

Chapter Four

Environment

With Chinook salmon now listed as a threatened species, and other valued species dangerously close to joining the list, protecting both our rural and urban environments remains a critical concern.

King County's regulations for protecting the environment are some of the most stringent in the country. In a region as rainy as the Pacific Northwest, maintaining healthy wetland systems, controlling stormwater runoff and preventing development on sloping hillsides are all vital in preventing erosion and flooding. Without proper regulatory control, damage to private property, as well as salmon streams, is unavoidable and costly.

12
13

I. Natural Environment

14

15

A. Protection and Regulation

16
17 Protecting and restoring air quality, water resources, soils, and plant, fish and animal habitats are among
18 King County's primary goals. This chapter establishes policies to protect the environment and enhance the
19 region's high quality of life. Most of this chapter's policies provide a basis for either new non-regulatory
20 approaches or for existing regulations. Some new regulations are necessary to implement the policies.
21 However, new regulations such as wetland mitigation banking offer flexibility compared with existing
22 regulations.
23

24 The Growth Management Act requires that critical areas be designated and protected. Critical areas
25 include wetlands, areas with a critical recharging effect on aquifers used for potable water, fish and wildlife
26 habitat conservation areas, frequently flooded areas and geologically hazardous areas. This chapter
27 designates aquifer recharge areas and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas under the Growth Man-
28 agement Act. Wetlands, frequently flooded areas, and geologically hazardous areas are designated in the
29 King County Sensitive Areas Ordinance, Ordinance 9614, as amended.
30

31 One of the most significant environmental issues facing King County is the recent listing of salmonid
32 species under the Endangered Species Act. Wild Pacific Salmon have great cultural, economic,
33 recreational and symbolic importance to the Puget Sound region. It is King County's goal to ensure long-
34 term protection of our salmon resources to harvestable levels for today and tomorrow, with the least
35 economic impact possible. Successful restoration and maintenance of healthy salmon populations will
36 require time, money and effort, and collaboration with federal, state, tribal and local governments, as well
37 as businesses, environmental groups, and citizens. To meet this goal, King County and the region will
38 need to consider salmon when making decisions about land use and development, providing facilities and
39 services, maintaining roads, parks, and flood control facilities, and building new capital improvement
40 projects.
41

42 Relative to land use, three types of environmental situations exist in King County. In highly developed
43 urban areas, the quality and functions of most critical areas have been significantly affected by past devel-
44 opment. Additional impacts in these affected areas will likely result from higher density development, but
45 these impacts may be preferable than similar impacts to currently pristine areas, which can result in a net
46 loss of the region's natural resources. Salmon-bearing streams and rivers do pass through many
47 urbanized areas in King County. The challenge of this plan is to balance the need to meet urban density
48 goals and prevent urban sprawl, while also ensuring such development occurs in accordance with the
49 provisions and requirements of the Endangered Species Act. To meet this challenge, a variety of
50 regulatory and non-regulatory tools and programs will be needed.
51

52 In other urban areas with low levels of development, significant critical areas are usually more intact than
53 in highly developed urban areas. Onsite mitigation of new development, if designed well and monitored,
54 may achieve resource protection. Achieving development goals must be balanced with protecting critical
55 area functions and tailoring individual solutions by following the guidance of Comprehensive Plan policies
56 that recognize both critical area protection and the need to reduce urban sprawl.
57

58 The Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands contain the bulk of King County's remaining wildlife and
59 fisheries values. Protection of resources through land use planning and impact mitigation will be most
60 successful in these areas, and it can occur with the least disruption to intended land uses.

61
62 While critical areas within the Urban Growth Area will receive adequate protection, the emphasis is to
63 protect and enhance critical areas in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands and to avoid impacts to
64 specific animal species, such as salmon and bald eagles, that use or pass through the Urban Growth
65 Area. These policies provide for a watershed-based approach to planning. This approach acknowledges
66 that different areas of King County have different resource values and face different levels of development
67 pressure, therefore different methods of protecting critical areas need to be developed to balance the
68 protection of the environment with the need to reduce urban sprawl and preserve our quality of life.

69
70
71 **E-101 ((NE-101))** In addition to its regulatory authority, King County should use incentives to
72 protect and restore the natural environment whenever practicable. Incentives
73 should be monitored to determine their effectiveness.

74
75 **E-102 ((NE-102))** King County should take a regional role in environmental stewardship
76 through(=:) direct education, coordinating of educational efforts and
77 establishing partnerships with other entities that share similar environmental
78 concerns or stewardship opportunities.

79
80
81 King County needs to coordinate many programs with other agencies. Coordination with the Washington
82 State Department of Ecology and affected jurisdictions is necessary to comply with mandates of the Clean
83 Water Act that address point and non-point source pollution. Further coordination with air quality agencies,
84 such as the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency and Puget Sound Regional Council, is needed to
85 exchange information and develop consistent programs. Coordination with water service providers who
86 use ground water sources is necessary to protect the region's ground water quantity and quality.

87
88
89 **E-103** King County should coordinate with local jurisdictions, federal and state
90 agencies, federally-recognized tribes, citizen interest groups, special
91 districts, and citizens to develop Water Resource Inventory Area plans for all
92 areas of King County.

93
94 **E-104 ((NE-103))** Development of environmental regulations and restoration projects ((King
95 County)) should be coordinated with local jurisdictions, federal and state
96 agencies, federally-recognized tribes, special interest groups and citizens
97 when protecting and restoring the natural environment consistent with Urban
98 Growth Area, Rural Area and Natural Resource Land goals.

99
100
101 King County will use existing and updated subarea and functional plans and Water Resource Inventory
102 Area plans to provide guidance to programs, regulations and incentives to protect and restore environ-
103 mental quality.

104
105
106 **E-105 ((NE-104))** ((King County should protect e)) Environmental quality and important
107 ecological functions shall be protected and ((minimize)) hazards to health
108 and property shall be minimized through development reviews and
109 implementation of land use plans, Water Resource Inventory Area plans,
110 surface water management plans and programs, and park master plans.
111 These plans shall also encourage restoration of critical areas as defined in
112 the Growth Management Act, and include an adaptive management approach.

113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163

- E-106 ((NE-105))** **Acres that is not developable because of environmental constraints and values shall be assessed at a lower taxable value.**
- E-107 ((NE-106))** **((King County)) The protection of lands where development would pose hazards to health, property, important ecological functions or environmental quality shall be achieved through ((use)) acquisition, enhancement, ((and)) incentive programs and appropriate regulations ((to encourage the protection of lands where development would pose hazards to health, property, important ecological functions or environmental quality)). The following natural landscape features are particularly susceptible and should be protected:**
- a. Floodways of 100 year floodplains;**
 - b. Slopes with a grade of 40 percent or more or landslide hazards that cannot be mitigated;**
 - c. Wetlands and their protective buffers;**
 - d. Streams and their protective buffers;**
 - e. Channel migration hazard areas;**
 - f. Designated wildlife habitat networks;**
 - g. Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas ((in designated sole source aquifers));**
 - h. Marine beaches, wetlands, intertidal and subtidal habitat and riparian zones including bluffs;**
 - i. Regionally Significant Resource Areas and Locally Significant Resource Areas; and**
 - j. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas, and other critical habitat areas identified for protection through Water Resource Inventory Area plans.**
- E-108 ((NE-107))** **Regulations to prevent unmitigated significant adverse impacts will be based on the importance and sensitivity of the resource. The presence of a species listed as endangered or threatened by the federal government may be considered an unusual circumstance and the County may use its authority under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) to mitigate for significant adverse environmental impacts to that habitat that supports those species, pending approval by the National Marine Fisheries Service and/or the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (the "Services") of a Tri-County plan for compliance with a 4(d) rule issued by either of the Services and subsequent adoption of specific regulations by King County. Following approval by the Services and adoption of the specific regulations to protect listed salmonid species, the County shall rely upon the regulations to protect endangered and/or listed species, rather than SEPA.**
- E-109 ((NE-108))** **King County should promote efficient provision of utilities and public services by exempting minor activities from its critical areas regulations, provided the agency has an approved best management practice plan approved by King County, and the plan ensures that proposed projects that may affect habitat of listed species be carried out in a manner which protects the resource or mitigates adverse impacts.**

164 **B. Air Quality**

165 The preservation of clean air is essential to the quality of life enjoyed by residents of King County. Since
166 many of the long-term solutions to air pollution in our region now depend on land use and transportation
167 decisions, King County must assume a more active role in maintaining the region's air quality.

168
169 The elderly, those suffering from respiratory illness, and young children are especially prone to the harmful
170 effects of air pollutants. People with chronic respiratory problems, such as asthma, are most sensitive to
171 ozone and particulate pollution. Studies show that ozone also damages forests, as ozone pollutant
172 concentrations tend to increase at mountain elevations.

