

Metropolitan Water Pollution Abatement Advisory Committee

King Street Center, 201 South Jackson Street, MS KSC-NR-0512 Seattle, WA 98104 206-263-6070

MEMBERS:

Alderwood Water and Wastewater District

City of Algona

City of Auburn

City of Bellevue

City of Black Diamond

City of Bothell

City of Brier

City of Carnation

Cedar River Water and Sewer District

Coal Creek Utility District

Cross Valley Water District

Highlands Sewer District

City of Issaguah

City of Kent

City of Kirkland

City of Lake Forest Park

Lakehaven Utility District

City of Mercer Island

Midway Sewer District

Northeast Sammamish Sewer District

Northshore Utility District

Olympic View Water and Sewer District

City of Pacific

City of Redmond

City of Renton

Ronald Wastewater District

Sammamish Plateau Water and Sewer District

City of Seattle

Skyway Water and Sewer District

Soos Creek Water and Sewer District

Southwest Suburban Sewer District

City of Tukwila

Val Vue Sewer District

Vashon Sewer District

Woodinville Water District

0508_MWPAACltrhd.eps

March 30, 2015

The Honorable Dow Constantine King County Executive 401 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

SUBJECT: Wastewater Treatment Division 2016 Rate Recommendation

Dear Executive Constantine:

The Metropolitan Water Pollution Abatement Advisory Committee (MWPAAC) has reviewed the Wastewater Treatment Division's rate proposal for 2016, and their projected rate forecast for 2017-2021. While we understand the proposal, we have concerns that taking smaller than originally projected rate increases in the current period will result in the need for larger than projected rate increases in the future, especially considering the possibility of increased capital outlays such as those related to the CSO consent decree and implementation of their Resiliency and Recovery Program. The following is a few specific issues we would like to bring to your attention.

Rate Process Schedule Challenges

MWPAAC wishes to point out the impact of WTD's accelerated schedule which has restricted the opportunity to review and discuss some of the key components of WTD's rate proposal. We believe it prevents more thorough review and constructive input from MWPAAC, which might otherwise include the possibility of incorporating more complete information on some of the topics described below as well as identifying other significant rate issues. We hope this can be addressed before their 2017 sewer rate proposal is completed.

• Debt Review Committee Participation

Work continues by our Debt Review Committee, and MWPAAC continues to believe that their ongoing work is very important in identifying a suitable path for future capital financing as well as the effect of debt on future rates. While the review is taking longer than originally anticipated, MWPAAC expects that the Committee's work will be completed by mid-summer with a full report to MWPAAC in the fall. The committee recognizes one outcome could be to cash fund a larger portion of the capital program, which would result in higher near term rates but rate stabilization in the long run. The results of the debt review committee's work will be instrumental in our future rate recommendations.

• Industrial Waste Fee Structuring Update

Calculation of the Industrial Waste Fee is an administrative component of fee authorization that is spelled out in the Sewage Disposal Agreement. In 2013, WTD briefed the Committee, indicating that staff has begun updating the cost allocations to the fee, and that going forward WTD plans for a five-year review cycle of the fee that has remained stagnant for 17 years. Given development and industry changes in members' respective communities in the past 17 years, MWPAAC anticipates there could be significant changes to the fee and requests another briefing outlining change to the fee structure, allocation updates, and any capital program impacts. In December 2013, it was estimated this project would be completed by mid-2014. The update has not yet occurred and we request that WTD make this a priority so that member agencies may take appropriate and timely action to inform affected ratepayers.

• Recovery and Resiliency Program Review

The County has initiated an important new study of the potential vulnerability of WTD facilities and operations to natural and man-made events. This could lead to significant capital outlays to protect the public and our infrastructure investments. Being mindful of budget and rate implications, MWPAAC supports the study and looks forward to working with WTD to address these concerns in a fiscally responsible manner.

• Water Works Program

MWPAAC appreciates WTD's partnership in developing criteria for the new Water Works Program and that the current proposal includes program criteria from the work group. We believe this is a step in the right direction. We hope in the future that the full amount of the Water Works program, which is funded by our ratepayers, would go through the application and committee approval process, which would include increased

MWPAAC representation. The Committee expects this Program will continue to be finalized through the contract negotiation process and looks forward to participating with WTD in an advisory role.

• Budget and Rate Implications of Emerging CSO Reduction Program

The Committee recognizes that there are several uncertainties concerning the County's CSO Reduction Program, and that these could have significant near-term as well as long-term rate implications. To this end, the Committee appreciates the opportunity to participate through representation on the Cost Estimating Technical workgroup, created with Budget Proviso 1. Accordingly, as the County's Combined Sewer Overflow Reduction program and implementation of their Consent Decree continues, the Committee expects ongoing updates regarding, in particular, the sequencing of diverse large projects, scheduling of their implementation and further program impacts to the budget such as new hires. Recognizing there will be future rate impacts generated from this program; the Committee appreciates and requests a continuation of WTD's efforts to keep us up-to-date and informed of new developments.

• Cost of Debt

Finally, the committee recognizes the efforts of WTD to lower borrowing costs by refunding higher interest debt whenever possible. We were particularly impressed with the January 2015 refunding of over \$725 million of outstanding debt and the related annual debt service savings exceeding \$5 million. MWPAAC continues to be concerned about debt levels and encourages the WTD to pursue prudent available methods to reduce the debt burden on our common ratepayers. The Committee is also encouraged by the capacity charge revenues and anticipates a continuation of these revenues being utilized in the capital program to reduce upward pressure on our existing customers' rates.

The Honorable Dow Constantine March 30, 2015 Page 4

Reflecting over the past year, MWPAAC makes these recommendations with a continued commitment to a partnership with WTD and the County for effective wastewater management. We remain appreciative of WTD's efforts to be open and give MWPAAC a meaningful role, and look forward to an improved collaborative relationship based on the recommendations in this letter.

Sincerely,

Scott Thomasson, MWPAAC Chair

cc: MWPAAC Members

Christie True, Director, Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP) Pam Elardo, Division Director, Wastewater Treatment Division, DNRP