

**INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT
FOR GROUNDWATER PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES**

This Agreement is entered into by King County, Washington, hereinafter referred to as "King County" or the "County," the City of Redmond, hereinafter referred to as "Redmond," the City of Woodinville, hereinafter referred to as "Woodinville," the City of Sammamish, hereinafter referred to as "Sammamish," and the Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District, hereinafter referred to as "Northeast Sammamish," the Woodinville Water District, hereinafter referred to as "Woodinville Water," and the Union Hill Water District, hereinafter referred to as "Union Hill," collectively referred to as the "Parties," for the purpose of cooperatively conducting activities related to groundwater protection and management in the Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Management area.

WHEREAS, the Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) has been authorized by RCW 90.44.400 and its implementing regulations, WAC 173-100, to identify and designate groundwater management areas for the purposes of protection of water quality, assurance of quantity, and efficient management of water resources to meet future needs, and;

WHEREAS, in 1986 DOE designated the Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Management Area (hereinafter "Management Area"), and;

WHEREAS, DOE designated the Seattle/King County Department of Public Health as the lead agency to work with stakeholders and potential implementing agencies to develop the Redmond-Bear Creek Valley Groundwater Management Plan (hereinafter "Management Plan"), and;

WHEREAS, in 1996 the King County Department of Natural Resources replaced the Seattle/King County Department of Public Health as the lead agency in connection with the development of the Management Plan;

WHEREAS, the Management Plan, after completion of a review and concurrence process by local stakeholders, governments and service providers, was submitted to DOE in March, 1999 for review and certification, and;

WHEREAS, the Management Plan was certified by the DOE in 2000 as consistent with the intent of WAC 173-100, and;

WHEREAS, under the provisions of RCW 90.44.420, affected local governments are charged with adopting regulations, ordinances and/or programs for implementing those provisions of the Management Plan which are within their respective jurisdictional authorities, and;

WHEREAS, in 2001, the King County Council in Ordinance 14214, codified as part of King County Code 9.14, formally authorized the County's Groundwater Protection Program and in Ordinance 14276, codified as part of King County Code 9.14, formally provided for the creation of the Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Protection Committee (hereinafter "Committee"), and;

WHEREAS, the current members of the Committee were appointed by the King County executive and confirmed by the King County Council in 2002, and have been routinely meeting since December 2002 to participate in implementation of the Management Plan, and;

WHEREAS, the Management Area lies within all or portions of King County, the cities of Redmond, Sammamish, and Woodinville, the Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District, the Woodinville Water District, and the Union Hill Water District, each of which is a Party to this Agreement, and;

WHEREAS, the Parties are each considered to be an implementing agency for the Management Plan and for the specific management strategies identified within the Plan, and;

WHEREAS, each of the Parties has a role and responsibility in addressing groundwater issues and concerns in the Management Area, and;

WHEREAS, the current Committee has identified its top priorities for implementation of the Management Plan and for groundwater protection generally within the Management Area; and

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to work together to address and implement the Committee's priorities and to protect groundwater in general, and wish to use King County's services to conduct specific groundwater protection activities, including increased monitoring, enhanced coordination among the Parties, and improved policies and regulations, and;

WHEREAS, the Parties intend that by working cooperatively to conduct the activities provided for in this Agreement they will be taking important steps on behalf of

the public to protect the quantity and quality of groundwater in the Management Area , which is threatened by contaminant sources and increasing water supply demand, and;

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 39.34, the Interlocal Cooperation Act, each of the Parties is authorized to enter into an agreement for cooperative action;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereto agree as follows:

I. Purpose of the Agreement

The purpose of this Agreement is to provide the means by which the Parties will cooperatively conduct and fund groundwater protection activities. These activities are listed below in four general subject matter areas and will be conducted in the years 2005 through 2007. They are more specifically described in the Scope of Work attached to this Agreement as Exhibit One and incorporated herein and are collectively referred to herein as the "Project." The four activities include:

1. providing staff support to the Committee;
2. evaluating land use and other policies affecting groundwater quality and quantity;
3. obtaining additional hydrogeologic data for the Management Area; and
4. conducting community education and outreach activities aimed at promoting groundwater awareness and protection and facilitating an annual groundwater education forum.

