

KING COUNTY

Signature Report

January 5, 2010

Ordinance 16742

| | Proposed No. 2009-0640.3 Sponsors Constantine |
|----|---|
| 1 | AN ORDINANCE establishing a transportation benefit |
| 2 | district within unincorporated King County, Washington, |
| 3 | providing for the construction of certain transportation |
| 4 | improvements, in accordance with chapter 36.73 RCW; and |
| 5 | adding a new chapter to K.C.C. Title 2. |
| 6 | BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY: |
| 7 | SECTION 1. Findings: |
| 8 | A. Funding for improvement of the transportation infrastructure in King County |
| 9 | has been dramatically reduced in recent years due to the effects of several statewide |
| 10 | initiatives, declining revenues from the state motor fuel tax and decreases in available |
| 11 | state and federal grant funding. Transportation safety, preservation and other needs are, |
| 12 | however, increasing due to aging infrastructure, population growth, development and |
| 13 | changing travel patterns. |
| 14 | B. The county needs to make high priority transportation infrastructure |
| 15 | improvements to prevent an overall decline in the condition, structural integrity and |
| 16 | safety of its transportation system, and to facilitate the movement of people, goods and |
| 17 | services throughout the region. |
| 18 | C. Chapter 36.73 RCW authorizes counties to create transportation benefit |
| 19 | districts and authorizes transportation benefit districts to establish certain revenue |

20 sources for transportation improvements within the districts that meet specified 21 eligibility requirements. Transportation benefit districts may contract with other 22 governments to fund, plan and construct these transportation improvements. 23 D. RCW 82.80.140 authorizes a transportation benefit district created by a county 24 to impose, by a majority vote of the district's governing board, a vehicle fee of up to 25 twenty dollars annually if the district includes all of the area within the county and if the 26 county negotiates an interlocal agreement with the cities in the county that provides for 27 the distribution of the fee revenue to each city within the county. The interlocal agreement must be approved by sixty percent of the cities representing seventy-five 28 29 percent of the population of the cities within the county. 30 E. If the county is unable to obtain approval of the interlocal agreement with the 31 cities as required by that statute, chapter 36.73 RCW authorizes a county to create a 32 transportation benefit district consisting of just the unincorporated areas of the county 33 and authorizes such a transportation benefit district to impose, by a majority vote of the 34 district's governing board, up to twenty dollars of the vehicle fee, authorized by RCW 82.80.140. 35 36 F. On November 3, 2009, the King County executive sent a letter to the mayors 37 of all the cities within King County informing them of King County's intent to form a 38 county-wide transit benefit district with the intent of having the district impose a non-39 voted vehicle fee authorized by RCW 82.80.140 and to invite those cities to enter into negotiations to develop an interlocal agreement required by RCW 82.80.140. The letter 40 41 requested that the cities respond to the invitation by November 18, 2009, and to let the 42 executive know whether the city would be willing to enter into negotiations.

| 43 | G. As of November 18, 2009, no cities have responded positively to the |
|----|--|
| 44 | executive's invitation and several cities have officially declined. In addition, the cities of |
| 45 | Des Moines, Lake Forest Park and Shoreline have each created their own city |
| 46 | transportation benefit district for the purpose of imposing the nonvoted vehicle fee. |
| 47 | Therefore, it would appear to be futile to continue to attempt to negotiate the interlocal |
| 48 | agreement required by RCW 82.80.140. |
| 49 | H. The council desires to form a transportation benefit district that includes the |
| 50 | entire unincorporated area of King County as the boundaries currently exist. |
| 51 | I. The council has identified a list of transportation improvements that are |
| 52 | contained in the Puget Sound Regional Council Destination 2030 Regional |
| 53 | Transportation Plan. The list is Attachment A to this ordinance. |
| 54 | J. It is the council's intent that the transportation benefit district use its revenues |
| 55 | to supplement county road funds, grant funds and contributions from other governments |
| 56 | for projects such as the South Park Bridge replacement, which will provide congestion |
| 57 | relief benefits to Seattle and other nearby cities. The council encourages the executive |
| 58 | to negotiate South Park Bridge funding agreements with Seattle and other jurisdictions |
| 59 | to minimize the local matching fund contribution paid by residents of unincorporated |
| 60 | King County. |
| 61 | K. It is the council's intent, as the legislative authority creating the transportation |
| 62 | benefit district, to re-evaluate the appropriateness of the transportation benefit district's |
| 63 | boundaries before the governing board of the transportation benefit district voting to |
| 64 | impose any vehicle fee on residents in order to determine whether annexations occurring |
| 65 | after formation of the transportation benefit district but before the imposition of a |

.

vehicle fee should affect the boundaries of the created transportation benefit districtterritory.

L. The plan-level environmental documents prepared and issued by the PSRC
under the state Environmental Policy Act ("SEPA") for the Metropolitan Transportation
Plan, including but not limited to the environmental impact statement and addendum on
Destination 2030 dated March 5, 2007, encompass a range of alternatives to and
environmental impacts of the projects in the Recommended Plan.

M. The council encourages the transportation benefit district governing board to
amend its list of eligible projects to add new Transportation 2040 projects after the Puget
Sound Regional Council approves the Transportation 2040 update to the regional
transportation plan.

