

**Mountsier, Beth****Subject:** FW: Reclaimed Water Evaluation Criteria Motion

---

**From:** wpeloza@aol.com [mailto:wpeloza@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 23, 2010 9:28 AM  
**To:** Buscher, Mark; Mountsier, Beth  
**Cc:** Wharton, Laura; Herrin, Sharman; bpeloza@auburnwa.gov; Ross, Debra; Tolzman, Steve  
**Subject:** Re: Reclaimed Water Evaluation Criteria Motion

Looks good Mark.

Bill Pelozo  
Councilmember  
City of Auburn

-----Original Message-----

From: Buscher, Mark <Mark.Buscher@kingcounty.gov>  
To: Mountsier, Beth <Beth.Mountsier@kingcounty.gov>  
Cc: Wharton, Laura <Laura.Wharton@kingcounty.gov>; Herrin, Sharman <Sharman.Herrin@kingcounty.gov>; Bill Pelozo <bpeloza@auburnwa.gov>; Ross, Debra <Debra.Ross@kingcounty.gov>; Tolzman, Steve <Steve.Tolzman@kingcounty.gov>  
Sent: Tue, Feb 23, 2010 9:13 am  
Subject: Reclaimed Water Evaluation Criteria Motion

Beth:

The Suburban Cities Association's Public Issues Committee (PIC) met on February 10<sup>th</sup> to review and discuss the proposed evaluation criteria for the Reclaimed Water Comprehensive Plan currently be considered by the RWQC. At the PIC meeting, members voted to amend criterion (B.) (2.) to read as follows:

2. The sustainability of uses of reclaimed water with a benefit/cost analysis

The PIC was aware of SPU's proposed amendments to the evaluation criterion – which affect the particular criterion that PIC has chosen to amend. They offer their amendment language in lieu of the language offered by SPU.

Council member Bill Pelozo (Auburn) requested that I inform you of the PIC's action so that you may include their proposed amendment language in the RWQC packet.

Please call me if you have questions or require any additional information.

Thanks,

*Mark*  
684-1242