
15198 2005-154











Revised May 24, 2005







Ordinance

Adopted by King County Council on ______

Signed by King County Executive on ______

Contents

Executive Summary	3
1. Vision	6
2. Affirmative Action Program Requirements	7
3. EEO Policy Statement and Reaffirmation	9
A. EEO Policy	
B. Affirmative Action Commitments	
C. Program Accountability	11
4. Dissemination of EEO Policy Statement	12
A. Internal Dissemination	12
B. External Dissemination	12
5. Establishment of Responsibility for Implementation	14
A. Identification and Responsibilities for EEO Administration (41 C.F.R. 60 2.17(a))	14
B. Responsibilities of King County's Management to Ensure Implementation of the AAP (41 C.F.R. 60 2.17(a))	15
6. Identification of Areas for Improvement	
A. Areas of Improvement (41 C.F.R. 60-2,17(b))	18
B. Improvement Strategies	21
7. Departmental Action-Oriented Plans (C.F.R. 60-2.17 (c))	29
8. Internal Audit and Reporting Requirements	30
9. Compliance with the OFCCP's Gender Discrimination Guidelines (41 C.F.R. 60-20)	31
10. Support of Community Action Programs	32
11. Religion and National Origin Discrimination Guidelines (41 C.F.R. Part 60 50)	
Attachment 1: AA Plan Definitions	
A. Affirmative Action Program Definitions	
B. Definition and Verification of Race/Ethnicity, Gender, Disability, and Veteran Status	
C. EEO Job Categories	
D. Workforce Availability Development Methodology	
Attachment 2: 2003 Year End Affirmative Action Reports	
2003 AA Progress Report By Goal Setting Group	
2003 Summary of Employee Changes by EEO Job Group and Department	
2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Department and Protected Group	
2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group	
2003 Workforce Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender by Department	91
Attachment 3: Mid-Year 2004 Affirmative Action Reports	121
Mid-Year 2004 AA Progress Report By Goal Setting Group	121
Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by EEO Job Group and Department	1,35
Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Department and Protected Group	138
Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group	143
Mid-Year 2004 Workforce Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Department	173
Attachment 4: Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color,	
Persons with Disabilities, and Women	202
Attachment 5: King County Executive Branch Workforce - EEO Job Category by	
Race/Ethnicity, Disability Status, & Gender	222

On the CD:

- Copy of the report
- Documentation of the 2005-2006 workforce availability development process



Acknowledgments

Our sincere thanks to the department directors and affirmative action liaisons for implementing the 2003-2004 EEO/AA Plan, and to our stakeholders, the citizen King County Civil Rights Commission, the citizen 504/ ADA Advisory Committee, and the Employee-Based EEO/AA Advisory Committee for their respective input, and to the Human Resources Management Division, **Employment & Diversity staff** for program administration.

Executive Summary

The EEO/Affirmative Action Plan is a statement of King County Executive Branch's commitment to the values of equity, diversity and productivity in the workplace.

The EEO/Affirmative Action Plan is a statement of King County Executive Branch's commitment to the values of equity, diversity and productivity in the workplace. It assesses the need for affirmative

action to address under-representation of minorities, women and persons with disabilities to achieve parity by:

- 1. Identifying areas of program focus,
- 2. Stating the actions previously taken to move towards parity, and
- Setting forth an action-oriented plan to address under-representation prospectively within the law.

At the beginning of 2003, the Executive Branch departments successfully accomplished a majority of its affirmative action race/ethnicity and gender goals and partially accomplished its persons with disability goals. Specifically:

• For African Americans, 90% or 64 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.

- For Asian Americans, 97% or 67 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.
- For Latino Americans, 85% or 60 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.
- For Native Americans, 85% or 60 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.
- For women, 56% or 40 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.
- For persons with disabilities, 33% or 24 of the 71 goal setting areas were fully represented.

Highlights of 2003-2004:

Affirmative Action Progress

1. From January 1, 2003 to June 30, 2004, the Executive Branch departments hired and promoted a highly diverse race/ethnicity and gender workforce. The new hires consisted of 29% people of color and 41% women, while promotions consisted of 28% people of color and 42% women. Minimal hiring and promotions of persons with disabilities occurred.

2. The race/ethnicity and gender diversity of the department hires and promotions contributed to the county's representation in the majority of its race/ethnicity and gender goal setting areas but not for persons with disabilities. The remaining affirmative action goals were accomplished as follows:

Goal setting group	Total # of goal setting areas [*] as of 1/1/03	# of areas where goals were fully met by 6/30/04	# of areas where goals were partially met by 6/30/04	# of areas where no progress by 6/30/04
African Americans	7	3	Q	4
Asian Americans	4	0	0	4
Latino. Americans	/ 11	0	1	110
Native Americans	11	0	1	10
Persons with Disabilities	47	0 4	7 :	40
Women	31	8	9	14

While the Executive Branch continues to make progress in the goal setting areas, these affirmative action accomplishments were influenced by several factors:

- The remaining goals are more difficult to meet,
- In consultation with the 504-ADA Advisory Committee, established that the chronic under-self-reporting of persons with disabilities may be based on stigma and negative labeling, and

- Hiring opportunities were limited given the County's financial challenges.
- 3. Targeted recruitment and outreach initiatives were undertaken in 2004 and will be increased in 2005. Examples include:
 - An internal Executive Branch recruitment co-op is being established to coordinate and leverage departmental recruitment and outreach efforts.
 - An extensive recruitment and outreach database has been established to assist departments in customizing their respective recruitment and outreach plans.
 - Implementation plans were developed by the departments to document and monitor how they plan to meet their affirmative action hiring objectives
 - Purposeful presence in various education and community events is being pursued, and
 - Ongoing consultation with the citizen 504-ADA Advisory Committee is being facilitated to improve outreach to the disability community.
- 4. New affirmative action race/ethnicity and gender goals for 2005-2006 are proposed, based on the 2000 Census data for the Executive Branch.

In 2005, recruitment and outreach activities will be increased. King County will continue in its efforts to successfully attract and retain people of color, women and persons with disabilities. By May 2005, a proposed work plan will be submitted to the Executive outlining

 $[^]st$ Goal setting areas refer to the EEO job categories used by each department such as Officials and Administrators, Professionals, Technicians, Administrative Support, Skilled Crafts, Transit Operators, Service Maintenance, Protective Services and Para-professionals.

the strategic approach for 1) identifying and addressing any identified employment barriers, and 2) fostering a safe, inclusive and diversity friendly workplace. HRD will engage the stakeholder committees to obtain their advice, insights, input and connections to their varied and diverse communities for partnership purposes. The report will also include an assessment of the Executive Branch's capacity to accomplish the proposed work plan and recommend resources, as appropriate.

EEO Program Status

- King County provided disability services to 362 and 406
 employees in 2003 and the first 10 months of 2004 respectively.
 Disabilities services include information and referral, consultation, accommodation and job reassignment.
- The review of formally filed discrimination complaints with human rights agencies from 1997 to October 2004 found that during the past seven years, the Executive Branch reduced its formally filed complaints by 50% overall.

An analysis of the overall basis for filing discrimination complaints shows that:

- Race continues to be the most frequently filed basis for discrimination
- Retaliation is the second most frequently filed basis, and
- Sex and Disability non-accommodation complaints rank as the third most frequently filed basis.

Further study will be conducted in 2005 to determine underlying drivers for the complaint pattern and to identify appropriate interventions.

1. Vision

The mission of the King County Executive Branch is to enhance King County's quality of life and support its economic vitality by providing high-quality, cost-effective, valued services to our customers.



Viewing government as an instrument of change, we envision King County as a collaborative, responsive, innovative and progressive regional government. We continue to value the diversity of our workforce as the key to providing effective culturally competent services to our many communities.

We also value the diversity of our many communities as a primary source of applicants for our workforce.

We believe that diversity and equal employment opportunity are basic foundations of effective service provider teams, therefore, we:

 Work to attract and retain a committed, talented and diverse workforce capable of addressing complex challenges;

- Create bias-free work environments that promote diversity, equity, and productivity and where our employees and citizens can feel respected, communicate freely, and contribute fully;
- Provide leadership, role modeling, encouragement, support and resources to foster innovation in meeting our goals, and to ensure the implementation of this plan;
- Hold ourselves accountable, make our results known, and celebrate our successes to further promote the values of diversity, equity and productivity; and
- Lead the region in addressing the effects of discrimination.

To assist in the fulfillment of this mission, the King County Executive Branch has established a voluntary EEO/Affirmative Action Plan with goals and timetables to correct underutilization and continuously strive toward diversity, equity, and productivity in the workplace.

Ron Sims, King County Executive

2. Affirmative Action Program Requirements

The King County Executive Branch has established a voluntary written affirmative action plan in pursuit of equal employment opportunity ("EEO"), fair employment and a diversity-friendly work environment.

The EEO/AAP is a strategic plan, designed to provide guidance to management to implement the equal employment opportunity and affirmative action policy. The following departments are covered by the 2005-2006 EEO/AAP:

Executive's Office
Adult & Juvenile Detention
Assessor's Office
Community & Human Services
Development & Environmental Services
Executive Services
Judicial Administration
Natural Resources & Parks
Public Health
Sheriff's Office
Transportation

King County's EEO Policy and Affirmative Action Plan is established in accordance with certain laws and regulations which include:

- Title 6 and Title 7 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended, the Equal Employment Act of 1972, Presidential Executive Order #11246 (as amended by Presidential Executive Order #11375) and Chapter 60 of Title 41 CFR, Part 60-2 (Revised Order No. 4).
- Sections 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended and Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.
- The U.S. Department of Transportation's Federal Transit
 Administration's Equal Employment Opportunity Program
 Guidelines for Grant Recipients contained in Circular "UMTA C 4704.1" and the implementing regulation of UMT Act of 1964, as amended, 49 U.S.C. 1601, Section 19.
- The U.S. Department of Justice, Office for Civil Rights 28 CFR 42.301 governing requirements for grant recipients to establish and maintain an EEO Plan which includes race/gender workforce analysis, hiring and implementation plan components.
- The U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs. 41 CFR 60-250, Affirmative Action and Nondiscrimination Obligations of Contractors and Subcontractors Regarding Special Disabled Veterans and Vietnam Era Veterans

Final Rule in compliance with the Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974, as amended (38 U.S.C. 4212, or VEVRAA).

- Washington Administrative Codes governing employment regulations (Chapter 162-12, 16, 18, 20, 22 and 30), Revised Code of Washington Chapter 49.60 - Washington State Law Against Discrimination, as amended by Initiative 200.
- employment practices to discriminate against any person with respect to referral, hiring, tenure, promotion, terms, conditions, wages or other privileges of employment on the basis of race, color, age, sex, marital status, sexual orientation, religion, ancestry, national origin, or the presence of any sensory, mental or physical handicap.
- King County Council adopted 504 Work Plan, which ensures
 King County physical, programmatic and employment access for persons with disabilities.
- Case # ES-1657 Marilyn A. Onstot v King County Department of Assessments, December 13, 1973. Provision 2 of the conciliation agreement required the establishment of an affirmative action program.
- Case # SE 272-74 Judith M. Shepard v King County, June 18, 1974.
 Provision 1 required the adoption of a corrective employment program for women, ethnic minority groups, and persons with disabilities.



3. EEO Policy Statement and Reaffirmation

It is the policy of the King County Executive Branch to express the values of diversity, equity and productivity by treating its employees with dignity and respect in accomplishing its public service mission.

To accomplish these values, King County reaffirms its EEO and Affirmative Action commitments to:

A. EEO Policy

 Comply with the County's established policy of equal employment opportunity which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, age, sex, marital status, sexual orientation, religion, ancestry, national origin, the presence of any sensory, mental or physical disability, or veteran status as Special Disabled veteran or Vietnam



Era veteran in its employment and personnel practices as required under federal, state and County regulations and guidelines.

Employment and personnel practices and actions include, but are not limited to recruitment, application, testing, selection, hiring, orientation, probationary review, compensation and benefits, supervision, provisional/temporary lead assignment, training, tuition reimbursement, promotion, transfer, discipline, demotion, termination, lay-off, recall, re-employment and any other terms and conditions of employment. Benefits include but are not limited to health care coverage, wellness, pension, disability, life insurance, investment plans, and dependent care programs.

2. Prohibit harassment, which is a form of discrimination. Harassment is defined as unwelcome verbal or physical conduct relating to an individual on the basis of race, color, age, sex, marital status, sexual orientation, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability or veteran status. To constitute harassment, the conduct must be sufficiently severe or pervasive so as to alter the terms or conditions of employment. Such conduct can take many forms and may include slurs, comments, jokes, innuendoes, unwelcome compliments, cartoons, or other similar conduct.

Sexual harassment is a type of harassment. It is unwelcome verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature that is sufficiently

severe or pervasive so as to alter the terms and conditions of employment. Sexual harassment may include unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, displays of sexually oriented materials, or other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature. In addition, sexual harassment includes unwelcome conduct when submission to such conduct, either explicitly or implicitly, is used as the condition of an individual's employment, or submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment decisions affecting such individual.

- 3. Provide reasonable disability accommodation to applicants and employees to mitigate employment and performance barriers.
- 4. Develop and maintain a work environment that promotes diversity and equity.
- Hold all employees accountable for complying with this policy.
 Any employee who commits or participates in any action, which is a violation of this policy, may be subject to disciplinary action as appropriate.
- 6. Encourage any employee with questions, issues or complaints about any type of discrimination in the workplace to bring such matters to the attention of their immediate supervisor or personnel officer or the Human Resources Division. When requested or as appropriate, notify the employee of his/her right to file a discrimination complaint with human rights or complaint resolution agencies such as the King County Office for Civil Rights

Enforcement, Washington State Human Rights Commission, the Federal Civil Rights Commission, Department of Labor and Veterans Administration.

7. Ensure that employees can raise issues and complaints without fear of reprisal, retaliation, harassment, intimidation, threats, coercion or discrimination because they: (1) surfaced an EEO issue and/or concern and/or filed a formal complaint; (2) assisted or participated in any investigation, compliance review, hearing, or any other activity related to the administration of any non-discrimination and/or affirmative action program; (3) opposed any act or practice that is discriminatory; and/or (4) exercised any other employment right protected by county, state, or federal law or its implementing regulations.

B. Affirmative Action Commitments

- Within the law, take affirmative efforts to address hiring inequities
 for minorities, women and persons with disabilities in career
 service, exempt, and term limited temporary positions by
 establishing a temporary action-oriented Affirmative Action Plan to
 identify and address under-representation in the workforce.
- Continue efforts to conduct inclusive recruitment and outreach to include minority group members, women, persons with disabilities and covered veterans to expand their utilization in all job levels given their availability and qualifications. Previous efforts include,

but were not limited to: participating in community events, career fairs and other events to create visibility for the County as an employer; contacting known applicant sources for minorities, women, persons with disabilities and covered veterans; and encouraging employees to participate in community events and professional associations.

- 3. Review and strengthen job-related criteria for employment processes to ensure that the criteria is bias free, and provide technical assistance where appropriate to resolve such issues.
- 4. Provide avenues for applicants and employees to inquire about the EEO/AA Plan and raise discrimination issues with department management or the Human Resources Division.
- 5. Make the EEO/AA Plan accessible to all employees and the public.

C. Program Accountability

- Monitor and evaluate program status and progress on a regular basis. Provide regular reports to the Executive, department directors, King County Council, Civil Rights Commission, 504-ADA Advisory Committee and the Executive's Employee-Based EEO/AA Committee.
- Identify and analyze employment and personnel practices to ensure that they are appropriately job-related and are nondiscriminatory.

- 3. Hold management accountable for the successful implementation of the EEO/AA Plan.
- 4. Provide a complaint process to address allegations of non-compliance with the EEO Policy.

Equal employment opportunity and affirmative action are positive efforts. These efforts are beneficial to all because they result in equal treatment of all employees, a diversified workforce to serve our diverse communities effectively, and provide a supportive work environment.

Employees are hereby informed of their right to have access to the full text of the Policy and Plan upon request. When adopted, copies of the full text of the Plan are available as follows:

- Electronically on the Executive's web page under Jobs EEO/AA http://www.metrokc.gov/
- Hard copies are also available for review at Employment and Diversity Section office.
- This report will also be available in alternative format for individuals with disabilities. To request this report in an alternative format, please call (206) 684-1198 296-8592 or for TTY users, please call (206) 296-7596.

Employees and/or job applicants may also direct their questions related to EEO and Affirmative action to department human resource staff and Human Resources Management Division.

4. Dissemination of EEO Policy Statement

A. Internal Dissemination

- King County's EEO Policy and Affirmative Action Plan hereafter, referred to as the EEO/AA Plan or the Plan, will be disseminated to department directors, managers and department personnel representatives.
- 2. The County's EEO Policy will be permanently posted at each work site. Employees will have access to the full text of the EEO/AA Plan upon request and shall be informed of this right.

Copies of the full text of the Plan are available for review as follows:

- Electronic version of the Plan is located on the Executive's web page under Jobs EEO/AA http://www.metrokc.gov/ the King County public folders, Human Resources Division, Diversity Management, 2005-2006 EEO/AA Plan
- Hard copy of the Plan is located with the Employment & Diversity Section.
- Alternative format can be requested for individuals with disabilities by contacting (206) 684-1871 296-8592 or for TTY RELAY 711 (206) 296-7596.

- 3. The County's EEO policy, purpose and overall goals of the EEO/AA Plan will be communicated to new employees as part of the new employee orientation presentation.
- 4. Directors, administrators, and management will periodically highlight/discuss/explain the intent of the County's Policy and Plan's goals and objectives at staff meetings or other venues to

ensure employee understanding.
These discussions will occur at
least on an annual basis. The
Employment and Diversity
Section will assist management by
prompting an annual discussion and
providing suggestions for discussion
topics.

B. External Dissemination

- Copies of the Policy and Plan will
 be provided to appropriate federal
 agencies upon request for compliance purposes.
- 2. The Human Resources Management Division (HRD) will inform individuals and organizations representing minorities, females,

persons with disabilities, covered veterans and educational institutions of King County's commitment to equal employment opportunity and affirmative action. HRD will actively encourage their assistance in recruiting and employing underutilized people of color, women and persons with disabilities.

- 3. Once approved, the EEO/AA Plan will be made accessible to the public by providing hard copies to the King County Library and the City of Seattle Library, and providing on-line access to the Executive's web page under Jobs EEO/AA at www.metrokc.gov.
- 4. HRD will provide the unions with an electronic and/or hard copy of the approved EEO/AA Plan.
- 5. HRD will ensure that King County presents itself as an equal employment opportunity employer by including statements to that effect in all job announcements and advertisements.
- Diversity dimensions of employees such as age, color, race, gender, disabilities and veteran service will be depicted in County publications with said employees performing a variety of roles and functions.

5. Establishment of Responsibility for Implementation

This section sets forth the responsibilities of the primary organizational and functional entities involved in the administration and implementation of the County's EEO Policy (the Policy) and Affirmative Action Plan (the Plan).

A. Identification and Responsibilities for EEO Administration (41 C.F.R. 60 2.17(a))


1. King County Council

- a. Reviews and adopts the county's EEO/Affirmative Action Plan policies, procedures and workforce availability rates every two years.
- Reviews on an annual and semi-annual basis progress
 made toward compliance with the County's EEO Policy and
 Affirmative Action Plan.
- c. Allocates resources for Plan development and implementation by the Human Resources Management Division.

2. King County Executive

a. Provides EEO and affirmative action leadership, priority, and policy direction to department management and advocates for resources to effectively implement the EEO/AA Plan.

- b. Hold management accountable for complying with the EEO/AA Plan.
- c. Recommends to the King County Council policies, procedures and resources to effectively comply with federal grant requirements and implement the EEO/AA Plan.
- d. Submits annual and semi-annual reports reflecting compliance progress to the King County Council.



3. Director, Human Resources Management Division (HRD)

a. Provides EEO and Affirmative Action leadership and guidance to King County management. Recommends to the Executive policies, procedures, corrective programs, accountability

- measures and resources to effectively implement and comply with the County's EEO/AA Plan.
- b. Ensures the development, dissemination and consistent implementation of EEO/AA Plan and other nondiscriminatory personnel policies, procedures, programs and practices related to all employment activity and terms/conditions of employment. This includes, but is not limited to ensuring job-related selection criteria and participation of minorities, women and persons with disabilities in the selection processes.
- c. Ensures coordination and/or provision of data for monitoring and evaluation purposes, technical support and consultation, recruitment and outreach and training to support departments to successfully implement the EEO/AA Plan.
- d. Acts as EEO Officer for the Executive Branch.
- e. Assists the County Executive in evaluating management's compliance with EEO/AA Plan objectives for accountability purposes.

4. Manager, Employment and Diversity Section (EDS)

 a. Conducts countywide EEO/AA policy development, dissemination, departmental implementation coordination, technical assistance and consultation, and training to comply with federal, state or County laws and mandates.

- b. Develops and updates workforce availability data and provides affirmative action reports to Executive Branch departments and the Human Resources Director for monitoring and evaluation purposes. Provides grant required compliance reports to federal agencies and semi-annual and annual reports to the King County Council.
- c. Conducts compliance reviews, recommends corrective actions to the Human Resources Director and monitors departmental EEO/AA Plan compliance in areas that include, but are not limited to, exit interviews, provisional appointments, reasonable job accommodations for persons with disabilities, discrimination complaints, identification/removal of employment barriers, applicant flow review, salary difference analysis and job classification segregation/concentration.

B. Responsibilities of King County's Management to Ensure Implementation of the AAP (41 C.F.R. 60 2.17(a))

Implementation responsibilities of King County management in conjunction with Employment and Diversity Manager Section include but are not necessarily limited to the following:

1. Department Directors

- a. Provide leadership to department management and hold them accountable for accomplishing EEO/AA Plan objectives.
- b. Provide adequate resources to accomplish objectives.

- c. Appoint the departmental Affirmative Action Liaison to coordinate and ensure EEO/AA Plan implementation of the following:
 - Dissemination of affirmative action information to members of management and employees;
 - Development and implementation of action oriented programs to achieve goals;
 - Communication of human resources policies and procedures to members of management and employees;
 - Provision of training;
 - Provision of accurate workforce data;
 - Reporting of affirmative action efforts and results to the Employment & Diversity Manager on a quarterly basis.
 Affirmative Action efforts include, but are not limited to, policy dissemination efforts and development/ implementation of strategies to correct underutilization;
 - Posting of federal and state legally required EEO notices at all worksites.
- d. Ensure the evaluation and resolution of policies, procedures and practices that do not comply with the EEO/AA Plan;
- e. Appoint the EEO Coordinator for the department to ensure timely and appropriate response to employee allegations of unlawful employment discrimination filed with human rights

- agencies such as King County Office of Civil Rights, Washington State Human Rights Commission, and the federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- f. Appoint Anti-Harassment Designee to ensure department wide dissemination of policy and complaint procedure, provision of anti-harassment employee and management training, provision of technical assistance in timely and appropriate investigations of allegations of discrimination, harassment and retaliation.

2. Executive's Employee-based Equal Employment Opportunity/ Affirmative Action Advisory Committee

The King County employee-based Equal Employment
Opportunity/Affirmative Action Advisory Committee is an advisory body to the Executive. Its purpose is to:

- Serve in an advisory capacity to the Executive in reviewing and recommending strategies, systems, policies and guidelines to implement and further enhance equal employment opportunities and affirmative action objectives for the County;
- Review the EEO/Affirmative Action Plan and updates with HRD, and make recommendations regarding its adoption to the King County Executive, and
- c. Review semi-annual and annual Affirmative Action progress reports and advises the County Executive accordingly.

3. King County Civil Rights Commission

- a. The King County Civil Rights Commission (Commission) is an independent citizen advisory body to the Executive and County Council on the County's equity programs, whose responsibilities include but are not limited to: County government employment, contracting, affirmative action for contractors, housing and public accommodation.
- The Commission reviews the EEO Policy and Affirmative Action Plan and makes recommendations regarding its adoption to the King County Executive and County Council.
- The Commission reviews and provides comments on amendments to ordinances, executive orders, etc.
- d. The Commission reviews and provides comments on the affirmative action progress reports prepared by HRD.

4. The 504/ADA Advisory Committee

- a. Pursuant to Ordinance #9383, the 504/ADA Committee is charged with reviewing and monitoring the affirmative action progress made in the employment of people with disabilities in the County's workforce.
- b. As requested, advises HRD in policy and program development for persons with disabilities.

5. Prosecuting Attorney's Office

- a. The Prosecuting Attorney's Office is the legal counsel for King County government.
- b. The Prosecuting Attorney's Office provides information on court rulings related to equal employment opportunity and affirmative action, interprets the potential impact of said rulings and makes recommendations to the HRD and County Executive Departments.
- c. The Prosecuting Attorney's Office provides legal support and advice to the HRD and County departments on discrimination issues.

6. King County Employees

- a. All County employees are responsible for maintaining a work environment that is supportive of equal employment opportunity and affirmative action. Employees participate in the implementation of this EEO/Affirmative Action Plan, and comply with the County's anti-discrimination policies.
- Any employee who commits or participates in any action,
 which is a violation of the EEO Policy or the Affirmative Action
 Plan, may be subject to disciplinary action as appropriate.

6. Identification of Areas for Improvement

A. Areas of Improvement (41 C.F.R. 60-2,17(b))

1. Affirmative Action Data Management

In June of 2004, the federal government released the detailed Census 2000 data which included race/ethnicity and gender, but not persons with disabilities workforce census information. As a result, the Executive Branch is proposing to establish new race/ethnicity and gender workforce availabilities based on Census 2000 data and to extend the current persons with disabilities workforce availabilities in the 2005-2006 EEO/AA Plan.

In addition, during 2003 and 2004, the county automated the process

of producing affirmative action reports by furthering the integration of employee data from two personnel/payroll streams (MSA and PeopleSoft) and by utilizing specialized software called Criterion Affirmative Action Management System (CAAMS). Programming was completed to implement Ordinance 14571 which allowed for the inclusion of accommodated employees with disabilities in the persons with disabilities affirmative action

reports. As CAAMS did not have the capacity to produce persons with disabilities affirmative action reports, stand alone reports for persons with disabilities were developed.

2. 2003-2004 Affirmative Action Progress

Monitoring affirmative action accomplishments is a key activity prescribed in the EEO/AA Plan. At the onset of the 2003-2004 Plan, the Executive Branch reviewed 71 goal setting areas¹ to determine representation of people of color, people with disabilities, and women. Where under-representation existed, hiring goals were set. The Executive Branch departments successfully accomplished a majority of its affirmative action race/ethnicity and gender goals and partially accomplished its persons with disability goals. Specifically:

- For African Americans, 90% or 64 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.
- For Asian Americans, 97% or 67 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.
- For Latino Americans, 85% or 60 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.
- For Native Americans, 85% or 60 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.

¹Goal setting areas refer to the EEO job categories used by each department such as Officials and Administrators, Professionals, Technicians, Administrative Support, Skilled Crafts, Transit Operators, Service Maintenance, Protective Services and Para-professionals.

- For women, 56% or 40 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.
- For persons with disabilities, 33 % or 24 of the 71 goal setting areas are fully represented.

The remaining under-represented areas became the basis for the 2003 and 2004 hiring goals.

From January 1, 2003 to June 30, 2004, the Executive Branch departments hired and promoted a highly diverse race/ethnicity and gender workforce. The new hires consisted of 29% people of color and 41% women, while promotions consisted of 28% people of color and 42% women. Minimal hiring and promotions of persons with disabilities (PWD) occurred.

	AII	People	of Color	Wo	men	P	WD
		#	%	#	%	#	%
2003 New Hires	530	4/1 4 5	27%	222	42%	6	11%
January to June 2004 New Hires	292	90	31%	116	40%	4	1.4%
Total	822	235	29%	338	41%	10	1.2%
:2003 Promotions	125°	ကို	26%	40	32%		.0.8%
January to June 2004 Promotions	170	50	29%	85	50%	2	1.2%
Total	295	82	28%	125	42%	3	1%

The race/ethnicity and gender diversity of the department hires and promotions contributed to the county's representation in the majority

of the race/ethnicity and gender goal setting areas but not for persons with disabilities representation. The remaining affirmative action goals were successfully accomplished as follows:

Goal setting group	Total # of goal setting areas as of 1-1-03	# of areas where goals were fully met by 6-30-04	noals were	where no
Africant Americans	7/9/1		0	- 4
Asian Americans	4	0	0	4
Latino. 4 Americans	iji.	0		#**10
Native Americans	11	0	1	10
PWD	47.	Y Old Head	7	40
Women	31	8	9	14

While the Executive Branch continues to make progress in the goal setting areas, these affirmative action accomplishments were influenced by several factors:

- · The remaining goals are more difficult to meet;
- In consultation with the 504-ADA Advisory Committee, established that the chronic under-self-reporting of persons with disabilities may be based on stigma and negative labeling; and
- Hiring opportunities were somewhat limited given the County's financial challenges.

Focused department implementation plans, accomplishment of targeted recruitment and outreach efforts and active monitoring of progress or lack-off are key activities in successfully meeting the remaining more difficult goals. Along these lines, in 2004, the following recruitment and outreach efforts were initiated:

- An internal Executive Branch recruitment co-op is being established to coordinate and leverage departmental recruitment and outreach efforts
- An extensive recruitment and outreach database has been established to assist departments in customizing their respective recruitment and outreach plans,
- Implementation plans were developed by the departments to document and monitor how they plan to meet their affirmative action hiring objectives
- Purposeful presence in various education and community events is being pursued, and
- Ongoing consultation with the citizen 504-ADA Advisory
 Committee is being facilitated to improve outreach to the disability community.

In 2005, recruitment and outreach efforts will be increased. King County will continue its efforts to successfully attract and retain people of color, women and persons with disabilities. By May 2005, a proposed work plan will be submitted to the Executive outlining the strategic approach for 1) identifying and addressing any identified employment barriers, and 2) fostering a safe, inclusive and diversity friendly workplace. HRD will engage the stakeholder committees to obtain their advice, insights, input and connections to their varied and diverse communities for partnership purposes. The report will also include an assessment of the Executive Branch's capacity to accomplish the proposed work plan and recommend resources, as appropriate.

For details on the county's affirmative action workforce profiles and employment activity, please see attachments 2 and 3 respectively for 2003 and 2004 affirmative action reports. Each set of reports includes:

- AA Progress by Goal Setting Group
- Summary of Employee Changes² By Department and By Protected Group
- Summary of Employee Changes for Each Department
- Summary Analysis by Department compares the department workforce to the available workforce. Where there is underrepresentation, goals are set.

3. Proposed 2005-2006 Affirmative Action Goals

Race/Ethnicity and Gender Goals

Workforce availabilities are used as benchmarks to determine if there is under-representation of women, people of color and persons with disabilities within departments. The county developed the proposed updated 2005-2006 race/ethnicity and gender workforce availabilities based on Census 2000 data consistent with the Office of Federal Contract Compliance requirements.³ The process included:

- Profiling its workforce for each job by EEO Job Category, Race and Gender. (Job Group Analysis Report)
- Analyzing the recruitment areas for filling job vacancies. (Immediate and Recruitment Labor Area Distribution Report)
- Profiling the two factor calculations that produced the workforce availabilities for people of color and women. (Utilization Analysis Report)

³See Attachment 1: D. Workforce Availability Development Methodology.

 Profiling the county workforce as compared to the workforce availability of people of color and women. Where there was underrepresentation, identifying an affirmative action goal. (Summary Analysis Report)

As previously stated, the current workforce availabilities for persons with disabilities are proposed for extension given the lack of Census 2000 data for update purposes. The proposed 2005-2006 workforce availabilities for people of color, persons with disabilities, and women are detailed on pages 22-23.

Note that when the proposed race/ethnicity and gender workforce availabilities were compared to the 2003-2004 workforce availabilities, the net changes include:

Job Category	African Americans	Asian Americans	Latino Americans	Native Americans	Women
Officials/ Administrators	-0.12%	1.86%	-1.1%	0.17%	-0.89%
Professionals	0112976	3.34%	0.72%	0.73%	-0.47%
Technicians	17/00%	3.64%	1,52%	0.61%	2.95%a
Admin Support	2-87%	5.45%	1.03%	0.04%	-4,779%
Skilled Craft	10%	0.14%	1759%;	0.05%	3.87%
Transit Operators	-0.41%	1.42%	2/07%	1.25%	22.15%
Service/ Maintenance	2.37%	3.05%	4.38%	0.32%	2.39%

Job Category	African Americans	Asian Latino Americans Americans		Native Americans	Women
Protective Services	70.7/6%	1.9%	(0.19229/6	-0.01%	20,049%
Para- Professionals	-0,49%	2.14%	0.96%	0.72%	7/110%

Note: Transit operator workforce availability will be reviewed further.