173
174 The federal government measures six "primary pollutants" as representative indicators of air quality to
175 gauge impacts of industrialization and growing automobile traffic. Each of these six pollutants are
176 commonly found in the Puget Sound region:

- 177 • PM10/PM2.5 (Particulate Matter)
- 178 • Carbon Monoxide (CO)
- 179 • Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)
- 180 • Ozone (O3)
- 181 • Sulfur Dioxide (SO2)
- 182 • Lead

183
184 These "primary pollutants" are routinely measured in the Puget Sound airshed by a series of thirty-three
185 stationary monitoring facilities. A violation of a federal air quality standard occurs when an individual
186 monitoring site measures more 'exceedances' than allowed during a specific time frame. Recurring
187 violations of national air quality standards in the future could jeopardize federal funding of road and transit
188 projects in the region, underscoring the importance of the monitoring results and the need to maintain
189 clean air in the region.

190
191 In addition to the "primary pollutants, there are other toxic compounds in our air such as arsenic,
192 asbestos, benzene, vinyl chloride, mercury and beryllium resulting from industry and transportation
193 activities.

194
195 An airshed can be compared to a watershed – it is a geographic area where air pollutants from sources
196 "upstream" or within the area flow and are present in the air. The Puget Sound airshed is greatly
197 influenced by four factors: urban development, the Pacific Ocean, the mountains and the weather. Most
198 urban development has taken place at elevations near sea level adjacent to the waters of the Puget
199 Sound. The urban corridor extends from south of Tacoma, northward across the Canadian border to
200 Vancouver B.C. Although it is not uniform in density, most air pollution comes from the cities and the
201 network of highways along this north/south line.

202
203 The Puget Sound area meets federal standards for carbon monoxide – levels have dropped dramatically
204 over the last two decades because of tougher emission standards for cars and trucks, the state motor
205 vehicle inspection program and the use of cleaner motor fuels. The Puget Sound region also meets
206 federal sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide and lead standards.

207
208 The Puget Sound area currently complies with the federal ozone standard, though by a slim margin. If a
209 monitoring site registers an exceedance more than three times in a three-year period, the region is
210 considered in violation of the federal standard. None of the Puget Sound ozone monitoring sites has
211 measured more than two exceedances in the last two years. Likewise, data indicates that the region will
212 meet the 24-hour standard for particulates (PM2.5), but is close to exceeding the annual particulate
213 standard. Achieving and preserving air quality will require public education.

214
215

216 **E-110 ((NE-204))** ~~((King County should reduce a))~~ Air pollution associated with land uses
217 should be reduced by:

- 218 a. Promoting the use of clean and efficient burning fuels;

219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257

- b. Educating citizens about air quality problems; ~~((the health effects of high emissions of particulate matter measuring less than 10 microns (PM-10);))~~
- c. Encouraging the planting of trees;
- ~~d.((e.))~~ Encouraging the proper use of wood stoves and fireplaces; and
- ~~e.((d.))~~ Providing alternatives to burning yard waste in residential neighborhoods in the Rural Area, such as curbside yard waste collection services and convenient yard waste site collection facilities at a reasonable cost.

E-111 ((NE-202)) ~~((King County should assess a))~~ Air quality impacts of ((its)) proposed land use actions shall be assessed when developing countywide, subarea, and local plans and transportation strategies.

E-112 ((NE-203)) King County ~~((should))~~ supports regional efforts to improve indoor air quality.

Panoramic views are treasured as an important part of quality of life in the Northwest. Reduced visibility is caused by weather (clouds, fog, and rain) and air pollution (fine particles and gases). The most important pollution contributor is fine particulate matter (PM2.5) emissions, which are transported aloft and may remain suspended for a week or longer.

E-113 ((NE-204)) ~~((King County should reduce air pollution e))~~ Emissions from construction and land clearing activities should be minimized.

E-114 ((NE-205)) King County should participate in, explore and support efforts to reduce or eliminate emissions of harmful pollutants, especially compounds that contribute to global warming, acid rain and ozone depletion in the upper atmosphere. Specific areas to explore could include development of an emissions trading policy, a net environmental benefit policy, radon gas monitoring, policies on asbestos, and construction and land clearing policies which favor chipping debris instead of burning debris.

E-115 ((NE-206)) King County should coordinate with other agencies and groups to provide information to the public on air quality problems and measures that each person can take to improve air quality.

C. Water Resources

King County's water resources include Puget Sound, rivers, streams, lakes, wetlands, marine nearshore and receiving waters of Puget Sound, and ground water. These resources provide many beneficial functions, including fish and wildlife habitat, flood and erosion control, water supply for agricultural, commercial, domestic and industrial use, energy production, transportation, recreational opportunities and scenic beauty.

In order to preserve and enhance the water resources in King County, those resources must be managed as an integrated system, not as distinct and separate elements. The hydrologic cycle (the occurrence, distribution and circulation of water in the environment) is the common link among the water resources and describes their interdependence.

Our use and modification of water resources and the surrounding terrestrial environment affects how the hydrologic cycle functions and can cause unintended detrimental impacts such as flooding, erosion, degradation of water quality, loss of fish and wildlife habitat, and loss of archeological and traditional cultural

273 resources that depend upon but do not damage natural resources. In order to minimize adverse impacts
274 on the water resources of King County and ensure our continued ability to receive the benefits they pro-
275 vide, we need to promote responsible land and water resource planning and use.
276

277
278 **E-116 ((NE-304))** King County shall use incentives, regulations and programs to manage its
279 water resources (Puget Sound, rivers, streams, lakes, freshwater and marine
280 wetlands and ground water) and to protect and enhance their multiple
281 beneficial uses—including fish and wildlife habitat, flood and erosion control,
282 water quality control and sediment transport, water supply, energy
283 production, transportation, recreational opportunities and scenic beauty. Use
284 of water resources for one purpose should, to the fullest extent practicable,
285 preserve opportunities for other uses.
286

287 **E-117 ((NE-302))** Development ~~((should occur in a manner that))~~ shall support ~~((s))~~ continued
288 ecological and hydrologic functioning of water resources ~~((Development))~~
289 and should not have a significant adverse impact on water quality or water
290 quantity, or sediment transport and should ~~((On Vashon Island,~~
291 development should)) maintain base flows, natural water level fluctuations,
292 ground water recharge in Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas and fish and
293 wildlife habitat.
294

295
296 A watershed is an area that drains to a common outlet or identifiable water body such as Puget Sound, a
297 river, stream, lake or wetland. There are six major watersheds in King County (Cedar River, Green River,
298 Puget Sound, Skykomish River, Snoqualmie River and White River) divided into 72 individual basins that,
299 in turn, contain numerous individual water bodies with small drainages. Surface and ground waters are
300 managed most effectively by considering potential problems and solutions for an entire watershed.
301 Because watersheds frequently extend into several jurisdictions, effective planning and implementation
302 must be coordinated.
303

304
305 **E-118 ((NE-303))** ~~((Future-w))~~ Watershed plans ~~((should))~~ shall integrate marine and freshwater
306 surface water, ground water, drinking water and wastewater planning to
307 provide efficient water resource management.
308

309 **E-119** As watershed plans are developed, zoning, regulations and incentive
310 programs may be developed, applied and monitored so that critical habitat in
311 King County watersheds is capable of supporting sustainable and fishable
312 salmonid populations. Watershed-based plans should define how the natural
313 functions of watersheds critical to salmonids are protected so that the
314 quantity and quality of water entering the streams, lakes, wetlands and rivers
315 support salmonid spawning, rearing, resting, and migration.
316

317 **E-120 ((NE-304))** King County shall protect and should enhance surface waters, including
318 streams, lakes, wetlands and the marine near shore and receiving waters of
319 Puget Sound, on a watershed basis by analyzing water quantity and quality
320 problems and their impacts to beneficial uses, including fish and wildlife
321 habitat and flood and erosion control. Conditions of and impacts to the
322 downstream receiving marine beaches and waters of Puget Sound shall be
323 included in watershed management efforts. King County ~~((should))~~ shall
324 continue to participate in the Central Puget Sound Water Resource Planning
325 effort.
326

327 **E-121 ((NE-305))** Responsibility for the costs of watershed planning and project
328 implementation including water quality, flood hazard reduction and fisheries
329 habitat protection, should be shared between King County and other
330 jurisdictions within a watershed.
331