II. Project Management

- A. Project oversight will be conducted by a Project Management Team (hereinafter "PMT") consisting of one representative each from King County, Redmond, Woodinville, Sammamish, Woodinville Water, Northeast Sammamish and Union Hill. Each Party will designate its respective PMT representative.
- B. The PMT will meet at least three times per year and will conduct additional meetings as needed to review Project progress, solicit and consider input on the Project from the Committee, and review Project expenditures per the Project budget, and consider possible changes to the Project Scope of Work.
- C. The PMT may make needed changes to the Scope of Work to reflect emerging Project results and findings and to better meet Project objectives. Such changes

shall not cause total annual Project costs as provided for in Exhibit One to be exceeded.

- D. King County will perform day-to-day project management and direction and communicate with other PMT members as needed to conduct Project activities.
- E. King County will schedule, facilitate, and provide summaries of all PMT meetings during implementation of the Project.
- F. The PMT will reach its decisions by consensus, considering input from the Committee where appropriate. Issues that cannot be resolved by the PMT will be referred to the Division Director of the King County Water and Land Resources Division, the appropriate City Managers, and the District Manager for final resolution.

III. Responsibilities

Each of the Parties shall:

- A. Designate one representative to serve on the PMT and participate in PMT meetings.
- B. Maintain its appointed representation on the Committee.
- C. Provide for use in the Project any groundwater-related data it has that would be appropriate to share and would facilitate accomplishment of the Project goals.
- D. Participate in the Groundwater Education Forum as outlined in the Scope of Work.
- E. Consider revising its groundwater protection policies and regulations to increase groundwater protection when and where it deems appropriate as recommended by the Committee.
- F. Where appropriate, utilize public outreach tools developed as part of the Project to increase public awareness of groundwater issues.
- G. Pay for its share of Project costs as provided for below and in Exhibit One.

King County shall have the following additional responsibilities:

- A. Provide day-to-day Project management.
- B. Perform Project tasks as provided for in Exhibit One, including providing for subcontracted services where needed.

IV. Costs

- A. The Parties agree to share costs incurred by King County to conduct Project activities as described in Exhibit One. Total estimated Project costs are \$645,231 for the years 2005-2007.
- B. Estimated Project costs for each year, by activity, are described in Exhibit One.
- C. The Parties agree to pay for Project costs according to the percentages specified in Exhibit One on page ten. Project cost shares will not exceed amounts indicated without written agreement of the Parties
- D. Total Project costs shall include all those costs incurred by King County in completing the Project, including costs for staff persons, overhead, supplies, contractors, and equipment.

V. Billing and Payment

- A. King County shall bill each of the other Parties quarterly on itemized invoices for that Party's share of Project costs.
- B. The Parties shall review and approve of the invoices and forward payment to King County within 60 days of receipt of invoice.
- C. The Parties represent that funds for service provision under this Agreement have been appropriated and made available. To the extent that such service provision requires future appropriations beyond current appropriation authority, the Parties' obligations are contingent upon the appropriation of sufficient funds to complete the activities described herein. If no such appropriation is made, this Agreement will terminate.

VI. Duration, Termination, and Amendment

- A. This Agreement is effective upon signature by the Parties and remains in effect until June 30, 2008.
- B. A Party may end its participation in the Project and withdraw from this Agreement upon 90 days' written notice to the other Parties, and paying its share of costs for the Project to the end of the quarter in which termination occurs.

- C. This Agreement may be amended, altered, clarified, or extended only by the written agreement of the Parties hereto.
- D. This Agreement is not assignable by any Party, either in whole or in part.
- E. This Agreement is a complete expression of the terms hereto and any oral or written representations or understandings not incorporated herein are excluded. The Parties recognize that time is of the essence in the performance of the provisions of this Agreement. Waiver of any default shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any subsequent default. Waiver of breach of any provision of this Agreement shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any other or subsequent breach and shall not be construed to be a modification of the terms of the Agreement unless stated to be such through written approval by the Parties which shall be attached to the original Agreement.

