

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project", "applicant", and "property or site" should be read as "proposal", "proponent", and "affected geographic area", respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

The adoption of the Tahoma School District's 2022 Capital Facilities Plan ("Capital Facilities Plan") for the purposes of planning for the District's facilities needs. King County may incorporate the District's Capital Facilities Plan into its Comprehensive Plan. The cities of Black Diamond, Covington, and Maple Valley may also incorporate the District's Capital Facilities Plan into their respective Comprehensive Plans. A copy of the District's draft Capital Facilities Plan is available for review in the District's offices.

2. Name of applicant:

Tahoma School District No. 409.

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

25720 17810 Maple Valley-Black Diamond Rd SE
Maple Valley, WA 98038

Lori Cloud, Assistant Superintendent
(425) 413-3400

4. Date checklist prepared:

June 3, 2022

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Tahoma School District No. 409

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The District's Board of Directors will consider action on the 2022 Capital Facilities Plan on or about June 28, 2022. After adoption, the District will forward the Capital Facilities Plan to King County and the cities of Black Diamond, Covington, and Maple Valley for inclusion in each jurisdiction's Comprehensive Plan. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject to project-level environmental review when appropriate when project scope is identified and potential impacts are able to be evaluated. The District updates its Capital Facilities Plan on an annual basis.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

This is a nonproject planning document. The Capital Facilities Plan identifies the capital improvement projects that the District plans to implement over the next six years to address enrollment growth and education program needs. The District recently implemented capacity adjustments as a result of the completion of construction of a new Tahoma Senior High School and a classroom addition at Cedar River Elementary School. The District also has recently completed or is planning for miscellaneous building upgrades at existing schools. The District may add or relocate portable during the six year planning period. A Housing Committee is currently reviewing future capital projects and is expected to issue recommendations within the coming year.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have undergone or will undergo additional environmental review, when appropriate, as they are developed.

The District issued a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance on November 25, 2014 for the New Tahoma Senior High School project.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

This is a nonproject action and addresses the entirety of the Tahoma School District. There are no known applications for other proposals related to the school projects identified in the Capital Facilities Plan.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

The District's Board of Directors will consider action on the Capital Facilities Plan. King County will review the Capital Facilities Plan for the purposes of updating the County's school impact fee ordinance and incorporating the CFP by reference as a part of the Capital Facilities Element of the King County Comprehensive Plan. The cities of Black Diamond, Covington, and Maple Valley may also review and take action to adopt the Capital Facilities Plan reference as a part of the Capital Facilities Element of each jurisdiction's Comprehensive plan and update their respective school impact fee ordinances.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

This is a nonproject action. This proposal involves the adoption of the Tahoma School District's 2022 Capital Facilities Plan for the purpose of planning the District's facilities needs. The District anticipates King County and the cities of Black Diamond, Covington, and Maple Valley will adopt the Capital Facilities Plan as part of the Capital Facilities Element of each jurisdiction's Comprehensive Plan. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject to project-level environmental review when appropriate. A copy of the Capital Facilities Plan may be viewed at the District's offices.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The Capital Facilities Plan will affect the Tahoma School District. The District includes an area of approximately 90 square miles. A portion of King County is served by the District. The cities of Black Diamond, Covington, and Maple Valley are also served by the District. A detailed map of the District's boundaries can be viewed at the District's offices.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____

This is a nonproject action. The Tahoma School District is comprised of a variety of topographic land forms and gradients. Specific topographic characteristics of the sites at which the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan are located, have been, or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

This is a nonproject action. Specific slope characteristics at the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

This is a nonproject action. Specific soil types found at the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action. Unstable soils may exist within the Tahoma School District. Specific soil limitations on individual project sites have been or will be identified at the time of project-level environmental review when appropriate.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

This is a nonproject action. Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject, when appropriate, to project-level environmental review and local approval at the time of proposal. Proposed grading projects, as well as the purpose, type, quantity, and source of any fill materials to be used have been or will be identified at that time.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. It is possible that erosion could occur as a result of the construction projects currently proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan. The erosion impacts of the individual projects have been or will be evaluated on a site-specific basis at the time of project-level environmental review when appropriate. Individual projects have been or will be subject to local approval processes.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

