



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	2014-0276	Version:	1
Type:	Motion	Status:	Passed
File created:	7/21/2014	In control:	Budget and Fiscal Management Committee
On agenda:		Final action:	9/15/2014
Enactment date:		Enactment #:	14223
Title:	A MOTION acknowledging receipt of a report regarding the costs and service delivery methods of interpreter services within King County, as well as the pros and cons of developing a consolidated system for the provision of interpreter services countywide and recommendations for improvements to the current system for the provision of interpreter services, in compliance with the 2014 Annual Budget Ordinance, Ordinance 17695, Section 18, Proviso P5.		
Sponsors:	Joe McDermott		
Indexes:			
Code sections:			
Attachments:	1. Motion 14223.pdf, 2. A. Interpreter Service in King County Proviso Response, 3. 2014-0276 legislative review form.pdf, 4. A. Interpreter Service in King County Proviso Response, 5. 2014-0276 Transmittal Letter.doc, 6. Staff Report Proposed Motion 2014-0276 Interpreter Services Response.doc		

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
9/15/2014	1	Metropolitan King County Council	Passed	Pass
9/3/2014	1	Budget and Fiscal Management Committee	Recommended Do Pass Consent	Pass
7/21/2014	1	Metropolitan King County Council	Introduced and Referred	

Clerk 07/01/2014

A MOTION acknowledging receipt of a report regarding the costs and service delivery methods of interpreter services within King County, as well as the pros and cons of developing a consolidated system for the provision of interpreter services countywide and recommendations for improvements to the current system for the provision of interpreter services, in compliance with the 2014 Annual Budget Ordinance, Ordinance 17695, Section 18, Proviso P5.

WHEREAS, the 2014 Annual Budget Ordinance, Ordinance 17695, Section 18, Proviso P5, requires the executive to transmit a motion and report regarding the provision of interpreter services by June 30, 2014, and

WHEREAS, the report includes the actual costs of providing interpreter services between 2010 and

2013, and

WHEREAS, the report discusses the utilization of interpreter services by county agencies, including how users of the service are identified and how interpreters are assigned, and

WHEREAS, the report provides an examination of the service delivery methodology used, including quality control and how conflicts are identified and addressed, including the possible use of technology, and

WHEREAS, the report provides an examination of the pros and cons for developing a consolidated system for the provision of interpreter services countywide, and

WHEREAS, the report includes recommendations for improvements or changes to the current system for the provision of interpreter services;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The report relating to the provision of interpreter services in King County in compliance with the 2014 Annual Budget Ordinance, Ordinance 17695, Section 18, Proviso P5, which is Attachment A to this motion, is hereby acknowledged.