332 King County contains a number of wetlands, river and stream reaches that are important to the viability of
333 fish and wildlife populations and are therefore considered biological, social and economic resources.
334 Some resource areas were previously identified through basin plans and other resource inventory efforts,
335 and are categorized as either Regionally Significant Resource Areas (RSRAs) or Locally Significant
336 Resource Areas (LSRAs). RSRAs contribute to the resource base of the entire Puget Sound region by
337 virtue of exceptional species and habitat diversity and abundance when compared to basins of similar size
338 and structure elsewhere in the region. These areas may also support rare, endangered or sensitive
339 species, including threatened salmonids. LSRAs contribute to the aquatic resources within a specific
340 basin, when compared to aquatic and terrestrial systems of similar size and structure elsewhere in the
341 basin. They also provide wetland and stream habitat that is important for wildlife and salmonid diversity
342 and abundance within the basin. As Water Resource Inventory Area plans are prepared in compliance
343 with the Endangered Species Act, additional resource areas will be identified and analyzed to determine
344 appropriate levels of resource protection.
345

346 **E-122 ((NE-306))** As watershed management plans, Water Resource Inventory Area plans, and
347 master drainage plans are ((adopted)) approved they should apply a tiered
348 system of protection that affords a higher standard of protection for more
349 significant resources. Resource categories should include Regionally
350 Significant Resource Areas (RSRAs), Locally Significant Resource Areas
351 (LSRAs), Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas, and remaining
352 resources. Where appropriate, additional designations shall be made as
353 additional information on environmental functions becomes available.
354 ((RSRAs and LSRAs shall be legislatively designated.))
355

356 **E-123 ((NE-307))** Regionally Significant Resource Areas (RSRAs) and Locally Significant
357 Resource Areas (LSRAs) shall be mapped, designated by ordinance and
358 protected at appropriate levels as part of early and long-term actions towards
359 salmon conservation and recovery under the ESA. These designations shall
360 be based on adopted basin plans or habitat/resource assessments completed
361 for the Waterways 2000 program, but may be changed or new areas may be
362 designated pursuant to recommendations of Water Resource Inventory Area
363 plans. The Executive shall study the standards of protection needed for
364 RSRAs and LSRAs ((and shall report its findings and recommendations to the
365 Council in 1996.)) The executive shall conduct a study as to which properties
366 currently zoned R-1 in these areas are suitable for a high residential density
367 of R-6 or more due to their lack of environmental constraints. The study will
368 be presented to the Council by March 1, 2001 with recommended zoning
369 changes.
370

371 King County's Shoreline Management Master Program (Title 25 of the King County Code) is a functional
372 plan developed in compliance with the Washington State Shoreline Management Act of 1971. The Master
373 Program protects streams with a mean annual flow of 20 cubic feet or more per second, lakes that are 20
374 acres or more in size, the marine shoreline of Puget Sound and wetlands associated with these systems.
375

376 **E-124 ((NE-308))** Development within designated Shoreline Environments shall preserve the
377 resources and ecology of the water and shorelines, avoid natural hazards,
378 promote visual and physical access to the water, protect ESA listed species
379 and their critical habitat, and preserve archeological, traditional cultural
380 resources, shellfish resources, and navigation rights. Protection of critical
381 areas shall take priority over visual values and physical access.

382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435

Puget Sound was included in the National Estuary Program in March 1988. The National Estuary Program requires that a comprehensive conservation and management plan for Puget Sound recommend actions to restore and protect the estuary. The 1994 Puget Sound Water Quality Management Plan is the federally approved comprehensive conservation and management plan for Puget Sound.

E-125 ((NE-309)) ((Beginning in 1995)) King County shall implement the ((1994)) Puget Sound Water Quality Management Plan to restore and protect the biological health and diversity of the Puget Sound Basin.

Rivers, streams, lakes and wetlands must be protected from the adverse impacts of urbanization and land use to continue functioning in a beneficial manner. Because urbanization increases stormwater runoff, control of the quantity and quality of stormwater runoff is critical. Unmitigated stormwater runoff can cause erosion, sedimentation and flooding with resulting adverse impacts on water quality, fish and wildlife habitat, property and human safety. In addition, stormwater runoff can carry pollutants such as oil, heavy metals, fertilizers, herbicides, pesticides and animal wastes into waters. Sedimentation from soil disturbed by clearing, grading, farming and logging can reduce river or stream channel capacity, fill lakes and wetlands, and smother aquatic life and habitat.

Methods of stormwater management include seasonal clearing restrictions, retention/detention, discharge and infiltration standards, and Best Management Practices.

E-126 ((NE-310)) ((Management of s)) Stormwater runoff shall ((occur)) be managed through a variety of methods, with the goal of limiting impacts to aquatic resources, protecting and enhancing the viability of agricultural lands and promoting groundwater recharge. Methods of stormwater management shall include temporary erosion and sediment control, flow control facilities, water quality facilities as required by the Surface Water Design Manual, and Best Management Practices as described in the Stormwater Pollution Control Manual. ((Stormwater-r)) Runoff caused by development shall be managed to prevent ((unmitigated significant)) adverse impacts to water resources ((caused by flow rates, flow volumes or pollutants to promote groundwater recharge, infiltration of stormwater when feasible given geological, engineering and water quality constraints. King County's current practice is to pursue non-structural methods whenever possible. In the Urban Area, methods which are land consumptive will need to be balanced with the need to protect the supply of developable land.)) and farmable lands. Regulations shall be developed for lands outside of the Urban Areas that favor non-structural stormwater control measures when feasible including: vegetation retention and management; seasonal clearing limits; limits on impervious surface; and limits on soil disturbance.

The Federal Clean Water Act requires states to prepare a list of water bodies that do not meet water quality standards. This list, known as the 303(d) list, is prepared for Washington State by the State Department of Ecology, and must be submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) every two years. The water bodies on the list consist of "water quality limited" estuaries, lakes, rivers and streams that fall short of state surface water quality standards, and are not expected to achieve standards after implementation of technology-based controls. These standards are intended to ensure that our waters can be beneficially used for purposes we all enjoy, from fishing, swimming, boating, and drinking to industrial and agricultural purposes, and fish habitat. The beneficial uses that are intended to be protected by water quality standards are those that have existed or could have existed in the waterbody from November 1975 or later.

436 EPA requires that states set priorities for cleaning up impaired waters and establish a Total Maximum
437 Daily Load (TMDL) for each. A TMDL, or water cleanup plan, entails analysis of how much pollution a
438 waterbody can receive and still support its beneficial uses. The cleanup plan also includes
439 recommendations for controlling pollution sources and a monitoring plan to test the plan's effectiveness.
440 TMDL's have been approved by EPA for the Snoqualmie River, Lake Ballinger, Pipers Creek, Lake
441 Fenwick, Lake Sawyer, and the Duwamish River and Lower Green. A complete listing of TMDLs and the
442 303(d) list can be found on the Department of Ecology's web site at www.wa.gov/ecology/wq/303d/.

443
444 **E-127** **Surface waters designated by the State as Water Quality Impaired under the**
445 **Clean Water Act (water bodies included on the State 303(d) list) shall be**
446 **improved through monitoring, source controls, best management practices,**
447 **enforcement of existing codes, and Total Maximum Daily Load plans**
448 **(TMDLs). The water quality of all other state-classified water bodies shall be**
449 **maintained or improved through these same measures, and other additional**
450 **measures that may be necessary to ensure there is no loss of existing**
451 **beneficial uses. Any beneficial uses lost since November 1975 shall be**
452 **restored wherever practicable, consistent with the Federal Clean Water Act.**
453

454 There are approximately 3,126 miles of rivers and streams in King County. The river and stream chan-
455 nels, the surrounding riparian (streamside) areas and upland areas all contribute to the functioning and
456 integrity of rivers and streams. Many rivers and streams provide critical habitat for many species of wildlife
457 and fish, including salmonids.

458
459 **E-128 ((NE-314))** **River and stream channels, stream outlets, headwater areas, and riparian**
460 **corridors should be preserved, protected and enhanced for their hydraulic,**
461 **hydrologic, ecological and aesthetic functions, including their functions in**
462 **providing woody debris sources to salmonid bearing streams.**
463

464 There are approximately 700 lakes in King County ranging in size from less than one acre to Lake Wash-
465 ington. These lakes provide critical habitat for many species of fish and wildlife, including salmonids, as
466 well as recreational opportunities and scenic beauty. Development near lakes can alter their functioning
467 and lead to eutrophication (increases in nutrients). Eutrophication promotes the excessive growth of plant
468 and animal life with the eventual depletion of oxygen levels caused by decay of the excess organic matter.