See Attachment 4 for the 2005-2006 affirmative action hiring goals for the Executive Branch based on the new workforce availabilities for people of color and women and current workforce availabilities for persons with disabilities. For the updated race/ethnicity and gender workforce availability process documentation and calculations, please see the CD.

B. Improvement Strategies

(41 C.F.R. 60-2,17)

1. Standardized Human Resource Practices

2. Applicant Pool Diversity Enhancement Activities and Fair Employment

HRD will continue to provide the following services to departments:

a. Coordinate the update of recruitment tools and strategies to reach a diverse pool of applicants and targeted under-represented applicants, and train department management and hiring authorities on their use.

(continued on page 24)

African Americans

Job Category	Exec	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
Officials/Administrators	3.7%	2.69%	2.66%	5.5%	2.21%	4.8%	0.7%	3.26%	246%	3.06%	5 8%
Professionals	2.4%	4.38%	1.87%	5.2%	72:53%	5.33%	¥/4,939%	4.38%	356%	6.3%	57,9%
Technicians	4.05%	8.82%	3.13%	3.52%	UN338% :-	3.74%	4.02%	7.83%	47%	4.92%	8,53%
Admin Support	4.14%	4.88%	5.52%	9.91%	614%	17.26%	5.77%	5.94%	5.57%	6.13%	7.25%
Skilled Craft						3.36%		7.56%	3,27%	0.0%	5.45%
Transit Operators		7,000									7.42%
Service/Maintenance		4.52%			4.69%	6.62%		7.30%	9.46%		9.30%
Protective Services	et of the second second	12.81%			3:13%	2.42%		20%	12.31%	6.89%	2,43%
Para-Professionals				3.83%				5.75%	7.71%		4.98%

Asian Americans

8.13% is	4.86%	7,32%	7.6.40/	4.42%	-	\$250,000,000,000,000,000,000,000		3. 600 A 600 M 100 V 200 A 660 A 600 M		Statistics on the Collins A. Collins
		が20mm 10mm 10mm 10mm 10mm 10mm 10mm 10mm	7.64%	4.42%	2.53%	©•4,34%∜√°	6.40%	4.74%	7.81%	634%
6.82%	5.09%	6.39%	7.20%	7.62%	8.48%	8.26%	10.24%	7.60%	5.62%	10.21%
9.18%	10.54%	13,20%	8.78%	5.05%	7.51%	10.62%	7.82%	3.19%	10.16%	× 7.55%
6.77%	7.20%	10.03%	11.59%	*8.01%	18.10%	9.17%	11.65%	7.34%	9.29%	8.67%
			ν	# 3#Q#\"#	3.72%		4.59%	3:10%	0.00%	6.50%
								100		3:43%
	15.51%	1000		1.61%	9.11%		6.83%	5.18%		9.01%
	6.05%			5.00%	8.06%		20%	1.57%	4.68%	3.96%
	•		5.02%				10.60%	8.21%		5.29%
	9.18%	9,18% 10.54% 6:77% 7.20% 15.51%	9,18% 10.54% 13,20% 7.20% 10.03% 15.51%	9;18% 10.54% 13;20% 8.78% 6.77% 7.20% 10.03% 11.59% 15.51% 6.05%	9/18% 10.54% 13/20% 8.78% 5.05% 6.77% 7.20% 10.03% 11.59% 8.01% 15.51% 1/61% 6.05% 5.00%	9/18% 10.54% 13/20% 8.78% 5.05% 7.51% 6.77% 7.20% 10.03% 11.59% 8.01% 18.10% 3.72% 15.51% 1/61% 9.11% 6.05% 5/00% 8.06%	9/18% 10.54% 13/20% 8.78% 5.05% 7.51% 10.62% 6.77% 7.20% 10.03% 11.59% 8.01% 18.10% 9.17% 3.72% 3.72% 15.51% 1/61% 9.11% 6.05% 5/00% 8.06%	9/18% 10.54% 13/20% 8.78% 5.05% 7.51% 10/62% 7.82% 6.77% 7.20% 10.03% 11.59% 8.01% 18.10% 9.17% 11.65% 3.72% 4.59% 15.51% 1/61% 9.11% 6.83% 6.05% 5/00% 8.06% 20%	9)18% 10.54% 13;20% 8.78% 5.05% 7.51% 10,62% 7.82% 3:19% 6:77% 7.20% 10:03% 11.59% 8.01% 18.10% 9.17% 11.65% 7.34% 3.72% 4.59% 3:10% 15.51% 1.61% 9.11% 6.83% 5:18% 6.05% 5:00% 8.06% 20% 1.57%	9)18% 10.54% 13;20% 8.78% 5.05% 7.51% 10,62% 7.82% 3:19% 10.16% 6:77% 7.20% 10:03% 11.59% 8.01% 18.10% 9.17% 11.65% 7.34% 9.29% 3.72% 4.59% 3:10% 0.00% 15.51% 1.61% 9.11% 6.83% 5:18% 6.05% 5:00% 8.06% 20% 1.57% 4.68%

Latino Americans

23% 24 0.59%	82%
	SCHOOL STATE
34% 2.72%	93%
07% 3.93% 2.	65%
47% 3 3.26% 22.	69%
96% 0.00% 3.	01% •
3.	06%
47% 6	44%
83% 3.39% 2.	75%
17% 2.	85%
一般 一番 一人 は 一 の の 一	.07% 3.93% 2. 47% 3.26% 2. 196% 0.00% 3. 47% 6.

Native Americans

Job Category	Exec	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
Officials/Administrators	v.№0.84%% +	2.01%	»0.87%	1.24%	1.62%	0.67%	0.83%	1.52%	- 42:16%	1.07%	//=0.95%
Professionals	119%	2.21%	0,68%	3.02%	146%	1.56%	€ ₁₄ 1.72% €	1.59%	2.21%	1.68%	aT 13%
Technicians	1.68%	2.59%	7/4170%	1.35%	1.92%	1.75%	%1,62% e.	1.03%	£0.71%	1.43%	71.69%
Admin Support	1.54%	1.66%	1.46%	1.90%	1.49%	2.41%	1.41%	1.80%	°1.61%	1.41%	1.67%
Skilled Craft		-				2.24%		2.47%	2.09%		52,20%
Transit Operators	1770										2.18%
Service/Maintenance	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	3.34%	Value de la Constantina	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.77%	3.53%		2.38%	2.46%		2.68%
Protective Services		1.27%			2.00%	3.86%		0.00%	0.45%	2.04%	1.94%
Para-Professionals				4.62%				1.39%	3.13%		1:47%

Persons with Disabilities

Officials/Administrators	% (6.14%» (°	6.09%	6,08%	6.40%	6.17%	6.17%	0.00%	6.10%	5:16%	6.17%	¥ 6,22%
Professionals	6.83%	7.81%	6,06%	7.28%	- 8.24%	6.12%	5.49%	3.70%	77.92%	7.73%	7,55%
Technicians	6.18%	6.82%	16.16%	6.51%	8.06%	7.37%	6.61%	7.90%	10.30%	3.54%	410.16%
Admin Support	9.86%	9.95%	9.15%	9.59%	9.88%	9.65%	8.16%	9.80%	9.84%	7.03%	9.96%
Skilled Craft						8.48%		9.20%			9.35%
Transit Operators											N .
Service/Maintenance		14.12%				8.87%		11.60%	11.81%		17'39%
Protective Services		9.97%				15.70%		0.00%	8.18%	8.71%	7.50%
Para-Professionals		4.18%	5.56%	17.37%	April 18	10.86%	7.95%	7.80%	9.71%	8.63%	3455.87%

Women

Officials/Administrators	28.06%a	35.71%	35,64%	36.67%	\$37,98%	42%	*17.62%	43.91%	49.69%	25.10%	4137/51%*
Professionals	41.45%	50.17%	47.63%	60.15%	₹29.29% **	46.15%	53.45%%	39.53%	969.74%	24.89%	35.53%
Technicians	48,14%	58.19%	54:35%	38.48%	39.93%	32.65%	31,41%	51.60%	42.20%	54.72%	30.65%
Admin Support	81.27%	77.45%	70.57%	77.11%	80.48%	73.75%	82.28%	72.19%	77.03%	72.78%	74,93%
Skilled Craft				-		11.16%		10.54%	8.03%	100.00%	8.68%
Transit Operators											49.77%
Service/Maintenance		43.00%			9.55%	27.64%	11.3875.44.745.64	14,99%	25.61%		18.11%
Protective Services	Establish at 15 Are	29,45%	7140		-8.92%	53.72%		60.00%	-37.92%	17.51%	34.11%
Para-Professionals				71.33%				72.96%	73.06%		72.87%

- Provide consulting assistance to departments on recruitment needs relative to Affirmative Action, and the development of shortterm and long-term recruitment plans.
- Train human resources staff in recruitment strategies and serve as the lead for recruitment policy and procedure development and initiatives.
- d. Develop and maintain partnerships with community based organizations that serve diverse populations, as well as area and regional diversity task forces and professional organizations that serve minority or diverse memberships.
- e. Develop and maintain relationships with public and private agencies, which serve diverse populations, such as the State Employment Security Department, Private Industry Council, colleges, universities, community colleges, and vocational and training institutions.
- f. Identify, attend and/or coordinate departmental participation in job and career fairs and conferences, particularly those that attract a diverse clientele.
- g. Assist hiring authorities in developing strategies to meet their goals such as under-filling positions, developing bridge and/ or para-professional positions, developing internships and apprenticeships. These opportunities will utilize open competitive hiring processes.
- h. Assist the Department of Community & Human Services to administer the Supported Employment Program for the developmentally disabled individuals, and continue to co-establish

administrative County-wide guidelines governing the recruitment, examination, qualification and selection of individuals into the program; develop and implement a centralized data tracking system for the Program.

The departments will:

- Document their outreach and recruitment efforts. These will be reported on a semi-annual and annual basis to HRD as part of documenting the County's affirmative action efforts and progress for monitoring purposes.
- b. Use the affirmative action tools, allowable under Initiative 200. The allowable affirmative action tools are as follows:
 - 1. Affirmative Action planning with hiring goals for women, people of color and persons with disabilities.
 - 2. Non-discrimination policy and program for all aspects of employment.
 - 3. Equal employment opportunity indicator for job ads and postings (e.g., "EEO/AA" or "EEO/AA Employer").
 - 4. Targeted advertising, outreach and recruitment to diversify the applicant pool in conjunction with general recruitment.
 - Relationships with organizations, agencies, and institutions for the purposes of diversity recruitment in conjunction with general recruitment.
 - 6. Participation in community and institutional events for the purposes of diversity recruitment in conjunction with general recruitment.

- 7. Posting, notification, training and implementation of EEO policies to ensure equity and diversity friendly work environment and resolution avenues for EEO issues.
- 8. Selection processes that are non-discriminatory.

3. 2003 and 2004 Affirmative Action and EEO Response Capacity Building Program

A. Building Executive Branch Capacity

The HRD Director serves as the equal employment opportunity officer for the Executive Branch. She is assisted by an EEO Analyst and the Employment and Diversity Manager who is responsible for affirmative action, diversity and recruitment programs. Department human resources service delivery managers assist her to implement EEO and affirmative action initiatives at their respective departments.

In 2003 and 2004, the Executive branch successfully accomplished the following in an effort to enhance the county's capacity to promote the workplace values of diversity, equity ad productivity:

- Training: Launched a new one hour EEO and Anti-harassment online training for all employees. Continued to provide management training which includes the 90 minute EEO and Anti-Harassment online training, the 3.5 hour EEO classroom training and the 3.5 hour Managing Diverse Employees training.
- Data Management: Installed upgraded affirmative action software and produced new 2005-2006 workforce availabilities based on Census 2000 data and streamlined affirmative action report

- production. Enhanced data management activities for 2005 will include modifying persons with disabilities affirmative action reporting to include accommodated employees.
- Technical Assistance and Consultation: Enhanced the ability to provide technical assistance and consultation to department management regarding discrimination issues, cross-cultural conflict and service delivery to diverse communities.
- Pre-qualified Consultant Pools: Continued to provide pre-qualified pools of consultants for EEO investigations, diversity and effective cross-cultural service provision training and facilitation. In 2004, expanded the EEO consultant pool.
- Employment Technical Assistance: Continued to provide employment training for management. In the process of developing hiring manual to assist departments implement effective and consistent hiring processes.
- Hired an onstaff EEO investigator to execute, oversee, and manage investigations required to address allegations of discrimination or harassment.

B. Disability Accommodation Program

Failure to provide reasonable accommodation is a form of discrimination. To prevent such violations and assist the employee perform the essential functions of their jobs, disability services specialists are available at HRD and at the Department of Transportation to coordinate the disability accommodation process. In 2003 and from January 1, 2004 to October 31, 2004, disability services staff:

- Updated the Executive Policy on Disability Accommodation and established the Executive Policy on Transitional Duty to assist employees with disabilities to benefit from temporary work. This is mutually beneficial to the employee and the employer. The employee's return to work is expedited and the employer gains from the employee's work contribution.
- Served 362 and 406 employees with disabilities in 2003 and the first 10 months of 2004 respectively. Services include consultation, information and referral, disability accommodation, and reassignment placement.

These intervention efforts clearly contribute to the county's low rate of disability discrimination complaint as depicted in the section below.

C. EEO Program

Employees have the right to seek resolution of alleged discrimination concerns and issues internally and/or externally by filing with enforcement agencies such as the federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Washington State Human Rights Commission and the King County Office of Civil Rights Enforcement.

Employees and management are encouraged to resolve issues internally at the lowest level possible and where needed through mediation assistance. The County Executive promulgated a Nondiscrimination and Anti-harassment policy which provided employees with multiple options to surface their EEO concerns. The

employee can approach their immediate supervisor, any member of management within the agency, their department director, his or her anti-harassment designee or human resource staff, the HRD Director, HRD Deputy Director, Diversity Manager or the HRD Director Designee, the EEO Analyst.

Hence, HRD's EEO capacity building initiatives in the workplace were given priority to effect a diversity and equity friendly work environment. Such initiatives include EEO management and employee training, diversity management training, pre-qualified consultant pools of investigators and diversity experts, focus on disability services, inclusion of all protected groups under the nondiscrimination and anti-harassment policy, and the addition of an onstaff investigator. The results are apparent in the complaint reduction that has occurred during the past seven years, from 1997 to October 2004. Overall, the county reduced its formally filed complaints by 50% overall.

YEAR	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004*
TIOTAL#OF COMPLAINTS	71/1	7:73 l	ĝi.	90	22:	90	22	220

^{*}Includes January 2004 to October 2004 data only.

The county has had a number of complaints dismissed, administratively closed, or settled.

COMPLAINT STATUS

STATUS	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004***
(Applemaxissor	i,		6	113	6	15	1[0]	10:
No discrimination found	19	17	10	13	8	10	2	
Zedlad	4	0		1	6.			1
Appealed		1	1			1		
Districted 1	i.	1(0)	6		2	1	;	
Administrative closure**	1	6	6	2	6	2	6	1
3 HOTAL	6/4	476	35	3 9	227	íβ	Ð	P2(0)

^{*}Complainant notified of right to sue.

There are many instances when complaints are filed on more than one basis. When the data is analyzed by complaint basis, the following can be observed:

- Race is the most frequently filed basis for discrimination;
- Retaliation is the second most frequently filed basis; and
- Sex and disability non-accommodation complaints are the third most frequently filed basis.

RANKING BY FREQUENCY OF BASIS OF COMPLAINT

COMPLAINT BASIS*	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004**
Race/H	23.19 ²	3617	e 1 a s	301	99-1	1	130	2-7
Retaliation	2	3	2	2	1	2	1	1
Disability P	33	2	5.5	3/4	4.2	33	3 -	3
Sex	4	4	2	2	3	4	2	3
Accoming to	5	5	6				6	
National Origin	6	7	3	5		5	4	4
Age	7.5	6.0	4.4	33.		6.4	44	5
Religion	9	8		4		7	6	
Sexual Orientation	8		9870	5				Mark Tra
Ancestry			·				5	
Color		8		Name of	44		91.00	
Marital Status								To Address the make made (a)
Veteran Status						September 1		

NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS

COMPLAINT BASIS*	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004**
Race	#21	24.4	20	23	2911	13	4410	10
Retaliation	17	12	10	14	11	7	10	12
Disability	881138	16*	4	4.4	3754	6	75	4 95
Sex	10	11	10	14	6	4	8	5
Disability (ob Accommodation)	G	7.	3					
National Origin	5	4	8	1		3	3	4
Age	3	57	5	4/4/		2	**3	2
Religion	1	11		3		1	1	
Sexual Orientation	2		9/1				100	
Ancestry							2	
Color Mass		31 1		100 A		- 1	(C) (A) (B)	
Marital Status								
Veteran Status								al control

^{*}Complaints at times have multiple bases.

^{***} Includes no jurisdiction and untimely filing.
***Includes January 2004 to October 2004 data only.

^{**}Includes January 2004 to October 2004 data only.

Further study will be conducted in 2005 to determine underlying drivers for the county's complaint pattern and to identity appropriate interventions.

4. Employee Involvement

Executive Policy PER 22-5 (AEP) established the Employee-Based EEO/ AA Advisory Committee to review and recommend systemic changes for the enhancement of affirmative action and equal employment opportunity in the workplace. In 2003 and 2004 respectively, the Committee was active in reviewing transit operator discipline, retention of deputy sheriffs, training of corrections sergeant and utilization of term-limited temporary employees.

5. Management Accountability

Management accountability is identified in Section 5. Establishment of Responsibility for Implementation of the EEO/AA Plan. However, Initiative 200 has prompted changes in the way that policy and plan accountability can be established for Department directors and managers. Previously, accountability was based on achievement of overall annual hiring goals in EEO job categories as a significant part of performance appraisal expectations.

Management will be held accountable for:

- Implementation of the EEO/Affirmative Action Plan requirements.
- Recruitment and outreach efforts consistent with affirmative action hiring objectives.

- Use of merit based selection tools in the hiring and promotion processes consistent with federal EEOC Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures and Washington State's Human Rights Commission guide to Pre-Employment Inquiries. (These guidelines are located respectively at http://www.access.gpo.gov/ nara/cfr/waisidx_00/29cfr1607_00.html and http://www.wa.gov/ hrc/ under Policy and Quality Improvement, click Commission Rules, click WAC 162-12-140.
- Effective response to EEO complaints and maintenance of an equity and diversity friendly environment.

7. Departmental Action-Oriented Plans (C.F.R. 60-2.17 (c))

Executive Branch departments and agencies are held accountable for ensuring equal employment opportunity and the success of affirmative action in the workplace towards a diverse workforce.

Within 45 days of the adoption of this Affirmative Action Plan, each Executive department shall develop an affirmative action implementation plan with the following elements:

- Identification of under-represented EEO Job Categories.
- Current Vacancy Planning to identify opportunities for affirmative action.
- Identification of Strategies to achieve affirmative action such as recruitment and outreach to diversify applicant pools for targeted under-represented EEO Job Categories.

The departments will conduct annual vacancy planning which will include gathering information on current vacancies, projecting attrition of employees by race/ethnicity, gender and disability status for the coming year, reviewing current under-representation and determining overall affirmative action targets to ensure representation.



8. Internal Audit and Reporting Requirements

King County believes that one of the most important elements in effectively implementing a written Affirmative Action Compliance Plan is an adequate internal audit and reporting system.

Through this system, progress can be monitored and management kept informed. For this purpose, King County through HRD, has established the following internal audit and report system:

- 1. Monitor and evaluate department performance and County progress on a quarterly basis.
- Prepare Council required semi-annual and annual reports on department affirmative action performance and provide copies to the King County Civil Rights Commission, the King County 504/ADA Advisory Committee and the Executive's Employee-based EEO/AA Advisory Committee.

Affirmative action performance reports will include workforce profiles and employee movement reports (new hires, promotions, transfers, demotions, terminations) to determine progress. Where appropriate, termination



reports by reason will be developed should adverse impact be indicated.

- 3. Evaluate individual department's annual affirmative action performance.
- 4. Monitor and advise departments of their EEO-AA performance in areas such as affirmative action progress, action oriented program effectiveness, provision of adequate resources, applicant flow, employee movement and discipline.
- 5. Encourage the use of existing internal dispute resolution processes, to allow employees and departments to resolve affirmative action and equal employment opportunity issues at the lowest level possible.

9. Compliance with the OFCCP's Gender Discrimination Guidelines (41 C.F.R. 60-20)

King County complies with the gender discrimination guidelines as follows:


- King County's employment advertising does not express a gender preference and, if printed, does not appear in gender-segregated columns.
- King County's Personnel Guidelines and employment application forms expressly state that there will be no discrimination on the basis of gender.
- · King County recruits employees of both genders for all positions.
- King County does not rely upon a state "protective" law to deny women employees the right to any job they are qualified to perform.
- King County offers employees of both genders an equal opportunity for any jobs they are qualified to perform, except when gender is a bona fide occupational qualification.
- King County does not make any distinction based upon gender with regard to employment opportunities, wages, hours or other terms and conditions of employment.
- King County does not make any distinction between married and unmarried persons of one gender that is

not made between married and unmarried persons of the other gender.

- King County does not deny employment to women with young children.
- King County does not terminate employees of one gender in a particular job group when they reach a certain age, unless the same rule applies to members of the other gender.
- King County provides appropriate physical facilities to both genders.
- King County does not penalize, in conditions of employment, women who require time away from work for childbearing. When, under King County's leave policy, a woman employee would qualify for leave, then childbearing is considered a justification like any other for granting such leave, for a reasonable period of time. The conditions applicable to her leave and to her return to

employment are in accordance with King County's leave policy with respect to all leaves of absence. Further, King County's maternity policy complies with the 1978 Pregnancy Amendment to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

King County does not, on the basis of gender, specify any differences between men and women employees in retirement age.




10. Support of Community Action Programs

King County seeks to have its EEO commitment fully understood by the community for the purpose of diversifying our applicant pools and achieving a better understanding of the communities we serve.

In an effort to achieve such awareness on the part of the community, the following steps have been and will continue to be taken:

- King County encourages members of its management to serve in local civic organizations and community development activities to gain a better understanding of local community issues.
- King County encourages its employees to participate in community programs. For example, King County sponsors internships, summer youth for the economically disadvantaged and summer hire for youth in the workplace. It also participates in Career Shadow Day and Take Your Children to Work to encourage young people to start thinking about future career goals. It also provides for the use of paid leave for volunteer work at schools and educational institutions.
- King County participates in local activities that encourages the involvement and integrates minorities, women and people with disabilities.



11. Religion and National Origin Discrimination Guidelines (41 C.F.R. Part 60 50)

King County is committed to providing and ensuring equal employment opportunity to all applicants and employees without regard to their religion or national origin, as follows:

- Internal communication of its policy with respect to nondiscrimination on the basis of religion or national origin.
- Notification to recruitment sources of King County's policy regarding nondiscrimination on the basis of religion or national origin.

King County accommodates the religious observances and practices of employees and prospective employees except where such accommodation would result in an undue hardship in conducting its business.



Attachment 1: AA Plan Definitions

A. Affirmative Action Program Definitions

As defined in the King County Personnel Guidelines, affirmative action is: A temporary corrective program that is designed to ensure full representation of minorities, women and persons of disability in the workplace. (See page 61 of the King County Personnel Guidelines dated 10/01/00.)

B. Definition and Verification of Race/Ethnicity, Gender, Disability, and Veteran Status

A. Defining Employee Race/Ethnicity, Disability and Veteran Status

King County adopts the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Program's (OFCCP) definitions to identify a person's racial and ethnic status as listed below. Applicants and employees will self-identify for affirmative action purposes only.

- Native American: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of North America, including Alaska Natives, and who maintain cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.
- **Black:** All persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa.

- Asian: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian Subcontinent, or the Pacific Islands. This area includes, for example, China, India, Japan, Korea, the Philippine Islands, and Samoa.
- Hispanic: All persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

Note: OFCCP states that only those persons from Central and South American countries who are of Spanish origin descent or culture should be included in the category Hispanic. Persons from Brazil, Guyana, Surinam or Trinidad, for example, would be classified according to their race and would not necessarily be included as Hispanic. The Portuguese should be excluded from the category Hispanic and should be classified according to race.

Individuals who have mixed ancestry will be asked to identify their primary cultural ethnic/race identification.

 Person With Disabilities: The definition below is for affirmative action purposes only.

A disability is a permanent physical, mental or sensory condition.

The disability must be substantial rather than slight, and permanent in that it is seldom fully corrected by medical replacement, therapy or surgical means.

Special Disabled Veteran means "(i) A veteran who is entitled to compensation (or who but for the receipt of military retired pay would be entitled to compensation) under laws administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs for a disability: (A) Rated 30% or more; (B) Rated at 10 or 20% in the case of a veteran who has been determined under 38 U.S.C. 3106 to have a serious employment handicap; or (ii) A person who was discharged or released from active duty because of a service-disconnected disability.

Veteran of Vietnam Era means "a person who (1) Served on active duty for a period of more than 180 days, and was discharged or released there from with other than a dishonorable discharge, if any such part of such active duty occurred: (I) In the Republic of Vietnam between February 28, 1961 and May 7, 1975; or (ii) Between August 5, 1964, and May 7, 1975, in all other cases; or (2) Was discharged or released from active duty for a service-connected disability if any part of such active duty was performed" in the above time period.

B. Verification and Resolution of Race/Ethnicity and Disability

The Human Resources Division (HRD) will resolve protected group identification issues raised by the prospective hiring authority, by other employees or identified by the applicant/employee based on the following documentation:

Blacks, Asians, and Hispanics

A person MUST meet one of the qualifications and provide documentation to be classified as a member of a minority group:

- 1. Identifies as a member of a specified minority group
 - Documentation: Birth certificate, visa, etc.
- 2. Is recognized by minority groups in the Seattle-King County community or other community as a member.

Documentation: Written documentation from the applicant's community

Native American

A person MUST meet one of the qualifications AND provide documentation to be classified as an American Indian/Alaskan Native.

- 1. Is an enrolled member of a state or federally recognized tribe
 - Documentation: Tribal Certification Card, letter from tribe, etc.
- 2. Is recognized by other American Indians/Alaska Natives in the Seattle-King County community or other community as a member
 - Documentation: Written documentation from the American Indian/Alaska Native community.
- 3. Has at least one-quarter (1/4) American Indian or Alaskan Native blood and identifies as such.

Documentation: Evaluative interview and a completed Family Ancestry Chart.

Persons With Disabilities

A job applicant/employee can self-identify as having a disability. There is required no status verification process.

With regards to *confidentiality*, voluntary protected group designation will be utilized strictly for affirmative action purposes. This information will not be shared. However, it is not unusual for employees to self disclose disability information to their supervisor or human resource staff to facilitate:

- Job Accommodation: The County will reasonably accommodate the physical, mental, and/or sensory limitations of a qualified disabled employee.
- **First Aid and Safety:** The supervisor and safety floor captain will be informed of the employee's disability to ensure employee safety in the event of an emergency i.e. for evacuation purposes.

C. EEO Job Categories

The current Plan utilizes workforce analysis by EEO job categories and job classifications. EEO job categories are broad groups of positions such as Officials/Administrators, Professionals, Technicians, Protective Services, Para-Professionals, Administrative Support, Skilled Craft, and Service/Maintenance.

Officials and Administrators

Occupations in which employees set broad policies, exercise overall responsibility for execution of these policies, or direct individual departments or special phases of the agency's operations, or provide specialized consultation on a regional, district, or area basis. Includes: department heads, bureau chiefs and inspectors, division chiefs, directors, deputy directors, controllers, wardens, superintendents, sheriffs, police and fire chiefs and inspectors, examiners (bank,

hearing, motor vehicle, warehouse), inspectors (construction, building, safety, rent-and-housing, fire, ABC Board, license, dairy, livestock, transportation), assessors, tax appraisers and investigators, coroners, farm managers, and kindred workers.

Professionals

Occupations that require specialized and theoretical knowledge that is usually acquired through college training or through work experience and other training, which provides comparable knowledge. Includes: personnel and labor relations workers, social workers, doctors, psychologists, registered nurses, economists, dietitians, lawyers, system analysts, accountants, engineers, employment and vocational rehabilitation counselors, teachers or instructors, police and fire captains and lieutenants, librarians, management analysts, airplane pilots and navigators, surveyors and mapping scientists, and kindred workers.

Technicians

Occupations which require a combination of basic scientific or technical knowledge and manual skills, which can be obtained through specialized post-secondary school education or through equivalent on-the-job training. Includes: computer programmers, drafters, survey and mapping technicians, licensed practical nurses, photographers, radio operators, technical illustrators, highway technicians, technicians (medical, dental, electronic, physical sciences), police and fire sergeants, inspectors (production or processing inspectors, testers, and weighers), and kindred workers.

Paraprofessionals

Occupations in which workers perform some of the duties of a professional or technician in a supportive role, which usually require less formal training and/or experience normally required for professional or technical status. Such positions may fall within an identified pattern of staff development and promotion under a "New Careers" concept. Includes: research assistants, medical aides, child support workers, policy auxiliary welfare service aides, recreation assistants, homemaker aides, home health aides, library assistants and clerks, ambulance drivers and attendants, and kindred workers.

Protective Service Workers

Occupations in which workers are entrusted with public safety, security and protection from destructive forces. Includes: police patrol officers, firefighters, guards, deputy sheriffs, bailiffs, correctional officers, detectives, marshals, harbor patrol officers, game and fish wardens, park rangers (except maintenance), and kindred workers.

Administrative Support (Including Clerical and Sales)

Occupations in which workers are responsible for internal and external communication, recording and retrieval of data/information, and other paperwork required in an office. Includes: bookkeepers, messengers, clerk-typists, stenographers, court transcribers, hearing reporters, statistical clerks, dispatchers, license distributors, payroll clerks, office machine and computer operators, telephone operators, legal assistants, sales workers, cashiers, toll collectors, and kindred workers.

Skilled Craft Workers

Occupations in which workers perform jobs that require special manual skill and thorough and comprehensive knowledge of the processed involved in the work, which is acquired through on-the-job training and experience or through apprenticeship or other formal training programs. Includes: mechanics and repairers, electricians, heavy equipment operators, stationary engineers, skilled machining occupations, carpenters, compositors and typesetters, power plant operators, water and sewage treatment plant operators, and kindred workers.

Service - Maintenance

Occupations in which workers perform duties that result in or contribute to the comfort, convenience, hygiene, or safety of the general public or that contribute to the upkeep and care of buildings, facilities, or grounds of public property. Workers in this group may operate machinery. Includes: chauffeurs, laundry and dry cleaning operatives, truck drivers, bus drivers, garage laborers, custodial employees, gardeners and groundskeepers, refuse collectors, construction laborers, park rangers (maintenance), farm workers (except managers), craft apprentices/trainees/helpers, and kindred workers.

Transit Operators

Occupations in which workers operate buses, streetcars, and/or monorail.

D. Workforce Availability Development Methodology

Workforce availabilities are used as benchmarks to determine if there is under-representation of people of color, persons with disabilities and women within departments. The Office of Federal Contract Compliance provides guidance in establishing people of color and women's workforce availabilities. Employers are required to use external and internal factors in updating workforce availabilities. The Executive Branch used the following information to update each department's workforce availabilities.

A. External Factor

The county combined the workforce data of people of color and women with requisite skills in the immediate area and the recruitment area to establish the external factor. See definition below. The county used recruitment practices to assign weights to combine both components.

- Requisite Skills in the Immediate Area. This is the availability of people of color and women in the workforce having the requisite skills in the immediate labor area.
- Requisite Skills in the Recruitment Area. This is the availability of people of color and women in the workforce having the requisite skills that the employer can reasonably recruit. Data for this factor reflects the reasonable recruitment area from which each department could reasonably seek workers for a particular job category. For example: recruitment for high level management would include statewide and national applicant search.

B. Internal Factor

The county used the percentage of people of color and women who are working in King County Executive Branch. These employees are promotable and transferable within a particular department.

The county used actual hiring and promotional practices to establish the weights to combine the external and internal factors.

Attachment 2: 2003 Year End Affirmative Action Reports

2003 AA Progress Report By Goal Setting Group

Workforce Profiles Page Numbers

Affirmative Action Progress Report for African Americans	40
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Asian Americans	42
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Latino Americans	44
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Native Americans	46
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Persons with Disabilities	48
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Women	50

The progress report includes hiring, promotions, transfers, and demotions.

