King County

Legislative Review Form

| To the last | Legislative Revi | ew Form |)PD Supplemental |
|---|--|---------------------|---|
| King County | | 2015 | -280 |
| Agency: PSB | Contact person _Dwight | <u>Dively</u> Phone | 206-263-9687 |
| Ordinance Motion Proviso Report Other | | | |
| Civil Division Prosecuting Attorney Review | | | |
| Name Peggy Pahl | Version Final | Date 5/ | /29/15 |
| Dept. Director or Designee Review | | | |
| Name Dwight Dively | Version Final | Date 6/ | /26/15] 🖹 |
| Performance Strategy & Budget Office Review | | | |
| Name Name Fox | Version fine | Date | RECEIVED RECEIVED RECEIVED 20/26/15 RECEIVED RECEIVED (20/15) |
| Technical Form/Code Reviser Review – Confirm adherence to legislative format | | | |
| Name Bruce Ritzen | Version Final | Date 5/ | /20/15 & & |
| Executive Office Review & Transmittal Approval | | | |
| NameMichelle Allison | Version Final | Date | |
| ENTRANCE CRITERIA REVIEW | | | |
| EXEC OFFICE (initials) KCC CLERK | | | |
| Fiscal note? KC Strategic Plan reference in let Proof read for spelling and gram All pertinent attachments listed/l Costs identified/described in lett Regulatory Note Required and C Formatted/Delivered in word-sea Potential Annexation Area (PAA Advertising required? if yes, cite Any special circumstances affects | etter? Y mar? Y abeled? Y er Y complete? Y archable doc format? Y al impacts identified? Y et all pertinent code/laws. | □ NA 🂢 🗸 | Y NA |

Other background information not included in transmittal letter, including explanation of impact to cities, county agencies, or stakeholders Department of Public Defense