469
470
471 **E-129 ((NE-313))** **Lakes should be protected through management of lake watersheds and**
472 **shorelines. Lakes sensitive to nutrients shall be protected through the**
473 **management of nutrients that stimulate algae blooms and aquatic plant**
474 **growth. Where sufficient information is available, measurable standards for**
475 **lake quality should be set and management plans established to meet the**
476 **standards. Formation of lake management districts or other financing**
477 **mechanisms should be considered to provide the financial resources**
478 **necessary to support actions for protection of sensitive lakes.**
479

480
481 Wetlands are valuable natural resources in King County. They include shallow or deep marshes, bogs,
482 ponds, wet meadows, forested and scrub-shrub communities and other lands supporting a prevalence of
483 vegetation adapted to saturated soils. Many of the larger wetlands in King County are mapped in the
484 County's *Sensitive Areas Map Folio*, and their vegetation, hydrology and wildlife are briefly described in the
485 King County Wetlands Inventory.

486
487
488 **E-130 ((NE-314))** **King County shall use as minimum standards, the ("1987 U.S. Army Corps of**
489 **Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual" in conjunction with the "1994**
490 **Washington Regional Guidance on the 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual")**

491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544

Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual, 1997 or its successor which is adopted by the King County Council and is the scientifically accepted replacement methodology based on better technical criteria and field indicators.

E-131 ((NE-315)) King County shall work with other jurisdictions and federally-recognized tribes to establish uniform countywide wetlands policies ((and a classification system for wetlands)) that ((allows for the designation)) provide protection of both regionally and locally unique wetlands.

Wetlands are productive biological systems, providing habitat for fish and wildlife. They may serve as outdoor classrooms for scientific study. Some are used for hiking, hunting, and fishing. Wetlands also store flood waters and control runoff, thereby reducing flooding, downstream erosion and other damage. Further, wetlands protect water quality by trapping sediments and absorbing pollutants. They discharge ground water, making it available to plants and animals. Wetlands store peak flows and discharge to streams in dry periods, thus enabling fish and other riparian animal populations to survive. These wetland functions need consideration from a watershed perspective.

E-132 ((NE-316)) King County's overall goal for the protection of wetlands is no net loss of wetland functions ~~((or values))~~ within each drainage basin. Acquisition, enhancement, regulations, and incentive programs shall be used independently or in combination with one another to protect and enhance wetlands functions. ~~((Wetland values shall be protected only through acquisition, enhancement and incentive programs.))~~

E-133 ((NE-317)) Development adjacent to wetlands shall be sited such that wetland functions are protected, an adequate buffer around the wetlands is provided, and significant adverse impacts to wetlands are prevented.

The functions and values of a wetland will change as land use surrounding the wetland changes. Fragmentation of habitat is considered the greatest threat to native biodiversity. Wetlands in the Urban Growth Area will experience the greatest reduction in the number of native animals and plants due to habitat fragmentation. The County recognizes that trading some isolated Class 3 wetlands in exchange for mitigation that contributes to a larger connected wetland system can often achieve greater resource protection in the Urban Growth Area.

Protecting native species biodiversity depends upon maintaining biological linkages and preventing fragmentation of wetland habitats. Small wetlands strategically located between other wetlands may provide important biological links between other, higher quality wetlands. Wetlands adjacent to habitat networks also are especially critical to wildlife functions and should receive special consideration in planning land use.

E-134 ((NE-318)) Areas of native vegetation that connect wetland systems should be protected. Whenever effective, incentive programs such as buffer averaging, density credit transfers, or appropriate non-regulatory mechanisms shall be used.

E-135 ((NE-319)) The unique hydrologic cycles, soil and water chemistries, and vegetation communities of bogs and fens shall be protected through the use of incentives, acquisition, Best Management Practices, and implementation of

545 the King County Surface Water Design Manual to control and/or treat
546 stormwater within the wetland watershed.

547

548 **E-136 ((NE-320))** Public access to wetlands for scientific, recreational use, and traditional
549 cultural use is desirable, providing that public access trails are carefully
550 sited, sensitive habitats and species are protected, and hydrologic continuity
551 is maintained.

552

553 **E-137 ((NE-321))** King County should continue to review wetland research and evaluate the
554 need for changes in its wetland protection programs.

555

556 **E-138 ((NE-322))** Enhancement or restoration of degraded wetlands may be allowed to
557 maintain or improve wetland functions provided that all wetland functions are
558 evaluated in a wetland management plan, and adequate monitoring, code
559 enforcement and evaluation is provided and assured by responsible parties.
560 Restoration or enhancement must result in a net improvement to the
561 functions of the wetland system. Technical assistance to small property
562 owners should be considered.

563

564 **E-139 ((NE-323))** Alterations to wetlands may be allowed to:
565 a. **((a)) Accomplish a public agency or utility development;**
566 b. **((p)) Provide necessary utility, stormwater tightline and road**
567 **crossings; or**
568 c. **((a)) Avoid a denial of all reasonable use of the property, provided all**
569 **wetland functions are evaluated, the least harmful and reasonable**
570 **alternatives are pursued, ((and)) affected significant functions are**
571 **appropriately mitigated, and mitigation sites are provided with**
572 **monitoring.**

573

574

575 When adverse impacts cannot be avoided, compensatory mitigation may be allowed. This means the
576 replacement of project-induced losses of wetland functions and values will be permitted through wetland
577 creation, restoration or enhancement. The County recognizes that, especially in the Urban Growth Area,
578 allowing alteration of isolated Class 3 wetlands in exchange for compensatory mitigation contributes to a
579 larger connected wetland system and may achieve greater resource protection.

580

581

582 **E-140 ((NE-324))** In the Urban Area, protection standards for low function, isolated Class 3
583 wetlands may be lower than standards in the rural area.

584

585 **E-141 ((NE-325))** Mitigation sites should replace or augment the functions to be lost as a result
586 of the project proposal. Wetland mitigation proposals should be approved if
587 they would result in improved overall wetland functions within a drainage
588 basin. All wetland functions should be considered. Mitigation sites should
589 be located strategically to alleviate habitat fragmentation, and avoid impacts
590 to and prevent loss of farmable land within Agricultural Production Districts.

591

592 **E-142 ((NE-326))** Mitigation projects should contribute to an existing wetland system or
593 restore an area that was historically a wetland. The goal for these mitigation
594 projects is no net loss of wetland functions per drainage basin.

595

596 ~~**((NE-327))** Mitigation sites should replace or augment the functions to be lost as a result~~
597 ~~of the project proposal. Further, mitigation sites should be located~~
598 ~~strategically to alleviate habitat fragmentation.))~~

599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653

E-143 ((NE-328)) Land used for wetland mitigation should be preserved in perpetuity. Monitoring and maintenance in conformance with King County standards should be provided by the project proponent until the success of the site is established.

Mitigation banks are a form of regional compensatory mitigation, with the goal providing greater resource protection and benefit to the public. A mitigation bank allows for the consolidation of multiple, small mitigation projects into a large-scale wetland complex, resulting in economies of scale in planning, implementation and maintenance. Consolidation also can result in wetlands of greater value because of their size and the commitment to long-term management. Mitigation banking allows a project proponent to generate bank credits by contributing to the creation or restoration of the bank site.

E-144 ((NE-329)) The County in partnership with jurisdictions and interested parties should ~~((develop a plan for the establishment of a))~~ implement the wetland mitigation banking program.

E-145 Creation of wetland mitigation banks is not allowed in the Agricultural Production District when the purpose is to compensate for filling wetlands for development outside the APD.

Floodplains are lands adjacent to lakes, rivers and streams that are subject to periodic flooding. Floodplains naturally store flood water, protect water quality and are valuable for recreation, agriculture and fish and wildlife habitat. Floodplains also provide a deposition zone for sediments mobilized by rivers and streams. Wetlands are often an integral part of floodplains. Floodplains are designated based on the predicted frequency of flooding for a particular area. For example, a 100-year floodplain is a land area that has a one percent probability of experiencing flooding in any given year.

Development can reduce the floodplain's ability to store and convey floodwaters, thereby increasing the velocity and depth of floodwaters in other areas. In addition, floodplain development often occurs at the expense of important fish and wildlife habitat. King County has adopted the Flood Hazard Reduction Plan to provide guidance for decisions related to land use and flood control activities.

E-146 ((NE-330)) The existing flood storage and conveyance functions and ecological values of floodplains, wetlands, and riparian corridors ~~((should))~~ shall be protected, and should, where possible, be enhanced or restored.

E-147 ((NE-334)) King County's floodplain land use and floodplain management activities ~~((should))~~ shall be carried out in accordance with the King County Flood Hazard Reduction Plan.

Protecting ground water is an important regional issue because ground water provides approximately 30 percent of the water used in King County. The natural hydrologic system can be altered by development practices and overuse of the aquifer. The result may be depletion of aquifers. Ground water is also subject to contamination from human activity. Once a source of ground water is contaminated it may be lost forever. The cost of protection is considerably less than the cost of remediation and replacement.