Department Legend

Executive's Office	EYEC
Adult & Juvenile Detention	
Assessments	DA
Community & Human Services	DCHS
Development & Environmental Services	DDES
Executive Services	DES
Judicial Administration	DJA
Natural Resources & Parks	DNRP
Public Health	DPH
Sheriff's Office	KCSO
Transportation	DOT

January 1 to December 31, 2003

Affirmative Action Progress Report for African Americans

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal	er.			The Program			- Inhelele engy	Age of Line	e ejerritik i get		<u> </u>
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal					4						
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts					0						
	,	Remaining AA Goal					4		l				
		Progress					=						
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal			1	1						1	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			1	0						1	
		Remaining AA Goal			0	1						0	
		Progress			*	=						*	
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts								-			
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts								ļ			
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal	Telepolitic period	e soys in the	ryks (r ^{ad} s)	-r : 200(9), 70		Vinter vinter and	1 - 2797 - N - 1		44,44,7	राष्ट्र प्रमुख	14, 17, 18, 18, 18, 18 1
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			<u></u>								
		Remaining AA Goal		,							` .		
		Progress											
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal						2	-			2	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0				1 -	
		Remaining AA Goal						2	1			1	
		Progress						=				+	
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal								2		-	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						,		0			
		Remaining AA Goal							,	2			
		Progress					,			=	1		
	t Adopted Goal(s)				1							1	<u> </u>
+Ma	nde Progress Towards Ado	pted Goal(s)										1	
=No	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)				1	1	1		1			İ

 $^{\{\}=}$ No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

January 1 to December 31, 2003

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Asian Americans

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal		1			्री, जिसासा श्रास्ताप् र		THE THE PASSES		िरिके शिक्षः क्षिणे ११		
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0		;							
		Remaining AA Goal		1						4, 1			
		Progress		=									
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal			·								
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
	<u> </u>	Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal							1				
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts							0	j.			
		Remaining AA Goal							1				
		Progress							=	·			
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
·		Progress											
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
	,	Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	ксѕо	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal	743 A 11 SE		745	11.46%	New York	Francisco False,		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	APTRICACION	2000	14 () Y
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts				1		<u> </u>					
		Remaining AA Goal		,								1	-
		Progress						<u> </u>					
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						****					
		Remaining AA Goal						······································		<u> </u>			
		Progress											
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal			1	·····				<u> </u>	1		
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			0						0		
		Remaining AA Goal			1	<u> </u>					1		
		Progress			= 1						=		
*Me	t Adopted Goal(s)												
+Ma	de Progress Towards Ado	pted Goal(s)					7.77	l					
=Nc	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)		1	1				1		1		
			·····	<u> </u>		- I	·			·			7.50 N

 $^{\{\}}$ = No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

January 1 to December 31, 2003

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Latino Americans

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal	1	\$ "	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						e no li seperati li e e	1	Marian San
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0									0	
		Remaining AA Goal	1									1	
		Progress	=				·					=	
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal		2			1			3			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0			0			2			
		Remaining AA Goal		2			1			1			
		Progress		=			=			+			
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal			3					1			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			0			-		0			
		Remaining AA Goal			3					1			
		Progress			=					=			
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal											2
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											0
		Remaining AA Goal										:	2
		Progress											=
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal		•				2					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0					
		Remaining AA Goal			·			2					
		Progress						=					
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress					•						

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	ксѕо	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal		e erry 1114 in	,	14.7		10 1 1 N 1 N 1 N 1		4	Service .	\$ 0.00 TWO	7 7
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts				<u> </u>				0			
		Remaining AA Goal		,				_		4			
		Progress			··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		,		=			
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal	1			<u> </u>		1					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0					
		Remaining AA Goal						1					
		Progress				1.		==					
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal				†							 -
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress	1										
			1										
*Met	: Adopted Goal(s)												
+Ма	de Progress Towards Ado	ppted Goal(s)								1			····
=No	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)	1	1	1 .		1	2		2		1	1
						·							Tarana.

 $^{\{\}}$ = No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

January 1 to December 31, 2003

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Native Americans

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal	1	7 ,7	P + +			ri Daire Haya	a i negativa. T	er yek espektigang	Property and the second	ngergergere '	and the second
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress								:			
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal	1					3					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0					0					
	•	Remaining AA Goal	1					3					
		Progress	=					= -					
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal	÷ ,,			1				1	1	٠.	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts				0				0	0		
		Remaining AA Goal			-	1				1	1		·
		Progress				=				=	=		
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal							2				
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts							0				
		Remaining AA Goal							2				
		Progress							=				
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal											5
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											0
		Remaining AA Goal				·							5
		Progress											==
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal	A ALLES DE LA CONTRACTOR DEL CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR	1		परम् _य सम्बद्धाः			4 - 47 to 15 to 15	3	Paragraphic 158	THE STATE OF THE	3
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0						0			1
		Remaining AA Goal		-1				-		3			2
		Progress		==	,				<u> </u>				+
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal						1					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0					,
		Remaining AA Goal						1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Progress						==					
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal											··
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts							<u> </u>				
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress						·			<u> </u>		
*Me	t Adopted Goal(s)												
+Ma	ade Progress Towards Ado	pted Goal(s)											1
=No	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)	1	1		1		2	1	2	1		1
]		7127616

 $^{\{\}}$ = No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

January 1 to December 31, 2003

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Persons with Disabilities

,	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal	1		त र शिक्षेत्रुलीय हिन्स		हर का लेखु (म)	1		প্ৰাপ্ত হ'ব জবল			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0				-	0		1	1		
		Remaining AA Goal	1					1					
		Progress	=					.=					
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal	4	3	1	5	7	6	1	14	28	2	34
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0-	1	0	0
		Remaining AA Goal	4	3	1	5	7	5	1	14	47	2	34
		Progress	=	=	=	=	=	+	=	=	+	=	
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal				17	1	3		5	16	2	19
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts				1	0	0		0	1	0	0
		Remaining AA Goal				16	1	3		5	15	2	19
		Progress				+		=		=	+	= '	===
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal	1	3	4	1	1	.13	7	4	16	3	16
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
		Remaining AA Goal	1	3	4	1	1	13	7	2	16	3	15
		Progress	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	+	=	=	+
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal						4		15			40
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0		0			0
		Remaining AA Goal						4		15			40
		Progress						=		=			=
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal				İ							
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal	, J. 1.	4	* 5 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1.154.7.		3		1 7 1 2 1 1 2 7	2	Marin Sey	44
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0				0			0		0
		Remaining AA Goal		4				3.			2		44
		Progress		=				=			=		
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal		55				7			2	56	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0				0				0	
		Remaining AA Goal		55				7				56	
		Progress		=				=			=	=	-
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal		1		9		3		1	3		
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0		0				0	0		
		Remaining AA Goal		1		9		**		1	3		
		Progress		=		=	** ··	= '		=	=		
*Me	t Adopted Goal(s)							-					
+Ma	de Progress Towards Ado	pted Goal(s)			1			1		1	2		1
=No	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)	3	5	2	4	3	6	2	4	4	4	4

 $^{\{\}}$ = No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

January 1 to December 31, 2003

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Women

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal		************	1			' संज्ञात () विकास	ytt ex lælt e	2			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			0					8			
		Remaining A´A Goal			1					0			
		Progress			=					*			
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal			3				2	2			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		·	0				3	21			
		Remaining AA Goal			3				0	0			
		Progress			=				*	*			
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal		2	18			10			18		18
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0	9			1			' 5		1
		Remaining AA Goal		-2	9		1	9			13		17
•		Progress		+	+	-	٠.	+			+		+
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal	1	3	2	4	4	29				2	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0	1	1	0	1	13				4	
		Remaining AA Goal	1	2	1	4	3	16				0	
		Progress	=	+	+	_ =	+	+				*	
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal								8			14
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts								4			1
		Remaining AA Goal								4			13
1		Progress								+			+
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal											152
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											37
		Remaining AA Goal											115
		Progress											+

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal	12	12	it grown	र प्राप्त स्वर्थ	100 mm 120	8	137 15	10 30 10	2	*******	19
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0	0				2		1	0		6
		Remaining AA Goal	12	12				6			2		13
		Progress	=	=				+			=		+
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal		-							1		1
	·	1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts									0		0
		Remaining AA Goal									1		1
		Progress							· ·		=		=
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal				2		2			3	1	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts				0		0			13	0	
		Remaining AA Goal				2		2			0	1	
		Progress				=		=			*	=	···
													
*Me	t Adopted Goal(s)							•	1	2	1	1	
+Ma	ade Progress Towards Adopted Goal(s)			2	2		1	3		1	1		4
=No	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)	2	1	2	2		1			2	1	1

 $^{\{\}}$ = No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

2005-2006 EEO/AA Plan (2/16/05)

Attachment 2: 2003 Year End AA Reports - Continued

2003 Summary of Employee Changes by EEO Job Group and Department

Department Legend

Executive's Office	EXEC
Adult & Juvenile Detention	DAJD
Assessments	DA
Community & Human Services	DCHS
Development & Environmental Services	DDES
Executive Services	DES
Judicial Administration	DJA
Natural Resources & Parks	DNRF
Public Health	DPH
Sheriff's Office	KCSC
Transportation	DOT

King County Executive Branch 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by EEO Job Category and Department

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	ксѕо	DOT	Total per EEO Job Category
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	1	1				2		1	es televisia.		1	6
		Promotions											1	1
		Transfers												
		Demotions									-			
		TOTAL:	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0 :	0	2	7
20	Professionals	New Hires	2		1	8	1	26	6	23	42	3	22	134
		Promotions			1			10		18			26	55
-		Transfers								3			2	5
		Demotions								2				2
		TOTAL:	2	0	2	. 8	1	36	6	46	42	3	50	196
30	Technicians	New Hires			15			2	.1	3	6	14	1	42
		Promotions								.1			16	17
		Transfers								2				2 `
		Demotions								1			2	3
		TOTAL:	0	0	15	0	0	2	1	7	6	14	19	64
40	Administrative Support	New Hires		1	1		1	13	4	9	22	4	14	69
		Promotions		,				2		5			1	8
		Transfers				1		1		1			1	4
		Demotions	•							1			2	3
		TOTAL:	0	1	1	1	1	16	4	16	22	4	18	84
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires						1		7	2		12	22
		Promotions								19			8	27
:		Transfers								22			1	23
		Demotions								1			2	3
		TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	49	2	0	23	75

	DEPARTMENTS		EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	ксѕо	DOT	Total per EEO Job Category
60	Transit Operators	New Hires											186	186
		Promotions								1			2	2
		Transfers											,	
		Demotions											4	4
		TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	192	192
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires	74	1000	1,1144		,,,,,	3			\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	A Lister Check	18	21
		Promotions								2	;		10	12
		Transfers						-		3				- 3
		Demotions	-							1			2	2
		TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	0	30	38
80	Protective Services	New Hires					1	1	-	1		34		36
		Promotions		3										3
		Transfers												
		Demotions												
		TOTAL:	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	34	0	39
90	Para-Professional	New Hires									14			14
		Promotions									•			
		Transfers												
		Demotions												
		TOTAL:	0	0	. 0 .	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	14
	To	otal New Hires:	3	2	17	8	3.	48	11	43	86	55	254	530
	Tot	al Promotions:		3	1			12		45			64	125
	jantaj <mark>.</mark>	Total Transfers:				1		1 .		31			4	37
	То	tal Demotions:								5			12	17
	TOTAL PER D	DEPARTMENT:	3	5	18	. 9	3	61	11	124	86	55	334	709

Attachment 2: 2003 Year End AA Reports - Continued

2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Department and Protected Group

Department Legend

Executive's Office	EXEC
Adult & Juvenile Detention	DAJD
Assessments	DA
Community & Human Services	DCH
Development & Environmental Services	DDES
Executive Services	DES
Judicial Administration	DJA
Natural Resources & Parks	DNRF
Public Health	DPH
Sheriff's Office	KCSC
Transportation	DOT

King County Executive Branch 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Department and Protected Group

		Count	Fe	male	Total	Minorities	В	lack	A	sian	Nativ	e Amer.	Hisr	anic	P	WD
		of All	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Exec	New Hires	3			1	33.33%	1	33.33%					1.454		,	
	Promotions															
	Transfers													<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	Demotions													· · · · -		
	TOTAL:	3			1	33.33%	1	33.33%						·		
DAJD	New Hires	2	1	50%	1	50%	1	50%								1
	Promotions	3	1		1				1	33.33%						
	Transfers				****							-	1			
	Demotions															-
	TOTAL:	5	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%	1	20%						
DA	New Hires	17	10	58.82%	. 5	29.41%	. 2	11.76%	3	17.65%		-	†	+	1	5.88%
	Promotions	1														1 3.3375
	Transfers															
	Demotions			,									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1
	TOTAL:	18	10	55.56%	5	27.78%	2	11.11%	3	16.67%				 	1	5.56%
DCHS	New Hires	8	8	100%	2	25%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%						212270
	Promotions									1						
	Transfers	1														
	Demotions									*****			<u> </u>			
	TOTAL:	9	8	88.89%	2	22.22%	1	11.11%	1	11.11%						
DDES	New Hires	3	1	33.33%					***			-				
	Promotions															
	Transfers						•									
	Demotions									· ·						
	TOTAL:	3	1	33.33%												

PWD=Persons with Disabilities

		Count	Fe	male	Total N	linorities	В	lack	А	sian	Nativ	e Amer.	His	panic	F	WD
		of All	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DES	New Hires	48	26	54.17%	14	29.17%	. 7	14.58%	2	4.17%	2	4.17%	3	6.25%	1	2.08%
	Promotions	12	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	1	8.33%	3	25%			1	8.33%		
	Transfers	1	1	100%					·							
	Demotions			- :- 0.4												
	TOTAL:	61	34	55.74%	19	31.15%	8	13.11%	5	8.2%	2	3.28%	4	6.56%	1	1.64%
DJA	New Hires	11	7	63.64%	1	9.09%	1	9.09%	-					* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
	Promotions															
	Transfers															
	Demotions															
	TOTAL:	11	7	63.64%	1	9.09%	1	9.09%					*****			
DNRP	New Hires	43	23	53.49%	7	16.28%		,	5	11.63%			2	4.65%	2	4.65%
	Promotions	45	15	33.33%	10	22.22%	4	8.89%	3	6.67%			3	6.67%		
	Transfers	31	8	25.81%	7	22.58%	4	12.9%	3	9.68%						
	Demotions	5	2	40%	2	40%			2	40%						
	TOTAL:	124	48	38.71%	26	20.97%	8	6.45%	13	10.48%			5	4.03%	2	1.61%
DPH	New Hires	86	69	80.23%	30	34.88%	13	15.12%	9	10.47%	1	1.16%	7	8.14%	2	2.33%
	Promotions															
	Transfers															
	Demotions															
	TOTAL:	. 86	69	80.23%	30	34.88%	13	15.12%	9	10.47%	1	1.16%	7	8.14%	2	2.33%
KCSO	New Hires	55	19	34.55%	5	9.09%	2	3.64%	1	1.82%			2	3.64%		
	Promotions															
	Transfers				,											
	Demotions															
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTAL:	55	19	34.55%	5	9.09%	2	3.64%	1	1.82%			2	3.64%		

		Count	Fe	male	Total M	inorities	· B	lack	A	sian	Nativ	e Amer.	Hisp	anic	Р	WD
		of All	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DOT	New Hires	254	58	22.83%	79	31.1%	39	15.35%	28	11.02%	2	0.79%	10	3.94%	Aller of the second	
	Promotions	64	17	25.56%	16	25%	10	15.63%	3	4.69%			3	4.69%		
,	Transfers	4	1	25%							-				1	25%
	Demotions	12	2	16.67%	4	33.33%	3	25%	1	8.33%						
	TOTAL:	334	78	23.35%	99	29.64%	52	15.57%	32	9.58%	2	0.6%	13	3.89%	1	0.3%
Total E	xec		44	,ab					7				7.25			
	New Hires	530	222	41.89%	145	27.36%	67	12.64%	49	9.25%	5	0.94%	24	4.53%	6	1.13%
	Promotions	125	40	32%	32	25.6%	15	12%	10	8%			7	5.6%		
	Transfers	37	10	27.03%	7	18.92%	4	10.81%	3	8.11%					1	2.7%
	Demotions	17	4	23.53%	6	35.29%	3	17.65%	3	17.65%						
	TOTAL:	709	276	38.93%	190	26.8%	89	12.55%	65	9.17%	5	0.71%	31	4.37%	7	0.09%

2005-2006 EEO/AA Plan (2/16/05)

Attachment 2: 2003 Year End AA Reports - Continued

2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group

Summary of Changes Page Numbers

Profiles by race/ethnicity, gender, and disability status	
Executive Branch	62
Profiles by race/ethnicity and gender	
	_
Executive's Office	
Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention	66
Assessor's Office	68
Department of Community & Health Services	70
Department of Development & Environmental Services	
Department of Executive Services	
Department of Judicial Administration	76
Department of Natural Resources & Parks	78
Department of Public Health	80
Sheriff's Office	82
Department of Transportation	
Persons with Disabilities Employee Changes by Department	86

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Executive Branch

	T			Fem	ale	Total N	linority	Bla	ick	Hispa	anic	Asia	an	Native	Amer.	P	WD
	:		Total	#	%	#	· %	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	6	** *:	1	1	17%	1	17%	St. And St.	t in the type seen.	August 1997 (1998)	1.00 F155	all sugar place	Andrew Add	an garigen	and the second second
		Promotions	1~											,			
		Transfers											ŀ	. ,			
		Demotions															
		TOTAL:	7			1	14%	1	14%								
20	Professionals	New Hires	134	78	58%	27	20%	13	10%	3	2%	11	8%			2	1.49%
		Promotions	55	26	47%	12	22%	4	7%	2	4%	6	11%				
		Transfers	5	3	60%												
		Demotions	2		1	1	50%					1	50%				
		TOTAL:	196	107	55%	40	20%	17	9%	5	3%	18	9%			2	1.02%
30	Technicians	New Hires	42	28	67%	9	21%	.3	7%	2	5%	4	10%			2	4.76%
		Promotions	17	2	12%	5	29%	3	18%			2	12%				
		Transfers	2	1	100%												
		Demotions	3	1 .	33%	1	33%					1	33%				
		TOTAL:	64	33	52%	15	23%	6	9%	2	3%	7	11%			2	3.13%
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	69	61	88%	26	38%	11	16%	1	1%	12	17%	2	3%	2	2.9%
		Promotions	8	5	63%	5	63%	4	50%	1	13%						
		Transfers	4	2	50%	1	25%					1	25%				
		Demotions	3	1	33%	3	100%	2	67%			1	33%			1	25%
		TOTAL:	84	69	82%	35	42%	17	20%	2	2%	14	17%	2	2%	3	3.57%
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	22	1	5%	2	9%	1	5%			1	5%				
		Promotions	27	1	4%	5	19%	2	7%	2	7%	1	4%				
		Transfers	23	3	13%	4	17%	2	9%			2	9%				
		Demotions	3														
		TOTAL:	75	5	7%	11	15%	5	7%	2	3%	4	5%				

			Total	Fer	nale	Total N	/linority	ВІ	ack	Hisp	anic	Asi	an	Native	Amer.		PWD
			Iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires	186	34	18%	56	30%	30	16%	9	5%	16	9%	1	1%		
		Promotions	2	_ 1	50%												
		Transfers															<u> </u>
		Demotions	4	2	50%												
		TOTAL:		37	19%	56	29%	30	16%	9	5%	16	8%	1	1%		
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires	21	5	24%	13	62%	6	29%	1	5%	4	19%	2	10%	a de	70 Har
		Promotions	12	4	33%	4	33%	2	17%	2	17%						
		Transfers	3			2	67%	2	67%								
		Demotions	2			1	50%	1	50%								1
		TOTAL:	38	9	24%	20	53%	11	29%	3	8%	4	11%	2	5%		
80	Protective Services	New Hires	36	2	6%	3	8%	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%				
	·	Promotions	3	1	33%	1	33%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	33%	<u> </u>			
		Transfers															
		Demotions															
		TOTAL:	39	3	8%	4	10%	1	3%	1	3%	2	5%				`\
90	Para-Professional	New Hires	14	13	93%	8	57%	1	7%	7	50%		1				
•		Promotions											1.				
		Transfers								l·	-			<u></u>			
		Demotions															
		TOTAL:	14	13	93%	8	57%	1	7%	7	50%					11.	1.5
	Tota	al New Hires:	530	222	42%	145	27%	67	13%	24	5%	49	9%	5	1%	6	1.13%
	Total	Promotions:	125	40	32%	32	26%	15	12%	7	6%	10	8%	0	0	0	0
	То	tal Transfers:	37	10	27%	7	19%	4	11%	0	0	3	8%	0	0	1	2.7%
	Total	Demotions:	17	4	24%	6	35%	3	18%	0	0	3	18%	0	0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Executive's Office

			Total	Fer #	nale %	Total N	Minority %	BI #	ack %	His #	oanic %	As	ian %	Native	Amer. %
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	1	1 4 4 4 5 15	Property (Constitution)	the light from a	3 WEST 197	Bybar sanya	Section 12	5. Y.W. 1977	e engage la Capping	AT AT LOW MAY	The state of	24 12 18 37	Section 1
		Promotions													
		Transfers				,					ž				
		Demotions						,							
		TOTAL:	1				,								
20	Professionals	New Hires	2			1	50%	1	50%						
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions		-											
		TOTAL:	2	٠		1	50%	1	50%						
30	Technicians	New Hires										,			
		Promotions													1
		Transfers								·					
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
40	Administrative Support	New Hires			,										
		Promotions				<u> </u>				<u></u>					
		Transfers			· ·						ļ .		<u> </u>		
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:									<u> </u>				
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions													<u></u>
		Transfers													
	1. 1	Demotions													
		TOTAL:													

			Total	Fe #	male %	Total	Minority %	#	Black %	His #	panic %	As #	ian %	Native	e Amer. %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires		ļ							1		7.0		7
		Promotions	· · ·-·							 			 		
		Transfers											-		
		Demotions									-				
		TOTAL:											-	·	
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires									-				
		Promotions				i					: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :				
		Transfers									,				
		Demotions									,			<u> </u>	
		TOTAL:													
80	Protective Services	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers				!									
		Demotions		-			1		-	···					
		TOTAL:					1								1
90	Para-Professional	New Hires													
		Promotions					 				,	[
		Transfers									1				
		Demotions	·									 -			
		TOTAL:										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Total New Hires:	3	0	0	1	33%	1	33%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Promotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention

			Total		nale %		/linority		ack %		oanic %	As	ian %	Native #	Amer.
			e la ligação de la compansión de la compansión de la compansión de la compansión de la compansión de la compan	#	% 0	#	%	#		# - **;;;*;: / * **	70 [100500000]	#	% 0	## ## 5 7 7 1 8 8 8	70
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	1	ļ		1	100%	1	100%						
·		Promotions		 			ļ			. : .					
		Transfers		ļ		ļ			-		1		ļ <u>.</u>		
		Demotions		ļ							1 .				
		TOTAL:	1			1	100%	1	100%						
20	Professionals	New Hires		<u></u>											
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
30	Technicians	New Hires													
		Promotions								· · · · · · · ·					`.
-		Transfers		-											
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:			 										
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	1	1	100%									1	
		Promotions													
		Transfers			•										
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	1	1	100%			1							
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions		Ì :											
		Transfers											1		
		Demotions			1										
		TOTAL:					1 1						1		

			Total	Fe #	male %	Total	Minority %	# B	Black %	His #	panic %	# A	sian %	Nativ #	e Amer. %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires									T 75	-"		 "	7,0
		Promotions												-	
		Transfers												 	
		Demotions										<u> </u>		1	
		TOTAL:									1	1		 -	1
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires									7				
		Promotions									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Transfers			_			· · ·			1.				
		Demotions								,					
		TOTAL:		···							-			<u> </u>	
80	Protective Services	New Hires													T
		Promotions	3	.1	33%	1	33%			-		1	33%		
		Transfers													<u> </u>
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	3	1	33%	1	33%					1	33%		1
90	Para-Professional	New Hires													
		Promotions									•				
		Transfers													
		Demotions													1
		TOTAL:													†
		Total New Hires:	2	1	50%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Promotions:	3	. 1	33%	1	33%	0	0	0	0	1	33%	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Assessor's Office

			Total	Fe	male	Total I	Minority	В	lack	Hisp	anic	As	ian	Native	Amer.
	·		iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	, i					744 T			5 H47 3 17 718	fighten, samutist. T	in orderige by	age to the second	N - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 1
		Promotions										,			
		Transfers									7.				
		Demotions					1								
		TOTAL:													
20	Professionals	New Hires	1						, , , , ,						
		Promotions	1												
		Transfers													
		Demotions										_			
		TOTAL:	2												
30	Technicians	New Hires	15	9	60%	4	27%	1	7%			3	20%		
		Promotions													`.
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	15	9	60%	4	27%	1	7%			3	20%		
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	1	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%						
		Promotions											_		
·		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	1	· 1	100%	1	100%	1	100%						
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													

60 Transit On austana			Total	Fe #	male %	Total #	Minority %	В #	lack %	His #	panic %	As	ian %	Nativ	e Amer. %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires						-				1			
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions							-						
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	e New Hires							*. *.		1000	रेड्डिंग के विकास सं	8 3 mg 8 3 1	en en grande de la companya de la co	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		Promotions													· · · · · · · ·
		Transfers						,							
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:									1				
80	Protective Services	New Hires													
		Promotions.									<u> </u>				
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													1
90	Para-Professional	New Hires													
		Promotions									<u> </u>				-
		Transfers													
		Demotions													<u> </u>
		TOTAL:													
		Total New Hires:	17	10	59%	5	29%	2	12%	0	0	3	18%	0	0
		Total Promotions:	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Department of Community & Health Services

		i		Fen	nale	Total N	Minority	В	lack	Hisp	anic	Asi	ian	Native	Amer.
			Total	#	% `	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	Serve de la la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la compa	દર્ભુભાષ	The second second	Section 13		MANUAL TAN					mark and the	Andread series?	对种等对称
		Promotions	ma #										:		
		Transfers											. :	N -	
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:									-				
20	Professionals	New Hires	8	8	100%	2	25%	1	13%			1	13%	,	
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	8	8	100%	2	25%	1	13%			1	13%		
30	Technicians	New Hires													
		Promotions													1
		Transfers													
		Demotions										_			
		TOTAL:													
40	Administrative Support	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers	1												
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	1												
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													

			Total	Fe #	male %	Total #	Minority %	# B	lack %	His #	panic %	# As	ian %	Native	e Amer. %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires											<u> </u>		
		Promotions					-					 			
		Transfers			1							<u> </u>			1
		Demotions													-
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires											2012 19.	ing a figuresian	1.00
		Promotions					1				1				
		Transfers													
	,	Demotions							_						
		TOTAL:													
80	Protective Services	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers										i			
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													~
90	Para-Professional	New Hires													
		Promotions										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
		Total New Hires:	8	8	100%	2	25%	1	13%	0	0	1	13%	0	0
		Total Promotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Department of Development & Environmental Services

			Total	Fer	nale %	Total I	Minority %	# B	lack %	Hisp #	anic %	As	ian %	Native	Amer. %
10 C	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	er to great the		70	*****		<u>"</u>	T 12 P 2	Tage Server St., Sector	10	. 7 334,07	- PER - PER	THEY HAVE	THE SECTION
		Promotions	••												
		Transfers						-			i				
		Demotions			 						:				1
		TOTAL:													
20, P	rofessionals	New Hires	1												
		Promotions			ĺ										
		Transfers													
		Demotions	·			**									
		TOTAL:	1 .												
30 T	echnicians	New Hires													
		Promotions													\
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
40 A	dministrative Support	New Hires	1	1	100%										
		Promotions													
		Transfers						,							
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	1	1	100%										
50 S	killed Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions				,									
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
	•	TOTAL:	•						:						

			Total	Fe #	male %	Total	Minority %	#	Black %	His #	panic %	# A:	sian %		e Amer.
60	Transit Operators	New Hires	 -	" -	70	, T	70	- #	70		76	#	70	#	%
		Promotions		 							-				
		Transfers				— —									+
		Demotions											 	 	
		TOTAL:											 		
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires											 	16 July 1	
		Promotions		,								<u></u>			-
		Transfers					<u> </u>				· ·		 		
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
80	Protective Services	New Hires	1												
		Promotions						_							
		Transfers				,									
		Demotions													<u> </u>
		TOTAL:	1		-								1		`\
90	Para-Professional	New Hires		*****									-		
		Promotions		-											
		Transfers					,								
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
		Total New Hires:	3	1	33%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Promotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Department of Executive Services

			Total		nale		linority		ack		anic		ian	Native	
				#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	<u>%</u>
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	2									* 1.00 h 100 d 100 d			
		Promotions												ļ	
		Transfers		<u> </u>											
		Demotions		<u> </u>		ļ									
		TOTAL:	2		j										
20	Professionals	New Hires	26	11	42%	5	19%	4	15%	1	4%				
		Promotions	10	7	70%	5	50%	1	10%	1	10%	3	30%		
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	36	18	50%	10	28%	5	14%	2	6%	3	8%		
30	Technicians	New Hires	2	1	50%	1	50%			1	50%				
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	2	1	50%	1	50%			1	50%	·			
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	13	12	92%	5	38%	2	15%			2	15%	1	8%
		Promotions	2												
		Transfers	1	1	100%										
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	16	13	81%	5	31%	2	13%			2	13%	1	6%
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	1												
	·	Promotions		Ī								/			
		Transfers							1						
	:	Demotions						.=							
		TOTAL:	1.		 										