VII. Counterparts

This Agreement may be executed in counterparts.

VIII. Indemnification and Hold Harmless

The Parties agree to the following:

Each Party shall protect, defend, indemnify, and save harmless the other Parties, their officers, officials, employees, and agents, while acting within the scope of their employment as such, from any and all costs, claims, judgments, and/or awards of damages, arising out of, or in any way resulting from, that Party's own negligent acts or omissions. Each Party agrees that its obligations under this subparagraph extend to any claim, demand, and/or cause of action brought by, or on behalf of, any of its employees or agents. For this purpose, each Party, by mutual negotiation, hereby waives, with respect to the other Parties only, any immunity that would otherwise be available against such claims under the Industrial Insurance provisions of Title 51 RCW. In the event that any Party incurs any judgment, award, and/or cost arising therefrom, including attorneys' fees, to enforce the provisions of this Article, all such fees, expenses, and costs shall be recoverable from the responsible Party to the extent of that Party's culpability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed this amendment on the _____ day of _____, 2004.

Approved as to Form

King County:

By: _____
Title: Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

By: _____
Title: King County Executive

Approved as to Form

City of Redmond:

By: _____
Title: _____

By: _____
Title: _____

Approved as to Form

City of Sammamish:

By: _____
Title: _____

By: _____
Title: _____

Approved as to Form

City of Woodinville:

By: _____
Title: _____

By: _____
Title: _____

Approved as to Form
District:

Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water

15081

By: _____

By: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Approved as to Form

Woodinville Water District:

By: _____

By: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Approved as to Form

Union Hill Water District:

By: _____

By: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

**REDMOND-BEAR CREEK VALLEY
GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AREA
PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK FOR GROUNDWATER PROTECTION
SERVICES, 2005-2007**

SUMMARY OF SERVICES AND ESTIMATED COSTS

I. WATER RESOURCES EVALUATION

SURFACE WATER/GROUNDWATER INTERACTION STUDY (2005-2006)

Geographic scope is entire GWMA

- Review previous studies by USGS, RH2, DNRP, and Ecology.
- Develop a work plan and present it to the Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Protection Committee.
- Install temperature probes and mini-piezometers, and utilize other monitoring methods.
- Observe water levels and temperature fluctuations.
- Calculate interaction factors between surface water and groundwater.

Estimated Cost – Interaction Study:	\$138,000
--	------------------

STREAM FLOW AND PRECIPITATION GAGING (2005-2007)

Geographic scope is a number of subareas across the GWMA

- Continue stream flow and precipitation monitoring.
- Install new gages as possible.
- Provide data via DNRP hydrology Web pages.

Estimated Cost – Gaging:	\$210,000
---------------------------------	------------------

GEOLOGIC AND SUSCEPTIBILITY MAPPING (2007)

Geographic scope is subareas within the GWMA

- The mapping area, will be a sub-portion of the Redmond-Bear Creek Valley Groundwater Management Area (likely to include Cold Creek and Upper Bear Creek areas). The PMT to approve final mapping area.
- Collect subsurface geologic data (such as borehole or well logs) for mapping study area from current sources.
- Provide a database that includes both groundwater data and a list of boring logs in the mapping area.
- Perform geologic field mapping in the mapping area.
- Develop and verify geologic units for interpretation of maps and cross-sections of the mapping area.
- Update previous geologic mapping (to a 1:24,000 scale) and create geologic cross-sections for mapping area.
- Provide a table that indicates a high, medium or low susceptibility to groundwater contamination for each reinterpreted geologic unit in the mapping area.

Estimated Cost – Geologic Mapping:	\$89,000
---	-----------------

REDMOND-BEAR CREEK VALLEY GROUNDWATER DATABASE/WEB PAGES (2005-2007)

Geographic scope is entire GWMA

- Compile existing data.
- Program the Web pages to allow interactive data downloading.
- Update information on an annual basis.