E-148 ((NE-332)) In unincorporated King County, areas identified as sole source aquifers or as areas with high susceptibility for ground water contamination where aquifers

654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707

are used for potable water are designated as Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas as shown on the map, entitled Areas Highly Susceptible to Ground Water Contamination. Since this map focuses primarily on water quality issues, the county shall work in conjunction with cities and ground water purveyors to designate and map recharge areas which address ground water quantity concerns as new information from ground water and wellhead protection studies adopted by county or state agencies becomes available. Updating and refining the map shall be an ongoing process.

E-149 ((NE-333))

King County should protect the quality and quantity of ground water countywide by:

- a. ~~((Placing a priority on i))~~ Implementing ~~((ion of))~~ adopted Ground Water Management Plans;
- b. ~~((Developing a process by which King County will r))~~ Reviewing and implementing ~~((as appropriate, adopted))~~ approved Wellhead Protection Programs in conjunction with cities, state agencies and ground water purveyors; ~~((and))~~
- c. Developing, with affected jurisdictions, best management practices for ~~((new))~~ development and for forestry, agriculture, and mining operations ~~((recommended in))~~ based on adopted Ground Water Management Plans and Wellhead Protection Programs ~~((as appropriate))~~. The goals of these practices should be to promote aquifer recharge quality and to strive for no net reduction of recharge to ground water quantity ~~((:))~~ ; and
- d. Refining regulations ~~((as appropriate))~~ to protect critical aquifer recharge areas and well-head protection areas ~~((when information is evaluated and adopted by King County))~~.

E-150 ((NE-334))

King County should protect ground water recharge quantity ~~((in the Urban Growth Area))~~ by promoting methods that infiltrate runoff where site conditions permit, except where potential ground water contamination cannot be prevented by pollution source controls and stormwater pretreatment.

E-151 ((NE-335))

In making future zoning and land use decisions which are subject to environmental review, King County shall evaluate and monitor groundwater policies, their implementation costs, and the impacts upon the quantity and quality of ground water. The depletion or degradation of aquifers needed for potable water supplies should be avoided or mitigated, and the need to plan and develop feasible and equivalent replacement sources to compensate for the potential loss of water supplies should be considered.

E-152 ((NE-336))

King County should protect ground water in the Rural Area by:

- a. Preferring land uses that retain a high ratio of permeable to impermeable surface area and that maintain or augment the infiltration capacity of the natural soils; and
- b. Requiring standards for maximum vegetation clearing limits, impervious surface limits, and, where appropriate, infiltration of surface water. These standards should be designed to provide appropriate exceptions consistent with Policy ~~((R-216))~~ R-232.

E-153

King County, in cooperation with the Vashon-Maury Island Groundwater Management Committee, Vashon-Maury Island Community Council and local water purveyors, shall undertake a new comprehensive study of ground and

708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729

surface water resources and impacts on Vashon and Maury Islands. This study shall include on-going well monitoring and other data gathering. The study shall recommend appropriate policy and planning actions that may be necessary to protect the ground and surface water resources. Pending the completion of the study and the County's action on it, applicants for new on-site sewage disposal permits on Vashon-Maury Islands shall be required to demonstrate the following:

- a. That the location of the on-site sewage disposal system is not within 200 feet of the documented boundaries of upper-aquifer groundwater contamination or a surface water body or stream, or
- b. That the new on-site sewage disposal system is designed to replace an existing disposal system and is likely to reduce impacts to ground and surface waters; or
- c. That, if the size or features of a parcel make it infeasible to satisfy the 200-foot setback provided in subsection (a) above, the proposed on-site sewage disposal system uses the best available technology to reduce potential impacts to ground and surface waters. In such circumstances, the County may require periodic monitoring.

730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784

D. Erosion and Landslide Hazards

King County is located on the active, tectonic Pacific "Ring of Fire," which is characterized by numerous, dynamic geologic processes that include frequent earthquakes and recurring volcanic eruptions. The relatively recent glacial history has left numerous steep and unstable hillsides throughout the County. Because of these steep and unstable hillsides, many areas of the County are prone to naturally occurring landslides and treefalls. Snow avalanches are also a common occurrence in the Cascade Mountains in Eastern King County. Often times the result of these naturally occurring events can be beneficial to the environment, by providing gravel and woody debris in streams and rivers, and continuing the process of natural regeneration. Salmon need gravel for spawning and in-stream debris for cover and to provide shade and regulate temperature. King County must balance the positive benefits of these natural occurrences with any adverse impacts that pose a threat to public health and safety. The County must also strike a balance between allowing naturally occurring landslides and erosion, and the need to prevent the unnatural acceleration of landslides and erosion due to development activities. Coal mines have created additional areas of subsidence and instability in addition to those which occur naturally. When human activity occurs in areas subject to such active geologic processes, the potential consequences to life, property and environmental integrity can be enormous. If geologic processes are recognized and appropriately addressed in the course of development activities, adverse consequences can be substantially reduced if not completely eliminated. King County maintains inventories and maps of geologic hazards in the *King County Sensitive Areas Map Folio*.

1. Erosion Hazard Areas

Virtually any area in King County can experience soil erosion if subjected to inappropriate grading and construction practices. The U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service has identified certain soil types in King County as being especially subject to erosion, if disturbed. The approximate extent of these areas is shown in the *King County Sensitive Areas Map Folio*. These Erosion Hazard Areas may not be well suited to high density developments and intensive land uses because of the sensitivity of these soils to disturbance.

E-154 ((NE-401)) Grading and construction activities **((should)) shall** implement erosion control Best Management Practices and other development controls as necessary to reduce sediment **and pollution** discharge from construction sites to minimal levels.

E-155 ((NE-402)) Land uses permitted in **((mapped))** Erosion Hazard Areas **((should)) shall** minimize soil disturbance and **should** maximize retention and replacement of native vegetative cover.

E-156 ((NE-403)) Slopes with a grade of 40 percent or more **((should)) shall** not be developed unless the risks and adverse impacts associated with such development can be reduced to a non-significant level. **No disturbance zones shall be designated where basin plans identify the need to prevent erosion damages in areas that are extremely sensitive to erosion impacts. Properly designed stormwater tightlines may be allowed within designated no-disturbance zones.**

Vegetation is an important component of the natural environment. This general term refers to all plant life growing at, below or above the soil surface. It includes trees, shrubs, herbs, grasses and aquatic plants.

Vegetation, especially forests, provides many significant ecological functions. Vegetation absorbs, filters and slows surface water flow. This is particularly important over aquifer recharge areas. Native vegetation also provides wildlife habitat to which native species are well-adapted. Forests are key components in atmospheric cycles; they absorb carbon dioxide, produce oxygen and filter particulate matter. Additionally, they absorb noise and are aesthetically pleasing.

785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839

Noxious weeds are non-native invasive plants that pose a threat to health and safety, agriculture, wildlife, wetlands and recreational areas. They tend to spread in areas that have been disturbed by urban development and agriculture and are difficult to eradicate once they become established. Without natural predators, some noxious weeds can displace native plant communities, reducing plant diversity. Invasive plants also decrease the quality of wildlife habitats, reduce visual quality, and increase maintenance and production costs for natural resource managers and farmers.

E-157 ((NE-501)) King County should protect native plant communities by encouraging management and control of non-native invasive plants, including aquatic plants. Environmentally sound methods of vegetation control should be used to control noxious weeds.

E-158 ((NE-502)) Through training and other programs, King County should actively encourage the use of environmentally safe methods of vegetation control. Herbicide use should be minimized. King County should be a good steward of public lands and protect water quality, by reducing the use of insecticides, herbicides and fungicides through the use of integrated pest and vegetation management practices.

E-159 ((NE-503)) The use of native plants should be encouraged in landscaping requirements and erosion control projects, and in the restoration of stream banks, lakes, shorelines, and wetlands.

E-160 ((NE-504)) ((As part of King County's basin planning process,)) In response to watershed-based salmon conservation Water Resource Inventory Area plans and as part of King County's continued basin planning and stewardship programs, King County may adopt vegetation retention goals for ((each)) specific drainage basins ((in the Rural Area)). These goals should be consistent with Policy ((R-216)) R-232, as applicable. The County should ((explore)) adopt incentives and regulations to attain these goals, and the County should monitor their effectiveness.))

2. Landslide and Avalanche Hazard Areas

Certain hillsides in King County are either naturally unstable or susceptible to instability when disturbed. These hillsides contain slopes greater than 15 percent, are underlain by impermeable soils, and are subject to seepage. They also include areas that have experienced landslides in the past and have slopes that are being undermined by stream or beach erosion. Construction in these areas is expensive and difficult. Landslides on such slopes following development can result in enormous public and private costs and severe threats to human health and safety. Such landslides can also cause severe natural resource damage.