			Total	Fe #	male %	Total	Minority %	# #	lack %	His #	panic %	As #	sian %		e Amer.
60	Transit Operators	New Hires		"	70		70	11	70	#	76		90	#	<u>%</u>
	•	Promotions		 			 							 	+
		Transfers							1						
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:		1											+
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires	3	2	67%	3	100%	1	33%	1	33%			1	33%
		Promotions													
		Transfers											1		1
		Demotions													-
		TOTAL:	3	2	67%	3	100%	1	33%	1	33%			1	33%
80	Protective Services	New Hires	1.												1
		Promotions				•					1				
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	1												1
90	Para-Professional	New Hires				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									+
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													1
		Total New Hires:	48	26	54%	14	29%	7.	15%	3	6%	2	4%	2	4%
		Total Promotions:	12	7	58%	5	42%	1	8%	1	8%	3	25%	0	0
		Total Transfers:	1	.1	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group **Department of Judicial Administration**

			Total		male		Minority		lack	Hispanic	Asian	Native Amer.
			10(0)	#	% `	#	%	#	%	# %	# %	# %
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires									स्ति । जन्म नक्ष्यं स्वति स्वति ।	Mary of the first transfer for the specific for the specific
		Promotions *					1					
		Transfers				·				-		
		Demotions										
		TOTAL:										
20	Professionals	New Hires	6	3	50%	1	17%	1	17%			
		Promotions										
		Transfers										
		Demotions										
		TOTAL:	6	3	50%	1	17%	1	17%			
30	Technicians	New Hires	1				1.			, "		
		Promotions										
		Transfers										
		Demotions										
		TOTAL:	1									
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	4	4	100%							
		Promotions										
		Transfers										
		Demotions										
		TOTAL:	4	4	100%							
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires										
		Promotions										
		Transfers										
		Demotions										
		TOTAL:			1							

			Total	Fe #	male %	Total #	Minority %	# E	Black %	His #	panic %	# A:	sian %	Nativ	e Amer. %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires					-								T
		Promotions												1	
		Transfers													
		Demotions				1					1			···	
		TOTAL:	•								<u> </u>				
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires				,					Ĩ				-
		Promotions						:		·	:				
		Transfers									٠.				
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
80	Protective Services	New Hires													1
		Promotions													
		Transfers												· · · · ·	
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:				1									×
90	Para-Professional	New Hires						•							
		Promotions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
		Total New Hires:	11	7	64%	1	9%	1	9%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Promotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Department of Natural Resources & Parks

			Total	Fei #	male %	Total f	Minority %	BI #	ack %	Hist #	oanic %	As	ian %	Native	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	## 3 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	70	- # - 2000 (2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000	70	, #f	70 [****]?****1	# Signatur (sig	70 	***	70	ed was see	70
10	Officials/Administrators	Promotions'													<u> </u>
		Transfers									7				
					 						7	}			
		Demotions				 				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>			
		TOTAL:	1									ļ <u>.</u>			
20	Professionals	New Hires	23	12	52%	4	17%			1	4%	3	13%		
		Promotions	18	7	39%	3	17%			1	6%	2	11%		
		Transfers	3	2	67%									,	
		Demotions	2			1	50%				!	1	50%	L	
		TOTAL:	46	21	46%	8	17%			2	4%	6	13%		
30	Technicians	New Hires	3	3	100%										
		Promotions	1	1	100%										
		Transfers	2	2	100%										
		Demotions	1	1	100%										
		TOTAL:	7	7	100%										
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	9	8	89%	3	33%		1	1	11%	. 2	22%		
		Promotions	5	5	100%	4	80%	3	60%	1	20%				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Transfers	1	1	100%	1	100%					1	100%		
 -		Demotions	1	1	100%	1	100%			<u> </u>		1	100%		
· · · · · ·		TOTAL:	16	15	94%	9	56%	3	19%	2	13%	4	25%		
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	7		1										
		Promotions	19	1	5%	3	16%	1	5%	1	5%	1	5%		
		Transfers	22	3	14%	4	18%	2	9%	 	1 - 7 -	2	9%		
		Demotions	1		+,		1070		7,0				7,0	<u>,</u> -	
		TOTAL:	49	4	8%	7	14%	3	6%	1	2%	3	6%		

			Total	Fe #	male %	Total	Minority %	B #	lack %	His #	panic %	As	ian %	Native	Amer.
60	Transit Operators	New Hires		"	7	- "	70		70	-	70	#	70	 "	70
		Promotions		<u> </u>	-		 		-						
	·	Transfers	·····								 				
		Demotions				-						•			
		TOTAL:											 		
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires		1	50%			an a sag		1 1		198 - 1989 1		Marine ()	
		Promotions	2			2	67%	. 2	67%						
		Transfers	3						1						
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	5	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%						
80	Protective Services	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions				···-									
		TOTAL:													1
90	Para-Professional	New Hires		· ·											
		Promotions									•				
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:					,								
		Total New Hires:	43	23	52%	7	16%	0	0	2	5%	5	12%	0	0
		Total Promotions:	45	15	33%	10	22%	4	9%	3	7%	3	7%	0	0
		Total Transfers:	31	8	26%	7	23%	4	13%	0	0	2	10%	0	0
		Total Demotions:	5	2	40%	2	40%	0	0	0	0	2	40%	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Department of Public Health

			T-4-1	Fer	nale	Total N	linority	В	lack	His	panic	As	ian	Native	Amer.
			Total	#	%`	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires			Service Visit			A The Today		er fatt New en			्रिक्ष स्था प्रमुख्यानी । विकास	্ট্ৰি কৰা জন্ম ই	
		Promotions	•												
		Transfers									7				
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
20	Professionals	New Hires	42	35	83%	8	19%	5	12%			3	7%		
		Promotions	•			1									
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	42	35	83%	8	19%	5	12%			3	7%		
30	Technicians	New Hires	6	5	83%	2	33%	1	17%			1	17%		
		Promotions													`,
		Transfers								,					
		Demotions									·				
		TOTAL:	6	5	83%	2	33%	1	17%			1	17%		
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	22	16	73%	12	55%	6	27%			5	23%	1	5%
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	22	16	73%	12	55%	6	27%			5	23%	1	5%
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	2												,
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	2									1			

			Total		male		Minority		lack		panic		ian		e Amer.
				#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	%	#	<u> </u>	#	%	#	<u>%</u>
60	Transit Operators	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
	ı	Demotions	·										;		
		TOTAL:	•												
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires									, s. c.				
		Promotions													
		Transfers									·				
		Demotions							1						
		TOTAL:													
80	Protective Services	New Hires							 		1				
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													\S.
90	Para-Professional	New Hires	14	13	93%	8	57%	1	7%	7	50%				
		Promotions													
		Transfers								-					1
		Demotions											·		
		TOTAL:	14	13	93%	8	57%	1	7%	7	50%				1
		Total New Hires:	86	69	80%	30	35%	13	15%	7	8%	9	10%	1	1%
	-	Total Promotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Sheriff's Office

			Total	Fer	nale %	Total N	Minority %	В #	lack %	Hisp #	anic %	As	ian %	Native	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires			TWA CORP.	es espir	er gewend sj	grafia de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição d La composição de la composição	सरा करा बहुतपुरत		1 (5 Mills)	March Active	14 14 14 14 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Belan Dilli	The second second
		Promotions													
		Transfers									-				
		Demotions					:	,							
		TOTAL:				_									
20	Professionals	New Hires	3	3	100%										
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions	•												
		TOTAL:	3	3	100%										
30	Technicians	New Hires	14	10	71%	2	14%	1	7%	1	7%				
		Promotions													,
		Transfers													
	·	Demotions												·	
		TOTAL:	14	10	71%	2	14%	1	7%	1	7%				
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	4	4	100%										
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
	·	Demotions										,			
		TOTAL:	4	4	100%										
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:								`					

			Total		male		Minority		lack	His	panic	As	ian	Native	Amer.
			Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	. %	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires				:									
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	e New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
80	Protective Services	New Hires	34	2	6%	3	9%	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%		
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions									1				
		TOTAL:	34	2	6%	3	9%	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%		· C
90	Para-Professional	New Hires													
		Promotions									•				
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
		Total New Hires:	55	19	35%	5	9%	2	4%	2	4%	1	2%	0	0
		Total Promotions:	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group **Department of Transportation**

	<u> </u>		Total		nale		Minority		lack		oanic		sian .		Amer.
			·	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	1								a judent serie	e jejerte kare tij ge		groter garage	1. 1. 150
		Promotions -	~ [~] 1												
		Transfers									7				
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	2						,						
20	Professionals	New Hires	22	6	27%	6	27%	1	5%	1	5%	4	18%		
		Promotions	26	12	46%	4	15%	3	12%			1	4%		
		Transfers	2	1	50%										
		Demotions									·				
		TOTAL:	50	19	38%	10	20%	4	8%	1	2%	5	10%		
30	Technicians	New Hires	1												
		Promotions	16	1	6%	5	31%	3	19%			2	13%		_
		Transfers											-		
		Demotions	2			1	50%					1	50%		
		TOTAL:	19	1	5%	6	32%	3	16%			3	16%		
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	14	14	100%	5	36%	2	14%			3	21%		1
		Promotions	1			1	100%	1	100%						
		Transfers	1												
		Demotions	2			2	100%	2	100%						
		TOTAL:	18	14	78%	8	44%	5	28%			3	17%		
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	12	1	8%	2	17%	1	8%			1	8%		
	·	Promotions	8			2	25%	1	13%	1	13%				
		Transfers	1												
		Demotions	2								'				
		TOTAL:	23	1	4%	4	17%	2	9%	1	4%	1	4%		

			Total		nale		Minority		lack		panic		ian	Native	e Amer.
	<u> </u>			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	. %	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires	186	34	18%	56	30%	30	16%	9	5%	16	9%	1	1%
		Promotions	2	1	50%										
		Transfers													
		Demotions	4	2	50%										
		TOTAL:	192	37	19%	56	29%	30	16%	9	5%	16	8%	1	1%
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires	18	3	17%	10	56%	5	28%		Properties out	4	22%	1	6%
		Promotions	10	3	30%	4	40%	· 2	20%	2	20%				
		Transfers													
		Demotions	2	·		1	50%	1	50%						
		TOTAL:	30	6	20%	15	50%	8	27%	2	7%	4	13%	1	3%
80	Protective Services	New Hires													
		Promotions									1			 -	
		Transfers													
		Demotions								-					
		TOTAL:													1
90	Para-Professional	New Hires													
		Promotions									·				
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:			,						1				
		Total New Hires:	254	58	23%	79	31%	39	15%	10	4%	28	11%	2	1%
		Total Promotions:	64	17	27%	16	25%	10	16%	3	5%	3	5%	0	0
		Total Transfers:	4	1	25%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	12	2	17%	4	33%	3	25%	0	0	1	8%	0	0

King County Executive Branch January 1 to December 31, 2003 Persons with Disabilities Employee Changes by Department

	DEPARTMENTS		EXE	CBRA	NCH &	EX	EC OFFICE		DAJD	4,-01,000 4 (4,000)		DA			DCHS		-	DDES	
			All #		ND %	All #	PWD # %	All #	* P'	WD %	All #	PV #	VD %	All #	P\ #	ND ₩%	All #	PV #	ND %
10	Officials/ Administrators	New Hires	6	104				1											
		Promotions	1																
		Transfers	100		经验的									満れる					
		Demotions	M. A.						第 500年										
		TOTAL:	7					71.4	27.53										
20	Professionals	New Hires	134	. 2	1.49%					nesto.	1			8			1		
		Promotions	- 55								1								
		Transfers	5-																
		Demotions	2																
		TOTAL:	196	2	1.02%						2			8			1		
30	Technicians	New Hires	42	2	4.76%						15	1	6.67%		\$100 E				
		Promotions	17		Ş.M.B											86 10 8 6 5 7			
		Transfers	2	GOM.	garrier Er dinger progra				2746 F.V.	AND THE									
		Demotions	3					1 4 7 4 A	i Paran					100 mg					
		TOTAL:	64	2	3.13%						15	1	6.67%						
40	Admin Support	New Hires	69	2	2.90%			1			1			a North Allendar Allendar Se	34		1		
		Promotions	8																
		Transfers	4	1.	25%			1.57.7.12 1.57.7.12						1					
		Demotions	3												#175E				
		TOTAL:	84	3.	3.57%			1			1		-	1			1		
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	22						in the second	Va						A 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14			
		Promotions	27	2,8						9.89\u00e4					A. Salar				
		Transfers	23						Vie A					de se					
		Demotions	- 3					7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		The Stage				a nanaka Maria					
		TOTAL:	75					1984.1.1.1. 1984.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1											

	DEPARTMENTS		EXI	C BRA	NCH	EX	EC OFFICE		DAJD			DA		· SALES AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	DCHS			DDES	
			All #	P #	WD %	AII #	PWD # %	All #	P \ #	WD %	All #	# P\	ND %	All #	P #	ND %	AII #	PV #	ND _%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires	186					3/16 3/										"	
		Promotions	2		1100		1.	*	X.244			-	 -						
		Transfers						Asset State	Syrate 1899 Profession										
		Demotions	4	4000	200			de Portodo Algundos Al					<u> </u>						
		TOTAL:	192	معبره	1										\$ 30.0			<u> </u>	
70	Service/ Maintenance	New Hires	21							V.									
		Promotions	12						Y KEY							2 7 7 7			
		Transfers	3						3/2-34					1000					
		Demotions	2					200											
		TOTAL:	38					48.79%										<u> </u>	
80	Protective Services	New Hires	36														1		
		Promotions	3					3											
		Transfers								Page 1						76 T.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Demotions		i i ja v				3 100 144	ayl 144				·					,	
		TOTAL:	39					3						83.3			1		
90	Para- Professional	New Hires	14											Vesti					
		Promotions														8316			
		Transfers															*		
		Demotions												建筑					
		TOTAL:	14							600				LEY CARRY					
	To	otal New Hires:	530	6	1.13%	3		2			17	1	5.88%	8			3		
		al Promotions:	125					3			1								
		Total Transfers:	37	1	2.70%									1					
		tal Demotions: DEPARTMENT:	17 709	7	0.99%	3		5			18	1	5.56%	9			3		

King County Executive Branch January 1 to December 31, 2003 Persons with Disabilities Employee Changes by Department, Continued

	DEPARTMENTS			DES			DJA		10 mg	DNRP			DPH		STATES TO	KCSO	\$K.\$		DOT	
			All #	P\ #	ND %	Ali #	# P\	WD ·	All.	P\ #	ND %	All #	# P'	WD %	All #	# P\	ND %	All #	# P\	WD %
10	Officials/ Administrators	New Hires	2	عملون الم					1							1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		1		
		Promotions															*	1		
		Transfers		3 (2)											-5×					
		Demotions															35			
		TOTAL:	2						1		14.4					Section 18	. (2.1) (1.1) (1.1)	2		
20	Professionals	New Hires	26	j. 1 :	3.85%	6			23			42	1	2.38%	3			22		
		Promotions	10						18									26		
		Transfers							3	,								2		
		Demotions							2								45			,
		TOTAL:	36	1	2.78%	6		· · · · · ·	46			42	1	2.38%	3	1		50		
30	Technicians	New Hires	2			1			3			6	1	16.67%	14			1		
		Promotions							1									16		
		Transfers							2											
		Demotions							1									2		
		TOTAL:	2,			1			7	-		6	1	16.67%	14			19		
40	Admin Support	New Hires	13			4			. 9	2	22.22%	22			4			14		
		Promotions	2						5									1		
	·	Transfers	1						1									1	1	100%
		Demotions							1									2		
		TOTAL:	16			4			16	2	12.50%	22			4			18	1	5.56%
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	1		·				7			2						12		
		Promotions							19									8		
		Transfers							22									1		
		Demotions							1									2		
		TOTAL:	1						49			2				I		23		

	DEPARTMENTS		Tarre and	DES	Apartic Cons		DJA			DNRP			DPH			KCSO			DOT	
			All #	#	PWD %	All #	# P	WD %	All	P)	WD %	All #	P\ #	WD %	All #	. P\ #	ND %	AII #	PV #	WD %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires			100										- / s / s = 4			186		
n.		Promotions	7.000															2		T
		Transfers		in the second																<u> </u>
		Demotions																4		
		TOTAL:		1										 		Nacy de		192		
70	Service/ Maintenance	New Hires	3															18		
		Promotions					,		2						1300	eregan Sa Bulkin Ca		10		
		Transfers							3											t
		Demotions	11.7															2		
		TOTAL:	3						5						3 30			30		
80	Protective Services	New Hires	1												34					
		Promotions									N. N. H.				7.5					
		Transfers									2 2					1.00				
		Demotions														4.74				
		TOTAL:	1												34					<u> </u>
90	Para- Professional	New Hires										14								
		Promotions																		
		Transfers							1.0						7. 1. 2. 2. 1. 2.	12.5				
		Demotions																		
		TOTAL:							13.07	di de fai		14							÷	
	To	otal New Hires:	48	1	2.08%	11			43	2	4.65%	86	2	2.33%	55			254		
	Tot	al Promotions:	12						45									64		
	-	Total Transfers:	1						31									4	1	25%
	To	tal Demotions:							5									12		
	TOTAL PER	DEPARTMENT:	61	. 1	1.64%	11			124	2	1.61%	86	2	2.33%	55			334	1	0.3%

2005-2006 EEO/AA Plan (2/16/05)

Attachment 2: 2003 Year End AA Reports - Continued

2003 Workforce Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender by Department

Workforce Summary Analysis Page Numbers

Executive Branch	92
Executive's Office	
Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention	
Assessor's Office	
Department of Community & Human Services	
Department of Development & Environmental Services	
Department of Executive Services	
Department of Judicial Administration	
Department of Natural Resources & Parks	
Department of Public Health	
Sheriff's Office	
Department of Transportation	
2003 Summary Analysis for Persons with Disabilities by Department	

King County Executive Branch January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Executive Branch

		Total	Fer	nale	Total N	/linority	BI	ack	Hisp	oanic	As	ian	Nativ	e Amer.
		iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	90	34				a Sara	1 1 1 1 1 1	eriuri (ki	1 222 9		પ્રાથમિક કર્યા હતા.		
		Employees	42	46.7%	25	27.8%	14	15.6%			10	11.1%	1	1.1%
		Availability				•				,				
	Goals	Underutilized					;					*		
20	Professionals	3,239												
		Employees	1,669	51.5%	794	24.5%	257	7.9%	104	3.2%	393	12.1%	40	1.2%
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
30	Technicians	837	-											
		Employees	354	42.3%	182	21.7%	66	7.9%	20	2.4%	91	10.9%	5	0.6%
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized					•							
40	Administrative Support	1,409									,			
		Employees	1,113	79%	566	40.2%	205	14.5%	68	4.8%	255	18.1%	38	2.7%
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
50	Skilled Craft	934												
		Employees	83	8.9%	196	21%	87	9.3%	23	2.5%	68	7.3%	18	1.9%
		Availability		:										
	Goals	Underutilized												1
60	Transit Operators	2,745							·					1
		Employees	605	22%	965	35.2%	632	23%	88	3.2%	212	7.7%	33	1.2%
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total	Fer #	nale %	Total N #	linority %	Bla #	ack %	Hisp #	anic %	As #	ian %	Native	Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance	936	en aloria			i gradania na		Land Charles	Se reflections		न्स्यवस्थात्रम् अस्तिह		endowen with the con-	
		Employees	171	18.3%	358	38.2%	151	16.1%	46	4.9%	137	14.6%	24	2.6%
		Availability								:		-		
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	1,502												
		Employees	294	19.6%	452	30.1%	219	14.6%	71	4.7%	139	9.3%	23	1.5%
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	121			-									
		Employees	96	79.3%	60	49.6%	14	11.6%	28	23.1%	18	14.9%		., .
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
Grai	nd Total: 11,813	100%	4,427	37.5%	3,598	30.5%	1,645	13.9%	448	3.8%	1,323	11.2%	182	1.5%

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Executive's Office

		Total		male		linority	Bla			anic		ian		Amer.
		IUtai	#	%	#	%	#	%	• #	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						g problem filosofi T	datak publi	न्य क्षेत्रिक स्टब्स् व	क्षेत्रम् अवस्य योद्धिः		
		Employees	5	45.5%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%			2	18.2%		
		Availability	5	41.8%	2	14.1%	1	4.9%	0	2.8%	1	4.7%	0	1.7%
	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	62												
		Employees	35	56.5%	19	30.9%	10	16.1%	2	3.2%	7	11.3%		
		Availability	32	51.5%	6	9.4%	2	3.8%	1	2%	2	2.8%	1	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized											1	Yes
30	Technicians	0				·								
		Employees			· · ·									
		Availability	0	46.4%	0	13.1%	0	3.6%	0	2.3%	0	5.8%	0	1.3%
	Goals	Underutilized												`
40	Administrative Support	18												
		Employees	17	94.4%	6	33,3%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	4	22.2%		
		Availability	18	98.6%	1	8.3%	. 0	2.4%	0	1.4%	1 .	2.9%	0	1.6%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes				-						
50	Skilled Craft	0			,	·								
		Employees												
		Availability		-										
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total	Fer	nale %	Total I	Minority %	BI #	ack %	Hisp #	anic %	As	ian %	Native	Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance	0			H. F. T. F. F. C.		11.000.54	The second second	A CALL DEFT		मात्राच्या एक	हुत स्थानक, सम्बद्	Sept Agency	
		Employees											<u> </u>	
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	0												
		Employees										<u> </u>		
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
Grai	nd Total: 91	100%	57	62.6%	28	30.8%	12	13.2%	3	3.3%	13	14.3%		

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention

		7-4-1	Fei	nale	Total /	Minority	Bla	ack	Hisp	anic	As	ian	Native	Amer.
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	6	ere des pr			r Prage of Last ever		र के इंग्डिंग के रिकेट्स की	problem in the	TO MURRING.	al a ulgani a An		Art of the Control	লভাই পূর্ণ ক্রমণ বিশ্বর
		Employees	3,	50%	4	66.7%	4	66.7%						
		Availability	2	25.8%	1	18.7%	0	3.2%	0	6%	0	7.9%	0	1.6%
	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	82												
		Employees	38	46.3%	27	32.9%	12	14.6%	4	4.9%	9	11%	2	2.4%
		Availability	29	35.7%	12	15%	6	7.2%	2	1.9%	3	3.5%	1	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized												
30	Technicians	4	•											
		Employees			1	25%					1	25%		
		Availability	2	37.9%	0	10%	0	3.2%	0	2.3%	0	3.9%	0	0.7%
	Goals	Underutilized	2	Yes	**									`
40	Administrative Support	39												
		Employees	34	87.2%	15	38.5%	6	15.4%	1	2.6%	7	17.9%	1	2.6%
		Availability	37	94.7%	4	9.3%	1	3.1%	1	1.6%	1	3.1%	1	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	3	Yes										
50	Skilled Craft	1												
		Employees	1	100%										
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators	0		-										
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total	Fe	male %	Total N	Ainority %	BI #	ack %	His _l	oanic %	As #	sian %	Native	e Amer. %
70	Service/Maintenance	37		r in the Weight	Marin St. Marin		. 1 17	The Constitution	अवस्था प्रश्नी भूत है।	्राक्षा तु २ सम्बद्धाः समृद्धे	Bernott en e		armond	
		Employees	9	24.3%	19	51.4%	8	21.6%	1	2.7%	10	27%		
		Availability	18	48.9%	8	22.2%	2	5.1%	2	4.4%	4	11%	1	1.7%
	Goals	Underutilized	-9	Yes					1	Yes			1	Yes
80	Protective Services	686	-						***					T
		Employees	165	24.1%	298	43.4%	178	25.9%	40	5.8%	70	10.2%	10	1.5%
		Availability	151	22%	116	16.9%	64	9.3%	19	2.8%	21	3.1%	6	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	19	-											
		Employees	16	84.2%	8	42.1%	4	21.1%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%		1
		Availability	4	22.3%	1	4.9%	0	1.4%	0	0.5%	1	2.7%	0	0.4%
	Goals	Underutilized											3	
Grar	nd Total: 874	100%	266	30.4%	372	42.6%	212	24.3%	48	5.5%	99	11.3%	13	1.5%

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Assessor's Office

		Total		nale		Minority		ick		anic		ian		Amer.
ļ		10441	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	6		·					7	A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A 100 A				
		Employees	2	33.3%	1	16.7%					1	16.7%		
-		Availability	3	57.1%	0	1.3%	0	4.3%	0	2.1%	0	4.2%	0	1.8%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes						:				
20	Professionals	17					·							
		Employees	7	41.2%	. 3	17.6%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%		
		Availability	10	57.1%	2	9.7%	0	2.5%	1	4.2%	1	4.5%	0	1%
	Goals	Underutilized	3	Yes										
30	Technicians	145												
		Employees	65	44.8%	29	20%	3	2.1%	2	1.4%	. 23	15.9%	. 1	0.7%
		Availability	80	55%	16	11.1%	4	2.5%	5	3.4%	7	5%	0	0.2%
	Goals	Underutilized	15	Yes			1	Yes	3	Yes				
40	Administrative Support	56												
		Employees	44	78.6%	26	46.4%	10	17.9%	2	3.6%	12	21.4%	2	3.6%
		Availability	44	77.9%	7	11.8%	2	3.4%	1	2.2%	3	4.9%	1	1.3%
	Goals	Underutilized												
50	Skilled Craft	0			,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators	0							Ī					
		Employees												
		Availability					,			<u> </u>				
	Goals	Underutilized									1			

		Total	Fei #	nale %	Total I	Minority %	BI #	lack %	Hisp #	anic %	# A:	sian %	Native	Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance	0	** : : : : : :	Transfer of	कर्म सुरक्ष	Tally membranes et al.	ere e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		र्के, क्रीयम हात्र -	on Testing in	Professional Control	1 840 AV 400		To be seen to a
	·	Employees						-						
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	0												
		Employees					-							
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	0						;						
		Employees												
		Availability	0	61.1%	0	13.4%	0	2.2%	0	1.9%	0	8.5%	0	0.7%
	Goals	Underutilized												2.770
Grai	nd Total: 224	100%	118	52.7%	59	26.3%	14	6.3%	5	2.2%	37	16.5%	3	1.3%

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Department of Community & Human Services

		Total		male		Minority	Bla			anic		ian		Amer.
ļ			#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	5		ļ	Ĺ	ļ								
		Employees	. 4	80%	3	60%	1	20%			2	40%		
L		Availability	3	50.1%	1	10.6%	0	3.9%	0	2%	0	3.1%	0	1.6%
	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	217												
		Employees	131	60.4%	74	34.1%	24	11.1%	10	4.6%	34	15.7%	6	2.8%
		Availability	119	55%	27	12.7%	12	5.4%	3	1.4%	8	3.7%	3	1.3%
	Goals	Underutilized												
30	Technicians	36												
		Employees	14	38.9%	5	13.9%			1	2.8%	4	11.1%		
		Availability	14	40.2%	4	10.2%	1	2.7%	1	1.9%	1	3.8%	1	1.8%
	Goals	Underutilized			-		1	Yes	:				1	Yes
40	Administrative Support	54												
		Employees	45	83.3%	28	51.9%	10	18.5%	6	11.1%	10	18.5%	2 -	3.7%
		Availability	47	86.3%	5	9%	1	2.6%	1	1.7%	2	3.2%	1	1.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	2	Yes										
50	Skilled Craft	0												
,		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees			·									
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total		nale		/linority		ack	Hisp	panic		sian		e Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance		#	%	#	%	#	<u>%</u>	# Batylet Wheel	%	#	%	#	%
		Employees	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>								
		Availability			·								-	
	Goals	Underutilized												+
80	Protective Services	0	***											
		Employees								-				
		Availability					-							
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	10												
		Employees	4	40%	6	60%	3	30%			3	30%		
		Availability	8	76.1%	1	12.6%	0	4.8%	0	2.5%	0	3.2%	0	2.1%
	Goals	Underutilized	4	Yes										1
Gra	nd Total: 322	100%	198	61.5%	116	36%	38	11.8%	17	5.3%	53	16.5%	8	2.5%

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Department of Development & Environmental Services

		Total		nale		Minority		lack		anic		sian		Amer.
		lotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	3										1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		L
		Employees 📆												
		Availability	1	46.1%	0	13.9%	0	5.7%	0	5.7%	. 0	1.9%	0	0.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes						:				
20	Professionals	113												
		Employees	34	30.1%	25	22.1%	2	1.8%	4	3.5%	17	15%	2	1.8%
		Availability	32	28.2%	9	7.8%	5	4.7%	4	3.4%	2	2.2%	0	0.2%
	Goals	Underutilized					3	Yes						
30	Technicians	54					•							
		Employees	17	31.5%	15	27.8%	8	14.8%	2	3.7%	4	7.4%	1	1.9%
		Availability	18	32.5%	5	9.5%	2	3.5%	1	1.5%	2	3.1%	1	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										***
40	Administrative Support	39												
		Employees	32	82.1%	13	33.3%	5	12.8%	2	5.1%	6	15.4%		
		Availability	35	90.7%	4	9.5%	1	3.1%	1	1.7%	1	3.3%	1	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	3	Yes									1	Yes
50	Skilled Craft	0												
		Employees						·						
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized					-							
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total	Fe	male %	Total I	Minority %	# #	lack %	Hisp #	anic %	# A:	sian %	Native	Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance	4			र्स अस्तरम		rij pri ilika		energy of the co	A STATE OF STATE	Participal Pro-	इतिहरू है। इतिहरू	je drijen dagisa ne na	TOTAL STREET
		Employees	2	50%										
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	11												
		Employees	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	2	18.2%						
		Availability			0	0.8%	0	0.8%				-		
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	0								,				·
		Employees												
		Availability	•											
	Goals	Underutilized						 				<u> </u>		
Grai	nd Total: 224	100%	87	38.8%	55	24.6%	17	7.6%	8	3.6%	27	12.1%	3	1.3%

King County Executive Branch January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Department of Executive Services

	·	Total	Fer #	nale %	Total N	Ainority %	Bla #	ack %	Hisp #	anic %	As	ian %	Native	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	17	#	70	## 	70	# · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	70		70	Atack More	70	# \$6 X10 760	70
10	Officials/Administrators	Employees	9	52.9%	: 3	23.5%	2	11.8%	<u> </u>		2	11.8%		
		Availability	7	40.9%	2	9.8%	1	3.1%	0	2.2%	1	3.2%	0	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized		40.9%		9.0%	. I	3.170	-	2.270	<u>'</u>	3.270	<u> </u>	1.270
-		,			-		•							
20	Professionals	406	201	40.50/	104	25.604	42	10.20/	10	4.40/	42	10.60/	<u> </u>	0.20/
ļ		Employees	201	49.5%	104	25.6%	42	10.3%	18	4.4%	43	10.6%	1	0.2%
		Availability	174	42.9%	42	10.4%	10	2.4%	8	2.1%	19	4.8%	5	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized											4	Yes
30	Technicians	42											ļ	
		Employees	10	23.8%	10	23.8%	4	9.5%	. 1	2.4%	4	9.5%	1	2.4%
		Availability	18	43.5%	5	11.5%	1	3.1%	1	2.4%	2	4.8%	0	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized	8	Yes									<u> </u>	` .
40	Administrative Support	239												
		Employees	175	73.2%	99	41.4%	35	14.6%	9	3.8%	46	19.2%	9	3.8%
		Availability	204	85.2%	22	9.3%	7	2.8%	4	1.6%	8	3.4%	4	1.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	29	Yes										
50	Skilled Craft	76												
		Employees	11	14.5%	27	35.5%	16	21.1%			8	10.5%	3	3.9%
		Availability	9	11.7%	8	10.1%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	3	4%	1	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized							2	Yes				
60	Transit Operators	0		1				1						
		Employees												
		Availability							1					
	Goals	Underutilized				"								

		Total	Fei #	male %	Total N	linority %	Bi #	lack %	Hisp #	oanic %	# As	ian %	Native	Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance	94	and the sp	1. 1.81	Train of	NATIONAL PROPERTY.	TO THE STREET		r Harinan	1 1/10 (1/11)		70	"	70
		Employees	23	24.5%	58	61.7%	22	23.4%	8	8.5%	25	26.6%	3	3.2%
		Availability	29	31.1%	11	11.3%	4	3.9%	2	2.1%	4	3.8%	1	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	6	Yes										
80	Protective Services	93												
		Employees	19	20.4%	32	34.4%	3	3.2%	3	3.2%	25	26.9%	1	1.1%
		Availability	17	17.8%	18	19.1%	6	6.9%	6	6.8%	3	3.7%	1	1.6%
	Goals	Underutilized					3	Yes	3	Yes				
90	Para-Professional	0											N.	
		Employees												
		Availability	0	79%	0	12.4%	- 0	4.4%	0	2.8%	0	3.8%	0	1.3%
	Goals	Underutilized							 ·					
Grar	nd Total: 967	100%	448	46.3%	334	34.5%	124	12.8%	39	4%	153	15.8%	18	1.9%

January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Department of Judicial Administration

		Total	Fer	nale	Total I	Minority	В	lack	His	panic		ian	Nativ	e Amer.
<u> </u>		Iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	1				, ·				Party Street	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Sales Sales	1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 5-11 (4-80 × 1)
		Employees	1	100%	1	100%							1	100%
		Availability									,			
	Goals	Underutilized											•	
20	Professionals	37												
	·	Employees	22	59.5%	10	27%	4	10.8%	1	2.7%	4	10.8%	1	2.7%
		Availability	23	62.2%	4	9.8%	1	3.9%			1	3.7%	0.	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										
30	Technicians	4							•					
		Employees												
		Availability	1	27.6%	. 1	12.8%	0	2.8%	0	1.6%	0	7.6%	0	0.6%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes	1	Yes								1
40	Administrative Support	169												
	·	Employees	122	72.2%	70	41.4%	23	13.6%	6	3.6%	40	23.7%	1	0.6%
		Availability	121	71.9%	23	13.3%	8	4.6%	4	2.5%	8	4.7%	3	1.5%
	Goals	Underutilized											2	Yes
50	Skilled Craft	0												
		Employees												
		Availability				•								
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total	Fer #	nale %	Total I	Minority %	BI #	ack %	His _l	panic %	As #	sian %	Native	e Amer. %
70	Service/Maintenance	0				· / · · · · · // · · · · · · ·	1 174		Manager of a second	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	e program (1995) 1997	े इंडरेन्ट्र के केंद्रिय		ी प्राप्तिक वर्षकर्ताः विकास
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	0									,			1
		Employees					•							
		Availability												-
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	0												
		Employees												1
		Availability	0	66%	0	14.2%	0	5.9%	0	2.5%	0	4%	0	1.7%
	Goals	Underutilized												
Grai	nd Total: 211	100%	145	68.7%	81	38.4%	27	12.8%	7	3.3%	44	20.9%	3	1.4%

King County Executive Branch January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Department of Natural Resources & Parks