Estimated Cost – Database/Web Pages:	\$15,400
---	-----------------

Water Resources Evaluation Total Estimated Cost, 2005-2007:	\$452,400
--	------------------

II. POLICY AND PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

GROUNDWATER PROTECTION COMMITTEE AND ILA MANAGEMENT (2005-2007)

- Maintain a Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Protection Committee membership roster.
- Facilitate appointments for vacant committee seats.
- Handle committee logistics such as scheduling meetings and guest speakers, securing facilities, and posting public notices.
- Staff and support regular meetings of the committee.
- Develop meeting agendas in partnership with committee chairs.
- Produce meeting notes.
- Distribute notes, agendas, and other communications to committee members and interested parties.
- Serve as the liaison between the committee and the groundwater protection service providers.
- Manage and oversee completion of ILA services.
- Convene an ILA management group as needed.
- Coordinate routine status reports of progress on the ILA scope of work.
- Facilitate committee review of work performed under the ILA.

Estimated Cost – Committee and ILA Management (\$23,361/year)	\$70,083
---	----------

GROUNDWATER POLICY AND SUBCOMMITTEE WORK (2005-2007)

- Research and analyze groundwater policy issues as identified by the Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Protection Committee.
- Develop policy guidance for implementing agencies in topical areas of interest to the committee.
- Provide organizational support to subcommittees as needed.

Estimated Cost – Policy and Subcommittee Work (\$7,787/year)	\$23,361
--	----------

Policy and Plan Implementation Total Estimated Cost, 2005-2007 (\$31,148/year)	\$93,444
--	----------

III. EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

UPDATE TO EDUCATION WEB PAGES (2005-2007)

- Post geographically relevant survey data on the groundwater education Web pages of the King County Web site.
- Maintain a comprehensive list of groundwater materials available through Redmond-Bear Creek Valley Groundwater Management Area jurisdictions and agencies.
- Link to Snohomish County's groundwater program and to Cross Valley Water District as appropriate.
- Include links to King County, Washington State, and Federal agency materials.
- Request and integrate updates from groundwater education participants.

Estimated Cost – Web Pages (\$8,282/yr)

\$24,846

EDUCATORS FORUM (2005-2007)

- Work directly with the Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Protection Committee.
- Coordinate logistics for the annual forum.
- Create a mailing list.
- Publicize the forum.
- Facilitate the forum.
- Participate in the forum and share King County groundwater education issues.
- Provide water quality survey results via zip-code sorting to track attitudes and behaviors.
- Prepare and present Educators Forum recommendations to the committee for comment and approval.

Estimated Cost – Educators Forum (\$16,565/yr)

\$49,695

WRITING AND EDITING (2005-2007)

- Submit quarterly articles to community newsletters and other entities for publication. Topics may include the following:
 - things citizens and businesses can do to improve groundwater recharge
 - vulnerability
 - wellhead protection
 - underground storage tank maintenance
 - scientific findings
 - groundwater's role in the hydrologic cycle
 - groundwater as drinking water.

Estimated Cost – Writing and Editing (\$8,282/yr)

\$24,846

Education and Outreach Total Estimated Cost, 2005-2007 (\$33,129/yr)

\$99,387

**REDMOND-BEAR CREEK VALLEY
GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AREA**

**PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK FOR GROUNDWATER PROTECTION
SERVICES, 2005-2007**

DETAIL OF SERVICES AND ESTIMATED COSTS

Groundwater is important to the Redmond-Bear Creek Valley Groundwater Management Area (GWMA) in large part for the following two key reasons:

- A large portion of the population of the GWMA relies on groundwater as its source of drinking water supply. Of the Redmond supply, 40 percent comes from groundwater; of the Union Hill Water Association, and the Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District supplies, 100 percent come from groundwater. Beyond these large systems, approximately 100 small (Group B) public water systems rely entirely groundwater.
- Groundwater is essential for sustaining habitat in the area's rivers and wetlands.

