Pedroza, Melani

From:

Masuo, Janet on behalf of Council, Clerk

Sent:

Thursday, January 26, 2017 8:15 AM

To:

Pedroza, Melani

Subject:

FW: Rules Of Procedure and Mediation

From: Tim Hawkins [mailto:soaringhawkdesign@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 9:23 PM

To: Council, Clerk < Clerk. Council@kingcounty.gov>

Subject: Rules Of Procedure and Mediation

I received your email notifying me of the new revision and adoption of New Rules.

I would like to add my personal input for consideration.

This is in reference to case # ENFR140715 I am listing two ways I feel could improve and simplify this process in simple EFR cases. Then I will explain each item.

- 1. Once an EFR case has been turned over to Hearing examiner by the KC enforcement officer that the two agencies communicate together providing proof and a track-able record of the case moving forward.
- 2. In a simple EFR case proof of email with documents should suffice over a "conference call"

Reference Item #1.

Since plan documents and PRE-APP meetings are all submitted thru KCBldg Dept. Per set KC Bldg Dept. schedule bulletin form and meetings with building officials is easily recorded at counter desk when signing in. A track-able record is established that the project is moving forward. A simple email from applicant to KC case enforcement officer advising of project advancement could then be forwarded to Hearing Examiner providing proof. Thus both agencies are up to date on projects process. In case #ENFR140715 I was told by Hearing Examiner that the two agencies do not communicate with each other.

Reference Item #2.

In a simple EFR case documents such as PLAN DRAFTS, ENGINEERING CALC'S, SITE PLANS, SEPTIC DESIGN, FEASIBLE STUDY DOCUMENTS should be accepted proof that project process is moving forward. These documents could be sent to KC Bldg dept case enforcement officer and Hearing Examiner per Item #1 and thus eliminate the need of a conference call. The object is for the project to move forward in a timely matter. A conference call is an out of date method of establishing project progress.

Defining simple EFR case.

Simple case is a case that follows the KC Bulletin form for Permit Issuance.

Plans are drafted, Engineering supplied, Site plan and drainage plans processed, Health Dept approval and Pre-App meeting scheduled and final submittal.

There is no appeal by client, process of case enforcement would be processed by Item #1 & 2 if process does not move forward then case is handled per Rules Of Procedure and Mediation. KC Bldg Dept has an over the counter permit process for simple projects. It seems the Hearing Examiner review of projects could be simplified on simple projects. Case # ENFR140715 was for a garage built without permit. I feel the conference call procedure is outdated.

Tim Hawkins Soaring Hawk Design P.O. Box 161 Ravensdale, WA 98051