		Tatal	Fer	nale	Total N	/linority	BI	ack	Hisp	anic	As	ian	Native	Amer.
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	# .	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	9				2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	24 T		्रमा अणिक्षी सम्बद्धियाः 	er er alla Mangl	Antikaran 1994	Section of the section	in the second	_F=100(655_54)
		Employees	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	2 ·	22.2%			1	11.1%		
		Availability	3	35.9%	1	11.2%	0	3.4%	0	2%	0	4.7%	0	1%
	Goals	Underutilized								-				
20	Professionals	725												
		Employees	284	39.2%	138	19%	. 36	5%	11	1.5%	83	11.4%	8	1.1%
		Availability	299	41.3%	108	14.9%	26	3.6%	13	1.9%	64	8.8%	4	0.6%
	Goals	Underutilized	15	Yes					2	Yes				
30	Technicians	61												
		Employees	35	57.4%	12	19.7%	7	11.5%			5	8.2%		
		Availability	16	25.9%	6	9.9%	2	3%	1	1.4%	3	4.6%	1	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized							1	Yes			1	Yes
40	Administrative Support	148												
		Employees	125	84.5%	45	30.4%	15	10.1%	6	4.1%	21	14.2%	3	2%
		Availability	106	71.5%	28	18.8%	13	8.5%	5	3.4%	6	4.1%	4	2.9%
	Goals	Underutilized										,	1	Yes
50	Skilled Craft	249												
		Employees	30	12%	51	20.5%	23	9.2%	11	4.4%	11	4.4%	6	2.4%
		Availability	38	15.3%	38	15.3%	11	4.5%	10	4.2%	11	4.4%	5	2.2%
	Goals	Underutilized	8	Yes										
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized		·										

		Total	Fe #	male %	Total I	Minority %	BI #	ack %		panic		sian		e Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance	262	Secretaries	70 F (1) UNAS 1986	- "	70	##	70	# (***) + (*)	<u>%</u>	#	%	# 251 (11 (21 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (1	<u>%</u>
		Employees	51	19.5%	72	27.5%	34	13%	11	4.2%	18	6.9%	9	3.4%
		Availability	40	15.1%	54	20.6%	24	9.2%	11	4.2%	10	3.9%	8	3.2%
	Goals	Underutilized					·			1		3.3 70	<u> </u>	3.270
80	Protective Services	5	·								 	· ·		<u> </u>
		Employees	3	60%	2	40%	1	20%	<u></u>		1	20%		<u> </u>
		Availability									· · · · · ·	2070		
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	0								1 2				
		Employees	·											
		Availability	0	72%	0	14.2%	0	10%	0	0.6%	0	0.9%	0	2.7%
	Goals	Underutilized										3.570		2 /0
Grar	nd Total: 1,459	100%	531	36.4%	323	22.1%	118	8.1%	39	2.7%	140	9.6%	26	1.8%

King County Executive Branch January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Department of Public Health

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tatal	Fen	nale	Total N	linority	Bla	ck	Hisp	anic	As	ian	Native	
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	10								Programme and the second	요한 왕 하 있다	Comment of the second		The wife the
		Employees	6	60%	1	10%	1	10%						
		Availability	4	39.7%	1	13.5%	0	4.4%	0	2.4%	0	4.6%	0	2%
	Goals	Underutilized								:				
20	Professionals	787												
		Employees	616	78.3%	201	25.5%	57	7.2%	43	5.5%	90	11.4%	11	1.4%
		Availability	528	67.1%	83	10.5%	22	2.8%	33	4.2%	36	4.5%	7	0.9%
	Goals	Underutilized												
30	Technicians	166					1.							
		Employees	69	41.6%	47	28.3%	14	8.4%	6	3.6%	26	15.7%	1	0.6%
		Availability	80	48.1%	23	14%	7	4.3%	4	2.2%	11	6.5%	2	1.1%
	Goals	Underutilized	11	Yes									1	Yes
40	Administrative Support	298												
		Employees	259	86.9%	144	48.3%	48	16.1%	24	8.1%	63	21.1%	9	3%
		Availability	192	64.6%	39	13.1%	12	4%	8	2.6%	15	5%	5	1.5%
	Goals	Underutilized												
50	Skilled Craft	16												
		Employees	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	. 1	6.3%						
		Availability	13	83.6%	2	15.3%	1	5.1%	0	2.8%	1	5.4%	0	1.9%
	Goals	Underutilized	11	Yes	1	Yes					1	Yes		
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total	Fer #	nale %	Total f	/linority %	Bla #	ack %	Hisp #	panic %	# As	ian %		Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance	5	en energie		end Later Server		- 11 	70	T Grander Total C	70		70	# 7970 9525387	<u>%</u>
		Employees			2	40%	2	40%						
-		Availability	2	35.9%	1	21.5%	0	5.7%	0	4.2%	0	6.9%	0	4.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	2	Yes			·							1.070
80	Protective Services	3												
		Employees												
		Availability	1	22.7%	1	17.5%	0	9.9%	0	2.9%	0	3.1%	0	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes	1	Yes	 				•			0.075
90	Para-Professional	80			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
		Employees	· 67	83.8%	38	47.5%	6	7.5%	24	30%	8	10%		
		Availability	55	69.3%	13 .	16%	6	6.9%	2	3%	3	4.2%	2	1.9%
	Goals	Underutilized							٠.				2	Yes
Grar	nd Total: 1,365	100%	1,019	74.7%	434	31.8%	129	9.5%	97	7.1%	187	13.7%	21	1.5%

King County Executive Branch January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Female Total Minority Black Hispanic Asian Native Amer. Total % % # % # % # Officials/Administrators 11 **Employees** 54.5% 2 18.2% 9.1% 9.1% 6 6.3% 5.7% 3.9% 2.1% **Availability** 31.5% 2 18% 0 0 Underutilized 1 Yes Goals 20 **Professionals** 46 **Employees** 20 43.5% 4 8.7% 2.2% 3 6.5% Availability 15 32.8% 5 10.4% 2 5.1% 1 1.2% 2 3.7% 0 0.5% Underutilized Goals 1 Yes Yes 1 Yes **Technicians** 161 30 2.5% 15 **Employees** 111 68.9% 18.6% 9.3% 30 11 6.8% 4 Availability 60% 21 13.3% 8 5.2% 3 2% 10 5.9% 0 0.1% **Underutilized** `* Goals Administrative Support 114 **Employees** 83.3% 95 36 31.6% 10 8.8% 8 7% 15 13.2% 3 2.6% Availability 92 80.9% 26 23.1% 10 8.9% 3.2% 10 8.9% 2.1% Goals **Underutilized Skilled Craft Employees** Availability **Underutilized** Goals **Transit Operators Employees Availability** Únderutilized Goals

Sheriff's Office

		Total	Fer	nale	Total N	Minority	BI	ack	His	panic	А	sian	Nativ	e Amer.
		IOCAI	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	# .	. %
70	Service/Maintenance	0												T
		Employees				· ·								
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	701												
		Employees	105	15%	118	16.8%	35	5%	28	4%	43	6.1%	12	1.7%
		Availability	95	13.5%	95	13.5%	37	5.3%	17	2.5%	31	4.4%	10	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized					2	Yes						
90	Para-Professional	7										 		
		Employees	4	57.1%	4	57.1%	 -		2	28.6%	2	28.6%		
		Availability	4	60.6%	1	17.4%	1	7.4%	0	3.2%	0	4.8%	0	1.8%
	Goals	Underutilized					1	Yes						
Grai	nd Total: 1,040	100%	341	32.8%	194	18.7%	58	5.6%	42	4%	79	7,6%	15	1.4%

King County Executive Branch January 1 to December 31, 2003 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Department of Transportation

		Total	Fer	nale	Total N	linority	Bla	ack	Hisp	anic	As	ian	Native	Amer.
		iotai	#	%	#	%	##	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	11												
		Employees	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	2	18.2%			1	9.1%		
		Availability	4	33.8%	1	6.9%	. 0	2.1%	0	1.7%	0	2.5%	0	0.7%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										
20	Professionals	747												
		Employees	281	37.6%	189	25.3%	68	9.1%	10	1.3%	102	13.7%	9	1.2%
		Availability	218	29.2%	84	11.2%	29	3.9%			36	4.8%	7	1%
•	Goals	Underutilized												
30	Technicians	164												
		Employees	33	20.1%	33	20.1%	19	11.6%	4	2.4%	[′] 9	5.5%	1	0.6%
		Availability	53	32.5%	14	8.5%	5	3.2%	4	2.4%	4	2.5%	1	0.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	20	Yes										
40	Administrative Support	235							-					
		Employees	165	70.2%	84	35.7%	42	7.9%	3	1.3%	31	13.2%	8	3.4%
		Availability	165	70.3%	25	10.6%	7	3.1%	5	2.2%	9	3.8%	3	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized							2	Yes				
50	Skilled Craft	592												
		Employees	39	6.6%	117	19.8%	47	17.9%	12	2%	49	8.3%	9	1.5%
		Availability	50	8.5%	60	10.1%	15	2.5%	11	1.9%	20	3.4%	14	2.3%
	Goals	Underutilized	11	Yes									5	Yes
60	Transit Operators	2,745												
		Employees	605	22%	965	32.5%	632	23%	88	3.2%	212	7.7%	33	1.2%
		Availability	758	27.6%	326	11.9%	215	7.8%	27	1%	55	2%	26	0.9%
	Goals	Underutilized	153	Yes										

		Total	Fei	nale	Total N	linority	ВІ	ack	His	oanic	A:	sian	Native	e Amer.
		Iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	# .	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance	534					:							T
		Employees	86	16.1%	207	38.8%	85	15.9%	26	4.9%	84	15.7%	12	2.2%
		Availability	114	21.3%	68	12.7%	18	3.4%	17	3.2%	. 18	3.4%	14	2.7%
	Goals	Underutilized	28	Yes					•				2	Yes
80	Protective Services	3												
		Employees												
		Availability	1	25.3%	0	13.6%	0	5.3%	0	2.7%	0	3.7%	0	1.8%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										
90	Para-Professional	5												
		Employees	5	100%	4	80%	1	20%			3	60%		
		Availability	2	44.4%	0	9.9%	0	2.5%	0	1.9%	0	4.5%	0	1%
	Goals	Underutilized												
Grai	nd Total: 5,036	100%	1,217	24.2%	1,602	31.8%	896	17.8%	143	2.8%	491	9.7%	72	1.4%

King County Executive Branch 2003 Summary Analysis for Persons with Disabilities by Department

		Total	E>	сес	Exec	Office	D	AJD	C	Α	DI	ICS		ES#
		iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		%
10	Officials/Administrators		90		11	All	6	All	6	All	5	All	3	All
		Employees	4	4.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
		Availability			1	6.14%	0	6.09%	0	6.08%	0_	6.40%	0	6.17%
	Goals	Underutilized			1	Yes								
20	Professionals		3239		62	All	82	All	17	All	217	Ali	113	All
		Employees	98	3.03%	2	3.23%	7	8.54%	0	0.00%	9	4.15%	. 3	2.65%
		Availability			4	6.83%	6	7.81%	1	6.06%	16	7.28%	9	8.24%
	Goals	Underutilized			2	Yes			1	Yes	7	Yes	6	Yes
30	Technicians		837		0	All	4	All	145	All	36	All	54	All
		Employees	25	2.99%	0		0	0.00%	5	3.45%	1	2.78%	3	5.56%
		Availability			0	6.18%	0	6.82%	23	16.16%	2	6.51%	4	8.06%
	Goals	Underutilized							18	Yes	1	Yes	1	Yes
40	Administrative Support		1409		18	All	39	All	56	All	54	All	39	All
		Employees	96	6.81%	2	11.11%	1	2.56%	4	7.14%	6	11.11%	3	7.69%
		Availability			2	9.86%	4	9.95%	5	9.15%	5	9.59%	4	9.88%
	Goals	Underutilized					3	Yes	1	Yes			1	Yes
50	Skilled Craft		934		0	All	1	All	0	All	0	All	0	All
		Employees	25	2.68%	0		0	0.00%						
		Availability			0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators		2745		0	All	0	All	0	All	0	All	0	All
		Employees	16	0.58%	0		0		0 -		0		0	
		Availability			0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	Goals Underutilized													

		Total	#	ec %	Exec #	Office %	# D/	AJD %	#	OA %	DI #	HCS %)ES #
70	Service/Maintenance		936			All	37	All	0	All	-0	All	4	All
		Employees	54	5.77%	0		1	2.70%	.0		0		0	0.00%
		Availability			0	0.00%	5	14.12%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	Goals	Underutilized					4	Yes						
80	Protective Services		1502		0	All	686	All	0	All	0	All	11	All
		Employees	21	1.40%	0		14	2.04%	0		0		1	9.09%
		Availability			0	0.00%	68	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Goals	Underutilized					54	Yes						
90	Para-Professional		121		0	All	19	All	. 0	All	10	All	0	All
		Employees	6	4.96%	0		0	0.00%	0		0	0.00%	0	
		Availability			0	0.00%	1	4.18%	0	5.56%	2	17.37%	0	0.00%
	Goals	Underutilized					1	Yes			2	Yes		
Grar	nd Total:	All employees	11813		91		874		224		322		224	
		All PWD	345	2.92%	4	4.40%	23	2.63%	9	4.02%	16	4.97%	10	4.46%

King County Executive Branch 2003 Summary Analysis for Persons with Disabilities by Department

Continued

		1	D	ES	D	JA	DI	NRP	D	PH	КС	.so	D	ОТ
	• .	Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators		17	All	1	All	9	All	10	All	11	All	11	All
		Employees	3	17.65%	0	0.00%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
		Availability	1	6.17%	0	0.00%	1	6.10%	1	5.16%	1	6.17%	1	6.22%
	Goals	Underutilized							1	Yes	1	Yes	1	Yes
20	Professionals		406	All	37	All	725	All	787	All	46	All	747	All
		Employees	13	3.20%	1	2.70%	12	1.66%	21	2.67%	3	6.5%	27	3.61%
		Availability	25	6.12%	2	5.49%	27	3.70%	62	7.92%	4	7.73%	56	7.55%
	Goals	Underutilized	12	Yes	1	Yes	15	Yes	41	Yes	1	Yes	29	Yes
30	Technicians		42	All	4	All	61	All	166	All	161	All	164	All
		Employees	1	2.38%	0	0.00%	2	3.28%	5	3.01%	3	1.86%	5	3.05%
		Availability	3	7.37%	0	6.61%	- 5	7.90%	17	10.3%	6	3.54%	17	10.16%
	Goals	Underutilized	2	Yes			3	Yes	12	Yes	3	Yes	12	Yes
40	Administrative Support		239	All	169	All	148	All	298	All	114	All	235	All
		Employees	17	7.11%	8	4.73%	12	8.11%	18	6.04%	6	5.26%	19	8.09%
		Availability	23	9.65%	14	8.16%	15	9.80%	29	9.84%	8	7.03%	23	9.96%
	Goals	Underutilized	6	Yes	6	Yes	3	Yes	11	Yes	2	Yes	4	Yes
50	Skilled Craft		76	All	0	All	249	All	16	Ali	0	All	592	All
		Employees	2	2.63%			3	1.20%		0.00%			20	3.38%
		Availability	6	8.48%	0	0.00%	23	9.20%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	55	9.35%
	Goals	Underutilized	4	Yes			20	Yes					35	Yes
60	Transit Operators		0	All	0	All	0	All	0	All	0	All	2745	All
		Employees	0		0		0		0		0		16	0.58%
		Availability	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	14	0.50%
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total	Ε	DES	ı	OJA	DI	NRP	D	PH	KC	SO	D	ОТ
		iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance		94	All	0	All	262	All	5	All	0	All	534	All
		Employees	11	11.70%	0		27	10.31%	0	0.00%	0		15	2.81%
		Availability	8	8.87%	0	0.00%	30	11.60%	1	11.81%	0	0.00%	61	11.39%
	Goals	Underutilized					3	Yes	1	Yes			46	Yes
80	Protective Services		93	All	0	All	5	All	3	All	701	All	. 3	All
		Employees	1	1.08%	0		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	0.71%	0	0.00%
	`	Availability	15	15.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	8.2%	61	8.7%	0	7.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	14	Yes							56	Yes		
90	Para-Professional		0	All	0	Ali	0	All	80	All	7	All	5	All
		Employees	0		0		0		5	6.25%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%
		Availability	0	10.86%	0	7.95%	0	7.80%	8	9.71%	1	8.63%	0	5.87%
	Goals	Underutilized							3	Yes	1	Yes		
Grar	nd Total:	All employees	967		211		1459		1365		1040		5036	
		All PWD	48	4.96%	9	4.27%	57	3.91%	49	3.59%	17	1.63%	103	2.05%

120

Attachment 3: Mid-Year 2004 Affirmative Action Reports

Mid-Year 2004 AA Progress Report By Goal Setting Group

Workforce Profiles Page Numbers

Affirmative Action Progress Report for African Americans	122
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Asian Americans	
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Latino Americans	
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Native Americans	
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Persons with Disabilities.	
Affirmative Action Progress Report for Women	

The progress report includes hiring, promotions, transfers, and demotions.

Department Legend

Executive's Office	EXEC
Adult & Juvenile Detention	DAJD
Assessments	DA
Community & Human Services	DCHS
Development & Environmental Services	DDES
Executive Services	DES
Judicial Administration	DJA
Natural Resources & Parks	DNRP
Public Health	
Sheriff's Office	KCSO
Transportation	DOT

King County Executive Branch January to June 30, 2004

Affirmative Action Progress Report for African Americans

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal								a mari			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal				l							
		Progress											
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal					4						
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts					0						
		Remaining AA Goal					4						
		Progress			1		=				•		
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal				1							
40		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts				0							
		Remaining AA Goal				1							
		Progress				=							
	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal									_		
		Progress											
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress	1		4								

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal				1							
		Progress				1							
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal						2				1	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0				1	············
		Remaining AA Goal						2				0	
		Progress						=				*	
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal								2			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts								0			
		Remaining AA Goal								2			•
		Progress							<u> </u>				
											=***		
*Me	t Adopted Goal(s)								-		••	1	
+Ma	ade Progress Towards Ado	opted Goal(s)											
=Nc	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)				1	1	1		1			

 $^{\{\}=}$ No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

King County Executive Branch

January to June 30, 2004

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Asian Americans

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal		1									
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0									
		Remaining AA Goal		1									
		Progress		=									
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal							1				
40		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts							0				
		Remaining AA Goal					·		1				
		Progress							Ξ			·	
	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal											
	-	1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress						<u>.</u>					
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal	!							•			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress							-				
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal				<u> </u>							
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal			1						1 1		
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			0						0		
		Remaining AA Goal			1						1		
		Progress		·	=						,		
											-		
*Me	t Adopted Goal(s)												
+Ma	de Progress Towards Ado	pted Goal(s)											
	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)		1	1	Ť .			1		1		

 $^{\{\}}$ = No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

King County Executive Branch January to June 30, 2004

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Latino Americans

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal	1									1	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0									0	
		Remaining AA Goal	1								1.	1	
		Progress	=									=	
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal		2	•		1			1			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0			0			0	*		
		Remaining AA Goal		2			1			1			
		Progress		==			= '			= .			
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal			3.					1			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			0					0			
		Remaining AA Goal			3					1			
	·	Progress			==					=			
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal					·					,	2
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											0
		Remaining AA Goal											2
		Progress									·		=
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal						2					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0					
		Remaining AA Goal						2					
		Progress						=					
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal						<u>.</u>					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress									-		

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4			
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts								0			
		Remaining AA Goal								4			
		Progress								=			
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal						1					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0					
		Remaining AA Goal						1					
		Progress						==					
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal	1			<u> </u>							
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress											
			1		·						-		
*Me	et Adopted Goal(s)		 			1							
+Ma	ade Progress Towards Ado	opted Goal(s)	1					-					
=Nc	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)	1	1	1	1	1	2		3	·	1	1
			-1	·		. 	<u>. </u>		<u> </u>		·		गुलस्यह

 $^{\{\}=}$ No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

King County Executive Branch

January to June 30, 2004

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Native Americans

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal											
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal					,						
,		Progress											
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal	1					3					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0					0					
		Remaining AA Goal	1					3					
		Progress	=			,		=	•				<u> </u>
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal				1				1	1		
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts				0				0	0		
		Remaining AA Goal				1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	1		
		Progress				=				=	=		
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal							2				
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts							0				
		Remaining AA Goal			··········			,	2	1			
		Progress						***	=				
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal											5
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						•					0
		Remaining AA Goal		,							******		5
		Progress											== .
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal										1	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal											
		Progress	,										

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT	1
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal		1						3			2	1
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0						0		,	1	1
		Remaining AA Goal		1						3			1	1
		Progress		=				-					+	1
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal						1						1
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0				1		1
		Remaining AA Goal						1						1
		Progress						=						1
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal												1
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts												1
		Remaining AA Goal												1
		Progress			****			•					-	1
												1		1
'Ме	t Adopted Goal(s)				*- *-									
+Ma	de Progress Towards Ado	opted Goal(s)									• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1	
	Progress Made Towards		1	1		1		2	1	2	1		1	
			•			·				·			iotali:	

^{{} =} No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

King County Executive Branch

January to June 30, 2004

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Persons with Disabilities

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	ксѕо	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal	1	·	1			1					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	. 0					0					
		Remaining AA Goal	1					1					
		Progress	=		=			=					
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal	4	3	1	5	7	6	1	14	48	2	34
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		Remaining AA Goal	4	3	1	5	7	4	1	14	48	2	32
		Progress	=	=	=	=	=	+	=	=	=		+
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal			17	1	3			. 5	16	2	19
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			0	0	0	,		. 0	1	0	. 1
		Remaining AA Goal			17	1	3			5	15	2	18
		Progress			=	= .	=			=	+	= 1	+
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal	1	3	4	1	1	13	7	4	16	3	16
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
		Remaining AA Goal	1	3	4	1	1	13	7	4	15	3	14
		Progress	=	=	= 1	=	=	=	= 1	. ==	+	= '-	+
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal			,			4		15			40
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts						0		0			0
		Remaining AA Goal					`	4		15			40
		Progress						=		=			=
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal											·
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											
		Remaining AA Goal						-					
		Progress											

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal		4				3			2	4	44
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0				0			0		0
		Remaining AA Goal		4				3			2		44
		Progress		=			···	=			=		1 = 1
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal	·	55				7			2 1	56	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0				1	=		0	0	
		Remaining AA Goal	ï	55				6				56	
		Progress		=	·			+			==	=	
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal		1		9		3		. 1	3		
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0		0			,	0	0		-
		Remaining AA Goal		1		9				1	3		 ,
		Progress		==		=		=		=	=		
*Met	t Adopted Goal(s)												
+Mac	le Progress Towards Ado	Progress Towards Adopted Goal(s)						2	ļ		2		3
=No F	Progress Made Towards	Goal(s)	3	5	4	4	3	5	2	5	4	4	2
				·			<u> </u>		L				

 $^{\{\}}$ = No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

King County Executive Branch

January to June 30, 2004

Affirmative Action Progress Report for Women

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT
10	Officials/ Administrators	Adopted AA Goal			1	ar ingga sa sakara a	1	ora develor					
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			0		0	,					
		Remaining AA Goal			1		1						
		Progress			=		=						
20	Professionals	Adopted AA Goal			3								
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts			0								
		Remaining AA Goal			3								
		Progress			=								
30	Technicians	Adopted AA Goal		2	9			9			13		17
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts		0	0			1.			8		4
		Remaining AA Goal		2	9			8			5		13
		Progress		=	=			+			+ :		+
40	Administrative Support	Adopted AA Goal	1	2	1	4	3,	16					
	·	1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0	1	2	5	. 1	8			-		
		Remaining AA Goal	1	1	0 ,	- 0	2	8					
		Progress	=	+	*	*	+	+					
50	Skilled Craft	Adopted AA Goal								4			13
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts								5			2.
		Remaining AA Goal .								0			11
		Progress								*			+
60	Transit Operators	Adopted AA Goal											115
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts											19
		Remaining AA Goal											96
		Progress											+

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT	1
70	Service/Maintenance	Adopted AA Goal	12	12	74 t		7 7 7 7	6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	77.73	2		13	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts	0	0	-			0			0		4	l
		Remaining AA Goal	12	12				6			2		9	1
		Progress		==				=		1	=		+	ı
80	Protective Services	Adopted AA Goal									1		1	
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts									0		0	1
		Remaining AA Goal			····	1			<u> </u>		1		1	
		Progress						-			=		=	ĺ
90	Para-Professional	Adopted AA Goal				2		2				1		١
		1-1-03 to 12-31-03 AA Efforts				0		0				0		
		Remaining AA Goal				2		2				1		
		Progress				=	-:					=		
*Met	Adopted Goal(s)				. 1	1				1 1				
+Ma		pted Goal(s)		1			1	2		 	1		4	
	Progress Made Towards	ress Towards Adopted Goal(s)	2	2	3	1	1	2			2	1	1	
								······································			***		in and	

 $^{\{\}=}$ No Adopted AA Goal set by Council in the job group, the current workforce does indicate underutilization.

2005-2006 EEO/AA Plan (2/16/05)

Attachment 3: Mid-Year 2004 AA Reports - Continued

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by EEO Job Group and Department

Department Legend

Executive's Office	EXEC
Adult & Juvenile Detention	DAJD
Assessments	DA
Community & Human Services	DCHS
Development & Environmental Services	DDES
Executive Services	DES
Judicial Administration	DJA
Natural Resources & Parks	DNRP
Public Health	DPH
Sheriff's Office	KCSO
Transportation	DOT

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by EEO Job Category and Department

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	ксѕо	DOT	Total per EEO Job Category
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	i.					1		2			1	4
		Promotions					_	2					1	3
		Transfers												
		Demotions												
		TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	. 3	0	2	0	0	2	7
20	Professionals	New Hires	2		3	4	3	22		14	19		4	71
		Promotions	1			2		12	3	11	14	1	23	67
		Transfers								2			2	4
	•	Demotions												
		TOTAL:	3	0	3	6	3	34	3	27	33	1	29	142
30	Technicians	New Hires				3	2	3			2	3	1	14
		Promotions		-		1				2	8	3	8	22
		Transfers						,		1				1
		Demotions												
		TOTAL:	0	0	0	4	2	3	0	3	10	6	9	37
40	Administrative Support	New Hires		1	3	3		6	8	2	11	5	5	44
		Promotions				3	1	4	3	1	17		4	33
		Transfers				"		1			·		1	2
	.4	Demotions												
		TOTAL:	0	1	3	6	1	11	11	3	28	5	10	79
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires						4		3			8	15
		Promotions						1		19			10	30
		Transfers								19				19
		Demotions											1	1
		TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	41	0	0	19	65

			EXEC	DAJD	DA	DCHS	DDES	DES	DJA	DNRP	DPH	KCSO	DOT	Total per EEO Job Category
60	Transit Operators	New Hires											97	97
		Promotions	·											
		Transfers												
	·	Demotions												
	·	TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	97
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires						1		1			10	- 11
		Promotions											5	5
		Transfers								1				1
		Demotions											2	2
		TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	17	19
80	Protective Services	New Hires		5			2	2				19.		28
		Promotions						- 1						1
		Transfers						•						
		Demotions					,							
		TOTAL:	0	5	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	19	0	29
90	Para-Professional	New Hires		1							6	1		8
		Promotions									8		. 1	9
		Transfers											1	1
	·	Demotions			•									
		TOTAL:	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	2	18
	To	otal New Hires:	2	7	6	10	7	39	8	21	38	28	126	292
	Tot	al Promotions:	1	0	0	6	1	20	6	33	47	4	52	170
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	23	0	0	4	28
	То	Total Demotions:			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	TOTAL PER D	TOTAL PER DEPARTMENT:			6	16	8	60	14	77	85	32	185	493

Attachment 2: Mid-Year 2004 AA Reports - Continued

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Department and Protected Group

Department Legend

Executive's Office	EXEC
Adult & Juvenile Detention	DAJD
Assessments	DA
Community & Human Services	DCHS
Development & Environmental Services	DDES
Executive Services	DES
Judicial Administration	DJA
Natural Resources & Parks	DNRF
Public Health	DPH
Sheriff's Office	KCSO
Transportation	DOT

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Department and Protected Group

		Count	Female		Total I	/linorities	В	lack	Asian		Native Amer.		Hispanic		PWD	
		of All	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	· %	#	%
Exec	New Hires	2	. 2	100%	1	50%							1	50%		
	Promotions	1	1	100%											··	
	Transfers															
	Demotions															
	TOTAL:	3	3	100%	1	33.33%							1	33.33%		1
DAJD	New Hires	7	3	42.86%	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	3	42.86%						
	Promotions															
	Transfers															1
	Demotions															-
	TOTAL:	7	3	42.86%	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	3	42.86%	······································	1	 			+
DA	New Hires	6	2	33.33%	3	50%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%		 				+
	Promotions											 				+
	Transfers									· · · · · · · ·		 			-	+
	Demotions				٠.							 				+
	TOTAL:	6	2	33.33%	· 3	50%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%						+
DCHS	New Hires	10	7	70%	4	40%	3	30%	1	10%					·	+
	Promotions	6	6	100%	3	50%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%			1	16.67%		
	Transfers												<u></u>	10.0770		
	Demotions							<u> </u>				 		· · · · ·		
	TOTAL:	16	13	81.25%	7	43.75%	4	25%	2	12.5%	··············		1 .	6.25%		+
DDES	New Hires	7	4	57.14%	1	14.29%			1	14.29%			<u> </u>	0.2370		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Promotions	1	1	100%												+
	Transfers						,			 				-	·	+
	Demotions				 			<u> </u>		† i				 		+
	TOTAL:	8	5	62.5%	1	12.5%		† - 	1	12.5%	·			 	·	+

		Count	Fei	male	Total M	inorities	Bl	ack	A	sian	Nativ	e Amer.	Hispanic		P	wD
		of All	. #	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DES	New Hires	39	20	51.28%	12	30.77%	4	10.26%	7	17.95%	1	2.56%			2	5.13%
	Promotions	20	10	50%	6	30%	3	15%	3	15%			i		1	5%
	Transfers	1	1	100%	1	100%							1	100%		
	Demotions															
	TOTAL:	60	31	51.67%	19	31.67%	7	11.67%	10	16.67%	1	1.67%	1	1.67%	3	5%
DJA	New Hires	8	7	87.5%												
	Promotions	6	4	66.67%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%								
	Transfers															
	Demotions															
	TOTAL:	14	11	78.57%	1	7.14%	1	7.14%	,							
DNRP	New Hires	21	9	42.86%	5	23.81%	1	4.76%	3	14.29%			1	4.76%		
	Promotions	33	9	27.27%	8	24.24%	2	6.06%	6	18.18%						
	Transfers	23	4	17.39%	8 -	34.78%	5	21.74%	1	4.35%	1	4.35%	1	4.35%		
	Demotions															
	TOTAL:	77	22	28.57%	21	27.27%	8	10.39%	10	12.99%	1	1.3%	2	2.6%		
DPH	New Hires	38	31	81.58%	12	31.58%	1	2.63%	4	10.53%			7	18.42%	1	2.63%
	Promotions	47	37	78.72%	19	40.43%	9	19.15%	6	12.77%			4	8.51%	1	2.13%
	Transfers	T														
	Demotions															
	TOTAL:	85	68	80%	31	36.47%	10	11.76%	10	11.76%			11	12.94%	2	2.35%
KCSO	New Hires	28	8	28.57%	3	10.71%	1	3.57%	1	3.57%			1	3.57%		
	Promotions	4	3	75%												·
	Transfers															
	Demotions															
	TOTAL:	32	11	34.38%	3	9.38%	1	3.13%	1	3.13%			1	3.13%		

		Count	Fe	male	Total N	Total Minorities		Black		Asian		e Amer.	Hispanic		PWD	
		of All	# ·	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	. %	#	%
DOT	New Hires	126	23	18.25%	44	34.92%	28	22.22%	9	7.14%	2	1.59%	5	3.97%		
	Promotions	52	14	26.92%	13	25%	7	13.46%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%				
	Transfers	4	4	100%	2	50%			2	50%						
	Demotions	3	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%		-		-				
	TOTAL:	185	42	22.7%	60	32.43%	36	19.46%	16	8.65%	3	1.62%	5	2.7%		
Total E	xec													, 1		
	New Hires	292	116	39.73%	90	30.82%	42	14.38%	30	10.27%	3	1.03%	15	5.14%	4	1.37%
	Promotions	170	85	50%	50	29.41%	23	13.53%	21	12.35%	1	0.59%	5 .	2.94%	2	1.18%
	Transfers	28	9	32.14%	11	39.29%	5	17.86%	3	10.71%	1	3.57%	2	7.14%		
	Demotions	3	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%								. ',
	TOTAL:	493	211	42.8%	152	30.83%	71	14.4%	54	10.95%	5	1.01%	22	4.46%	6	1.22%

142

Attachment 3: Mid-Year 2004 AA Reports - Continued

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group

Summary of Changes Page Numbers

Profiles by race/ethnicity, gender, and disability status	
Executive Branch	144
Profiles by race/ethnicity and gender	
Executive's Office	146
Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention	148
Assessor's Office	150
Department of Community & Human Services	152
Department of Development & Environmental Services	
Department of Executive Services	
Department of Judicial Administration	158
Department of Natural Resources & Parks	
Department of Public Health	
Sheriff's Office	164
Department of Transportation	166
Persons with Disabilities Employee Changes by Department	168