These crucial groundwater supplies can be precarious. Much of the upper Bear Creek Basin area uses on-site sewage treatment (septic) systems, which discharge directly to groundwater. In densely populated areas, there has been concern about impacts from these systems to groundwater quality.

All the rivers and streams in the GWMA (such as the Sammamish River, Bear Creek, and Evans Creek) are entirely lowland, and therefore are not sustained by snowmelt. The rivers and streams are completely dependent on groundwater storage to continue their flows through the dry summer months. Fish need the cold water from groundwater to migrate and spawn.

The Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Protection Committee has been discussing priorities for the King County Groundwater Protection Program in its GWMA. The committee has worked to identify top priorities with the expectation that implementing agencies will use these priority statements to guide their provision of groundwater protection services.

Key implementing agencies are the Cities of Redmond, Sammamish, and Woodinville; local water utilities and districts; the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP); the King County Department of Development and Environmental Services; Public Health - Seattle & King County; water purveyors; and the Washington State Department of Ecology.

Based on direction from the groundwater protection committee, King County proposes a water resources evaluation, policy and plan implementation services, and education and outreach to help protect groundwater in the Redmond-Bear Creek Valley Groundwater Management Area.

I. WATER RESOURCES EVALUATION

PRIORITIES AND OBJECTIVES

The Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Protection Committee identified the following as the most important groundwater data issues:

- Identify areas of surface water and groundwater interaction. Much of the shallow groundwater appears to be in direct communication with Bear Creek, Evans Creek, Cottage Lake Creek, or other streams, all of which contain significant salmonid migration or spawning habitat. Overuse of these shallow resources could put threatened salmon in jeopardy.

- Identify trends in water quantity and water quality. As development has occurred in the GWMA, runoff has been diverted to surface water and could be reducing groundwater recharge. In addition, groundwater withdrawals have been increasing for this same development. Together, these factors could be reducing groundwater quantity. Groundwater quality is also threatened by many land uses in the area.
- Identify groundwater sensitive areas. Inappropriate land uses could contaminate the recharge areas of the GWMA's significant shallow groundwater resources. Both the City of Redmond and King County have recently proposed aquifer protection ordinances, but both ordinances require mapping of surficial geology to determine priority areas of high susceptibility.
- Collect, manage, and distribute groundwater data relevant to the GWMA. To be useful, groundwater data that is obtained by any agency should be combined with all other data. This can be accomplished through a central "clearinghouse," but the public, particularly the consulting community, can also combine the data.

EXISTING SOURCES OF GROUNDWATER DATA

There are a number of existing sources of groundwater data for the GWMA, including the following:

- The Area Characterization work for the Redmond-Bear Creek Valley Groundwater Management Plan provides the overall basis of the hydrogeology of the GWMA, although the data for variable conditions (water levels and water quality) are not current.
- Ambient groundwater monitoring by DNRP has updated the water quality and water level data for many of the wells covered by the management plan, but there are many other wells that could not be included in this update.
- DNRP has been conducting a study of groundwater in the Sammamish River Valley under Wastewater Treatment Division funding. This ongoing study includes installing monitoring wells, pumping tests, and modeling.
- A limited number of hydrogeologic studies have been conducted in the GWMA, mainly for purveyors. Several studies, which included groundwater flow modeling, were conducted for the City of Redmond. The Union Hill Water Association developed hydrogeologic wellhead protection plans. The wellhead protection areas for the Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District appear to be based on the Calculated Fixed Radius method however, new hydrogeologic-based data may soon be available. A temperature-based study of surface water/groundwater interactions has been conducted for the Union Hill Water Association, and the Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District, but the report is not available at the time of this plan.
- The Redmond Ridge development has a number of monitoring wells to assure that groundwater impacts are minimized. They have also researched the hydrostratigraphy in some detail.
- The Washington State Department of Ecology has made its well log data available via the Internet.
- USGS and King County DNRP, have maintained stream gages and obtained data over an extended period of time that can provide baseline data for flows in the Sammamish River, Lake Sammamish, Bear Creek, Evans Creek, and many of their tributaries.
- USGS has performed studies in many areas around the US, to develop method for estimating surface water – groundwater interactions.
- Seattle District, Corps of Engineers, has responsibility for the Sammamish River, and to assure that it can carry flood flows. As such, they have models to predict flows and water levels in the river. The Corps was also involved in development of the Sammamish River Corridor Action Plan. USGS stream gage data.