This is a nonproject action. The construction projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have required or will require the construction of impervious surfaces. The extent of any impervious cover constructed will vary with each project included in the Capital Facilities Plan. This issue has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

This is a nonproject action. The erosion potential of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan and appropriate control measures have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Relevant erosion reduction and control requirements have been or will be met

2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

This is a nonproject action. Various emissions, many construction-related, may result from the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan. The air-quality impacts of each project have been or will be evaluated during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. Any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

This is a nonproject action. The individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject to project-level environmental review and relevant local approval processes when appropriate. The District has been or will be required to comply with all applicable air regulations and air permit requirements. Proposed measures specific to the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

3. Water

a. Surface Water:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

This is a nonproject action. There is a network of surface water bodies within the Tahoma School District. The surface water bodies that are in the immediate vicinity of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate. When necessary, the surface water regimes and flow patterns have been or will be researched and incorporated into the designs of the individual projects.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

This is a nonproject action. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may require work near the surface waters located within the Tahoma School District. Applicable local approval requirements have been or will be satisfied

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

This is a nonproject action. Information with respect to the placement or removal of fill and dredge material as a component of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be provided during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Applicable local regulations have been or will be satisfied.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This is a nonproject action. Any surface water withdrawals or diversions required in connection with the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

This is a nonproject action. Each project included in the Capital Facilities Plan, if located in a floodplain area, has been or will be required to meet applicable local regulations for flood areas.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

This is a nonproject action. Specific information regarding the discharge of waste materials that may be required as a result of the projects included in the Capital

Facilities Plan has been or will be provided during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This is a nonproject action. Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may impact groundwater resources. The impact of the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on groundwater resources has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Each project has been or will be subject to applicable local regulations. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

This is a nonproject action. The discharges of waste material that may take place in connection with the projects included in the Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action. Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may have stormwater runoff consequences. Specific information regarding the stormwater impacts of each project has been or will be provided during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Each project has been or will be subject to applicable local stormwater regulations.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may result in the discharge of waste materials into ground or surface waters. The specific impacts of each project on ground and surface waters have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Each project has been or will be subject to all applicable regulations regarding the discharge of waste materials into ground and surface waters. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action. Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns. The impact of the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on drainage patterns has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Each project has been or will be subject to applicable local regulations. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Specific measures to reduce or control runoff impacts associated with the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- other types of vegetation

This is a nonproject action. A variety of vegetative zones are located within the Tahoma School District. Inventories of the vegetation located on the sites of the projects proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be developed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

This is a nonproject action. Some of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may require the removal or alteration of vegetation. The specific impacts on vegetation of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

This is a nonproject action. The specific impacts to these species from the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be determined during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Investigation will include use of the Washington State Department of Fisheries and Wildlife's *Priority Habitats and Species* database.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Measures to preserve or enhance vegetation at the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Each project is or will be subject to applicable local landscaping requirements.

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

This is a nonproject action. Inventories of noxious weeds and invasive species located on or near sites of the projects proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

5. Animals

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

This is a nonproject action. An inventory of species that have been observed on or near the sites of the projects proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be developed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Investigation will include use of the Washington State Department of Fisheries and Wildlife's *Priority Habitats and Species* database.

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

This is a nonproject action. Inventories of threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be developed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

This is a nonproject action. The impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on migration routes have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Appropriate measures to preserve or enhance wildlife have been or will be determined during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

This is a nonproject action. Inventories of invasive animal species located on or near sites of the projects proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

This is a nonproject action. The Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction requires the completion of a life-cycle cost analysis of all heating, lighting, and insulation systems before it will permit specific school projects to proceed. The energy needs of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be determined at the time of specific engineering and site design planning when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. The impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on the solar potential of adjacent projects have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Energy conservation measures proposed in connection with the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be considered during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

This is a nonproject action. Known or possible contamination on sites intended for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

This is a nonproject action. Hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect the

project development and design on sites intended for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

This is a nonproject action. Toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the development, construction, or operation of any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

This is a nonproject action. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan comply or will comply with all current codes, standards, rules, and regulations. Individual projects have been or will be subject to project-level environmental review and local approval at the time they are developed, when appropriate.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

This is a nonproject action. A variety of noises from traffic, construction, residential, commercial, and industrial areas exists within the Tahoma School District. The specific noise sources that may affect the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