King County Executive Branch

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group

Executive Branch

			Total	Fen	nale	Total N	linority	Bla	ack	His	oanic	As	ian	Native	Amer.	·	DWD
			Iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	4	3	75%												
		Promotions	3														
		Transfers															
		Demotions				-											
		TOTAL:	7	. 3	43%							•					
20	Professionals	New Hires	71	39	55%	20	28%	4	6%	4	6%	12	17%			1	1.4%
		Promotions	67	31	46%	18	27%	7	10%	1	1%	10	15%			1	1.5%
		Transfers	4	3	75%	2	50%				-	2	50%				
		Demotions															
		TOTAL:	142	73	51%	40	28%	11	8%	5	4%	24	17%				
30	Technicians	New Hires	14	9	64%	3	21%			- 1	7%	1	7%	1	7%		· -
		Promotions	22	13	59%	3	14%	2	9%			1	5%			1	4.5%
		Transfers	1	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%								
		Demotions											1				
		TOTAL:	37	23	62%	7	19%	3	8%	1	3%	2	5%	1	3%		
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	44	31	70%	13	30%	7	16%	2	5%	4	9%			2	4.5%
		Promotions	33	27	82%	14	42%	7	21%	1	3%	6	18%				
		Transfers	2	2	100%	1	50%			1	50%						
		Demotions													<u> </u>		
	,	TOTAL:	79	60	76%	28	35%	14	18%	4	5%	10	13%				
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	15	1	7%	4	27%	· 2	13%			2	13%				
		Promotions	30	5	17%	9	30%	- 5	17%			4	13%				
		Transfers	19	2	11%	6	32%	4	21%	1	5%			1	5%		
		Demotions	1								1						
		TOTAL:	65	8	12%	19	29%	11	17%	1	2%	6	9%	1	2%		

			Total	Fen	nale	Total M	inority	Bla	ack	Hisp	anic	As	ian	Native	Amer.	Р	WD
			lotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires	97	19	20%	36	37%	23	24%	4	4%	7	7%	2	2%		
		Promotions															
		Transfers															
		Demotions				•											
		TOTAL:	97	19	20%	36	37%	23	24%	4	4%	7	7%	2	2%		
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires	11	2	18%	3	27%	- 2	18%			1	9%				
		Promotions	5	1	20%	2	40%	1	20%					1	20%		ļ
		Transfers	1														<u> </u>
		Demotions	2	1	50%	1	50%	1	50%			,					
		TOTAL:	19	4	21%	6	32%	4	21%			1	5%	1	5%		
80	Protective Services	New Hires	28	4	14%	6	21%	3	11%	1	4%	2	7%			1	3.5%
		Promotions	1 ,							·····		*****					
		Transfers				-	-										
		Demotions															
		TOTAL:	29	4	14%	6	21%	3	11%	1	4%	2	7%				
90	Para-Professional	New Hires	8	8	100%	5	63%	1	13%	3	38%	1	13%	` `			
	:	Promotions	9	8	89%	4	44%	1	11%	3	33%	•					
		Transfers	1	1	100%	1	100%					1	100%				
		Demotions															
		TOTAL:	18	17	94%	10	56%	2	11%	6	33%	2	11%				
	Tota	al New Hires:	292	116	40%	90	31%	42	14%	15	5%	30	10%	3	1%	4	1.37%
	Total	Promotions:	170	85	50%	50	29%	23	14%	5	3%	21	12%	1	1%	2	1.18%
	То	tal Transfers:	28	9	32%	11	39%	5	18%	2	7%	3	11%	1	4%		
	Tota	Demotions:	3	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0	0	0	0	0		

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Executive's Office

, " -			Total	Fe	male %	Total N	Minority %	Bl #	ack %	His _l	oanic %	As #	ian %	Native	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	The Artist of the Control of the Con	#	76	**	76	*	70	*	70	#	70	*	70
		Promotions								l					-
		Transfers			1										
	,	Demotions							 		 				-
		TOTAL:													
20	Professionals	New Hires	2	2	100%	1	50%			1	50%				
,		Promotions	1	1	100%										
		Transfers		****								<u> </u>			
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	3	3	100%	1	33%			1	33%				
30	Technicians	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
40	Administrative Support	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
	·	Demotions								,		·			
		TOTAL:													
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
<u></u>		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	l			·									

			Total		male	Total	Minority		Black		panic		sian	Nativ	e Amer.
				#	· %	#	%	#	- %	#	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires						<u> </u>							
	-	Promotions							•						
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:				,								1	
70	Service/Maintenance	e New Hires											1		
		Promotions												1	
		Transfers									· · · · · · ·				
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
80	Protective Services	New Hires					-								
		Promotions													
		Transfers													1
		Demotions													1
		TOTAL:													
90	Para-Professional	New Hires											-		
		Promotions						,							
		Transfers													
		Demotions													1
		TOTAL:	•										 		
		Total New Hires:	2	2	100%	1	50%	0	0	1	50%	0	0	0	0
		Total Promotions:	1	1	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 .	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group

Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention

			Total	Fen	nale %	Total I	Minority %	# BI	lack %	His #	panic %	As #	ian %	Native	e Amer. %
10	Off sints (A duninistant out	New Hires	a same	# ************************************	70	#	70	11 15.15 (15.20)	70	π	70		70 21,000 (10.00)	# ##	70
10	Officials/Administrators	Promotions			<u> </u>					·			<u> </u>		-
		 							1						<u> </u>
		Transfers				ļ			<u> </u>			 			
		Demotions		 									<u></u>	 	
		TOTAL:			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ļ			
20	Professionals	New Hires													
		Promotions				<u> </u>							ļ	<u> </u>	
	·	Transfers													
		Demotions								1					·
	,	TOTAL:													
30	Technicians	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions				ř									
		TOTAL:													
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	1 .:	1	100%	1	100%					1	100%		
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions							-						
		TOTAL:	1	1	100%	1	100%					1	100%		
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													

			T-4-1	Fe	male	Total I	Minority	ВІ	ack	His	panic	. A:	sian	Native	e Amer.
			Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires	,												
		Promotions													
		Transfers													1
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	e New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions							-						
		TOTAL:													1
80	Protective Services	New Hires	5 .	1	20%	3	60%	2	40%			1	20%		
	·	Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													1
		TOTAL:	5	1	20%	3	60%	2	40%			1	20%		
90	Para-Professional	New Hires	1	1	100%	1	100%					1	100%		
		Promotions													1
		Transfers		*									- 		
		Demotions									-				
		TOTAL:	1	1	100%	1	100%					1	100%		-
		Total New Hires:	7	3	43%	5	71%	2	29%	0	0	3	43%	0	0
		Total Promotions:	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 -	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	' 0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Assessor's Office

			Total	Fer #	nale %	Total I	Minority %	BI #	ack %	His #	panic %	As #	ian %	Native	e Amer. %
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires					7		7.		1		 	990 S 2 7 5 5	1 1 1 1 1 1
		Promotions									 				1
		Transfers	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·						 						
		Demotions											<u> </u>		
		TOTAL:													1
20	Professionals	New Hires	3			1	33%					1	33%		
		Promotions								,					
		Transfers	,												
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	3			1	33%					1	33%		
30	Technicians	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	3	2	67%	2	67%	2	67%						
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions	<u>.</u>		· .										
		TOTAL:	, 3	2	67%	2	67%	2	67%						
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions		<u> </u>	ļ										
		TOTAL:													

			Total		male		Minority		lack		panic	A:	sian	Native	e Amer.
			Total	#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	%	# -	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires													<u> </u>
		Promotions			1	,									
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:						-				<u> </u>			
80	Protective Services	New Hires							1						
		Promotions				, T									
		Transfers											<u>† </u>		
,		Demotions													
		TOTAL:				Ì									
90	Para-Professional	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers									<u> </u>		 		
		Demotions													
,		TOTAL:												-	
		Total New Hires:	6	2	33%	3	50%	2	33%	0	0	1	17%	0	0
		Total Promotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group

Department of Community & Human Services

			Total	Fei #	male %	Total i	Minority %	# B	lack %	His _l	oanic %	As	ian %	Native	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires				2.5	Tank to the last	es silvino				eran Tak	1 4 5 4 4 9 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4	1.0%	1477, 144.
		Promotions	,												
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
20	Professionals	New Hires	4	3	75%	2	50%	1	25%			1	25%		
		Promotions	2	2	100%	1	50%					1	50%		
		Transfers													
	,	Demotions													
		TOTAL:	6	5	83%	3	50%	1	17%			2	33%		
30	Technicians	New Hires	3	2	67%										
		Promotions	1	1	100%										
		Transfers													
		Demotions													, i
		TOTAL:	4	3	75%										
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	3	2	67%	2	67%	2	67%						
	·	Promotions	3	3	100%	2	67%	1	33%	. 1	33%				
		Transfers													
		Demotions	-												
		TOTAL:	6	5	83%	4	67%	3	50%	1	17%				
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													<u> </u>
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:				1									

			Total	Fe	male	Total	Minority	В	lack	His	panic	A:	sian	Nativ	e Amer.
			iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
80	Protective Services	New Hires													1
		Promotions													1
		Transfers													1
		Demotions						-							1
		TOTAL:													1
90	Para-Professional	New Hires						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							1
		Promotions													1
	,	Transfers									 				1
		Demotions											<u> </u>		
		TOTAL:													
		Total New Hires:	10	7	70%	4	40%	3	30%	0	0	1	10%	0	0
		Total Promotions:	6	6	100%	3	50%	1	17%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group

Department of Development & Environmental Services

			Total	Fe	male %	Total I	Minority %	BI #	ack %	His _l	panic %	As #	ian %	Native Amer. # %
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires		1,1147.11		1 (1 %)			V 4	1857, N. C		सम्बद्धाः सम ्बद्धाः	5% 25%	
		Promotions												
		Transfers								···				
		Demotions		7										
		TOTAL:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
20	Professionals	New Hires	3	2	67%	1	33%					1	33%	
		Promotions												
·		Transfers												
		Demotions												
		TOTAL:	3	2	67%	1	33%					1	33%	
30	Technicians	New Hires	2	1	50%									
		Promotions												
		Transfers			1.									
		Demotions												
		TOTAL:	2	1	50%		·							
40	Administrative Support	New Hires								•				
		Promotions	1	1	100%									
		Transfers									1			
		Demotions												
		TOTAL:	. 1	1	100%									
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires												
		Promotions												
		Transfers										<u> </u>		
		Demotions												
-		TOTAL:												

			Total	Fe #	male %	Total #	Minority %	В #	lack %	His #	panic %	As #	ian %	Native	e Amer. %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires			70	<u>"</u>	7.0	"	70		70	, #	70	#	70
		Promotions	`	<u> </u>				 	<u> </u>						
		Transfers													
		Demotions			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires	 		-					<u> </u>					
		Promotions					1								1
		Transfers					÷								
		Demotions					3								
		TOTAL:	-												
80	Protective Services	New Hires	2	1	50%						<u> </u>				
		Promotions	,					···							
,		Transfers													
		Demotions	-				***								
		TOTAL:	2	1	50%										
90	Para-Professional	New Hires													
		Promotions												1	
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													† · · · ·
		Total New Hires:	7	4	57%	, 1	14%	0	0	0	O	1	14%	0	0
		Total Promotions:	1	1	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group

Department of Executive Services

			Total	Fei #	nale %		otal ority %	В #	lack %	His #	panic %	As #	ian %	Native #	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	1	1	100%		,	:							
		Promotions	2									<u>-</u>			
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	3	1	33%										
20	Professionals	New Hires	22	12	55%	9 .	41%	3	14%			6	27%		
	-	Promotions	12	7	58%	3	25%	1	8%			2 -	17%		
		Transfers		, ,											
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	34	19	56%	12	35%	4	12%			8	24%		
30	Technicians	New Hires	3	1	33%	2	67%					1	33%	1	33%
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	3 .	1	33%	2	67%					1	33%	1	33%
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	6	4	67%	1	17%	1	17%						
		Promotions	4	3	75%	3	75%	2	50%			1	25%		
		Transfers	1	1	100%	1	100%			1	100%	· · · · · ·			
		Demotions										•			
		TOTAL:	11	8	73%	5	45%	3	27%	1	9%	1	9%		
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	4	1	25%										
		Promotions	1												
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
1		TOTAL:	5	1	20%										

	·		Total	Fe #	male %		otal ority %	В #	lack %	His #	panic %	A #	sian %	Native	e Amer. %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires									T	1			
		Promotions												1	
		Transfers													
		Demotions											 		·
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires	1				7 7 7 7			100		+ .		7	
		Promotions													
		Transfers											1		
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	1									1			
80	Protective Services	New Hires	2	1	50%						-	1			<u> </u>
		Promotions	1												
		Transfers													
		Demotions												<u> </u>	
		TOTAL:	- 3	1	33%								 	······································	
90	Para-Professional	New Hires											 		
		Promotions				,									
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:				•									<u> </u>
		Total New Hires:	39	20	51%	12	31%	4	10%			7	18%	1	3%
		Total Promotions:	20	10	50%	6	30%	3	15%			3	15%		
		Total Transfers:	1	1	100%	1	100%			1	100%				
		Total Demotions:													

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group

Department of Judicial Administration

			Total	Fer #	nale %	Total N	Minority %	BI #	ack %	Hisp #	oanic %	As	sian %	Native	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	1 7 2		2 27 252	4. TVW	An Indian		1.00		The second	projestijans	17.1387
		Promotions													
	,	Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:							٠.						
20	Professionals	New Hires	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
		Promotions	3	2	67%	1	33%	1	33%						
		Transfers													
		Demotions			,										
		TOTAL:	3	2	67%	1	33%	1	33%						
30	Technicians	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	8	7	88%	l									
		Promotions	3	2	67%										
		Transfers				·									
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	11	9	82%										
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions							,						
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													

			Total		male	Total	Minority		lack	His	panic	As	ian	Native	e Amer.
				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers											<u> </u>		
		Demotions													-
		TOTAL:												<u>-</u>	
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers						<u> </u>							
		Demotions				<u> </u>									
		TOTAL:	····								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u>		
80	Protective Services	New Hires													
	·	Promotions													-
		Transfers			,										
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
90	Para-Professional	New Hires					,								·
		Promotions													
		Transfers						-							
		Demotions												·	
	÷	TOTAL:							1						
		Total New Hires:	8	7	88%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Promotions:	6	4	67%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group

Department of Natural Resources & Parks

			Total	Fer #	nale %		ital ority %	Bla #	ack %	His _l #	panic %	A:	sian %	Native #	Amer. %
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	2	2	100%	T				Tanggar Tana	Har. 1 7 1 124 15				Para Large
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	2	2	100%		:								
20	Professionals	New Hires	14	5	36%	1	7%					1	7%		
	,	Promotions	11	4	36%	4	36%			·		4	36%		
	·	Transfers	2	1	50%	1	50%	-				1	50%		
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	27	10	37%	6	22%					6	22%	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
30	Technicians	New Hires				\									
		Promotions	2	1	50%										
		Transfers	1	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%						
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	3	2	67%	1	33%	1	33%						
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	2	2	100%	2	100%			1	50%	1	50%		
		Promotions	1	1	100%	· ,								<u> </u>	
		Transfers													
		Demotions						, , , , , , ,							
		TOTAL:	3	3	100%	2	67%			1	33%	1	33%		
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	3			2	67%	1	33%			1	33%		
		Promotions	19	3	16%	4	21%	2	11%			2	11%		
		Transfers	19	2	11%	6	32%	4	21%	1	5%			1	5%
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	41	-5	12%	2	29%	7	17%	1	2%	3	7%	1	2%

			Total	Fe	male %		otal ority %		lack %	His _l	panic %	A:	sian %	Native	Amer.
60	Transit Operators	New Hires							-						
		Promotions	•												
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers	1												
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	1												
80	Protective Services	New Hires												1	
		Promotions													
		Transfers						-							
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
90	Para-Professional	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions						***************************************							
		TOTAL:													
		Total New Hires:	21	9	43%	5	24%	1	5%	1	5%	3	14%		
		Total Promotions:	33	9	27%	8	24%	2	6%			6	18%		
	i .	Total Transfers: Total Demotions:	23	4	17%	8	35%	5	22%	1	4%	. 1	4%	1 1	4%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Department of Public Health

			Total	Fei #	male %	Total I	Minority %	В #	lack %	Hisp #	oanic %	A:	sian %	Nativ	e Amer. %
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires				1			<u> </u>	**************************************	70		1 7		1
		Promotions				· · · · · ·							- 		
		Transfers										••••			
		Demotions									1				
		TOTAL:													
20	Professionals	New Hires	19	15	79%	4	21%			2	11%	2	11%		
		Promotions	14	10	71%	5	36%	4	29%	1	7%				
	·	Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	33	25	76%	9	27%	4	12%	3	9%	2	6%		
30	Technicians	New Hires	2	2	100%	1	50%			1	50%				-
		Promotions	8	6	75%	2	25%	1	13%			1	13%		-
		Transfers													
		Demotions								•					
		TOTAL:	10	8	80%	3	30%	1	10%	1	10%	1	10%		
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	11	8	73%	3	27%			1	9%	2	18%		
		Promotions	17	13	76%	8	47%	· 3	18%			5	29%		
		Transfers				· ·									
		Demotions													1
		TOTAL:	28	21	75%	11	39%	3	11%	1	4%	7	25%		
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													

			Total		male	Total I	Minority	В	lack	His	panic	A:	sian	Nativ	e Amer.
				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	e New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													-
		Demotions	,												
		TOTAL:	• •												
80	Protective Services	New Hires	,•												
		Promotions													
		Transfers											1 .		
		Demotions		<i>-</i>											
		TOTAL:											1		
90	Para-Professional	New Hires	6 .	6	100%	4	67%	1	17%	3	50%				
	·	Promotions	8	8	100%	4	50%	1	13%	3	38%				
		Transfers											1		
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	14	14	100%	8	57%	2	14%	6	43%				
		Total New Hires:	38	31	82%	12	32%	1	3%	7	18%	4	11%	0	0
		Total Promotions:	47	37	79%	19	40%	9	19%	4	9%	6	13%	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Sheriff's Office

			Total	Fei #	male %	Total I	Minority %	BI #	ack %	His _l	panic %	As	ian %	Native #	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires			7 . 7	** / ** **	7.1	**				r Kurijari	19.5	W 200 15	1000
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													
20	Professionals	New Hires													
		Promotions	1	1	100%								-		
		Transfers								٠.					
		Demotions	,												
		TOTAL:	1	1	100%							·			
30	Technicians	New Hires	3	2	67%										
		Promotions	3 ·.	2	67%										
		Transfers													
		Demotions													·
		TOTAL:	6	4	67%										
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	5	4	80%										
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	5	4	80%										
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires													
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:													

			Total	Fe	male %		Minority		lack		panic		sian		e Amer.
60	Transit Operators	New Hires		"-	70	#	%	#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	%	#	%
	<u> </u>	Promotions		 					ļ		-				+
		Transfers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-					<u> </u>				+
		Demotions									-		<u> </u>		
		TOTAL:													
70	Service/Maintenance	New Hires					· · · · · ·				-				
		Promotions													
		Transfers		1					1		 		 		
		Demotions						·			<u> </u>		-		+
		TOTAL:								-					-
80	Protective Services	New Hires	19	1	5%	3	16%	1	5%	1	5%	1	5%		+
		Promotions											1		
		Transfers											<u> </u>		+
	`	Demotions													
		TOTAL:	19	1	5%	3	16%	1	5%	1	5%	1	5%		+
90	Para-Professional	New Hires	1	1	100%					·					+
		Promotions													+
		Transfers													+
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	1	1	100%										
		Total New Hires:	28	8	29%	3	11%	1	4%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0
	T	otal Promotions:	4	3	75%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total Transfers:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0
		Total Demotions:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· 0	0

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary of Employee Changes by Job Category and Protected Group Department of Transportation

1			Total	Fei #	male %	Total I	Minority %	# B	lack %	His _l #	panic %	# As	ian %	Native #	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	New Hires	1	π	70	п	70	7 7	70		7,5				C. 3 - 1 - 1 - 1
	Omelais/Administrators	Promotions	<u></u> 1						 		-	·			
		Transfers	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-				 		 		 		
		Demotions			-						 		1		
		TOTAL:	2	 	-				1		 				
20	Professionals	New Hires	4		 	1	25%			1	25%				
		Promotions	23	4	17%	4	17%	1	4%			3	13%		
		Transfers	2	2	100%	1	50%			 		1	50%		
		Demotions			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					-					
		TOTAL:	29	6	21%	6	21%	1	3%	1	3%	4	14%		
30	Technicians	New Hires	1	1	100%				1.						
		Promotions	8	3	38%	1	13%	1	13%						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Transfers													
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	9	4	44%	1	11%	1	11%						
40	Administrative Support	New Hires	5	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%						
		Promotions	4	4	100%	1	25%	1	25%						
		Transfers	1	1	100%										
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	10	6	60%	3	30%	3	30%				_		
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	8			2	25%	1	13%			1	13%		
		Promotions	10	2	20%	5	50%	3	30%			2	20%	<u></u>	
		Transfers													
		Demotions	1												
		TOTAL:	19	2	11%	7	37%	4	21%			- 3	16%		

			Total	Fei	male	Total f	Minority	В	lack	His	panic	А	sian	Native	Amer.
			IU(a)	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators	New Hires	97	19	20%	36	37%	23	24%	4	4%	7	7%	2	2%
		Promotions													
		Transfers													
		Demotions	<u> </u>												
		TOTAL:	97	19	20%	36	37%	23	24%	4	4%	7	7%	2	2%
70	Service/Maintenance	e New Hires	10	2	20%	` 3	30%	2	20%			1	10%		
		Promotions	5	1	20%	2	40%	1	20%			<u> </u>		1	20%
		Transfers													
		Demotions	2	1	50%	1	50%	1	50%						
		TOTAL:	17	4	24%	6	35%	4	24%			1	6%	1	6%
80	Protective Services	New Hires									 ,				
		Promotions									T				
		Transfers													
		Demotions									<u> </u>				
		TOTAL:				1	-								
90	Para-Professional	New Hires		· · · · ·							 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 		
		Promotions	1												
		Transfers	1	1	100%	1	100%					1	100%		<u> </u>
		Demotions													
		TOTAL:	2	1	50%	1	50%		1			1	50%		
		Total New Hires:	126	23	18%	44	35%	28	22%	5	4%	9	7%	2 ;	2%
		Total Promotions:	52	14	27%	13	25%	7	13%	0	0	5	10%	1	2%
		Total Transfers:	4	4	100%	2	50%	0	0	0	0	2	50%	0	0
		Total Demotions:	3	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0	0	1 0	0	0

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004

Persons with Disabilities Employee Changes by Department

	DEPARTMENTS		EXI	EC BRA	NCH	EX	EC OF	FICE		DAJD			DA	 		DCHS			DDES	
			Ali #	P' #	WD %	All #	Р #	WD %	Ali #	P\ #	WD %	All #	P\ #	ND %	Ail #	P1	WD %	All #	PV #	ND %
10	Officials/ Administrators	New Hires	4																	
		Promotions	3						1.3					<u> </u>				-		
		Transfers		1.				1			 									
		Demotions								-					1				<u> </u>	
		TOTAL:	7				<u> </u>								1					
20	Professionals	New Hires	71	1	1.41%	2						3			4			3		
·		Promotions	67	1	1.49%	1			<u> </u>						2					
		Transfers	4						•		<u> </u>			· · · · ·	1				<u> </u>	
		Demotions	1												1				····-	
		TOTAL:	142	2	1.41%	3	<u> </u>					3			6			3	<u> </u>	
30	Technicians	New Hires	14												3			2	· · · · · ·	
		Promotions	22	1	4.55%										1					
		Transfers	1						1											
		Demotions						1							1					
		TOTAL:	37	1	2.70%										4			2		
40	Admin Support	New Hires	44	2	4.55%				1			3			3					
		Promotions	33												3			1		
		Transfers	2																	
		Demotions																		
		TOTAL:	79	2	2.53%				1			3			6			1		
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	15																	
		Promotions	30																	
		Transfers	19																	
		Demotions	1																	
		TOTAL:	65																	

	DEPARTMENTS		EX	EC BRA	NCH	EX	EC OFFICE		DAJD			DA			DCHS			DDES	
			All #	P #	WD %	Ali #	PWD # %	Ali #	₽\ #	ND %	Ali #	PV #	/D _%	All #		WD _%	All #		WD %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires	97								<u>"</u>				**	,	π		76
		Promotions						\$ 75.5									-		├
		Transfers	17 1-55													7.25.8			<u> </u>
		Demotions	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1											12. Ev				<u> </u>	
		TOTAL:	97					7.33			,								
70	Service/ Maintenance	New Hires	.11																
		Promotions	5						뒣흕										ļ
		Transfers	-1																
		Demotions	2					10.8% 15.5 44.000 ja							1.45				
		TOTAL:	19						San San San San San San San San San San						A 12				
80	Protective Services	New Hires	28	1	3.57%			5									2		
		Promotions	1																<u> </u>
		Transfers														- 1			<u> </u>
		Demotions											 -	1 1,					
		TOTAL:	29	1.	3.45%			5	kalan ja								2		
90	Para- Professional	New Hires	8					1											
	`	Promotions	9																
		Transfers	1.											7.34					
		Demotions								Cary 35					\$ 5 % 50		-		
	·	TOTAL:	18					1		建设				30.2					
	To	otal New Hires:	292	4	1.37%	2		7			6			10			7		
		al Promotions:	170	2	1.18%	1								6			1		
		Total Transfers:	28																
	То	tal Demotions:	3																
	TOTAL PER	DEPARTMENT:	493	6	1.22%	3.		7			6			16			8 !		

King County Executive Branch January 1 to December 31, 2003 Persons with Disabilities Employee Changes by Department, Continued

	DEPARTMENTS		X. + 4.	DES			DJA		DNRP			DPH			KCSO	Sylver Sylver		DOT	
			All #	# P\	ND %	All #	PWD # %	Ali #	P\ #	ND %	Ali #	PV #	VD %	All #	P\ #	ND %	Ali #	PV #	ND %
10	Officials/ Administrators	New Hires	1					2					_				1		
		Promotions	2					7.5° 45.45° 2.4° - 1.5°	Party Control								1		
		Transfers																	
		Demotions			47,754								, ·			<u> </u>			
		TOTAL:	3			ļ		2								1	2		
20	Professionals	New Hires	22	1	4.55%			14		Lan	19				7.		4		
		Promotions	12	1	8.33%	3		- 11			14			1			23		
		Transfers	117			-		2									2		
	·····	Demotions		7. V. S.				i terriri	e in a seek. Gazar ingger						A Cons				
		TOTAL:	34	2	5.88%	3		27			33			1	27.5		29		
30	Technicians	New Hires	3	2000							2			3		Halley Y	1		
	···	Promotions	5.29	3.44.8				2	75000		8	1	12.5%	3			8		
	·····	Transfers			e de la companya de l			1							V)				
		Demotions	13.100		100 130			100											
	*	TOTAL:	3					3	i ji arzanz Pality	3.50	10	1	10%	6			9		
40	Admin Support	New Hires	6			8		. 2			11	1	9.09%	5			5	1	20%
1		Promotions	4			3		1			17						4		
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Transfers	1					na e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	<i>(4.14)</i>	en fak Men disa				1.1	les,		1		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Demotions						3.00				,							
		TOTAL:	11 (11		3			28	1	3.57%	5			10	1	10%
50	Skilled Craft	New Hires	4					3		S.A							8		
		Promotions	1	o Alfonyalia Listanov				19									10		
		Transfers	24 144					19											
		Demotions	5,450														1		
	······································	TOTAL:	5					41		17000				7.7 1-1			19		

	DEPARTMENTS			DES			DJA			DNRP			DPH			KCSO			DOT	
			Ali #	P #	WD %	All #	# P\	WD %	All #	# P	WD %	All #	P #	WD %	AII #		WD %	AII #	,	WD %
60	Transit Operators	New Hires																97		
		Promotions	1 1 1 W		inger Zijn in selver	,														
		Transfers												1						
		Demotions		1. 1. 1.					18 17 18	* 1 P										
		TOTAL:		The second						1 1 1				<u> </u>	1			97		
70	Service/ Maintenance	New Hires	1		•													10		
		Promotions							No.	5 - ₁ -						St.	N :	5		
		Transfers							1	20 P									<u> </u>	
		Demotions												 				2		
		TOTAL:	1						1		.V., c.							17	-	<u> </u>
80	Protective Services	New Hires	2	1	50%							-			19					
		Promotions	1											<u> </u>						
		Transfers							11.11					<u> </u>						
		Demotions												-						
		TOTAL:	3	1	33.33%									 			<u> </u>			29
90	Para- Professional	New Hires										6			1					
		Promotions			,							8						1		
		Transfers														-		1		
		Demotions								150										 -
		TOTAL:										14			1			2		
	To	otal New Hires:	39	2	5.13%	8			21			38	1	2.63%	28			126	1	0.79%
	Tot	al Promotions:	20	1	5%	6			33			47	1	2.13%	4			52		
		Fotal Transfers:	1						23									4		
	To	tal Demotions:																3		
	TOTAL PER	DEPARTMENT:	60	3	5%	14			77			85	2	2.35%	32			185	1	0.54%

2005-2006 EEO/AA Plan (2/16/05)

Attachment 3: Mid-Year 2004 AA Reports - Continued

Mid-Year 2004 Workforce Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Department

Workforce Summary Analysis Page Numbers

Executive Branch	174
Executive's Office	176
Department of Adult & Judicial Administration	178
Assessor's Office	
Department of Community & Health Services	
Department of Development & Environmental Services	
Department of Executive Services	
Department of Judicial Administration	
Department of Natural Resources & Parks	
Department of Public Health	
Sheriff's Office	
Department of Transportation	
Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis for Persons with Disabilities by De	

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Executive Branch

		Total	Fen	nale %	Total N	linority %	Bla #	ick %	Hisp #	anic %	As #	ian %	Native #	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	91	,					n will be the said						a projection
		Employees	45	49.5%	23	25.3%	12	13.2%			10	11%	1	1.1%
		Availability	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
	Goals	Underutilized					-							
20	Professionals	3,240												
		Employees	1,652	51%	800	24.7%	253	7.8%	106	3.3%	404	12.5%	37	1.1%
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
30	Technicians	854												
		Employees	364	42.6%	186	21.8%	70	8.2%	21	2.5%	90	10.5%	5	0.6%
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized	•	·										
40	Administrative Support	1,401	1,104	78.8%	567	40.5%	207	14.8%	68	4.9%	254	18.1%	38	2.7%
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												<u> </u>
50	Skilled Craft	911											·	
		Employees	82	9%	196	21.5%	85	9.3%	22	2.4%	71	7.8%	18	2%
		Availability					-							
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators	2,737								, ,				
		Employees	600	21.9%	969	35.4%	632	23.1%	90	3.3%	213	7.8%	34	1.2%
<u></u>		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized					,					<u> </u>		

		Total	Fer #	nale %	ľ	linority		ack	' ''	panic		ian		Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance	020	#	70	#	%	#	%	#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	<u> </u>
/ 0	Sei vice/Maintenance	928												
		Employees	171	18.4%	357	38.5%	148	15.9%	48	5.2%	137	14.8%	24	2.6%
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized					-							
80	Protective Services	1,499									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Employees	295	19.7%	449	30%	220	14.7%	68	4.5%	138	9.2%	23	1.5%
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized										-		
90	Para-Professional	134											·	
		Employees	104	77.6%	67	50%	15	11.2%	33	24.6%	19	14.2%		
		Availability							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
	Goals	Underutilized												
Grar	nd Total: 11,795	100%	4,417	37.4%	3,614	30.6%	1,642	13.9%	456	3.9%	1,336	11.3%	180	1.5%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Executive's Office

		Total		male		Vinority		ack	-	anic		sian		e Amer.
		10141	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	11						247.4						
		Employees	5	45.5%	. 3	27.3%	1	9.1%			2	18.2%		
		Availability	5	41.8%	2	14.1%	1	4.9%	0	2.8%	1	4.7%	0	1.7%
	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	61												_
		Employees	36	59%	20	32.8%	10	16.4%	3	4.9%	7	11.5%		
		Availability	31	51.5%	6	9.4%	2	3.8%	1	2%	2	2.8%	0	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized												
30	Technicians	0												
		Employees						·						
		Availability	0	46.4%	. 0	13.1%	0	3.6%	0	2.3%	0	5.8%	0	1.3%
	Goals	Underutilized		-		·								
40	Administrative Support	16										-		
		Employees	15	93.8%	5	31.3%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	3	18.8%		
]		Availability	16	98.6%	· 1	8.3%	0	2.4%	0	1.4%	0	2.9%	0	1.6%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										
50	Skilled Craft													
		Employees												
		Availability	· · · · · · · · ·											
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators				1			1				1		<u> </u>
	•	Employees												
		Availability		1	<u> </u>			1						
	Goals	Underutilized			-			<u> </u>	1					