These data are not comprehensive or completely up to date. In order to address the priorities listed above and meet the stated objectives, it will be necessary to collect new data as well as to compile the existing data sets.

PROPOSED SERVICES

To address the priorities discussed by the Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Protection Committee, King County proposes that the committee invest in a three-year program to obtain additional hydrogeologic data for the GWMA ("Study Area"). In general, the work will not focus on making management recommendations, and the efforts involved with the water resources evaluation will not duplicate existing work, particularly in the Evans Creek area. This program would consist of the following work items, broken out by year:

- 2005 – Review previous surface water/groundwater interaction studies, develop a work plan, and initiate studies; continue stream flow and precipitation gaging; initiate database and Web page development.
- 2006 – Complete the surface water/groundwater interaction study; continue stream flow and precipitation gaging; maintain the database and Web pages; begin geologic and susceptibility mapping.
- 2007 – Complete geologic and susceptibility mapping; continue stream flow and precipitation gaging; maintain the database and Web pages.

This water resources evaluation would provide data that can be used to inform decision-makers about the impact of land-use changes, water withdrawals, and climate change on groundwater supplies within the study area. This data set could be used to help develop a long-term monitoring strategy for groundwater in the area and identify future needs, if any, for more detailed modeling.

SURFACE WATER/GROUNDWATER INTERACTION STUDY

DNRP is proposing a pilot project to help quantify groundwater movement near streams. This proposal is based on a number of studies, in the Study Area and elsewhere, including the following:

- temperature-based studies by the USGS across the country
- a temperature-based study by RH2 Associates for the Union Hill Water Association, and the Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District
- the results of several studies under the Sammamish River Valley Groundwater Study, including “mini-piezometer” work by Ecology, and clusters of monitoring wells with water level and temperature logging.

With the understanding that Evans Creek and the Sammamish River have already been extensively studied in this regard, the proposed study would be used initially to assess Cold Creek and upper Bear Creek. Some details for this proposed study will be developed when the final reports for the previously mentioned studies are complete.

The five main tasks required to complete the interaction study are described below.

Task 1: Review Previous Studies

Review previous studies by USGS, RH2, DNRP, and Ecology.

Task 2: Develop Work Plan

Develop a work plan and present it to the Redmond-Bear Creek Groundwater Protection Committee.

Task 3: Develop Monitoring Methods

Install temperature probes and mini-piezometers, and utilize other monitoring methods.

Task 4: Make Observations

Observe water levels and temperature fluctuations.

Task 5: Make Calculations

Calculate interaction factors between surface water and groundwater.

STREAM FLOW AND PRECIPITATION GAGING

As of 2004, DNRP monitors six sites for stream flow within the Bear Creek Valley (three on Big Bear Creek, one on Evans Creek, one on Cottage Lake, and one on Cold Creek). King County also has a full-service weather station and two additional precipitation gages within this area. These current levels of surface water monitoring are based on Surface Water Management (SWM) funding, with some telemeter costs being supported by the Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District. This body of work also includes a basin-wide assessment of all measurable

streams over a short time period (two to three days). Finally, new gages may be installed if the proposed level of funding is made available.

The three main tasks required to complete the gaging are described below.

Task 1: Continue Monitoring

Continue stream flow and precipitation monitoring.

Task 2: Install Gages

Install new gages as possible.

Task 3: Provide Data

Provide data via DNRP hydrology Web pages.

GEOLOGIC AND SUSCEPTIBILITY MAPPING

DNRP is proposing to contract with the University of Washington's GeoMapNW (formerly the Seattle-Area Geologic Mapping Project) to perform geologic mapping of a portion of the GWMA. Benefits of this mapping effort would include defining groundwater recharge areas with new susceptibility and maps.

