

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2016-0156
Best Starts for Kids | Youth and Family Homeless Prevention Initiative**

**Cross Reference to RED-LINE Version of Attachment A to Striking Amendment S1
*Updated April 27, 2016***

The Best Starts for Kids Levy includes a \$19 million Youth and Family Homeless Prevention Initiative. The levy ordinance required that an implementation plan be transmitted to Council by March 1, 2016. That implementation plan was transmitted as part of Proposed Ordinance 2016-0156.

The matrix below outlines changes to the proposed implementation plan (Attachment A to Proposed Ordinance 2016-0156) as part of Striking Amendment S1. Note that all changes are based on the amended version of the implementation plan as approved by the Regional Policy Committee on April 13, 2016.

This matrix is based on the RED-LINE version of Attachment A to S1, dated April 27, 2016.

Issue	Recommended Transmittal	Proposed Striker Change	Notes*
<i>Global changes</i>	<p>The striking amendment includes grammatical and typographical corrections, as well as footnote citations for research and reports.</p> <p>The striking amendment also adds a new section to the implementation plan to describe potential linkages to existing programs as a way to demonstrate how this initiative could leverage and supplement existing efforts</p>		
Identification of sexually and physically abused youth	The transmitted implementation plan does not identify specific measures that will be taken to identify sexually and physically abused youth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Language added to include this as an issue to be addressed • Language added in new section on coordination with existing programs • Reference added to research by Dr. Debra Boyer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 4 lines 70-72 • Page 5 lines 97-98 • Page 4 lines 60-62

Issue	Recommended Transmittal	Proposed Striker Change	Notes*
Identification of youth exiting foster care	The transmitted implementation plan does not mention foster care youth, due to CYAB concern that the foster care system is too large and complex for this initiative	The striking amendment identifies youth exiting foster care as at risk of homelessness but does not ask that any funds be set aside specifically for this population	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 14 lines 348-349
Coordination with existing programs	Limited language	<p>New section added to discuss supplantation issue, as well as potential coordination with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safe Place • Crisis Clinic 2-1-1 • Count Us In 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pp. 5-7 lines 86-133
Truancy/Becca Bill	No language	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Language added about truancy being a factor that increases risk of homelessness 	Page 13 lines 319-320
Fund allocation by year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$3.167 million in 2016 • Greater, unspecified amount in future years • Potential to exhaust \$19 million prior to end of levy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Language confirms intention to allocate \$3.167 million in 2016 and at least that amount each year • Rather than determining an allocation strategy now, Council will determine annual allocations during its biennial budget process 	Pp. 15-16 lines 370-382
Program model to be used	<p>Domestic Violence Housing First model, which combines case management/advocacy with flexible funds for clients</p> <p><i>Note that RPC amendment added language on 50/50 case manager/flexible funding goal</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describes more clearly the DVHF model • Annual report on program outcomes due each June 1 • This report would be used by the Council to set allocation amounts and potentially make changes to the program model 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 8 lines 158-173 • Page 19 lines 467-471 • Page 22 lines 548-562

Issue	Recommended Transmittal	Proposed Striker Change	Notes*
Applicability of DVHF model to youth and young adults	Implementation plan does not mention that DVHF model has not been tested on youth and young adults	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adds language that DVHF model has not been tested on youth and young adults • Adds language that applicability of model to different population groups will be evaluated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 10 lines 213-214 • Page 14 lines 332-334
Description of disproportionately affected communities	Plan notes “disproportionality in race and LGBTQ identification”	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Broadens definition to “race, ethnicity and LGBTQ” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 4 lines 72-73 • Page 5 line 83 • Page 20 line 489
Measures to address cultural competence and disproportionately	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outreach to small cultural or ethnic organizations • Potential for partnering • Potential for regional RFPs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that County will ensure regional availability of the program • Notes that County will consider potential differences in service delivery between urban and rural areas • Adds information about training to provide equitable and competent care to LGBTQ clients • Adds information about technical assistance for small organizations • Adds requirement for regional bidders’ conferences • Adds requirement to hire a consultant to assist small organizations in completing proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 21 line 530 • Page 21 lines 532-534 • Page 21 line 538 • Page 21 lines 538-539 • Page 21 lines 535-536

Issue	Recommended Transmittal	Proposed Striker Change	Notes*
Evaluation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial and programmatic audits Outcomes measurement as part of overall BSK evaluation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adds requirement for annual report on program outcomes, due each year by <u>June 1</u> Notes that dashboard will be developed as part of overarching BSK evaluation plan New: Mentions WSIPP <u>or other potential outside evaluators</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Page 22 lines 551-553 Page 19 lines 460-461 Page 22 lines 561-565
Homeless Management Information System	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transmitted implementation plan noted that data of program participants would be entered into the HMIS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> At the request of the Executive, Chair has added language to note that because people served by this program would not be actually homeless, their data would not be entered into the HMIS but rather into a separate database 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pp. 17-18 lines 420-440

*All page and line number references are to the RED-LINE Attachment A of S1 dated April 27, 2016.