

Legislation Details (With Text)

| | | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| File #: | 2011-0220 | Version: | 1 |
| Type: | Motion | Status: | Passed |
| File created: | 5/9/2011 | In control: | Law, Justice, Health and Human Services Committee |
| On agenda: | | Final action: | 6/20/2011 |
| Enactment date: | | Enactment #: | 13499 |
| Title: | A MOTION accepting the report prepared by the office of performance, strategy and budget in the executive office on the contempt of court public defense staffing model implemented in January 2011, as required in the 2011 Budget Ordinance, Ordinance 16984, Section 18, Proviso P1. | | |
| Sponsors: | Bob Ferguson | | |
| Indexes: | Budget, Courts, Executive, performance, Public Defense | | |
| Code sections: | | | |
| Attachments: | 1. 13499.pdf, 2. A. Contempt of Court Defense Proviso Response April 2011, 3. 2011-0220 Transmittal Letter.doc, 4. 2011-0220 staff report Contempt of Court report pj 06-08-11.doc, 5. A. Contempt of Court Defense Proviso Response April 2011 | | |

| Date | Ver. | Action By | Action | Result |
|-----------|------|---|-------------------------|--------|
| 6/20/2011 | 1 | Metropolitan King County Council | Passed | Pass |
| 6/8/2011 | 1 | Law, Justice, Health and Human Services Committee | Recommended Do Pass | Pass |
| 5/9/2011 | 1 | Metropolitan King County Council | Introduced and Referred | |

Clerk 05/03/2011

A MOTION accepting the report prepared by the office of performance, strategy and budget in the executive office on the contempt of court public defense staffing model implemented in January 2011, as required in the 2011 Budget Ordinance, Ordinance 16984, Section 18, Proviso P1.

WHEREAS, the 2011 Budget Ordinance, Ordinance 16984, Section 18, Proviso P1, requires acceptance by motion of a report addressing changes to contempt of court public defense staffing, and

WHEREAS, the office of performance, strategy and budget worked collaboratively to produce such a report with representatives from the office of the public defender, superior court, the prosecuting attorney's office, defense agencies and council staff, and

WHEREAS, the executive has responded to the proviso by transmitting to the council with this motion

a report on the changes made to contempt of court public defense staffing, and

WHEREAS, the report includes discussion of process measures necessary to assess the viability of the new contempt of court defense staffing model;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The report describing public defense staffing at contempt of court hearings prepared by the executive and provided as Attachment A to this motion is hereby accepted and meets the requirements of Ordinance 16984, Section 18, Proviso 1.