		Total		male	E .	Minority		lack	His	panic	Α	sian	Nativ	e Amer.
			#	%	#	%	# .	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance						P. 1.1		\$1,74		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 -	
		Employees												
		Availability												†
	Goals	Underutilized												+
80	Protective Services			- 										+
		Employees												
		Availability						 						
	Goals	Underutilized							············					1
90	Para-Professional		,,						-			 		
		Employees			,									
		Availability					•							 -
	Goals	Underutilized												
Grar	nd Total: 88	100%	56	63.6%	28	31.8%	12	13.6%	4	4.5%	12	13.6%	0	0

Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Department of Adult & Judicial Administration

		Total		nale		linority		ack	Hisp			sian	Native	
		Iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	<u>%</u>
10	Officials/Administrators	6			Mary Harris	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			新卷 扩大物					
		Employees	3	50%	4	66.7%	4	66.7%						
		Availability	. 2	25.8%	1	18.7%	0	3.2%	. 0	6%	0	7.9%	0	1.6%
	Goals	Underutilized							,					
20	Professionals	79												
		Employees	38	48.1%	27	34.2%	13	16.5%	5	6.3%	8	10.1%	1	1.3%
		Availability	28	35.7%	-12	15%	6	7.2%	1	1.9%	3	3.5%	1	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized								-				
30	Technicians	4												
		Employees			1	25%					1	25%		
		Availability	2	37.9%	0	10%	.0	3.2%	0	2.3%	0	3.9%	0	0.7%
	Goals	Underutilized	2	Yes										
40	Administrative Support	40												
		Employees	35	87.5%	17	42.5%	6	15%	1	2.5%	8	20%	2	5%
		Availability	38	94.7%	4	9.3%	1	3.1%	1	1.6%	1	3.1%	1	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	3	Yes										
50	Skilled Craft	0												-
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized							·					
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability	-											
	Goals	Underutilized								,				

		Total		male		Minority		ack		panic		sian		e Amer.
			#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	%	#	. %	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance	34												
		Employees	6	17.6%	16	47.1%	3	8.8%	2	5.9%	11	32.4%		
		Availability	17	48.9%	8	22.2%	2	5.1%	. 2	4.4%	4	11%	1	1.7%
	Goals	Underutilized	11	Yes								·	1	Yes
80	Protective Services	679					. ,							
		Employees	162	23.9%	292	43%	177	26.1%	36	5.3%	69	10.2%	10	1.5%
		Availability	149	22%	115	16.9%	63	9.3%	19	2.8%	21	3.1%	6	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	24	,											
		Employees	18	75%	9	37.5%	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	3	12.5%		
		Availability	5	22.3%	1	4.9%	0	1.4%	0	0.5%	1	2.7%	Ö	0.4%
	Goals	Underutilized												
Gran	nd Total: 866	100%	262	30.3	366	42.3%	207	23.9%	46	5.3%	100	11.5%	13	1.5%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Assessor's Office

		Total	Fei #	male %	Total I	Minority %	BI #	lack %	His _l	panic %	A: #	sian %	Nativ	e Amer. %
10	Officials/Administrators	6	**	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	76	#	76
	Omeidis/Administrators	Employees	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	··· ·				1	16.7%		
		Availability	 3	57.1%	0	1.3%	0	4.3%	0	2.1%	0	4.2%	0	1.8%
· -	Goals	Underutilized		Yes	├ ─ॅ	1.370		4.570		2.170		4.270	-	1.070
20	Professionals	18		103										
	Troressionals	Employees	6	33.3%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%		
		Availability	10	57.1%	2	9.7%	0	2.5%	1	4.2%	1	4.5%	0	1%
	Goals	Underutilized	4	Yes		9.7 70		2.570	<u>!</u>	7.2 /0	<u>'</u>	4.570		170
30	Technicians	144		163	<u> </u>									
30	recimicians	Employees	65	45.1%	30	20.8%	4	2.8%	2	1.4%	23	16%	1	0.7%
		Availability	79	55%	16	11.1%		2.5%	5	3.4%		5%	0	
	Goals	<u> </u>			10	11.1%	4	2.5%				3%		0.2%
		Underutilized	14	Yes					3	Yes	•			
40	Administrative Support	60		70.00/		10.00/		04 =04		2.20/				
		Employees	47	78.3%	29	48.3%	13	21.7%	2	3.3%	12	20%	2	3.3%
		Availability	47	77.9%	7	11.8%	2	3.4%	1	2.2%	3	4.9%	1	1.3%
	Goals	Underutilized												<u></u>
50	Skilled Craft	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized											<u> </u>	
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees						•						
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total		male		Minority		ack	His	panic	A:	ian	Nativ	e Amer.
·			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance	0					-							
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												1
80	Protective Services	0												
		Employees							-					1.
		Availability	,											
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	0												
		Employees										-		
		Availability	0	61.1%	0	13.4%	0	2.2%	0	1.9%	0	8.5%	0	0.7%
	Goals	Underutilized												
Grar	nd Total: 228	100%	120	52.6%	64	28.1%	18	7.9%	. 5	2.2%	38	16.7%	3	1.3%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender **Department of Community & Health Services**

		Total		nale	Total N	/linority		ack		anic		sian	Native	Amer.
		IOtal	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	<u>%</u>
10	Officials/Administrators	5			*	4 1 7	*							
		Employees	4	80%	3	60%	1	20%			2	40%		
		Availability	3	50.1%	1	10.6%	0	3.9%	.0	2%	0	3.1%	0	1.6%
	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	225												
		Employees	135	60%	76	33.8%	25	11.1%	10	4.4%	36	16%	5	2.2%
		Availability	124	55%	28	12.7%	12	5.4%	3	1.4%	8	3.7%	3	1.3%
	Goals	Underutilized									•			
30	Technicians	. 38												
		Employees	15	39.5%	5	13.2%			1	2.6%	4	10.5%		
		Availability	15	40.2%	4	10.2%	1	2.7%	1	1.9%	1	3.8%	1	1.8%
	Goals	Underutilized					1	Yes					1	Yes
40	Administrative Support	55												
		Employees	46	83.6%	32	58.2%	14	25.5%	6	10.9%	10	18.2%	2	3.6%
		Availability	47	86.3%	5	9%	1	2.6%	1	1.7%	2	3.2%	1	1.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										
50	Skilled Craft	. 0	• .		-				-					
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized	,		·						****			
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total		male		dinority		ack	His	panic	A	sian	Nativ	e Amer.
			#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance	0					**							
		Employees					***************************************							1
		Availability							-					
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	2												
		Employees	2	100%	1	50%	1	50%				<u> </u>		
		Availability					·····							
	Goals	Underutilized												1
90	Para-Professional	10												
		Employees	4	40%	6	60%	3	30%			3	30%		
		Availability	8	76.1%	1	12.6%	0	4.8%	0	2.5%	0	3.2%	0	2.1%
	Goals	Underutilized	4	Yes						<u> </u>		1		+
Grar	nd Total: 335	100%	206	61.5%	123	36.7%	44	13.1%	.17	5.1%	55	16.4%	7	2.1%

Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Department of Development & Environmental Services

		Total	Fei #	male %	Total I	Minority %	Bi #	ack %	His #	panic %	A: #	sian %	Native	e Amer. %
10	Officials/Administrators	3					1.0				7		3 4 5 17 15 15 1	
		Employees												
		Availability	1	46.1%	0	13.9%	0	5.7%	0	5.7%	0	1.9%	0	0.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										
20	Professionals	113												
		Employees	34	30.1%	26	23%	2	1.8%	4	3.5%	18	15.9%	2	1.8%
		Availability	32	28.2%	9	7.8%	5	4.7%	4	3.4%	2	2.2%	0	0.2%
	Goals	Underutilized	•				3	Yes						
30	Technicians	53											-	
		Employees	18	34%	14	26.4%	7	13.2%	2	3.8%	4	7.5%	1	1.9%
		Availability	17	32.5%	5	9.5%	2	3.5%	1	1.5%	2	3.1%	1	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized						·						
40	Administrative Support	40		·										
		Employees	33	82.5%	14	35%	5	12.5%	2	5%	6	15%	1	2.5%
		Availability	36	90.7%	4	9.5%	1	3.1%	1	1.7%	1	3.3%	1	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	3	Yes			-							
50	Skilled Craft	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized			•									

		Total	Fe:	male %	Total I	/linority %	BI #	ack %	Hisp #	oanic %	# As	ian %	Native	Amer. %
70	Service/Maintenance	3		1		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1			1.1.00	
		Employees	2	66.7%							·····			
		Availability		-										
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	13												
		Employees	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%						
		Availability			0	0.8%	0	0.8%						
	Goals	Underutilized												<u> </u>
90	Para-Professional	0												
		Employees												
		Availability					-							
	Goals	Underutilized												
Grar	nd Total: 225	100%	90	40%	56	24.9%	16	7.1%	8	3.6%	28	12.4%	4	1.8%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Department of Executive Services

		Total		nale	Total N	Ainority	В	lack	His	panic	A:	sian	Nativ	e Amer.
		10(a)	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	# .	%
10	Officials/Administrators	17												
		Employees	10	58.8%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%			2	11.8%		
		Availability	7	40.9%	2	9.8%	1	3.1%	0	2.2%	1	3.2%	0	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	405												
		Employees	200	49.4%	107	26.4%	43	10.6%	15	3.7%	48	11.9%	1	0.2%
		Availability	174	42.9%	42	10.4%	10	2.4%	8	2.1%	19	4.8%	5	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized											4	Yes
30	Technicians	46												
		Employees	12	26.1%	12	26.1%	4	8.7%	1	2.2%	- 5	10.9%	2	4.3%
		Availability	20	43.5%	5	11.5%	1	3.1%	1	2.4%	2	4.8%	1	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized	8	Yes									<u> </u>	
40	Administrative Support	234												1
		Employees	171	73.1%	95	40.6%	35	15%	8	3.4%	44	18.8%	8	3.4%
		Availability	199	85.2%	22	9.3%	7	2.8%	4	1.6%	8	3.4%	3	1.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	28	Yes										
50	Skilled Craft	91							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		Employees	12	13.2%	30	33%	15	16.5%			12	13.2%	3	3.3%
		Availability	11	11.7%	9	10.1%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	4	4%	1	1.2%
	Goals	Underutilized							2	Yes				
60	Transit Operators	0												<u> </u>
		Employees						1			- ,, .			
		Availability	·										, <u>.</u>	
	Goals	Underutilized									-			

		Total	Fei #	male %	Total f	Minority %	BI #	ack %	His #	panic %	A:	sian %	Native	e Amer. %
70	Service/Maintenance	92					•••••	T				70	н	70
		Employees	22	23.9%	56	60.9%	22	23.9%	9	9.8%	22	23.9%	3	3.3%
		Availability	29	31.1%	10	11.3%	. 4	3.9%	2	2.1%	3	3.8%	1	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	7.	Yes			,							
80	Protective Services	96												
		Employees	22	22.9%	32	33.3%	3	3.1%	3	3.1%	25	26%	1	1%
		Availability	17	17.8%	18	19.1%	7	6.9%	7	6.8%	4	3.7%	2	1.6%
	Goals	Underutilized					4	Yes	4	Yes			1	Yes
90	Para-Professional	0					······································							
		Employees	-						·					
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized									···			<u> </u>
Grar	nd Total: 981	100%	449	45.8%	335	34.1%	123	12.5%	36	3.7%	158	16.1%	18	1.8%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Department of Judicial Administration

		Total	Fen	nale %	Total N	Ainority %	BI #	ack %	Hisp #	anic %	As #	ian %	Native	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	1									76 3 7 7 7	1 17 1/41	Part Jackson	
		Employees	1	100%	1	100%							1	100%
		Availability			1								. 1	
	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	36					.,,				ļ			
		Employees	20 -	55.6%	9	25%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	4.	11.1%		
		Availability	22	62.2%	4	9.8%	1	3.9%			1	3.7%	0	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized	2	Yes						-				
30	Technicians	3												
		Employees												
		Availability	1	27.6%	0	12.8%	0	2.8%	0	1.6%	0	7.6%	0	0.6%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										
40	Administrative Support	170												
		Employees	121	71.2%	70	41.2%	23	13.5%	5	2.9%	41	24.1%	1	0.6%
		Availability	122	71.9%	23	13.3%	8	4.6%	4	2.5%	8	4.7%	3	1.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes									2	Yes
50	Skilled Craft	0				.,								
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total	Fer	nale %	Total I	linority %	Bl #	ack %	Hisp #	oanic %	# As	ian %	Native #	Amer.
70	Service/Maintenance	0						T			in a c			
		Employees												
		Availability				·								
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	0												
		Employees												
		Availability											,	
	Goals	Underutilized												
90	Para-Professional	0												
		Employees												
		Availability	' .											
	Goals	Underutilized	,											
Gran	nd Total: 210	100%	142	67.6%	80	38.1%	27	12.9%	.6	2.9%	45	21.4%	2	1%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender **Department of Natural Resources & Parks**

		Total		male		Minority		lack		panic		sian	_	e Amer.
<u> </u>			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	<u> %</u>
10	Officials/Administrators	10				·			,					
	<u> </u>	Employees	5	50%	3	30%	2	20%			1	10%		
		Availability	4	35.9%	1	11.2%	0	3.4%	0	2%	0	4.7%	0	1%
	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	740												
		Employees	283	38.2%	140	18.9%	35	4.7%	11	1.5%	86	11.6%	8	1.1%
		Availability	305	41.3%	110	14.9%	27	3.6%	14	1.9%	65	8.8%	4	0.6%
	Goals	Underutilized	22	Yes					3	Yes				
30	Technicians	59	, ,	1	<u> </u>					-				+
		Employees	35	59.3%	13	22%	9	15.3%			4	6.8%		
		Availability	15	25.9%	6	9.9%	2	3%	1	1.4%	3	4.6%	0	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized					,		1	Yes	,			1 0,0,0
40	Administrative Support	144	٠,								****	 		+
		Employees	121	84%	46	31.9%	16	11.1%	6	4.2%	21	14.6%	3	2.1%
		Availability	103	71.5%	27	18.8%	12	8.5%	5	3.4%	6	4.1%	4	2.9%
	Goals	Underutilized											1	Yes
50	Skilled Craft	241						 					•	1.05
		Employees	29	12%	51	21.2%	23	9.5%	11	4.6%	11	4.6%	6	2.5%
		Availability	37 .	15.3%	37	15.3%	11	4.5%	10	4.2%	11	4.4%	5	2.2%
	Goals	Underutilized	8	Yes				1,10,5		11270		1.470		2.270
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees	74/2				4							
		Availability				 		 						
	Goals	Underutilized	.,					†				-		

		Total	Fer	male	Total N	/linority	Bl	ack	His	panic	As	sian	Nativ	e Amer.
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance	262												1
		Employees	52	19.8%	73	27.9%	34	13%	11	4.2%	19	7.3%	9	3.4%
		Availability	40	15.1%	54	20.6%	24	9.2%	11	4.2%	10	3.9%	8	3.2%
	Goals	Underutilized								1				
80	Protective Services	5					***							
		Employees	3	60%	2	40%	1	20%			1	20%		
		Availability							****					+
	Goals	Underutilized										1		
90	Para-Professional	1											***	
		Employees	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											+
		Availability	1	72%	0	14.2%	0	10%	.0	0.6%	0	0.9%	0	2.7%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										
Grar	nd Total: 1,462	100%	528	36.1%	328	22.4%	120	8.2%	.39	2.7%	143	9.8%	26	1.8%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Department of Public Health

		Total		nale		/linority		ack		anic		sian		e Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	10	#	<u>%</u>	#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	Officials/Administrators	10		600/	<u> </u>	-	100/		100/					
<u> </u>		Employees	6	60%		1	10%	1	10%					
		Availability	4	39.7%	1	13.5%	0	4.4%	0	2.4%	0	4.6%	0	2%
<u> </u>	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	774	 											
ļ		Employees	603	77.9%	197	25.5%	49	6.3%	46	5.9%	91	11.8%	11	1.4%
		Availability	520	67.1%	81	10.5%	22	2.8%	32	4.2%	35	4.5%	7	0.9%
	Goals	Underutilized												
30	Technicians	181						, "						
		Employees	74	40.9%	48	26.5%	15	8.3%	7	3.9%	26	14.4%		
		Availability	87	48.1%	25	14%	8	4.3%	4	2.2%	12	6.5%	2	1.1%
	Goals	Underutilized	13	Yes		-							2	Yes
40	Administrative Support	307						-						
		Employees	266	86.6%	144	46.9%	46	15%	26	8.5%	64	20.8%	8	2.6%
		Availability	198	64.6%	40	13.1%	12	4%	8	2.6%	15	5%	5	1.5%
	Goals	Underutilized		1-2	,						 -	1		
50	Skilled Craft	1				·						1		1
		Employees	1	100%										
		Availability	1	83.6%	0	15.3%	0	5.1%	0	2.8%	0	5.4%	0	1.9%
	Goals	Underutilized	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,,,,,,,	<u>`</u>	3.170		2.070		3.170		1.5 /0
60	Transit Operators	0	 .	<u> </u>		: -						-		
		Employees										1		1
		Availability									····			
	Goals	Underutilized				 								

		Total		nale		/linority	Bl	ack	His	panic	As	ian	Nativ	e Amer.
			#	%	#	%	# .	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance	5										2 2 2 2 2	, ·	
		Employees			2	40%	2 .	40%						
		Availability	2	35.9%	1	21.5%	0	5.7%	0	4.2%	0	6.9%	0	4.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	2	Yes								-		
80	Protective Services	3												1
		Employees	<u> </u>											
		Availability	1	22.7%	1	17.5%	0	9.9%	0	2.9%	0	3.1%	0	0.8%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes	1	Yes	···			1				
90	Para-Professional	84												
		Employees	72	85.7%	43	51.2%	6	7.1%	29	34.5%	8	9.5%		
		Availability	58	69.3%	13	16%	6	6.9%	2	3%	3	4.2%	2	1.9%
	Goals	Underutilized											2	Yes
Grar	nd Total: 1,365	100%	1,022	74.9%	435	31.9%	119	8.7%	108	7.9%	189	13.8%	19	1.4%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Sheriff's Office

	<u> </u>	Total	Fer	nale	Total I	/linority	. Bl	ack	Hisp	anic	As	ian	Native	Amer.
		10(a)	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators	12		¥ 24		en julian west		100	3 1 1 2 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
		Employees	6	50%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%			1	8.3%		
		Availability	4	31.5%	2	18%	0	3.9%	1	6.3%	1	5.7%	.0	2.1%
	Goals	Underutilized							1	Yes				
20	Professionals	44												
		Employees	19	43.2%	4	9.1%	1	2.3%		-	3	6.8%		
		Availability	14	32.8%	5	10.4%	2	5.1%	1	1.2%	2	3.7%	0	0.5%
	Goals	Underutilized			1	Yes	1	Yes	1	Yes				
30	Technicians	157								i				
		Employees	109	69.4%	29	18.5%	11	7%	4	2.5%	14	8.9%		
		Availability	94	60%	21	13.3%	- 8	5.2%	3	2%	9	5.9%	0	0.1%
	Goals	Underutilized									,,			,
40	Administrative Support	112												
		Employees	94	83.9%	35	31.3%	9	8%	8	7.1%	15	13.4%	3	2.7%
		Availability	91	80.9%	26	23.1%	10	8.9%	4	3.2%	10	8.9%	2	2.1%
	Goals	Underutilized					1	Yes			-			
50	Skilled Craft	0						1		· ·				
		Employees												
		Availability												
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators	0												
		Employees												
		Availability											-	
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total		male		linority	Bl	ack	His	panic	A	sian	Nativ	e Amer.
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance	0												
		Employees												
		Availability												1
	Goals	Underutilized												
80	Protective Services	698						***						
		Employees	103	14.8%	120	17.2%	36	5.2%	29	4.2%	43	6.2%	12	1.7%
		Availability	95	13.5%	94	13.5%	37	5.3%	17	2.5%	31	4.4%	10	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized					1	Yes						
90	Para-Professional	8												
		Employees	5	62.5%	4	50%			2	25%	2	25%		
		Availability	5	60.6%	1	17.4%	1	7.4%	0	3.2%	0	4.8%	0	1.8%
	Goals	Underutilized					1	Yes						
Gran	nd Total: 1,031	100%	336	32.6%	194	18.8%	58	5.6%	43	4.2%	78	7.6%	15	1.5%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis by Race/Ethnicity and Gender Department of Transportation

		Total	Fen	nale %	Total N	linority %	Bla #	ack %	Hisp #	anic %	As #	sian %	Native	Amer.
10	Officials/Administrators	10		7.0		- 4 × 1 ×		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		, 7			and the end	
		Employees	3	30%	2	20%	1	10%			1	10%		
		Availability	3	33.8%	1	6.9%	0	2.1%	0	1.7%	0	2.5%	0	0.7%
	Goals	Underutilized												
20	Professionals	745												
		Employees	278	37.3%	190	25.5%	70	9.4%	10	1.3%	101	13.6%	9	1.2%
		Availability	218	29.2%	84	11.2%	29	3.9%			36	4.8%	7	1%
	Goals	Underutilized												
30	Technicians	169												
		Employees	36	21.3%	34	20.1%	20	11.8%	4	2.4%	9	5.3%	1	0.6%
		Availability	55	32.5%	14	8.5%	5	3.2%	4	2.4%	4	2.5%	1	0.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	19	Yes										
40	Administrative Support	223												
		Employees	155	69.5%	80	35.9%	39	17.5%	3	1.3%	30	13.5%	8	3.6%
		Availability	157	70.3%	24	10.6%	7	3.1%	5	2.2%	9	3.8%	3	1.4%
	Goals	Underutilized	2	Yes					2	Yes				
50	Skilled Craft	578												
		Employees	40	6.9%	115	19.9%	47	8.1%	11	1.9%	48	8.3%	9	1.6%
		Availability	49	8.5%	58	10.1%	14	2.5%	11	1.9%	20	3.4%	13	2.3%
L	Goals	Underutilized	9	Yes									4	Yes
60	Transit Operators	2,737												
		Employees	600	21.9%	969	35.4%	632	23.1%	90	3.3%	213	7.8%	34	1.2%
		Availability	756	27.6%	325	11.9%	214	7.8%	27	1%	55	2%	25	0.9%
<u> </u>	Goals	Underutilized	156	Yes										

		Total	Fer #	nale %	Total N	linority %	BI #	ack %	His _l	oanic %	# A:	sian %	Native	e Amer. %
70	Service/Maintenance	532							<u></u>				"	
		Employees	89	16.7%	210	39.5%	87	16.4%	26	4.9%	85	16%	12	2.3%
		Availability	113	21.3%	68	12.7%	18	3.4%	17	3.2%	18	3.4%	14	2.7%
	Goals	Underutilized	24	Yes									2	Yes
80	Protective Services	3	-											
		Employees									-			1
		Availability	1	25.3%	0	13.6%	0	5.3%	0	2.7%	0	3.7%	0	1.8%
	Goals	Underutilized	1	Yes										
90	Para-Professional	7									-			
		Employees	5	71.4%	5	71.4%	2	28.6%			3	42.9%		
		Availability	3	44.4%	1	9.9%	0	2.5%	0	1.9%	0	4.5%	0	1%
	Goals	Underutilized	·					<u> </u>						
Grar	nd Total: 5,004	100%	1,206	24.1%	1,605	32.1%	898	17.9%	144	2.9%	490	9.8%	.73	1.5%

King County Executive Branch Mid-Year 2004 Summary Analysis for Persons with Disabilities by Dept

		Total	E>	сес	Exec	Office	D/	AJD		Α	Di	ICS	DD	ES#
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	•	%
10	Officials/Administrators		91		11	All	6	All	6	All	5	All	3	All
		Employees	4	4.40%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
		Availability			1	6.14%	0	6.09%	0	6.08%	. 0	6.40%	.0	6.17%
	Goals	Underutilized			1	Yes		,						
20	Professionals		3240		61	All	79	All	18	All	225	Ali	113	All
		Employees	107	3.30%	1	1.64%	7 ·	8.86%	0	0.00%	9	4.00%	4	3.54%
		Availability			4	6.83%	6	7.81%	1	6.06%	16	7.28%	9	8.24%
	Goals	Underutilized			3	Yes			1	Yes	7	Yes	5	Yes
30	Technicians		854		0	All	4	All	144	All	38	All	53	All
		Employees	24	2.81%	. 0		0	0.00%	5	3.47%	1	2.63%	2	3.77%
		Availability			0	6.18%	0	6.82%	23	16.16%	2	6.51%	4	8.06%
	Goals	Underutilized							18	Yes	1	Yes	2	Yes
40	Administrative Support		1401		16	All	40	All	60	All	55	All	40	All
		Employees	94	6.71%	1	6.25%	1	2.50%	4	6.67%	6	10.91%	4	10.00%
		Availability			2	9.86%	4	9.95%	5	9.15%	5	9.59%	4	9.88%
	Goals	Underutilized			1	Yes	3	Yes	1	Yes				
50	Skilled Craft		911		0	All	0	All	. 0	All	0	All	0	All
		Employees	24	2.63%	0		0		0		0		0	
		Availability			0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	Goals	Underutilized												
60	Transit Operators		2737		0	All	0	All	0	All	0	All	0	All
		Employees	14	0.51%	0		0		0		0		0	
		Availability			0	0.00%	0	0.00%	, 0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	Goals	Underutilized												

		Total	#	cec %	Exec #	Office %	D/ #	AJD %	#	OA %	, D	HCS %)ES #
70	Service/Maintenance		928		0	All	34	All	0	All	0	All	3	All
		Employees	54	5.82%	0		1	2.94%	0		0		0	0.00%
		Availability			. 0	0.00%	5	14.12%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	Goals	Underutilized					4	Yes						
80	Protective Services		1499		0	All	679	All	0	All	2	All	13	All
		Employees	22	1.47%	0		13	1.91%	0		1	50.00%	1	7.69%
		Availability			0	0.00%	68	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Goals	Underutilized					55	Yes						
90	Para-Professional		134		0	All	24	All	0	All	10	All	0	All
		Employees	6	4.48%	0		0	0.00%	0		0	0.00%	0	1
		Availability			0	0.00%	1	4.18%	0	5.56%	2	17.37%	0	0.00%
	Goals	Underutilized					1	Yes			2	Yes		
Grar	nd Total:	All employees	11795		88		866		228		335		225	
		All PWD	349	2.96%	2	2.27%	22	2.54%	9	3.95%	17	5.07%	11	4.89%

Mid-Year Summary Analysis for Persons with Disabilities by Department

Continued

		Total	D	ES	D	JA	DN	NRP	D	PH	КС	SO	D	OT
		iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators		17	All	. 1	All	10	All	10	All	12	All	10	All
		Employees	3	17.65%	0	0.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	:	Availability	1	6.17%	0	0.00%	1.	6.10%	1	5.16%	1	6.17%	1	6.22%
	Goals	Underutilized			-				1	Yes	1	Yes	1	Yes
20	Professionals		405	All	36	All	740	All	774	All	44	All	745	All
		Employees	19	4.69%	0	0.00%	12	1.62%	20	2.58%	3	6.8%	32	4.30%
		Availability	25	6.12%	2	5.49%	27	3.70%	61	7.92%	3	7.73%	56	7.55%
	Goals	Underutilized	6	Yes	2	Yes	15	Yes	41	Yes			_ 24	Yes
30	Technicians		46	All	3	A!I	59	All	181	All	157	Ali	169	All
		Employees	1	2.17%	0	0.00%	2.	3.39%	5	2.76%	3	1.91%	- 5	2.96%
		Availability	. 3	7.37%	0	6.61%	. 5	7.90%	19	10.30%	6	3.54%	17	10.16%
	Goals	Underutilized	2	Yes			3	Yes	14	Yes	3	Yes	12	Yes
40	Administrative Support		234	All	170	All	144	All	307	All	112	All	223	All
		Employees	18	7.69%	. 7	4.12%	10	6.94%	18	5.86%	6	5.36%	19	8.52%
		Availability	23	9.65%	14	8.16%	14	9.80%	30	9.84%	8	7.03%	22	9.96%
	Goals	Underutilized	5	Yes	7	Yes	4	Yes	12	Yes	2	Yes	3	Yes
50	Skilled Craft		91	Ali	,0	All	241	All	1	Ali	0	All	578	All
		Employees	2	2.20%	0		4	1.66%	0	0.00%	0		18	3.11%
		Availability	8	8.48%	0	0.00%	22	9.20%	. 0	0.00%	0	0.00%	54	9.35%
	Goals	Underutilized	6	Yes			18	Yes	0				36	Yes
60	Transit Operators		0	All	0	All	0	All	0	All	0	All	2737	All
		Employees	0		0		0		0		0		14	0.51%
		Availability	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	14	0.50%
	Goals	Underutilized											-0	

		Total		ES	C)JA	DI	NRP	D	PH	K	cso	D	OT
		·otai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance		92	All	0	All	262	All	5	All	0	All	532	All
		Employees	12	13.04%	0		27	10.31%	0	0.00%	0		14	2.63%
		Availability	8	8.87%	0	0.00%	30	11.60%	1	11.81%	0	0.00%	61	11.39%
	Goals	Underutilized					3	Yes	1	Yes	0		47	Yes
80	Protective Services		96	All	0	All	5	All	3	All	698	All	3	All
		Employees	2	2.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	0.72%	0	0.00%
		Availability	15	15.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	8.2%	61	8.7%	0	7.5%
	Goals	Underutilized	13	Yes	0					,	56	Yes	0	
90	Para-Professional		0	All	0	All	1	Ali	84	All	8	All	7	All
		Employees	0		0		0	0.00%	5	5.95%	0	0.00%	1	14.29%
		Availability	0	10.86%	0	7.95%	0	7.80%	8	9.71%	1	8.63%	0	5.87%
	Goals	Underutilized							3	Yes	1	Yes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
Grar	nd Total:	All employees	981		210		1462		1365		1031		5004	
		All PWD	57	5.81%	7	3.33%	56	3.83%	48	3.52%	17	1.65%	103	2.06%

Attachment 4: Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color, Persons with Disabilities, and Women

Workforce Availability Page Numbers

Executive's Office	203
Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention	204
Assessor's Office	
Department of Community & Human Services	207
Department of Development & Environmental Services	208
Department of Executive Services	210
Department of Judicial Administration	212
Department of Natural Resources & Parks	214
Department of Public Health	216
Sheriff's Office	218
Department of Transportation	220

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Executive's Office

		Total	Fei	nale	Total I	Minority	В	ack	A:	sian	Nativ	e Amer.	His	panic	P	WD
		10tai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators														** **. **.	
	Employees:	13	-													
	Current Utiliz	ation	6	46.15%	5	38.46%	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		3.65	28.06%	1.9	14.58%	0.48	3.7%	1.06	8.13%	0.11	0.84%	0.13	1.03%	1	6.14%
	Underutilized	k	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.11	No	0.13	No	1	Yes
20	Professionals				··				···· · · · · · ·	1						-
	Employees:	77												-		
	Current Utiliz	ation	44	57.14%	23	29.87%	11	14.29%	9	11.69%	0	0	3	3.9%	2	2.6%
	Class Goal		31.92	41.45%	10.65	13.83%	1.85	2.4%	5.25	6.82%	0.92	1.19%	1.97	2.56%	5	6.83%
	Underutilized	1	0	No	. 0	No	0	No	0	No	0.92	No	0	No	3	Yes
30	Technicians						-								,	
	Employees:	3														
	Current Utiliz	ation	3 .	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		1.44	48.14%	0.6	19.84%	0.12	4.05%	0.28	9.18%	0.05	1.68%	0.14	4.62%	0	6.18%
	Underutilized	1	0	No	0.6	No	0.12	No	0.28	No	0.05	No	0.14	No	0	No
40	Administrative Support															
	Employees:	12					*******									
	Current Utiliz	ation	12	100%	2	16.67%	0	0	1	8.33%	0	0	1	8.33%	2	16.67%
	Class Goal		9.75	81.27%	1.91	15.89%	0.5	4.14%	0.81	6.77%	0.18	1.54%	0.28	2.33%	1	9.86%
	Underutilized	1	0	No	0	No	0.5	No	0	No	0.18	No	0	No	0	No
Tota	al: 105		6	5	Ξ	0		3		3		0		4		4