This is a nonproject action. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may create normal construction noises that will exist on a short-term basis only. The construction projects could increase traffic around the construction sites on a short-term basis. Because the construction of additional school capacity will increase the capacity of the District's school facilities, there may be a slight increase in traffic-related or operations-related noise on a long-term basis. Similarly, the placement of portables at school sites will increase the capacity of school facilities and may create a slight increase in traffic-related or operations-related noise. Neither of these increases is expected to be significant. The specific noise sources and levels that may result from the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. The projected noise impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be evaluated and mitigated during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Each project is or will be subject to applicable local regulations.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action. There are a variety of land uses in the Tahoma School District, including residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, utility, open space, recreational, etc. Impacts of projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on land uses on nearby or adjacent properties have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

This is a nonproject action. Identification of the use of sites intended for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan as working farmlands or working forest land has been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

This is a nonproject action. Any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be analyzed during project-level environmental review when appropriate to determine if the proposal will affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest lands.

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

This is a nonproject action. Any structures located on the sites for the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

This is a nonproject action. Any structures that will be demolished as a result of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan, if any, have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

This is a nonproject action. The sites that are covered under the Capital Facilities Plan have a variety of zoning classifications under the applicable zoning codes. Site-specific zoning information has been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate. All sites anticipated for school construction are zoned for such use.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

This is a nonproject action. Inventories of the comprehensive plan designations for the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be completed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. All sites anticipated for school construction are designated for such use.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

This is a nonproject action. Shoreline master program designations of the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

This is a nonproject action. Any critical areas located on the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

This is a nonproject action. The Tahoma School District currently serves approximately 8,621 students. Enrollment is expected to increase to approximately 9,351 students by the 2027-2028 school year. The District employs approximately 900 people.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

This is a nonproject action. Any displacement of people caused by the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be evaluated during project-level environmental review when appropriate. However, it is not anticipated that the Capital Facilities Plan, or any of the projects contained therein, will displace any people.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject to project-level environmental review and local approval when appropriate. Proposed mitigating measures have been or will be developed at that time, when necessary.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

This is a nonproject action. The compatibility of the specific projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan with existing uses and plans has been or will be assessed as part of the comprehensive planning process and during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

This is a nonproject action. The compatibility of the specific projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance has been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

This is a nonproject action. No housing units would be provided in connection with the completion of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

This is a nonproject action. It is not anticipated that the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will eliminate any housing units. The impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on existing housing have been or will be evaluated during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Measures to reduce or control any housing impacts caused by the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

This is a nonproject action. The aesthetic impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

This is a nonproject action. The aesthetic impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Appropriate measures to reduce or control the aesthetic impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be determined on a project-level basis when appropriate.

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

This is a nonproject action. The light or glare impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

This is a nonproject action. The light or glare impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

This is a nonproject action. Off-site sources of light or glare that may affect the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be evaluated during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Proposed measures to mitigate light and glare impacts have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

This is a nonproject action. There are a variety of formal and informal recreational facilities within the Tahoma School District.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action. The recreational impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan, including proposed new school facilities, may enhance recreational opportunities and uses.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Adverse recreational effects of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject to mitigation during project-level

environmental review when appropriate. School facilities usually provide recreational facilities to the community in the form of playfields and gymnasiums.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers ? If so, specifically describe.

This is a nonproject action. Any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45-years old listed in or proposed eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers on or near sites intended for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

This is a nonproject action. Any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation, or material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance, on or near sites intended for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Research will be conducted using the Washington State Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation's *Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data (WISAARD)* resource.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

This is a nonproject action. Research will be conducted using the Washington State Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation's *Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data (WISAARD)* resource. Appropriate and relevant methods utilized at sites intended for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

This is a nonproject action. Any needed relevant measures proposed to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources, including necessary plans and permits, for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

This is a nonproject action. The impact on public streets and highways of the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

This is a nonproject action. The relationship between the specific projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan and public transit has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

This is a nonproject action. Inventories of parking spaces located at the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan and the impacts of specific projects on parking availability have been or will be conducted during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

This is a nonproject action. The need for new streets or roads, or improvements to existing streets and roads has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. Use of water, rail, or air transportation has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

