

REGULATORY NOTE
CHECKLIST OF CRITERIA

Proposed No.: _____ Prepared By: Cynthia Moffitt

Date: September 5, 2003

Yes No N/A

NEED: Does the proposed regulation respond to a specific, identifiable need?

The purpose of the new uses for parks ordinance is to revise the land use code to allow for new uses in King County parks. The ordinance will provide an opportunity for the department of natural resources and parks to support the parks programs and provide additional amenities in the parks to serve park users

If so, is county government the most appropriate organization to address this need?

ECONOMY & JOB GROWTH: Has the economic impact of the proposed regulation been reviewed to ensure it will not have a long-term adverse impact on the economy and job growth in King County?

The purpose of the proposed regulation change is to facilitate a more entrepreneurial approach to the parks division's operation of the county park system. This ordinance will allow new or expanded uses in parks such as restaurants, retail, rental and services related to parks and recreational vehicle camping. Consequently, the proposed changes should increase the probability of positive economic impact (including job growth) in King County parks.

PURPOSE: Is the purpose of the proposed ordinance clear?

Are the steps for implementation clear?

Yes No N/A

EVALUATION: Does the proposed ordinance identify specific measurable outcomes that the proposed regulation should achieve?

Is an evaluation process identified?

INTERESTED PARTIES: Has adequate collaboration occurred with all those affected by the proposed regulation (including the public, the regulated and the regulators)?

COSTS & BENEFITS: Will the proposed regulation achieve the goal with the minimum cost and burden?
This ordinance will allow new uses in parks such as restaurants, retail, rental and services related to parks. These uses will generate additional revenues for the Parks Division.

Has the cost of not adopting the proposed regulation been considered?
Not allowing the new uses would likely result in additional closures of parks in the King County park system.

Do the benefits of the proposed regulations outweigh the costs?

VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE: Does the proposed ordinance inspire voluntary compliance?

CLARITY: Is the proposed ordinance written clearly and concisely, without ambiguities?

CONSISTENCY: Is the proposed regulation consistent with existing federal, state and local statutes?