18159

Findings

1

11-5-15

JM --> passed 7-0 JH

Sponsor:

Phillips and McDermott

[REC]

Proposed No.: 2015-0413

1 AMENDMENT TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2015-0413, VERSION 1

- 2 Beginning on page 1, strike lines 7 through 28 on page 2, and insert:
- 3 "SECTION 1. Findings:
- A. RCW 84.55.0101 allows the county council to set limit factors for regular
- 5 property tax levies to a maximum of one hundred one percent upon a finding of
- 6 substantial need.
- B. King County provides criminal justice, transit, elections, assessments, natural
- 8 resources and parks, public health and human services to two million residents. The
- 9 demand for these services provided by King County continues to grow fueled by an
- 10 expanding population. To ensure King County maintains its commitments to adequate
- levels of basic services for a growing population, it must provide for the cost of those
- services. Setting the limit factor for regular property tax levies to the maximum of one
- 13 hundred and one percent allows King County to strive to meet service demands at a local
- 14 level.
- 15 C. The total adopted King County budget for the 2015/2016 biennium is \$8.9
- billion, and the total adopted general fund budget for the 2015/2016 biennium is \$1.5
- 17 billion.

| 18 | D. The general fund and other funds are continuing to experience a fiscal |
|----|---|
| 19 | shortfall that is the result of revenue growth that is not keeping pace with the increasing |
| 20 | costs of providing services. |
| 21 | E. To address the shortfall, King County has aggressively reviewed all |
| 22 | expenditures in the general fund and other property-tax-supported funds for reductions |
| 23 | and has reviewed possibilities for enhanced revenues. |
| 24 | F. King County reduced the general fund budget and other property-tax- |
| 25 | supported budgets below the amounts necessary to sustain current service levels through |
| 26 | direct service reductions and efficiencies. |
| 27 | G. Despite King County's efforts, the fiscal shortfall is expected to continue in |
| 28 | 2017 and thereafter, with the general fund shortfall for the 2017/2018 biennium currently |
| 29 | projected to total \$48 million. |
| 30 | H. The 2015/2016 Biennial Budget Ordinance assumes that property taxes |
| 31 | increase by the one hundred and one-percent limit factor, and any increase less than that |
| 32 | would require substantial direct service reductions. |
| 33 | I. State law limits annual property tax increases to the lesser of one percent and |
| 34 | the implicit price deflator. For 2016, the state has advised King County that the implicit |
| 35 | price deflator is 0.251 percent. |
| 36 | J. Since 1998, the implicit price deflator has only been less than one percent on |
| 37 | three occasions—in 1999, 2010 and 2016. For all other years, the implicit price deflator |
| 38 | has exceeded one percent—ranging from 1.16 percent in 2003 to a high of 4.527 percent |

in 2009. In those years, King County's annual property tax increases were limited to an

39

40

increase of one percent.

- 41 K. Because of the findings in subsections A. through J. of this section, the King
- 42 County council finds that a substantial need exists for the use of property tax limit factors
- 43 up to the maximum of one hundred one percent."
- 44 EFFECT: This amendment includes additional information explaining the context for
- 45 and necessity of declaring the County's substantial need to increase property taxes for
- 46 2016 by 1 percent over 2015, rather than using the implicit price deflator.