The five main tasks required to complete the mapping are described below.

Task 1: Define Study Area

Only a portion of the Redmond-Bear Creek Valley Groundwater Management Area will be mapped. A current priority area is the Cottage Lake and Cold Creek area. Some aspects of this mapping have already been accomplished in the Sammamish River Valley and in the Lower Bear and Evans Creek areas. We propose to complete these areas (mainly the surficial geologic mapping and input of hydrologic parameters) and to extend the study to the Upper Bear Creek basin. The selection of actual mapping areas will be approved by the PMT.

Task 2: Collect Subsurface Geologic Data

Collect subsurface geologic data (such as borehole or well logs) for the mapping area from sources at King County, with cities and purveyors, in state agency offices in Olympia, and elsewhere.

Task 3: Provide Database

Provide a database that includes both groundwater information and a list of boring logs in the mapping area.

Task 4: Perform Geologic Field Mapping and Updates

Perform geologic field mapping in the mapping area to aid in the development and verification of geologic units for interpretation of maps and cross-sections; use these data to update previous geologic mapping (to a 1:24,000 scale) and create geologic cross-sections of the mapping area.

Task 5: Create Susceptibility Table

Provide a table that indicates a high, medium, or low susceptibility to groundwater contamination for each reinterpreted geologic unit in the study area to allow for future revision of mapping of "areas of susceptibility to groundwater contamination."

REDMOND-BEAR CREEK VALLEY GROUNDWATER DATABASE/WEB PAGE

DNRP currently has software (EQuIS) that allows compilation of groundwater data. DNRP staff is trying to obtain the most up-to-date groundwater data from a variety of sources within the Redmond-Bear Creek Valley

Groundwater Management Area as well as the rest of King County. With the completion of this project, the groundwater data will be available to the public via interactive Web pages.

The three main tasks required to complete the groundwater database/Web pages are described below.

Task 1: Compile Existing Data

Compile available GWMA-specific groundwater data.

Task 2: Program Web Page

Program the Web pages to allow interactive data downloading.

Task 3: Update Information

Update the information on an annual basis.

WATER RESOURCES EVALUATION DELIVERABLES

Table 1. Proposed Water Resources Evaluation Deliverables by Year

Year	Deliverables
2005	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work plan for surface water/groundwater interaction study; mid-project progress report • Provide stream flow and precipitation gaging data via DNRP hydrology Web pages • GWMA-specific groundwater database programmed; Web pages available to the public
2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surface water/groundwater interaction study completion report • Provide stream flow and precipitation gaging data via DNRP hydrology Web pages • GWMA-specific groundwater database/Web pages maintained
2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete geologic and susceptibility mapping, produce new map • Provide stream flow and precipitation gaging data via DNRP hydrology Web pages • GWMA-specific groundwater database/Web pages maintained

WATER RESOURCES EVALUATION ESTIMATED COSTS

The total estimated cost for the water resources evaluation is \$452,400 over three years. Of this total, DNRP will contribute \$210,000 from the SWM fund to continue and extend stream and precipitation gaging. Thus, new (non-SWM) costs for this effort will be \$242,400.

Table 2. Water Resources Evaluation Estimated Costs by Work Item

Work Item	Year	Labor (FTE)*	Material, Lab, or Consultant Costs	Total Cost
Surface Water/Groundwater Interaction Study	2005-2006	0.70	\$40,000	\$138,000
Stream Flow and Precipitation Gaging	2005-2007	1.50 SWM fund	-----	\$210,000
Geologic and Susceptibility Mapping	2006-2007	0.10	\$75,000	\$89,000
GWMA-specific Groundwater Database/Web Pages	2005-2007	0.11	-----	\$15,400
TOTAL		2.41	\$115,000	\$452,400

*Labor costs vary, but are based on \$140,000 per FTE per year.

