action Plan.	
6	Pg. 23, Line 518	Office of Economic Opportunity and Creative Economy, Internal Support, GF Transfer to DES, Facilities Management Internal Service [Soo Hoo]	Balducci	Would add a proviso to the OEOCE requiring an assessment of Harbor Island Studio impacts. Would add \$750,000 in General Fund revenue in Internal Support for rent for Harbor Island Studio. Would add \$30,000 in General Fund revenue in Facilities Management Internal Service for operations and maintenance activities at Harbor Island Studios.	
7	Pg. 30, Line 674	Prosecuting Attorney's Office [Bailey]	Balducci	Would add \$278,000 in General Fund revenue and restrict that amount for an attorney position primarily focused on work related to addressing juvenile violence, including seeking extreme risk protection orders in all appropriate juvenile cases involving a firearm.	

FULL COUNCIL

NOVEMBER 18, 2025: AMENDMENT TRACKER FOR PO 2025-0288.2 (2026-2027 BIENNIAL BUDGET)

AMD #	1 st Pg. & Line #	Appropriation Unit [ANALYST]	Sponsor	Description	Full Council Action
8	Pg. 31, Line 698	Superior Court [Bailey]	Dembowski	Would add a proviso restricting moneys until the Chair of the Protocol Committee convenes a meeting and the Executive transmits a report with the Committee's recommendations regarding the judicial resources needed to support the Court's family law caseload.	
9	Pg. 33, Line 729	External Support [Soo Hoo]	Balducci	Would increase the appropriation for the King County Sexual Assault Resource Center from \$500,000 to \$1 million, utilizing General Fund revenue.	
10	Pg. 33, Line 738	External Support, Veterans, Seniors, and Human Services Levy, Community Services Operating [Leskinen]	Dembowski	Would allocate various Councilmanic grants.	
11	Pg. 39, Line 871	Community Services Operating, GF Transfer to DCHS [Leskinen]	Mosqueda	Would add and restrict \$50,000 in General Fund revenue for Know Your Rights trainings, \$25,000 to Colectiva Legal del Pueblo and \$25,000 for ACLU of Washington.	
12	Pg. 59, Line 1316	Mental Illness and Drug Dependency [Porter]	Dembowski	Would amend the ER to contract with the Washington Recovery Alliance (WRA) for a behavioral health navigator program to instead support the Recovery Navigator Program, correcting the name of the program funded by the Health Care Authority and operated by three organizations, of which the WRA is not one.	

FULL COUNCIL

NOVEMBER 18, 2025: AMENDMENT TRACKER FOR PO 2025-0288.2 (2026-2027 BIENNIAL BUDGET)

AMD #	1 st Pg. & Line #	Appropriation Unit [ANALYST]	Sponsor	Description	Full Council Action
13	Pg. 100, Line 2270	Parks and Recreation [Bowman]	Mosqueda	Would add a proviso to require DNRP to develop a plan with options for public use on property owned by Roads Services Division in the South Park neighborhood of Unincorporated King County. The plan would call for connecting the South Park Plaza to the Duwamish Waterway through a public path on a portion of the subject property.	
14	Pg. 102, Line 2310	Crisis Care Centers [Soo Hoo]	Mosqueda	Would clarify that the \$2.5M ER for mobile crisis response stabilization in the Crisis Care Centers appropriation is for a one-time enhancement for workforce stabilization/support.	
15	Pg. 108, Line 2434	Flood Control Contract [Micklow]	Dunn	Would remove 5.0 FTEs, including a floodplain communications specialist and floodplain management maintenance crew, and \$1.329 million in associated expenditures in the Flood Control Contract appropriation unit.	
16	Pg. 111, Line 2497	County Hospital Levy [Porter]	Dembowski	Would clarify that the \$45M of County Hospital Levy support for the new parking garage is for design and that the building may include a potential medical office building.	
17	Pg. 118, Line 2661	County Hospital Levy [Porter]	Mosqueda	Would add a proviso until the Executive transmits a letter to Council, drafted in consultation with the HMC Board and UW Medicine, making a recommended allocation of CHL dollars to support the Neuro Innovation Center.	
18	Pg. 119, Line 2693	Public Health [Paribello]	Mosqueda	Would restrict \$250,000 of Public Health underspend for the Regional Office of Gun Violence Prevention (ROGVP) contracted services in White Center and would delete proviso P2, requiring a briefing about ROGVP data and services in White Center.	

FULL COUNCIL

NOVEMBER 18, 2025: AMENDMENT TRACKER FOR PO 2025-0288.2 (2026-2027 BIENNIAL BUDGET)

AMD #	1 st Pg. & Line #	Appropriation Unit [ANALYST]	Sponsor	Description	Full Council Action
19	Pg. 124, Line 2800	Employment and Education Resources [Leskinen]	Zahilay, Quinn	Would make a technical correction to update the list of youth and family service agencies receiving county funding for 2026-2027.	
20	Pg. 124, Line 2805	Employment and Education Resources [Leskinen]	Dembowski	Would revise the KCYDI report proviso to allow the RFP release to proceed prior to Council passage of the motion approving the report, but stipulate that no moneys restricted by the proviso be expended on any service provider contracts for the program until the Council passes the motion approving the proviso report.	
21	Pg. 125, Line 2824	Employment and Education Resources [Leskinen]	Dembowski	Would require the Executive to provide the Council with a preview of the KCYDI RFP at least 21 calendar days prior to its release.	
22	Pg. 130, Line 2927	Housing and Community Development [Brey]	Balducci	Would add a proviso requiring a report updating the recommendations and action plans in the Regional Affordable Housing Taskforce Final Report and Recommendations.	
23	Pg. 130, Line 2927	Housing and Community Development [Brey]	Mosqueda	Would add a proviso requiring a plan to create affordable housing for domestic violence survivors, seniors, and individual women, in collaboration with Urban Black.	
24	Pg. 130, Line 2938	Solid Waste Operating [Auzins]	Dunn	Would restrict \$75,000 in existing appropriation authority and add a proviso requiring two reports evaluating the potential for a waste to energy facility as an alternative to Cedar Hills Regional Landfill as the future long-term disposal method in King County.	

FULL COUNCIL

NOVEMBER 18, 2025: AMENDMENT TRACKER FOR PO 2025-0288.2 (2026-2027 BIENNIAL BUDGET)

AMD #	1 st Pg. & Line #	Appropriation Unit [ANALYST]	Sponsor	Description	Full Council Action
25	Pg. 148, Line 3334	Transit Operating [Bourguignon]	Perry	Would add a proviso requiring a Sea-to-Ski transit operations report, including a peer review study and feasibility analysis of transit options to Snoqualmie Pass in the winter. Would restrict \$50,000 in existing appropriation authority for the development of that proviso.	
26	Pg. 162, Line 3667	Transit Operating [Bourguignon]	Balducci	Would add a proviso requiring an East Link Connections transit gap service analysis report, to identify solutions to transit service gaps in the Woodridge, Crossroads, and Bell-Red neighborhoods.	