Many of the mountainsides in the Cascade Range in Eastern King County are subject to snow avalanches during the winter. Such avalanches are destructive and can be deadly. King County supports all efforts to monitor and share information regarding avalanche dangers and to alert the public of those dangers.

E-161 ((NE-404)) Avalanche or Landslide Hazard Areas should not be developed unless the risks and adverse impacts associated with such development can be reduced to a non-significant level. Development proposed in or adjacent to avalanche or landslide hazard areas shall be adequately reviewed and mitigated to ensure development does not increase landslide or erosion hazards that would adversely impact downstream properties or natural resources.

840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892

3. Coal Mine Hazard Areas

King County has a long and varied history of underground and surface coal mining. Some coal mining was conducted by large, well-capitalized mining companies which used methods such as detailed underground and surface mapping and protection of surface improvements. Other mines were small operations or re-mining operations that sought to maximize coal extraction with less regard for surface impacts or mapping. Some intensively developed areas of King County are located over abandoned underground coal workings, including Talbot Hill and the north Benson Hill of Renton, the Spring Glen area around Cascade Vista, East Fairwood, Black Diamond, southwest Issaquah, and the Newcastle/Coal Creek area.

The greatest dangers to people, wildlife and surface facilities typically exist around mine portals, timber chutes, air shafts, and workings which have collapsed to the surface. Other areas were deep mined by "room and pillar" mining techniques in which "pillars" of coal were left to provide support for the mining of adjacent "rooms." Once abandoned, pillars would collapse and rooms of mined-out coal would fill with collapsed roof material, coal debris and water. Regional downwarping of these areas was generally not observable and usually happened in the early years following mining of a section. Deep mined areas with a high ratio of overburden/cover-to-void usually present no hazards for surface development. However, areas with low overburden/cover-to-void ratio present higher risks and may require more advanced investigations and construction techniques for development. Mine portals, timber chutes, airshafts, and workings which have collapsed to the surface require the greatest need for detailed engineering studies to ensure that these sites are safe for new, productive use.

E-162 ((NE-405))

King County encourages the elimination of coal mine hazard areas and will work with public and private property owners and the office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Enforcement to eliminate hazards and return lands to their highest productive uses. Land use plans and development activities should reflect the potential hazards in these areas. Residential, commercial, and industrial development may occur in coal mine hazard areas following study and engineering reports which detail the extent of the hazards, if any, and mitigation. Significant hazards associated with abandoned coal mining workings should be eliminated or mitigated so the site is safe using appropriate criteria to evaluate the proposed subsequent use. King County recognizes that most areas underlain by deep underground mining may be suitable for new development. Landowners and their consultants may be required to provide studies and reports with recommendations from licensed, professional engineers. Proposed surface facilities over some hazard areas may need to incorporate special design and performance tolerances for structures and infrastructure improvements. The location and de-classification of coal mine hazard features should be shown on recorded plat maps or site plans of the property. When new information regarding the location of coal mine hazard areas is discovered, it should be added to or deleted from existing maps and databases that record coal mine hazard area information.

King County is an earthquake-prone region subject to ground shaking, seismically induced landslide and liquefaction of soil. Areas with low density soils are likely to experience greater damage from earthquakes. Areas in King County with a high potential for seismic induced subsidence, landslide, and other damages are shown in the *King County Sensitive Areas Map Folio* under seismic and landslide hazard areas.

893 **E-163 ((NE-406))** In areas with severe seismic hazards, special building design and
894 construction measures should be used to minimize the risk of structural
895 damage, fire and injury to occupants and to prevent post-seismic collapse.

896
897 **E-164 ((NE-407))** King County should support efforts to model the effects of a mudflow
898 comparable to the prehistoric mudflow which occurred in the White River
899 drainage basin.))
900
901

902 **E. Fish and Wildlife**

903 It is King County's goal to conserve fish and wildlife resources in the County and to maintain countywide
904 biodiversity. This goal may be achieved through implementation of several broad policy directions that
905 form an integrated vision for the future. Each of the pieces are necessary for the whole to be successful.
906 The policy objectives are to 1) identify and protect critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas,
907 2) link those critical habitat areas and other protected lands through a network system, and 3) integrate
908 fish and wildlife habitat and conservation goals into new and existing developments. Conservation of
909 biodiversity is necessary if wildlife benefits currently enjoyed by residents of the County are to be enjoyed
910 by future generations.
911

912
913 **E-165 ((NE-604))** The County shall strive to maintain the existing diversity of species and
914 habitats in the County. In the Urban Growth Area, King County should strive
915 to maintain a quality environment which includes fish and wildlife habitats
916 that support the greatest diversity of native species consistent with the
917 density objectives. The County should maximize wildlife diversity in the
918 Rural Area.
919

920 **E-166 ((NE-602))** Fish and wildlife should be maintained through conservation and
921 enhancement of terrestrial, air, and aquatic habitats.
922

923 **E-167 ((NE-603))** Habitats for species which have been identified as endangered, threatened,
924 or sensitive by the state or federal government shall not be reduced and
925 should be preserved. In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands,
926 habitats for candidate ((priority)) species identified by the county, as well as
927 species identified as endangered, threatened, or sensitive by the state or
928 federal government shall not be reduced and should be preserved.
929

930
931 The Growth Management Act requires jurisdictions to designate Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation
932 Areas for protection. The Washington Administrative Code (WAC) sets out guidelines that jurisdictions
933 must consider when designating these areas. As set forth in the WAC guidelines, Fish and Wildlife
934 Habitat Conservation Areas include:
935

- 936 a. Areas with which endangered, threatened, and sensitive species have a primary
937 association;
- 938 b. Habitats and species of local importance;
- 939 c. Commercial and recreational shellfish areas;
- 940 d. Kelp and eel grass beds; herring and smelt spawning areas;
- 941 e. Naturally occurring ponds under 20 acres and their submerged aquatic beds that
942 provide fish or wildlife habitat;
- 943 f. Waters of the state;
- 944 g. Lakes, ponds, streams, and rivers planted with game fish by a governmental or
945 tribal entity; or
- 946 h. State natural area preserves and natural resource conservation areas.

947 It is important to note that for some species, mere presence is not considered significant. Significant
948 habitats, for some species, are those areas that may be limited during some time of the year or stage of
949 the species life cycle.

950

951 King County has reviewed these guidelines and has developed policies E-168 through E-172 that address
952 the various species included in the WAC guidelines. These policies recognize the tiered listing of these
953 species and their habitats as defined by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the Washington
954 State Department of Fish and Wildlife. These policies also recognize the need to regularly review the
955 information developed on species and habitats and amend the tiered listing as appropriate.

956

957

958

E-168 ((NE-604))

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

E-169 ((NE-605))

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

King County shall designate and protect, through measures such as regulations, incentives, capital projects or purchase, the following Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas found in King County:

- a. Habitat for federal or state listed Endangered, ((or)) Threatened or Sensitive species.
- b. Habitat for Salmonids of Local Importance: kokanee/sockeye/red salmon, chum salmon, coho/silver salmon, ((king/chinook salmon and,)) pink salmon, coastal resident/searun cutthroat, rainbow trout/steelhead, Bull trout/Dolly Varden, and pygmy whitefish, including juvenile feeding and migration corridors in marine waters;
- c. Habitat for Raptors and Herons of Local Importance: red-tailed hawk, osprey, black-crowned night heron, and great blue heron;
- d. Commercial and recreational shellfish areas;
- e. Kelp and eelgrass beds;
- f. Herring, sand lance and smelt spawning areas;
- g. Wildlife habitat networks designated by the County, and
- h. Riparian corridors.

King County shall also protect the habitat for candidate ((priority)) species, as listed by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, found in King County outside of the Urban Growth Area.

((Candidate Priority Species of Local Importance are: birds – common loon, harlequin duck, golden eagle, northern goshawk, mountain quail, pileated woodpecker, purple martin, Vaux’s swift, Western bluebird, yellow-billed cuckoo; fish – bull trout/Dolly Varden; amphibians – Cascades frog, red-legged frog, spotted frog; Van Dyke’s salamander; invertebrates – Beller’s ground beetle, Hatch’s click beetle, long-horned leaf beetle, Puget blue butterfly, Feder’s soliperlan stonefly, mammals – fisher, Townsend’s big-eared bat, California wolverine, Pacific harbor porpoise.))

King County should protect ((all)) the following ((priority)) species of local importance ((and their habitats)), as listed by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and ((found in and)) listed by King County, on lands outside of the Urban Growth Area, where they are likely to be most successful. Protection should be accomplished through regulations, incentives or purchase.

((Priority Species of local importance include: birds – trumpeter swan, tundra swan, snow goose band-tailed pigeon, mammals – marten, beaver, Columbian black-tailed deer, elk, mountain goat.))