Table 3. Water Resources Evaluation Estimated Costs by Year

Year	Work Item/Task	Labor (FTE)*	Material, Lab, or Consultant Costs	Total Cost
2005	Surface water/groundwater interaction study	0.25	\$40,000	\$75,000
2005	Stream flow and precipitation gaging	0.50 SWM fund	-----	\$70,000

2005	GWMA-specific groundwater database/Web pages	0.05	-----	\$7,000
	2005 Total	0.8	\$40,000	\$152,000
2006	Surface water/groundwater interaction study	0.45	-----	\$63,000
2006	Stream flow and precipitation gaging	0.50	-----	\$70,000
		SWM fund		
2006	Geologic and susceptibility mapping	0	\$13,000	\$13,000
2006	GWMA-specific groundwater database/Web pages maintenance	0.03	-----	\$4,200
	2006 Total	0.98	-----	\$150,200
2007	Geologic and susceptibility mapping	0.10	\$62,000	\$76,000
2007	Stream flow and precipitation gaging	0.50	-----	\$70,000
		SWM fund		
2007	GWMA-specific groundwater database/Web pages maintenance	0.03	-----	\$4,200
	2007 Total	0.63	\$75,000	\$150,200
TOTAL		2.41	\$115,000	\$452,400

*Labor costs are based on \$140,000 per FTE per year; labor costs may vary.

.....

Total RBC Groundwater Program 3-year financial overview

Detail for RBC Groundwater ILA Services

Budget

	Year:	2005	2006	2007	annual	FTE
Science						
Stream flow and precipitation gaging		\$70,000	\$70,000	\$70,000		0.50
SW/GW Interaction		\$75,000	\$63,000			0.25 (.45 in '06)
UW Mapping			\$13,000	\$76,000		0.10 (in '07) plus UW staff
GW data base & web service		\$7,000	\$4,200	\$4,200		0.05 (.03 in '06, '07)
science subtotal		\$152,000	\$150,200	\$150,200		0.90
Education						
Education web site coordination of materials		\$8,282	\$8,282	\$8,282		0.05
Annual Educators GW Forum		\$16,565	\$16,565	\$16,565		0.10
4 GW Articles		\$8,282	\$8,282	\$8,282		0.05
education subtotal		\$33,129	\$33,129	\$33,129		0.20
GWPC						
GWPC logistics: notes, schedules, agendas		\$23,361	\$23,361	\$23,361		0.15
GW Policy and Subcommittee work		\$7,787	\$7,787	\$7,787		0.05
GWPC subtotal		\$31,148	\$31,148	\$31,148		0.20
RBC Program		\$216,277	\$214,477	\$214,477		1.30

Project Cost Shares

Partner	2005 Cost Share Percentage	2005 Estimated Cost (\$)	2006 Cost Share Percentage	2006 Estimated Cost (\$)	2007 Cost Share Percentage	2007 Estimated Cost (\$)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST (\$)
King County	62%	120,000	62%	120,000	62%	120,000	\$360,000
City of Redmond	21%	40,000	21%	40,000	21%	40,000	\$120,000
City of Woodinville	8%	15,000	8%	15,000	8%	15,000	\$45,000
City of Sammamish	2.5%	5,000	2.5%	5,000	2.5%	5,000	\$15,000
Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District	2.5%	5,000	2.5%	5,000	2.5%	5,000	\$15,000
Woodinville Water District	2.5%	5,000	2.5%	5,000	2.5%	5,000	\$15,000
Union Hill Water District	1.5%	3,000	1.5%	3,000	1.5%	3,000	\$9,000
Totals	100%	\$193,000	100%	\$193,000	100%	\$193,000	\$579,000
<i>Prospective Grant Revenues</i>	-	\$23,277	-	\$21,477	-	\$21,477	\$66,231
Grand Total		\$216,277		\$214,477		\$214,477	\$645,231

NOTE: Total Project Costs are \$645,231. Estimated revenues are \$579,000. Partners are seeking additional contributions from other sources to support this project and effectively cover all projected costs.