This is a nonproject action. The traffic impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. The potential impact of any project proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan on the movement of agricultural or forest products on roads and streets has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. The mitigation of traffic impacts associated with the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

15. Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. The District does not anticipate that the projects identified in the Capital Facilities Plan will significantly increase the need for public services.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

This is a nonproject action. New school facilities have been or will be built with automatic security systems, fire alarms, smoke alarms, heat sensors, and sprinkler systems. The mitigation of impacts to public services associated with the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system,
other _____

This is a nonproject action. Electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, and sewer are or can be made available at the known sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan. The types of utilities available at specific project sites have been or will be addressed in more detail during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- c. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

This is a nonproject action. Utility revisions and construction needs have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____

Lori Cloud

Name of signee _____

Lori Cloud

Position and Agency/Organization _____

Assistant Superintendent - Tahoma SA.

Date Submitted: _____

June 6th 2021

D. supplemental sheet for nonproject actions

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

To the extent the Capital Facilities Plan makes it more likely that school facilities will be constructed, some of these environmental impacts may be more likely. Additional impermeable surfaces, such as roofs, access roads, and sidewalks could increase stormwater runoff, which could enter surface or ground waters. Heating systems, emergency generators, and other school equipment that is installed pursuant to the Capital Facilities Plan could result in air emissions. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan should not require the production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances, with the possible exception of the storage of diesel fuel or gasoline for emergency generating equipment. The District does not anticipate a significant increase in the production of noise from its facilities, with the possible exception of noise production due to short-term construction activities or the presences of additional students on a site. Construction impacts related to noise and air would be short term and are not anticipated to be significant.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

The CFP is a nonproject planning document. Proposed measures to mitigate any such increases described above have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Stormwater detention and runoff will meet applicable County and/or City requirements and may be subject to National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permitting requirements. Noise limits will be consistent with local and or state regulations. Discharges to air will meet applicable air pollution control requirements. Fuel oil will be stored in accordance with local and state requirements.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The Capital Facilities Plan itself will have no impact on these elements of the environment. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may require clearing plants off of the project sites and a loss to animal habitat. These impacts have been or will be addressed in more detail during project-level environmental review when appropriate. The projects included in the Plan are not likely to generate significant impacts on fish or marine life.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

Specific measures to protect and conserve plants, animals, and fish cannot be identified at this time. Specific mitigation proposals will be identified, however, during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

While the Capital Facilities Plan is a nonproject planning document, the construction of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will require the consumption of energy.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will be constructed in accordance with applicable energy efficiency standards.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The Capital Facilities Plan and individual projects contained therein should have no impact on these resources. Specific review will be conducted, however, during project-level environmental review.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

No specific measures are being proposed at this time. Appropriate measures have been or will be proposed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Updates of this Plan will be coordinated with King County and the cities of Black Diamond, Covington, and Maple Valley as part of the Growth Management Act process, one of the purposes of which is to protect critical areas. To the extent the District's facilities planning process is part of the overall growth management planning process, these resources are more likely to be protected. Future projects would comply with permitting regulations regarding environmentally sensitive areas.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The Capital Facilities Plan will not have any impact on land or shoreline use that is incompatible with existing comprehensive plans, land use codes, or shoreline management plans. The District does not anticipate that the Capital Facilities Plan or the projects contained therein will affect land and shoreline uses in the area served by the District in any manner not currently permitted or designated for the intended use.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

No measures to avoid or reduce land use impacts resulting from the Capital Facilities Plan or the

projects contained therein are proposed at this time. As necessary when a scope for a specific project identified in the CFP is defined, the District will identify any appropriate measures.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

While the Capital Facilities Plan is a nonproject planning document, the construction projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may create temporary increases in the District's need for public services and utilities. The new school facilities will increase the District's demands on transportation and utilities. These increases are not expected to be significant.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

No measures to reduce or respond to such demands are proposed at this time. As necessary when a scope for a specific project identified in the CFP is defined, the District will identify any appropriate measures.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The Capital Facilities Plan as proposed will not conflict with any laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. Specific projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be reviewed under project-level environmental review requirements. The Washington Growth Management Act (the GMA) outlines 13 broad goals, including both protecting the environment and providing adequate provision of necessary public facilities and services. Schools are among these necessary facilities and services. The Capital Facilities Plan satisfies the requirements of RCW 36.70A.070, and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in the District.