1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054

Species of local importance are:

- a. mollusks - Geoduck clam ((;)) and Pacific oyster;**
- b. crustaceans - Dungenous crab((;)) and Pandalid shrimp;**
- c. echinoderms- Red urchin;**
- d. fish - white sturgeon, Pacific herring, channel catfish, longfin smelt, surfsmelt, Pacific cod, Pacific whiting, black rockfish, copper rockfish, quillback rockfish, yelloweye rockfish, lingcod, Pacific sand lance, English sole, and rock sole;**
- e. birds – Trumpeter swan, Tundra swan, Snow goose, Band-tailed pigeon, Brant, Harlequin duck, Blue grouse, Mountain quail, and Western bluebird;**
- f. mammals - marten, mink, Columbian black-tailed deer, elk, and mountain goat((; priority habitats – caves, cliffs, consolidated marine/estuarine shorelines, estuary, old growth/mature forest, unconsolidated marine/estuarine shorelines, snag-rich areas, talus slopes)).**

E-170

King County should protect the following priority habitats listed by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife that are not otherwise protected by policies and codes. Protection should be accomplished through regulations, incentives or purchase. Priority habitats are: caves, cliffs, consolidated marine/estuarine shorelines, estuary, old growth/mature forest, unconsolidated marine/estuarine shorelines, snag-rich areas, and talus slopes.

E-171 ((NE-606))

Development proposals should be assessed for the presence of species of local importance. ((The evaluations)) A comprehensive assessment should follow a standard procedure or guidelines and ((The identification of species which need protection)) shall occur one time during the development review process. ((This work shall be completed as established in a single set of study guidelines)).

E-172 ((NE-607))

King County should regularly review the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife's list of Priority Species and other scientific information on ((important local)) species of local importance, and evaluate whether any species should be added to or deleted from the list in Policies ((NE-604)) E-169 and((NE-605)) E-170. Any additions or deletions should be made through the annual amendment process for the Comprehensive Plan.

Existing buffer requirements for streams and wetlands are not intended to, and do not, always adequately protect wildlife resources in those sensitive areas. Areas with critical wildlife resources may need larger buffers to protect the resource.

E-173((NE-608))

Stream and wetland buffer requirements may be increased to protect ((Endangered, Threatened, and Priority wildlife)) species of local importance, as listed in this chapter, and their habitats, as appropriate. Whenever possible, density transfers and/or buffer averaging should be allowed.

Salmon are particularly important because of their significance to local and regional character, federally-recognized tribes and the fisheries industry. Several salmon stocks within King County and other areas of Puget Sound are in a serious state of decline. Several salmon stocks within King County have been or are about to be listed under the Endangered Species Act. The most effective way to protect and enhance native fish populations is through protection of those river and stream channels, riparian corridors, lakes,

1055 wetlands, headwaters and watersheds that provide or impact spawning and rearing habitat, food
1056 resources and fish passage. Intermittent streams also can be critical to native fish populations. Fish
1057 enhancement facilities currently are still critical to the maintenance of salmon stocks and the fisheries
1058 industry.

1059

1060 **E-174 ((NE-609))** King County should protect salmonid habitats by ensuring that land use and
1061 facility plans (transportation, water, sewer, electricity, gas) include riparian
1062 and stream habitat conservation measures developed by the County, cities,
1063 federally-recognized tribes, service providers, and/or state and federal
1064 agencies. Development within basins that contain fish enhancement
1065 facilities should consider significant adverse impacts to those facilities.

1066

1067 Protection of isolated blocks of habitat will not adequately protect wildlife in King County. Critical wildlife
1068 habitats and refuges need to be connected across the landscape through a system of habitat networks.
1069 Some areas may be important because they connect other areas together.

1070

1071 Network width is related to requirements of desired wildlife species, length of network segment and other
1072 desired uses within the network. Wider corridors will be required for larger species if the distance
1073 between refuges is great or if multiple uses, such as public access and trails, are desired. Since it may
1074 not be possible to protect wide corridors in the Urban Growth Area, it may not be possible to
1075 accommodate larger wildlife species in all areas. Networks will address some of the problems of habitat
1076 fragmentation for smaller species within the Urban Growth Area.

1077

1078 Potential linkages are identified on the Wildlife Network and Public Ownership Map. Open spaces set
1079 aside during subdivision of land should be located to make connections with larger off-site systems. This
1080 approach will also benefit other open space goals.

1081

1082

1083 **E-175 ((NE-610))** Dedicated open spaces and designated sensitive areas help provide wildlife
1084 habitat. Habitat networks for Threatened, Endangered and Priority species of
1085 local importance, as listed in this chapter shall be designated and mapped.
1086 ~~((Other Priority h))~~ Habitat networks for other Priority Species in the Rural
1087 Area should be designated and mapped. Planning should be coordinated to
1088 ensure that connections are made with adjacent segments of the network.
1089 King County should provide incentives for new development within the
1090 networks to incorporate design techniques that protect and enhance wildlife
1091 habitat values.

1092

1093 King County shall also protect the habitat for candidate species, as listed by
1094 the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, found in King County
1095 outside of the Urban Growth Area.

1096

1097

1098 **E-176 ((NE-611))** King County should work with adjacent jurisdictions, state and federal
1099 governments and federally recognized tribes during development of land use
1100 plans, Water Resource Inventory Area plans, ((development)) and site
1101 development reviews to identify and protect habitat networks at jurisdictional
1102 boundaries.

1103

1104 A key element in a comprehensive wildlife protection program is the integration of wildlife and habitats into
1105 developments of all types. Protection of many types of wildlife does not have to be at odds with many
1106 types of development. Urban multifamily projects, industrial developments, new school facilities and rural
1107 open space projects all provide opportunities to enhance wildlife amenities. Residential developers and
1108 businesses have been able to use wildlife in marketing strategies to attract more potential homeowners,
1109 renters and quality employees.

1110
1111 Techniques such as minimizing clearing during site preparation, using native plant species in required
1112 buffers, landscaping, using bridges rather than culverts to cross streams and innovative site design can be
1113 used to promote wildlife and minimize problems with nuisance wildlife. Other plan elements, such as open
1114 space, road system design and housing density, also have related impacts on the remaining wildlife
1115 values that must be considered.

1116
1117 Benefits to wildlife are enhanced if screening and landscaping is composed of native vegetation. Retention
1118 of natural vegetation can provide the same wildlife and aesthetic benefits at a lower cost.

1119
1120
1121 E-177 ((NE-642)) New development should, where possible, ((!))incorporate((!)) native plant
1122 communities ((should be encouraged where possible into development
1123 proposals)), both through preservation of existing native plants into the site
1124 plan, and addition of new native plants.

1125
1126 E-178((NE-643)) The County should be a good steward of public lands and should integrate
1127 fish and wildlife habitats into capital improvement projects whenever
1128 feasible. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas should be protected
1129 and where possible, enhanced as part of capital improvement projects.

1130
1131 E-179 ((NE-644)) The County should promote voluntary wildlife habitat enhancement projects
1132 by private individuals and businesses through educational and incentive
1133 programs.

1134
1135

1136 **F. Soils and Organics**

1137 Soils play a critical role in the natural environment. Healthy soils keep disease-causing organisms in
1138 check, recycle and store nutrients, and provide an important medium for air and water to pass through.
1139 The properties of a healthy soil are similar to those of a sponge, faucet and filter. They naturally regulate
1140 the flow of water, bind and degrade pollutants. The presence of millions of macro and microorganisms in
1141 soil creates a "vibrant soil culture" where organic material is consumed and air and water are retained.
1142 Nutrients are made available to plants to allow healthy root growth and oxygen generation.

1143
1144 Human activity often causes soil compaction, removal and erosion of healthy, native soils. Fewer
1145 organisms are present in disturbed soils. The resulting decrease in organic matter inhibits the soil's ability
1146 to hold water, which increases surface water runoff. In addition, plants can not thrive in disturbed soils
1147 because of the lack of nutrients. This, in turn, causes people to use more chemical fertilizers, pesticides,
1148 and water to induce plant growth.

1149
1150 Increasing the organic content in disturbed soils can help restore their environmental function.
1151 Composted organic materials that might be used include yard debris, food and wood wastes, soiled paper,
1152 biosolids and/or livestock wastes, but not fly ash from industrial smokestacks. Benefits of incorporating
1153 composted organic materials in soils include: improved stream habitat, healthier plants, and closing the
1154 recycling loop for organic materials.

1155
1156 Organic soil content can be increased during the development process. Typically, in a new development,
1157 topsoil is removed, and then later replaced. Developers can incorporate composted organic materials
1158 during the construction process by replacing removed topsoil with organics in areas to be landscaped to
1159 mitigate the impacts of development.