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention

		Takal	Fer	nale	Total N	Ainority	BI	ack	As	ian	Native	Amer.	Hisp	anic	P'	WD
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators									·					<u> </u>	
	Employees:	6		٠												
	Current Utiliz	zation	2	33.33%	2	33.33%	2	33.33%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		2.14	35.71%	0.73	12.11%	0.16	2.69%	0.29	4.86%	0.12	2.01%	0.09	1.49%	0	6.09%
	Underutilize	d	0.14	No	0	No	0	No	0.29	No	0.12	No	0.09	No	0	No
20	Professionals	,														
	Employees:	86														
	Current Utiliz	zation	46	53.49%	29	33.72%	12	13.95%	10	11.63%	1	1.16%	6	6.98%	7	8.14%
	Class Goal		43.14	50.17%	12.15	14.13%	3.77	4.38%	4.38	5.09%	1.9	2.21%	1.58	1.84%	7	7.81%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.9	No	0	No	0	No
30	Technicians															
	Employees:	10														
	Current Utili:	zation	4	40%	5	50%	2	20%	3	30%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		5.82	58.19%	2.76	27.6%	0.88	8.82%	1.05	10.54%	0.26	2.59%	0.38	3.79%	1	6.82%
	Underutilize	d	1.82	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.26	No	0.38	No	1	Yes
40	Administrative Support															
	Employees:	42														
	Current Utiliz	zation	37	88.1%	17	40.48%	6	14.29%	8	19.05%	2	4.76%	1	2.38%	1	2.38%
	Class Goal		32.53	77.45%	7.27	17.3%	2.05	4.88%	3.02	7.2%	0.7	1.66%	0.98	2.33%	4	9.95%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	3	Yes
70	Service/Maintenance	37														
	Employees:															
	Current Utiliz	zation	6	16.22%	19	51.35%	3	8.11%	14	37.84%	0	0	2	5.41%	1	2.7%
	Class Goal		15.91	43%	14.26	38.55%	1.67	4.52%	5.74	15.51%	1.24	3.34%	4.82	13.02%	5	14.12%
	Underutilize	d	9.91	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	1.24	Yes	2.82	Yes	4	Yes

		Total	Fen	nale	Total N	linority	Bl	ack	As	ian	Nativ	e Amer.	His	oanic	P	WĎ
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
80	Protective Services															T
	Employees:	708									-		-,			
	Current Utiliz	ation	178	25.14%	302	42.66%	184	25.99%	71	10.03%	9	1.27%	38	5.37%	14	1.98%
	Class Goal		208.52	29.45%	182.26	25.74%	90.67	12.81%	42.8	6.05%	8.98	1.27%	33.3	4.7%	71	10%
	Underutilize	d	30.52	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	57	Yes
Tota	al: 889		27	73	3	74	2	09	1	06		2	<u> </u>	7		23

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Assessor's Office

		Total	Fen	nale	Total N	/linority	Bl	ack	As	ian	Native	Amer.	His	oanic	PI	WD
		iotai	#	%	#	.%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#.	%
10	Officials/Administrators															
	Employees:	5					•									
	Current Utiliz	ation	2	40%	1	20%	Ō	0	1	20%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		1.78	35.64%	0.71	14.21%	0.13	2.66%	0.37	7.32%	0.04	0.87%	0.11	2.18%	0	6.08%
	Underutilized	d	0	No	0	No	0.13	No	0	No	0.04	No	0.11	No	0	No
20	Professionals						,									
	Employees:	145														
	Current Utiliz	ation	63	43.45%	26	17.93%	4	2.76%	18	12.41%	1	0.69%	3	2.07%	0	0
,	Class Goal		69.06	47.63%	19.5	13.45%	2.71	1.87%	9.26	6.39%	0.98	0.68%	4.71	3.25%	9	6.06%
	Underutilized	· k	6.06	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	1.71	Yes	9	Yes
30	Technicians												***	1		1
	Employees:	18														
	Current Utiliz	ation	8	44.44%	8	44.44%	1	5.56%	7	38.89%	0	0	0	0	5	27.78%
	Class Goal		9.78	54.35%	4.22	23.47%	0.56	3.13%	2.38	13.2%	0.31	1.7%	0.85	4.71%	3 .	16.16%
	Underutilized	1	1.78	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.31	No	0.85	No	0	No
40	Administrative Support										- 1.					
	Employees:	60														
	Current Utiliz	ation	48	80%	30	50%	13	21.67%	13	21.67%	2	3.33%	2	3.33%	4	6.67%
	Class Goal		42.34	70.57%	13.2	21.99%	3.31	5.52%	6.02	10.03%	0.88	1.46%	2.06	3.44%	5	9.15%
	Underutilized	d k	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.06	No	1	Yes
Tota	al: 228		1	21	. (55	_1	18		39		3		5		9

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Department of Community & Human Services

		Total	Fer	nale	Total N	/linority	ВІ	ack	As	sian	Nativ	e Amer.	His	panic	P	PWD
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators				-											
	Employees:	4									-					
	Current Utiliza	ation	2	50%	2	50%	1 .	25%	1	25%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		1.47	36.67%	0.67	16.79%	0.22	5.5%	0.31	7.64%	0.05	1.24%	0.06	1.41%	0	6.4%
	Underutilized		0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.05	No	0.06	No	0	No
20	Professionals															
	Employees:	253														
	Current Utiliza	tion	157	62.06%	91	35.97%	34	13.44%	38	15.02%	6	2.37%	13	5.14%	9	3.56%
	Class Goal		152.18	60.15%	49.48	19.56%	13.16	5.2%	18.22	7.2%	7.63	3.02%	7.54	2.98%	18	7.28%
	Underutilized		0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	1.63	Yes	0	No	9	Yes
30	Technicians													-		+
	Employees:	14												 		1
	Current Utiliza	ition	4	28.57%	.6	42.86%	1	7.14%	4	28.57%	0	0	1	7.14%	1	7.14%
	Class Goal		5.39	38.48%	2.46	17.54%	0.49	3.52%	1.23	8.78%	0,19	1.35%	0.45	3.18%	1	6,51%
	Underutilized		1.39	Yes	0	. No	0	No	0	No	0.19	No	0	No	0	No
40	Administrative Support													 	 	1
	Employees:	70					-	ļ <u>-</u> .								
	Current Utiliza	tion	56	80%	39	55.71%	15	21.43%	14	20%	2	2.86%	8	11.43%	6	8.57%
	Class Goal		53.98%	77.11%	20.6	29.44%	6.94	9.91%	8,11	11.59%	1.33	1.9%	3.63	5.18%	7	9.59%
	Underutilized		0	No	0	No	Ó	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	1	Yes
90	Para-Professional						· · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Employees:	8												 		
	Current Utiliza	tion	4	50%	5	62.5%	2	25%	3	37.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		5.71	71.33%	1.5	18.78%	0.31	3.83%	0.4	5.02%	0.37	4.62%	0.18	2.22%	1	17.37%
	Underutilized		1.71	Yes	. 0	No	0	No	0	No	0.37	No	0.18	No	1	Yes
Tota	al: 349		2	23	14	13	_5	3	Æ	0		8		2		17

2005-2006 EEO/AA Plan (2/16/05)

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Department of Development & Environmental Services

		Total	Fen	nale	Total N	linority	Bl	ack	As	ian	Native	Amer.	Hisp	oanic	P	WD
		lotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators															
	Employees:	4														
	Current Utiliz	zation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
	Class Goal	,	1.52	37.98%	0.45	11.29%	0.09	2.21%	0.18	4.42%	0.06	1.62%	0.07	1.82%	0	6.17%
	Underutilize	d	1.52	Yes	0.45	No	0.09	No	0.18	No	0.06	No	0.07	No	,	
20	Professionals															
	Employees:	118														
	Current Utili:	zation	37	31.36%	28	23.73%	2	1.69%	20	16.95%	2 .	1.69%	4	3.39%	4	3.39%
	Class Goal		34.56	29.29%	16.97	14.38%	2.99	2.53%	8.99	7.62%	1.72	1.46%	2.38	2.02%	10	8.24%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0.99	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	6	Yes
30	Technicians					, i										
	Employees:	54														
	Current Utiliz	zation	18	33.33%	14	25.93%	6	11,11%	4	7.41%	1	1.85%	3	5.56%	3	5.56%
	Class Goal		21.56	39.93%	7.88	14.6%	1.82	3.38%	2.73	5.05%	1.04	1.92%	2.14	3.96%	4	8.06%
	Underutilize	d	3.56	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.04	No	0	No	. 1	Yes
40	Administrative Support															T
	Employees:	33														
	Current Utiliz	zation	30	90.91%	13	39.39%	5	15.15%	6	18.18%	0	0	2	6.06%	4	12.12%
	Class Goal		26.56	80.48%	6.41	19.41%	2.03	6.14%	2.64	8.01%	0.49	1.49%	0.86	2.6%	3	9.88%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.49	No	0	No	0	No
70	Service/Maintenance															
	Employees:	. 4					,						-			
	Current Utili:	zation	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		0.38	9.55%	0.44	10.91%	0.19	4.69%	0.06	1.61%	0.07	1.77%	0.07	1.72%	0	0
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.06	No	0.07	No	0.07	No	0	No

ŀ		Total	Fer	nale	Total N	/linority	ВІ	ack	As	ian	Native	Amer.	His	oanic	P	WD
		iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
80	Protective Services												-			
	Employees:	12							······							
	Current Utiliz	zation	3	25%	2	16.67%	2	16.67%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		1.07	8.92%	1.49	12.4%	0.38	3.13%	0.6	5%	0.24	2%	0,27	2.27%	0	0
	Underutilized	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.6	No	0.24	No	0.27	No	0	No
Tota	al: 225		Ģ	0 .		8	1	16	3	0		3		9		11

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Department of Executive Services

		Total	Fen	nale	Total N	linority	ВІ	ack	As	ian	Native	Amer.	Hisp	oanic	P	WD
		IOtal	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators															
	Employees:	14									•	-				
	Current Utiliz	zation	8	57.14%	2	14.29%	1	7.14%	0	0	0	. 0	1	7.14%	3	21.43%
	Class Goal		6.3	44.98%	1.85	13.2%	0.72	5.15%	0.38	2.74%	0.1	0.7%	0.58	4.13%	1	6.17%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.38	No	0.1	No	0	No	0	No
20	Professionals											1				
	Employees:	471														1
	Current Utiliz	zation	235	49.89%	118	25.05%	47	9.98%	54	11.46%	1	0.21%	16	3.4%	14	2.97%
	Class Goal		217.37	46.15%	88.84	18.86%	25.11	5.33%	39.94	8.48%	7.35	1.56%	13.23	2.81%	29	6.12%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	6.35	Yes	0	No	15	Yes
30	Technicians															
	Employees:	66					·									+
	Current Utiliz	zation	16	24.24%	21	31.82%	6	9.09%	9	13.64%	3	4.55%	3	4.55%	1	1.52%
,	Class Goal		21.55	32.65%	11.34	17.18%	2.47	3.74%	4.96	7.51%	1,15	1.75%	2.22	3.36%	5	7,37%
	Underutilize	d _	5.55	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	4	Yes
40	Administrative Support	·									-,				-	
	Employees:	242					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
	Current Utiliz	zation	184	76.03%	103	42.56%	43	17.77%	45	18.6%	6	2.48%	9	3.72%	18	7.44%
	Class Goal	-	178.48	73.75%	100.25	41.43%	41.76	17.26%	43.81	18.1%	5.83	2.41%	8.85	3.66%	23	9.65%
	Underutilized	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	5	Yes
50	Skilled Craft										***					1
	Employees:	95					· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									1
	Current Utiliz	zation	10	10.53%	32	33.68%	16	16.84%	12	12.63%	3	3.16%	1	1.05%	2	2.11%
	Class Goal		10.6	11.16%	13.13	13.82%	3.19	3.36%	3.53	3.72%	2.12	2.24%	3.29	3.47%	8	8.48%
	Underutilized	d	0.6	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	2.29	Yes	6	Yes

		Total	Fer	male	Total N	linority	ВІ	ack	As	sian	Native	e Amer.	His	panic	P	WD
		iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance															T
	Employees:	94														<u> </u>
	Current Utiliz	zation	24	25.53%	57	60.64%	23	24.47%	22	23.4%	1	1.06%	11	11.7%	11	11.7%
	Class Goal		25.98	27.64%	27.98	29.77%	6.22	6.62%	8.56	9.11%	3.32	3.53%	7.91	8.42%	8	8.87%
	Underutilized	d	1.98	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	2.32	Yes	0	No	0	No
80	Protective Services															†
	Employees:	94														
	Current Utiliz	zation	22	23.4%	32	34.04%	3	3.19%	25	26.6%	1	1.06%	3	3.19%	1	1.06%
	Class Goal		50.5	53.72%	17.19	18.29%	2.27	2.42%	7.57	8.06%	3.63	3.86%	3.71	3.95	15	15.7%
	Underutilized	<u></u>	28.5	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	2.63	Yes	0.71	No	14	Yes
Tota	il: 1,076		4	99	3	65	1	39		67		5		14		50

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Department of Judicial Administration

		Total	Fen	nale	Total N	linority	Bla	ack	As	ian	Native	Amer.	Hisp	anic	P	WD
		iotai	#	%	. #	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators		, ,	,											1.1	
	Employees:	1														
	Current Utiliz	ation	1	100%	1	100%	. 0	0	0	0	1	100%	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		0.18	17.62%	0.08	7.75%	0.01	0.7%	0.04	4.34%	0.01	0.83%	0,01	1.14%	0	0
	Underutilized	t	0	No	0	No	0.01	No	0.04	No	0	No	0.01	No	0	No
20	Professionals															
	Employees:	39														
	Current Utiliz	ation	20	51.28%	11	28.21%	5	12.82%	5	12.82%	. 0	0	1	2.56%	1	2.56%
	Class Goal		20.84	53.45%	7.13	18.28%	1.92	4.93%	3.22	8.26%	0.67	1.72%	0.95	2.45%	2	5.49%
	Underutilized	t	0.84	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.67	No	0	. No	1	Yes
30	Technicians															
	Employees:	3														
	Current Utiliz	ation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		0.94	31.41%	0.59	19.78%	0.12	4.02%	0.32	10.62%	0.05	1.62%	0.08	2.67%	0	6.61%
	Underutilized	d	0.94	No	0.59	No	0.12	No	0.32	No	0.05	No	0.08	No	0	No
40	Administrative Support															
	Employees:	172														
	Current Utiliz	ation	124	72.09%	73	42.44%	24	13.95%	42	24.42%	1	0.58%	6	3.49%	8	4.65%
	Class Goal		141.51	82.28%	35.4	20.58%	9.92	5.77%	15.78	9.17%	2.43	1.41%	5.12	2.98%	14	8.16%
	Underutilized	d	17.51	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	1.43	Yes	0	No	6	Yes
Tota	al: 215		1	45		35		29		7	1	2	11 :	7		9

212

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Department of Natural Resources & Parks

		Takal	Fen	nale	Total M	linority	Bla	ack	As	ian ়	Native	Amer.	Hisp	anic	PΙ	WD
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators					·									· · · ·	
	Employees:	11					•									
	Current Utili:	zation	6	54.55%	. 4	36.36%	3.	27.27%	1	9.09%	0	0	0	0	. 1	9.09%
	Class Goal		4.83	43.91%	1.58	14.4%	0.36	3.26%	0.7	6.4%	0.17	1.52%	0.23	2.12%	1	6.1%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.17	No	0.23	No	0	No
20	Professionals															
	Employees:	783														
	Current Utili:	zation	320	40.87%	144	18.39%	35	4.47%	91	11.62%	6	0.77%	12	1.53%	11	1.4%
	Class Goal		309.5	39.53%	147.79	18.87%	34.29	4.38%	80.18	10.24%	12.44	1.59%	16.79	2.14%	29	3.7%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	3.79	No	0	No	0	No	6.44	Yes	4.79	Yes	18	Yes
30	Technicians															
	Employees:	85														
	Current Utili:	zation	46	54.12%	19	22.35%	10	11.76%	8	9.41%	1	1.18%	0	0	2	2.35%
	Class Goal		43.86	51.6%	16.43	19.33%	6.65	7.83%	6.65	7.82%	0.87	1.03%	1.78	2.09%	7	7.9%
	Underutilize	d .	0	No	0	No	0	No	0 -	No	0	No	1.78	Yes	5	Yes
40	Administrative Support															
	Employees:	132														
	Current Utili	zation	111	84.09%	36	27.27%	11	8.33%	18	13.64%	3	2.27%	4	3.03%	12	9.09%
	Class Goal		95.29	72.19%	32.26	24.44%	7.84	5.94%	15.38	11.65%	2.38	1.8%	5.81	4.4%	13	9.8%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	1.81	Yes	1	Yes
50	Skilled Craft															
	Employees:	262														
	Current Utili	zation	28	10.69%	57	21.76%	24	9.16%	12	4.58%	7	2.67%	14	5.34%	3	1.15%
	Class Goal		27.62	10.54%	50.94	19.44%	19.81	7.56%	12.02	4.59%	6.48	2.47%	11.91	4.55%	24	9.2%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	.0	No	0.02	No	0	No	0	No	21	Yes

		Total	i	nale		Ainority	l l	ack	As	sian	Nativ	e Amer.	His	panic	P	WD
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance										,					
	Employees:	255														
	Current Utiliz	ation	57	22.35%	64	25.1%	33	12.94%	15	5.88%	7	2.75%	9	3.53%	28	10.98%
	Class Goal		38.22	14.99%	71.07	27.87%	18.62	7.3%	17.41	6.83%	6.07	2.38%	24.67	9.67%	30	11.6%
	Underutilized	<u></u>	0	No	7.07	Yes	0	No	2.41	Yes	0	No	15.67	Yes	2	Yes
80	Protective Services				\$ 7				. 7							
	Employees:	5														
	Current Utiliz	ation	3	60%	2	40%	1	20%	1	20%	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		3	60%	2	40%	1	20%	1	20%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Underutilized	t	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No
90	Para-Professional															
	Employees:	12														
	Current Utiliz	ation	12	100%	6	50%	1	8.33%	3	25%	0	0	2	16.67%	0	0
	Class Goal		8.75	72.96%	2.69	22.42%	0.69	5.75%	1.27	10.6%	0.17	1.39%	0.37	3.07	1	7.8%
	Underutilized	t	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.17	No	0	No	1	Yes
Tota	ıl: 1,545		5	83	3	32	1	18	14	49		24	4	1	edi. Avis	57

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Department of Public Health

			Fen	nale	Total M	linority	Bla	ick	Asi	ian	Native	Amer.	Hisp	anic	P۱	ND
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators				, 8 <u> </u>								<u> </u>			
	Employees:	9					· 									
	Current Utiliz	zation	6	66.67%	1	11.11%	1	11.11%	0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		4.47	49.69%	1.13	12.54%	0.22	2.46%	0.43	4.74%	0.19	2.16%	0.2	2.23%	0	5.16%
	Underutilize	d .	0	No	0.13	No	0	No	0.43	No	0.19	No	0.2	No	0	No
20	Professionals															
	Employees:	842								_						
	Current Utili:	zation	659	78.27%	211	25.06%	55	6.53%	98	11.64%	11	1.31%	47	5.58%	24	2.85%
	Class Goal		587.22	69.74%	143.37	17.03%	29.95	3.56%	63.99	7.6%	18.57	2.21%	19.67	2.34%	67	7.92%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	. 0	No	0	No	0	No	7.57	Yes	0	No	43	Yes
30	Technicians															<u> </u>
	Employees:	239														
	Current Utili:	zation	92	38.49%	57	23.85%	17	7.11%	28	11.72%	0	0	12	5.02%	5	2.09%
	Class Goal		100.85	42.2%	32.04	13.41%	11.23	4.7%	7.63	3.19%	1.7	0.71%	9.72	4.07%	25	10.3%
	Underutilize	ď	8.85	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	1.7	Yes	0	No	20	Yes
40	Administrative Support															
	Employees:	404														
	Current Utili	zation	354	87.62%	202	50%	57	14.11%	81	20.05%	7	1.73%	57	14.11%	18	4.46%
	Class Goal		311.21	77.03%	75.26	18.63%	22.51	5.57%	29.65	7.34%	6.49	1.61%	9.98	2.47%	40	9.84%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	22	Yes
50	Skilled Craft															
	Employees:	8														
	Current Utili	zation	5	62.5%	2	25%	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	25%	0	0
	Class Goal		0.64	8.03%	1.39	17.37%	0.26	3.27%	0.25	3.1%	0.17	2.09%	0,56	6.96%	0	0
	Underutilize	ed	0	No	0	No	0.26	No	0.25	No	0.17	No	. 0	No	0	0

		Total	Fei	male	Total I	Ainority	ВІ	ack	As	ian	Nativ	e Amer.	His	panic	Р	WD
		Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
70	Service/Maintenance															
	Employees:	5														1
	Current Utiliz	zation	0	0	2	40%	2	40%	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		1.28	25.61%	1.12	22.4%	0.47	9.46%	0.26	5.18%	0.12	2.46%	0.22	4.47%	1	11.81%
	Underutilize	d	1.28	Yes	0	No	0	No	0.26	No	0.12	No	0.22	No	1	Yes
80	Protective Services															
	Employees:	6											-			,
	Current Utiliz	zation	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		2.27	37.92%	0.99	16.57%	0.74	12.31%	0.09	1.57%	0.03	0.45%	0.11	1.83%	0	8.2%
	Underutilized	d	0.27	No	0	No	0	No	0.09	No	0.03	No	0.11	No	0	No
90	Para-Professional		*******													
	Employees:	46								<u> </u>						
·	Current Utiliz	ation	30	65.22%	15	32.61%	7	15.22%	1	2.17%	0	0	7	15.22%	5	10.87%
	Class Goal		33.61	73.06%	11.15	24.25%	3.55	7.71%	3.78	8.21%	1.44	3.13%	1.92	4.17%	4	9.71%
	Underutilized	4	3.61	Yes	0	No	0	No	2.78	Yes	1.44	Yes	0	No	0	No
Tota	al: 1,559		1,1	148	4	91	1	40	2	08		8	1	25		52

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Sheriff's Office

	Total	Fei	male	Total N	linority	ВІ	ack	As	ian	Nativ	e Amer.	Hisp	oanic	PWD	
	Total	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators			,					1.						
	Employees: 1														
	Current Utilization	4	36.36%	1	9.09%	0	0	1	9.09%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal	2.76	25.1%	1.41	12.86%	0.34	3.06%	0.86	7.81%	0.12	1.07%	0.06	0.59%	1	6.17%
	Underutilized	0	No	0.41	No	0.34	No	0	No	0.12	No	0.06	No	1	Yes
20	Professionals														
	Employees: 14	3							·						
	Current Utilization	40	27.78%	17	11.81%	6	4.17%	7	4.86%	1	0.69%	3	2.08%	3	2.1%
	Class Goal	35.84	24.89%	24.74	17.18%	9.07	6.3%	8.1	5.62%	2.42	1.68%	3.92	2.72%	11	7.73%
	Underutilized	0	No	7.74	Yes	3.07	Yes	1.1	Yes	1.42	Yes	0.92	No	8	Yes
30	Technicians										-				
	Employees: 13							•							
	Current Utilization	87	66.92%	23	17.69%	6	4.62%	12	9.23%	. 0	0	- 5	3.85%	3	2.31%
	Class Goal	71.13	54,72%	28.25	21.73%	6.4	4.92%	13.21	10.16%	1.86	1.43%	5.11	3.93%	5	3.54%
	Underutilized	0	No	5.25	Yes	0.4	No	1.21	Yes	1.86	Yes	0.11	No	2	Yes
40	Administrative Support	-													
	Employees: 15														
	Current Utilization	121	80.67%	42	28%	13	8.67%	19	12.67%	2	1.33%	8	5.33%	6	4%
	Class Goal	109.17	72.78%	32.52	21.68%	9.2	6.13%	13.93	9.29%	2.11	1.41%	4.88	3.26%	11	7.03%
	Underutilized	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.11	No	0	No	5	Yes
50	Skilled Craft														
	Employees:	ı													
	Current Utilization	1	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal	1	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Underutilized	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No

		Total	Female		Total Minority		Black		Asian		Native Amer.		Hispanic		PWD	
	D. d. di . d		#.	%	#	%	#	%	#	<u>%</u>	#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	<u> </u>
80	Protective Services														Ĺ	-
	Employees:	605													<u> </u>	
	Current Utiliz	zation	90	14.88%	107	17.69%	30	4.96%	40	6.61%	11	1.82%	26	4.3%	5	0.83%
	Class Goal		105.91	17.51%	107.43	17.76%	41.68	6.89%	28.3	4.68%	12,33	2.04%	20.53	3.39%	53	8.7%
	Underutilized	d	15.91	Yes	0.43	No	11.68	Yes	0	No	1.33	Yes	0	No	48	Yes
Tota	al: 1,041		34	43	19	90	. 5	55	7	79		4	4	2	200	17

Proposed 2005-2006 Workforce Availabilities for People of Color and Women

Department of Transportation

		Total		nale	Total N	linority	Bla	ack	As	ian	Native	Amer.	Hisp	anic	PWD	
		iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
10	Officials/Administrators															
	Employees:	10														
	Current Utiliz	zation	4	40%	2	20%	1	10%	· 1	10%	0	0 .	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		3.75	37.51%	1.55	15.49%	0.58	5.8%	0.63	6.34%	0.09	0.95%	0.18	1.82%	1 .	6.22%
	Underutilize	d .	0	No	0	No	· 0	No	0	· No	0.09	No	0.18	No	1	Yes
20	Professionals															
	Employees:	764														
	Current Utiliz	zation	290	37.96%	186	24.35%	63	8.25%	105	13.74%	7	0.92%	11	1,44%	27	3.53%
	Class Goal		271.48	35.53%	148.96	19.5%	44.24	5.79%	77.97	10.21%	8.63	1.13%	14.75	1.93%	58	7.55%
	Underutilize	d	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	1.63	Yes	3.75	Yes	31	Yes
30	Technicians															
	Employees:	198														
	Current Utili:	zation	44	22.22%	42	21.21%	23	11.62%	11	5.56%	3	1.52%	5	2.53%	5	2.53%
	Class Goal		60.68	30.65%	41.59	21.01%	16.88	8.53%	14.96	7.55%	3.34	1.69%	5.25	2.65%	20	10.16%
	Underutilize	d	16.68	Yes	0	No	0	No	3.96	Yes	0.34	No	0.25	No	15	Yes
40	Administrative Support															
	Employees:	210														
	Current Utili:	zation	146	69.52%	80	38.1%	41	19.52%	29	13.81%	7	3.33%	3	1.43%	17	8.1%
	Class Goal		157.35	74.93%	45.22	21.53%	15,22	7.25%	18.21	8.67%	3.51	1.67%	5.64	2.69%	21	9.96%
	Underutilize	d	11.35	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	2.64	Yes	4	Yes
50	Skilled Craft															
	Employees:	696														
	Current Utili	zation	49	7.04%	165	23.71%	68	9.77%	70	10.06%	10	1.44%	17	2.44%	18	2.59%
	Class Goal		60.43	8.68%	126.89	18.23%	37.94	5.45%	45.27	6.5%	15.34	2.2%	20.97	3.01%	65	9.35%
	Underutilize	d	11.43	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	5.34	Yes	3.97	Yes	47	Yes

		Total	Fen	nale	Total N	Minority	Bla	ack	As	ian	Native	Amer.	His	oanic	PWD	
		ittai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
60	Transit Operators														• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	T
	Employees:	2,609														
_	Current Utiliz	ation	558	21.39%	917	35.15%	598	22.92%	204	7.82%	33	1.26%	82	3.14%	14	0.54%
	Class Goal		1,298.54	49.77%	450.75	17.28%	193.66	7.42%	89.51	3.43%	56.83	2.18%	79.96	3.06%	13	0.5%
	Underutilize	d	740.54	Yes	0	No	0	No	0	No	23.83	Yes	0	No	0	No
70	Service/Maintenance		1.						·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Employees:	379												·		
	Current Utiliz	ation	75	19.79%	154	40.63%	64	16.89%	61	16.09%	8	2.11%	21	5.54%	15	3.96%
	Class Goal		68,63	18.11%	108.09	28.52%	35.25	9.3%	34.15	9.01%	10.17	2.68%	24.41	6.44%	43	11.39%
	Underutilized	<u> </u>	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	2,17	Yes	3.41	Yes	28	Yes
80	Protective Services															1
	Employees:	3														
	Current Utiliz	ation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Class Goal		1.02	34.11%	0.34	11.2%	0.07	2.43%	0.12	3.96%	0.06	1.94%	0.08	2.75%	0	7.5%
	Underutilized	d	1.02	Yes	0.34	No	0.07	No	0.12	No	0.06	No	0.08	No	0	No
90	Para-Professional															
	Employees:	20														+
	Current Utiliz	ation	17	85%	9	45%	4	20%	5	25%	0	0	0	0	1	5%
	Class Goal		14.57	72.87%	3.14	15.68%	1	4.98%	1.06	5.29%	0.29	1.47%	0.57	2.85%	1	5.87%
	Underutilized	t	0	No	0	No	0 -	No	0	No	0.29	No	0.57	No	0	No
Tota	al: 4,889	134 150 6	1,1	83	1.	555	8	62	486		68		139		97	

Attachment 5: King County Executive Branch Workforce - EEO Job Category by Race/Ethnicity, Disability Status, & Gender

			Fen	nale	Total N	Minority	Bla	ack	As	ian	Native	e Amer.	Hisp	oanic	P\	WD
		Total	#	%	#	%	#_	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-10	Officials/Administrators		14 7 14				i suprime	and the state of			a estimate	N. W. C. S. C.			er typica utous	
	Employees:	88														
	Current Utiliz	ation	41	46.59%	- 21	23.86%	11	12.5%	8	9.09%	1	1.14%	1	1.14%	4	4.55%
	Class Goal															
	Underutilized	. t							,							
20	Professionals															
	Employees:	3,722														
	Current Utiliz	ation	1,911	51.34%	884	23.75%	274	7.36%	455	12.22%	36	0.97%	119	3.2%	102	2.74%
	Class Goal															
	Underutilized	4									-					
30	Technicians															
	Employees:	820														
	Current Utiliz	ation	322	39.27%	195	23.78%	72	8.78%	86	10.49%	8	0.98%	29	3.54%	25	3.05%
	Class Goal															
	Underutilized	b														
40	Administrative Support															
	Employees:	1,527														
	Current Utiliz	ation	1,223	80.09%	637	41.72%	228	14.93%	276	18.07%	32	2.1%	101	6.61%	96	6.29%
	Class Goal															
	Underutilized	d														

222

		Total	Fe	male	Total !	Minority	В	lack	A:	sian	Nativ	e Amer.	His	panic	PWD	
		iotai	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
50	Skilled Craft						ajr. Airit v				s		14 4 74		a ajasir a	
	Employees:	1,062														
	Current Utiliz	zation	93	8.76%	256	24.11%	108	10.17%	94	8.85%	20	1.88%	34	3.2%	23	2.17%
	Class Goal															
	Underutilize	d														
60	Transit Operators															
	Employees:	2,609														<u> </u>
	Current Utiliz	zation	558	21.39%	917	35.15%	598	22.92%	204	7.82%	33	1.26%	82	3.14%	14	0.54%
	Class Goal															
_	Underutilize	d						-								ļ
70	Service/Maintenance											 	-			
	Employees:	774										 		 		
	Current Utiliz	zation	164	21.19%	297	38.37%	126	16.28%	112	14.47%	16	2.07	43	5.56%	55	7.11%
	Class Goal													3.0070	- 55	7.1170
	Underutilized	d										-				
80	Protective Services	*****														
	Employees:	1,433				† - 										
	Current Utiliz	zation	298	20:8%	446	31.12%	221	15.42%	137	9.56%	21	1.47%	67	4.68%	21	1.47%
	Class Goal											111770		1.0070	21	1.47 70
	Underutilized	d				ļ I										
90	Para-Professional															
	Employees:	86					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	Current Utiliz	ation	63	73.26%	35	40.7%	14	16.28%	12	13.95%	0	0	9	10.47	6	6.98%
• • • •	Class Goal		•					1	<u> </u>	70.00				10.77	,	0.5070
	Underutilized	<u> </u>					·····		-							
Tota			4.0	673	3.	588	1_	652	1_:	384	_1	67		85		46