N. The county's designated SEPA responsible official issued a notice and
statement of adoption on December 21, 2009, adopting the Puget Sound Regional
Council environmental impact statement documents on the Metropolitan Transportation
Plan and the associated 2005, 2006 and 2007 addenda, and the county has committed, as
stated in the county's notice and statement of adoption, that appropriate project-level
environmental review under SEPA will be conducted by the appropriate agencies for the
projects in the plan.

O. Before establishing a transportation benefit district, the council is required pursuant to chapter 36.73 RCW to conduct a public hearing upon proper notice to describe the functions and purposes of the proposed transportation benefit district.

P. The council provided proper notice specifying the transportation
improvements proposed to be funded, conducted a public hearing on January 4, 2010,

| 89 | and took public comment regarding the proposed establishment of a transportation |
|-----|---|
| 90 | benefit district in accordance with RCW 36.73.050. |
| 91 | Q. It is in the best interest of the citizens of the county to establish a |
| 92 | transportation benefit district to facilitate the construction of the transportation |
| 93 | improvements listed in Attachment A to this ordinance. |
| 94 | SECTION 2. Sections 3 through 5 of this ordinance should constitute a new |
| 95 | chapter in K.C.C. Title 2. |
| 96 | NEW SECTION. SECTION 3. There is created a transportation benefit district, |
| 97 | to be known as the King County transportation benefit district, with geographical |
| 98 | boundaries comprised of the unincorporated limits of the county, which shall have the |
| 99 | authority to exercise the statutory powers in chapter 36.73 RCW. |
| 100 | NEW SECTION. SECTION 4. The district shall be dissolved when all |
| 101 | indebtedness of the district is retired and when all of the district's anticipated |
| 102 | responsibilities have been satisfied. |
| 103 | NEW SECTION. SECTION 5. As authorized under chapter 36.73 RCW, this |
| 104 | chapter shall be liberally construed to permit the accomplishment of its purposes. |
| 105 | SECTION 6. The King County transportation benefit district is formed for the |
| 106 | purpose of facilitating the construction of the transportation improvements listed in |
| 107 | Attachment A to this ordinance. |
| 108 | SECTION 7. Severability. If any one provision of this ordinance or its |
| 109 | application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of this |

5

.

- 110 ordinance or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is
- 111 not affected.

Ordinance 16742 was introduced on 11/23/2009 and passed as amended by the Metropolitan King County Council on 1/4/2010, by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Mr. Phillips, Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague, Ms. Patterson, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Ferguson and Mr. Dunn No: 0 Excused: 0

> KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

2010

сп

PHI2:

30

CEIV

Ē

KING COUNTY COUNCIL

Robert W. Ferguson, Chair

ATTEST:

Imo

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

رن ، _, 2009. APPROVED this 15 lanuary day of

Dow Constantine, County Executive

Attachments: A. King CountyTransportation Benefit District Candidate Projects List, dated 12-14-2009

L

| Project Title | From | P | Improvements |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Avondale Rd | Woodinville-Duvall Rd | NE 155th St | Single Intersect: Maior Widening-GP: Bike Lanes |
| Avondale Rd | NE 133 St | NE 155th | Major Widening-GP;Bike Lanes |
| NE 132nd/NE 128th St | 184th Ave NE | 196th Ave NE | Multiple Intersects;Bridge Replace;Major Widening- GP;Sidewalk;Bike Lanes |
| Novelty Hill Rd | Avondale Rd | 244th Ave NE | Major Widening-GP;Bike Lanes |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 171st Ave NE | Avondale Rd | Major Widening-GP;Study/Planning Activity |
| Issaquah/Fall City RD | 272nd PI SE | Issaquah Pine Lake Rd | Major Widening-GP;Bike Lanes |
| 132nd Ave SE | SE 224 St | SE 236 St | ITS;Major Widening-GP;Sidewalk;Bike Lanes |
| SE 212th Wy/SE 208th St | 100th Ave SE | Benson Rd/SR 515 | Multiple Intersects: Major Widening-HOV; Bike Lanes |
| 100th Ave NE | NE 145th St | NE 139th St | ITS;Major Widening-GP;Sidewalk;Bike Lanes |
| SE May Valley Rd | Issaquah Hobart Rd | SE 128th Wy | Improvement-Road;ITS;Major Widening-GP |
| 132nd Ave SE | SE 208 St | SE 224 St | Improvement-Road;Major Widening-GP;Sidewalk;Bike Lanes |
| 132nd/140th Ave SE | SE 233rd St | Renton City Limit | ITS |
| 1st Ave S | 6th Ave S | S 128th | Sidewalk |
| Military Rd | Peasley Canyon Way S | SR 161 | Sidewalk |
| NE 4th St/SE 128th St | 164th Ave SE | Renton City Limit | ITS |
| South Park Bridge | 14th/16th Ave S | | Replace bridge |
| SR 169 | Enumclaw north city limits | SE 400th Street | Major widening-GP; Sidewalk; Improvement-Road; Multiple Intersects |
| SR 169 | Green River | Crest of Hill (ARMP 6.32) | Improvement-Road |
| SR 169 | SE 383rd St. | Green River | Improvement-Road |
| SR 169 | Near Cedar River (ARM 16.02 to 17.02) | | Improvement-Road |
| | | | |