1160
1161 E-180 Conservation of native soils should be accomplished through various
1162 mechanisms to ensure soils remain healthy and continue to function as a
1163 natural sponge and filter, minimizing erosion and surface water runoff. Native

1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217

soils should be retained on site and reused on site to the maximum extent possible.

E-181 Organic matter should be used in disturbed soils, such as those found in developed areas, and shall be increased through various mechanisms.

Organics comprise a large portion of the waste generated by King County residences, businesses and farms. This organic waste stream requires significant solid waste, farm management, and wastewater treatment resources. Many of these "waste materials" (yard debris, food and wood waste, soiled paper, biosolids, and agricultural livestock wastes), can be recycled and reused to provide numerous uses that are beneficial to the environment and the economy.

King County has a long history of resource conservation and waste recycling. Programs have successfully captured organic materials for beneficial use such as yard debris, recycling and biosolids applications to farms, forests and composting. However, large volumes of yard debris and food scraps continue to be thrown away in the landfill. Significant volumes of livestock waste generated in the suburbs and rural areas are inadequately managed, which can adversely impact water quality and fish habitat.

Although efforts are underway to increase the amount of organic materials that are recycled, the region still lacks the capacity to process all of these materials. Along with its efforts to promote beneficial use of these products, King County is working with organic material processors and others to try and increase the processing capacity in the region. The challenge will be for King County to secure funding sources to ensure that sufficient processing capacity is in place to handle a variety of organic waste materials.

E-182 King County should implement programs to improve availability and markets for organic materials for soils that have been disturbed by new and existing developments.

E-183 King County shall regard the region's organic waste materials as resources which should be reused as much as possible, and minimize the disposal of such materials.

E-184 King County shall identify long-term options for expanding the organic waste material processing capacity in the County.

King County seeks to divert as much material as possible from disposal to reduce overall costs of solid waste management, conserve resources, protect the environment, and strengthen the county's economy (see Chapter 7, Facilities and Utilities, policy F-148). In many cases, organic materials can be recycled into a beneficial, highly valued resource helping to meet these diversion goals. Beneficial uses of organic materials include, but are not limited to, the following:

King County recognizes that in most cases, the best management method for yard debris and livestock wastes is to compost it on the property where it is generated. Examples of residential on-site yard debris management techniques include grasscycling (leaving the grass on the lawn when it is cut) and backyard composting.

E-185 King County shall promote and encourage the beneficial use of organic materials, including but not limited to their use in the following activities: agriculture and silviculture; road, park and other public project development; site development and new construction; restoration and remediation of disturbed soils; nursery and sod production; and landscaping. Organic materials do not include fly ash.

1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269

E-186 King County agencies shall use recycled organic products, such as compost, whenever feasible.

Biosolids are the nutrient rich organic product from the wastewater treatment process which can be recycled as a soil amendment. At King County's wastewater treatment plant, solids are removed from the wastewater and treated in large digesters where the organic solids are stabilized, reducing the volume by half. After digestion, a portion of water is removed, leaving the semi-solid material ready for recycling.

The Biosolids Management Program emphasizes beneficial use of the resource and pursues environmental stewardship through diverse public-private partnerships. One hundred percent of county biosolids are beneficially used through the forestry and agriculture programs. A portion of the biosolids are composted as a Class A product.

E-187 King County should explore ways to beneficially use biosolids, whenever feasible, locally.

Supporting agriculture is a key growth management strategy and vital to quality of life for King County residents (see Chapter 3: "Rural Legacy and Natural Resources Lands"). However, improper livestock management practices can have significant adverse impact on surface water, ground water and air quality.

On-Farm Composting as a method of managing livestock waste and other organic waste materials is becoming an important waste management strategy for farmers. Benefits of on-farm composting include:

- Additional revenue from the sale of compost,
- Reduced costs for water, fertilizers and pesticides, due to reduced water usage and reduced reliance on fertilizers and pesticides,
- Reduced impacts to surface waters, and
- Increased crop yields.

King County has approximately 200 commercial farms and 10,000 non-commercial farms in cities as well as unincorporated areas. King County's Livestock Management Ordinance, Ordinance 11168 adopted in December 1993, requires livestock owners to manage livestock waste so that it minimizes any impacts to streams. The Livestock Management Ordinance requires the preparation of farms plans to be developed jointly by farmers and the King Conservation District to assist in reducing water pollution from their operations. The Conservation District provides technical assistance and education to agricultural land owners on how to implement best management practices for federal, state and local water quality regulations. These best management practices include slurry tanks and manure lagoons, the installation of fencing to keep stock from streams and wetlands, and development of plans for livestock manure storage facilities in accordance with the Sensitive Areas Ordinance.

E-188 King County shall develop alternatives to improve onsite and offsite management of livestock wastes and recommend strategies to integrate processing livestock wastes with other organic waste materials. These strategies should be consistent with the King County Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan, including but not limited to, on-farm composting and land application of processed yard debris. Alternative strategies for onsite and offsite management of livestock wastes shall be based on farm management plans, which protect water quality in streams and wetlands. Solid waste management and water quality programs should be developed to prevent liquid farm wastes from contaminating our watersheds.

1270

1271

1272

II. Endangered Species Act

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

In March 1999, The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) listed the chinook salmon as "threatened" under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). In December, 1999, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) listed the Puget Sound and Coastal Bull trout as threatened under the ESA. It is anticipated that listing of other salmonid species may follow in the near future.

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

The listing of a species under the Act is cause for great concern, because Wild Pacific Salmon have great environmental, cultural, economic, recreational and symbolic importance to the Puget Sound region. It is King County's goal to ensure long-term protection of our salmon resources to harvestable levels for today and tomorrow, with the least economic impact possible. Successful restoration and maintenance of healthy salmon populations will require time, money and effort, and collaboration with federal, state, tribal and local governments, as well as businesses, environmental groups, and citizens.

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

In accordance with the ESA, the NMFS and USFWS may issue regulations deemed necessary to provide for the conservation of listed species. This rule, commonly referred to as a 4(d) rule, legally establishes the protective measures that are necessary and advisable to provide for conservation of a listed species. Local governments will be required to comply with these protective measures.

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

Local governments in the Puget Sound region, in cooperation with state and tribal governments and other major stakeholders, have established a Tri County partnership to identify early actions and develop long-term conservation strategies. The early actions will focus on protecting salmon habitat in order preserve options for recovery. The long-term conservation strategy will be developed at the Watershed Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) level. The boundaries of WRIsAs are defined under state regulations, and generally adhere to the watershed boundaries of major river or lake systems. King County has lead responsibility for the development Water Resource Inventory Area plans for WRIA 8 (Cedar/Sammamish Watershed) and WRIA 9 (the Green/Duwamish Watershed). In addition, King County is supporting the planning efforts in WRIA 7 (the Snohomish/Snoqualmie Watershed), about half of which is in King County, and WRIA 10 (the White/Puyallup Watershed), a small percentage of which is in King County.

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

- E-201** King County shall continue to participate in the Tri-County partnership and Water Resource Inventory Area planning efforts to develop plans for each of the watersheds in King County. These plans shall:
- a. Identify early actions and long-term projects and programs that will lead to information on habitat conditions in King County which can enable the recovery of endangered or threatened salmonids, while maintaining the economic vitality and strength of the region;
 - b. Be comprehensive and science-based;
 - c. Address water quality, water quantity and channel characteristics;

1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350

- d. Be developed in coordination with key decision-makers and stakeholders; and
- e. Provide an adaptive management approach.

E-202

King County has evaluated and will continue to evaluate programs and regulations to determine their effectiveness in contributing to ESA listed species conservation and recovery, and will update and enhance programs where needed including evaluation of the zoning code, the Sensitive Areas Code, the Shoreline Master Program, the Clearing and Grading Code, the landscaping Code, the Surface Water Design Manual, best management practices for vegetation management and use of insecticides, herbicides and fungicides, and best management practices for agricultural lands and forest lands under county authority. King County may amend these regulations and best management practices to enhance their effectiveness in protecting and restoring salmonid habitat.

E-203

Through the Watershed Resource Inventory Area planning process, geographic areas vital to the conservation and recovery of listed salmonid species shall be identified. King County will evaluate this information to determine appropriate short and long-term strategies, including, but not limited to: designation of Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas, development regulations (special district overlays, zoning, etc.) acquisitions, and capital improvement projects.

E-204

King County may use its authority under the Growth Management Act, including its authority to designate and protect critical areas, such as fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, to preserve and protect critical habitat listed for salmonid species by developing and implementing development regulations and non-regulatory programs.

E-205

King County shall ensure a no net loss of housing capacity within urban unincorporated King County due to the Endangered Species Act.

E-206

King County shall, in cooperation with the cities, ensure the ability to accommodate the 2012 growth targets within the Urban Area in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

